

# CS2040S – Data Structures and Algorithms

## Lecture 19 – Finding Shortest Way from Here to There, Part II

[chongket@comp.nus.edu.sg](mailto:chongket@comp.nus.edu.sg)



# Outline

## **Four** special cases of the classical SSSP problem

- Special Case 1: The graph is a **tree**
- Special Case 2: The graph is **unweighted**
- Special Case 3: The graph is **directed** and **acyclic** (DAG)
- Special Case 4ab: The graph has **no negative weight edge/cycle**
  - Introduce a new SSSP algo (Dijkstra's algorithm)

# Basic Form and Variants of a Problem

In this lecture, we will continue on the same topic that we have seen in the previous lecture:


- The **Single-Source Shortest Path (SSSP)** problem

An idea from the previous lecture and this one is that a certain problem can be made '**simpler**' if some assumptions are made

- These variants (special cases) may have better algorithm
  - PS: It is true that some variants can be more complex than their basic form, but usually, we made some assumptions in order to simplify the problems 😊

# Special Case 1:

The weighted graph is a **Tree**



When the weighted graph is a tree, solving the SSSP problem becomes much easier as every path in a tree is a shortest path. **Q1: Why?** only 1 unique path from any pair of vertices and won't be negative cycles cause no cycles in the tree. there are also only positive weights

There won't be any negative weight cycle. **Q2: Why?**

even if we have bi-directed edges, we only have positive weights so it does not become a negative weight cycle

Thus, any  **$O(V)$**  graph traversal, i.e. **either DFS or BFS** can be used to solve this SSSP problem.

**Q3: Why  $O(V)$  and not the standard  $O(V+E)$ ?**

only go through  $V - 1$  edges

$E = |V| - 1$  so it is  $O(V)$

# Try in VisuAlgo!

(use DFS/BFS)

Try finding the shortest paths from source vertex 0 to other vertices in this weighted (undirected) tree

- Notice that you will always encounter unique (simple) path between those two vertices
- Try adding negative weight edges, it does not matter if the graph is a tree 😊

en VISUALGO SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph  
Random Graph  
Example Graphs  
Bellman Ford's  
Dijkstra's Algorithm  
BFS Algorithm  
DFS Algorithm  
Dynamic Programming

0 Go

slow fast

⏮ ⏪ ⏩ ⏭

slow fast

About Team Terms of use

BFS(0)

```

0 is the source vertex.
Set p[v] = -1, d[v] = Inf, but d[0] = 0 and push this vertex to queue.

show warning if the graph is weighted
initSSSP, Q.push(sourceVertex)

while !Q.empty() // Q is a normal Queue
    for each neighbor v of u = Q.front()
        if !visited[v]
            relax(u, v, w(u, v)), Q.push(v)
// ch4_04_bfs.cpp/java, ch4, CP3
  
```

# Special Case 2:


The graph is **unweighted**

This has been discussed yesterday 😊

**Solution:  $O(V+E)$  BFS**

Important note:



- For SSSP on **unweighted graph**, we can only use BFS
- For SSSP on **tree**, we can use either DFS/BFS



# Try in VisuAlgo!

This graph is unweighted (i.e. all edge weight = 1)

Try finding the shortest paths from source vertex 0 to other vertices using **BFS**




# Special Case 3:

The weighted graph is **directed & acyclic** (DAG)


Cycle is a major issue in SSSP

- Can cause an edge to be relaxed multiple times (depending on order of edge relaxation) as multiple paths can use the same edge



Solve SSSP at source vertex 0

Order of edge relaxation  
0-1, 0-4, 1-2, 2-3, 4-2



After one pass

SP to vertex 3 not yet found because sequence of edge relaxation caused the longer path (0,1,2,3) to be found first before the shorter path (0,4,2,3)



# Special Case 3:

The weighted graph is **directed & acyclic** (DAG)

When the graph is **acyclic** (has no cycle), a good ordering on the edges can be imposed → **Topological ordering**.

We can “modify” the Bellman Ford’s algorithm by replacing the outermost **V-1** loop to just **one pass**

$O(V) + O(E) \rightarrow$  relax each edge once in  $O(1)$  time =  $O(V + E)$

- i.e. we only run the relaxation across all edges once in topological order (recall topological sort in Lecture 16)

get topological ordering on the graph and run bellman ford’s algo on it

topo sort:  $O(V + E)$

\*Also known as “One-pass Bellman Ford”

$O(V+E)$

# Try in VisuAlgo!

One Topological Sort of the given DAG is  $\{0, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$

- Try relaxing the outgoing edges of vertices listed in the topological order above (starting from the source vertex, 0 in this case)
  - With just one pass, all vertices will have the correct  $D[v]$

en VISUALGO

SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS

Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph
Random Graph
Example Graphs
Bellman Ford's
Dijkstra's Algorithm
BFS Algorithm
DFS Algorithm
Dynamic Programming

0| Go

DP(0)

As this is a DAG, it has at least one topological order.  
One of the topological order is:  $\{0, 2, 1, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

```

order = Topological Sort the input DAG
initSSSP
while !order.empty()
    u = order.front()
    relax all outgoing edges of vertex u

```

slow fast

⏮ ⏪ ⏩ ⏭ ⏮ ⏪ ⏩ ⏭

About Team Terms of use

# Special Case 4a:

all edges weight  $\geq 0$

The graph has **no negative weight edge**

**Bellman Ford's algorithm** works fine for all cases of SSSP on weighted graphs, but it runs in  **$O(VE)$** ... ☹

- For a “**reasonably sized**” weighted graphs with  $V \sim 1000$  and  $E \sim 100000$  (recall that  $E = O(V^2)$  in a complete simple graph), Bellman Ford's is (really) “**slow**”...

