

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE

SEMESTER 2, 2022/2023

MA2001 Linear Algebra

Homework Assignment 2

1. For each of the following sets, decide if it is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . The value of n varies from question to question and should be clear from context.

- (i) The line passing through the points $(1, 2)$ and $(-2, -4)$.

Solution. The slope of the line is $((-4) - 2)/((-2) - 1) = 2$, and the line has equation

$$y = 2(x - 1) + 2 = 2x,$$

which is homogeneous. Hence, the line is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

- (ii) $\{(x, y) \mid x + y = 1\}$.

Solution. Since $x + y = 1$ is non-homogeneous, the solution set is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

- (iii) $\{(x, y, z) \mid x + y = 1\}$.

Solution. Since $x + y = 1$ is non-homogeneous, the solution set is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

- (iv) $\{(x, y, z) \mid xyz = 0\}$.

Solution. Since $(1, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ are both in the set, but $(1, 1, 0) + (0, 0, 1) = (1, 1, 1)$ is not, this set is not closed under addition, and thus it is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 .

- (v) The solution set to the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution. Since $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is non-homogeneous, the solution set is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

- (vi) $\{(w, x, y, z) \mid w + 2x - y + z = 0\}$.

Solution. Since $w + 2x - y + z = 0$ is homogeneous, the solution set is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 .

- (vii) The set of all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent, where A is a fixed 2×2 invertible matrix.

Solution. If A is invertible, then the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is always consistent. Hence, the set is \mathbb{R}^2 , which is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 .

2. Consider the following vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1 &= (1, -3, 2), & \mathbf{u}_2 &= (0, 2, -1), \\ \mathbf{v}_1 &= (0, -3, -2), & \mathbf{v}_2 &= (1, -1, 1), & \mathbf{v}_3 &= (2, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Solution. View each vector as a column vector.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_3 & \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{R_3 - \frac{2}{3}R_1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{5}{3} & 1 & 4 & -\frac{7}{3} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{R_3 - \frac{5}{3}R_2} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} -3 & -1 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{7}{3} & \frac{7}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the columns corresponding to $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$ are non-pivot, both $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$.

Indeed, since all rows of the row-echelon form of $(\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3)$ are nonzero, we conclude that

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_3 \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 2 & -3 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow[R_3 - 2R_1]{R_2 + 3R_1} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -3 \end{array} \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{R_3 + \frac{1}{2}R_2} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{7}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since the column corresponding to \mathbf{v}_1 is pivot, and those corresponding to $\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are non-pivot, we have $\mathbf{v}_1 \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$.

In particular, since $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}$ contains only 2 vectors, it is not large enough to span \mathbb{R}^3 .

As a conclusion:

(i) $\mathbf{u}_1 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}, \mathbf{u}_2 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\};$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_2\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}.$$

(ii) $\mathbf{v}_1 \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\};$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1\} \not\subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_2\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_3\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\};$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \not\subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3\} \not\subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}, \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\};$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\} \not\subseteq \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2\}.$$

(iii) $\text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \neq \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$. Here are two ways to see this. (1) The latter is \mathbb{R}^3 (as observed previously) but the former cannot be \mathbb{R}^3 as $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ has only two vectors. (2) Prove that $\mathbf{v}_3 \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ by row-reducing $(\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \mathbf{v}_3)$ and noting that the third column is pivot.

3. Find the determinant of the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2-x & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2-x & 0 \\ 3 & -2 & 2-x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution. Expand along the 1st row:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= (2-x) \begin{vmatrix} 2-x & 0 \\ -2 & 2-x \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2-x \\ 3 & -2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (2-x) \cdot (2-x)^2 + 2 \cdot [1(-2) - 3(2-x)] \\ &= -x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x - 8. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let V be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that there are vectors $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $v_1 - 2v_2 \notin V$. Prove that V is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. By definition, $v_1 - 2v_2 = v_1 + (-2)v_2 \in \text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$.

Assume that V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . Since $v_1, v_2 \in V$, we have $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq V$, and thus $v_1 - 2v_2 \in V$, a contradiction.

