**Individual Peer Evaluation Form**

Your name: Isabella Sturm

Write the name of your classmate you are preparing this review for in the designated column. Using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree) answer each question. If you aren’t able to answer the question based on what is posted in the discussion board, reach out to your classmate for more information via the discussion board. Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Peer Name:  Manish Kalkar |
| Has plan in place to complete course project. | 5 |
| Has found datasets/data sources to support project idea. | 5 |
| Has solidified project idea. | 5 |
| Has identified resources for project. | 5 |
| Topic is related to data science and demonstrates topics learned to date through program. | 5 |
| Risks and potential issues have been identified. | 5 |
| TOTALS | 30 |

Feedback on Individual’s project topic:

1. How clear is the classmates project topic? What questions does their topic make you consider?

The project topic is clear, the analysis and model evaluation is very thorough. I would have been most curious how the imbalanced data would be handled but it seems like this was accounted for.

1. What risks or issues should your classmate consider while working on their project?

I think Manish handled risks, particularly with considering imbalanced data by trying different models and considering f1 score over accuracy to determine the best model.

1. Additional suggestions/comments that might be beneficial to your peer?

Seems like Manish has thoroughly analyzed the data and tested and evaluated numerous models/classifiers to determine the overall rating of products on Amazon.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)