5. Let $u_1 = (-3, 0, 2)$, $u_2 = (1, 4, 0)$, $u_3 = (1, 2, -1)$, $u_4 = (0, 3, -2)$.

- (i) Is $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} = \mathbb{R}^3$?
- (ii) Is $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \mathbb{R}^3$?
- (iii) Is $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2\} = \mathbb{R}^3$?

Solution. View each vector as a column vector.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 + \frac{2}{3}R_1} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(i) All rows of row-echelon form of $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$ are nonzero, so

$$\text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\} = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(ii) All rows of row-echelon form of $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{pmatrix}$ are nonzero, so

$$\text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3\} = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

(iii) The last row of row-echelon form of $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is zero, so

$$\text{span}\{u_1, u_2\} \neq \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Alternatively, since $\{u_1, u_2\}$ has only 2 vectors, it is not large enough to span \mathbb{R}^3 .

6. Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix with the property that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $Ax = 0$. Prove that A is the zero matrix.

Proof. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$. By assumption, for any $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$a_{i1}x_1 + a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

In particular, fix $i = 1, \dots, m$ and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})$, then

$$0 = a_{i1}a_{i1} + a_{i2}a_{i2} + \dots + a_{in}a_{in} = a_{i1}^2 + a_{i2}^2 + \dots + a_{in}^2,$$

which implies that $a_{i1} = a_{i2} = \dots = a_{in} = 0$, i.e., the i^{th} row of A is $\mathbf{0}$. Since $i = 1, \dots, m$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $A = \mathbf{0}$.

Alternative proof: By assumption, the system $Ax = b$ is consistent if and only if $b = \mathbf{0}$.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the columns of A . This means that $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Consequently, $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $A = \mathbf{0}$.

7. Define $V = \{(t, 2t, 3t - 1) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

- (i) Express V in implicit form, i.e., come up with a linear system whose solution set is V .
- (ii) Is there a homogeneous linear system whose solution set is V ? Justify your answer.

Solution. (i) Let $(x, y, z) = (t, 2t, 3t - 1)$, i.e., $x = t$, $y = 2t$ and $z = 3t - 1$. Then

$$t = x = y/2 = (z + 1)/3.$$

Then V is the solution set of the linear system

$$x = y/2 \quad \text{and} \quad x = (z + 1)/3,$$

or

$$V = \{(x, y, z) \mid 2x - y = 0 \text{ and } 3x - z = 1\}.$$

- (ii) Let $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$. Then $3x - z = 0 \neq 1$. So $(0, 0, 0) \notin V$. Consequently, V is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , and thus it is not the solution set of any homogeneous linear system.

8. Consider the linear system $Ax = \mathbf{0}$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

- (i) Prove that the solution set of the given homogeneous linear system is a subspace by expressing it as a span of certain vectors (that you have to specify).
- (ii) Use the previous part to write down a general solution for the linear system $Ax = b$,

where $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Solution. (i) Apply Gauss-Jordan elimination to \mathbf{A} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &\xrightarrow[R_3-R_1]{R_2+R_1} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -3 & 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3+\frac{1}{3}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{10}{3} & 3 & -\frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow[-\frac{3}{10}R_3]{\frac{1}{2}R_1, \frac{1}{3}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2+\frac{1}{3}R_3]{R_1-\frac{1}{2}R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{20} & \frac{3}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1-\frac{3}{2}R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{10} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_4 = s$ and $x_5 = t$ be arbitrary parameters. Then

$$x_1 = -\frac{2}{5}s, \quad x_2 = \frac{3}{10}s - \frac{1}{2}t, \quad x_3 = \frac{9}{10}s - \frac{1}{2}t.$$

So

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = s\left(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, 1, 0\right) + t\left(0, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1\right).$$

Hence, the solution set of \mathbf{A} is

$$\text{span}\left\{\left(-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{10}, \frac{9}{10}, 1, 0\right), \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1\right)\right\}.$$

(ii) Note that the 3rd column of \mathbf{A} is \mathbf{b} . So $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

So $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has general solution

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{9}{10} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$