For many practical cases, the SSSP problem is performed on a graph where all its edges have **non-negative weight**

- Example: Traveling between two cities on a map (graph) usually takes **positive amount** of time units


Fortunately, there is a *faster* SSSP algorithm that exploits this property: The **Dijkstra's** algorithm

The 'original version'

# DIJKSTRA'S ALGORITHM

# Key Ideas of (original) Dijkstra's Algorithm (1)

(for graphs with no negative weight edge)



Formal assumption:


- For each  $\text{edge}(u, v) \in E$ , we assume  $w(u, v) \geq 0$  (non-negative)

Key ideas of (the original) Dijkstra's algorithm:

- Maintain a set **Solved** of vertices whose **final shortest path weights** have been determined, initially **Solved** = {s}, source vertex s only
- Repeatedly select vertex **u** in {**V-Solved**} with the **min shortest path estimate  $D[u]$** , add **u** to **Solved**, and relax all edges out of **u**
  - This entails the use of a kind of “**Priority Queue**”, **Q: Why?** order them acc to min shortest path estimate
  - This choice of relaxation order is “**greedy**”: Select the “best so far”
    - Once added to **Solved** greedily, a vertex is never again enqueued in the PQ
    - But it eventually ends up with optimal result (see the proof later)

***Note: Vertices are added to Solved in non-decreasing SP costs ...***

# Key Ideas of (original) Dijkstra's Algorithm (2)



More details on key ideas of Dijkstra's algorithm:

1. PQ: Store the *shortest path estimate* for a vertex  $v$  as an IntegerPair  $(d, v)$  in the PQ, where  $d = D[v]$  (current shortest path estimate)
2. Initialization: Enqueue  $(\infty, v)$  for all vertices  $v$  except for source  $s$  which will enqueue  $(0, s)$  into the PQ
  - PQ will store integer pair for all vertices at the start
3. Main loop: Keep removing vertex  $u$  with minimum  $d$  from the PQ, add  $u$  to **Solved** and relax all its outgoing edges (see point 4.) until the PQ is empty
  - When PQ is empty all the vertices will be in **Solved**
4. If an edge  $(u, v)$  is relaxed find the vertex  $v$  it is pointing to in the PQ and “update” the shortest path estimate
  - Need to find  $v$  quickly and perform PQ “DecreaseKey” operation (no Java PQ ☹)
  - Alternatively use bBST to implement the PQ (how?)

PQ -> INSERT -> in bBST: insert log(V)

PQ -> extractMin -> in bBST: findMin/deleteMin log(V)

PQ -> updateKey -> in bBST: search old key/delete old key/insert updated key log(V)

# SSSP: Dijkstra's (Original)

Ask VisuAlgo to perform Dijkstra's (Original) algorithm from various sources on the sample Graph (CP4 4.16)

The screen shot below shows the *initial stage* of **Dijkstra(0)** (the original algorithm)

7

en

VISUALGO

SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS

Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph

Random Graph

Example Graphs

Bellman Ford's

Dijkstra's Algorithm

BFS Algorithm

DFS Algorithm

Dynamic Programming

0

Original

Modified

### OriginalDijkstra(0)

```

relax(0,3,7), #edge_processed = 3.
d[3] = d[0]+w(0,3) = 0+7 = 7, p[3] = 0, PQ = {(2,1), (6,2), (7,3), ...}.

show warning if the graph has -ve weight edge
initSSSP, pre-populate PQ
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue
    for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()
        relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + update PQ

// ch4_05_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3

```

slow

fast

⏮

⏪

⏩

⏭

About

Team

Terms of use

# Why Does This Greedy Strategy Works? (1)

i.e. why is it sufficient to only process each vertex just once?

Loop invariant = *Every vertex  $v$  in set **Solved** has correct shortest path distance from source, i.e  $D[v] = \delta(s, v)$*

- This is true initially, **Solved** = {**s**} and **D**[**s**] =  $\delta(s, s) = 0$

Dijkstra's algorithm iteratively adds the next vertex **u** with the lowest **D**[**u**] into set **Solved**

- Is the loop invariant always valid?
- Let's see a short lemma first which will be used to proof the loop invariant holds



# Lemma 1: Subpaths of a shortest path are shortest paths


Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be the shortest path:  $p = \langle v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle$

any subpath of  $\mathbf{p}$ , the shortest path

Let  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$  be the subpath of  $\mathbf{p}$ :  $p_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_j \rangle, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq k$

Then  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$  is a shortest path (from  $i$  to  $j$ )

Proof by contradiction:


- Let the shortest path  $\mathbf{p} = v_0$    $v_i$   $v_j$   $v_k$
- If  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$  is not the shortest path, then we have another  $\mathbf{p}'_{ij}$  that is shorter than  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$ . We can then cut out  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$  and replace it with  $\mathbf{p}'_{ij}$ , which results in a shorter path from  $\mathbf{v}_0$  to  $\mathbf{v}_k$
- But  $\mathbf{p}$  is the shortest path from  $\mathbf{v}_0$  to  $\mathbf{v}_k$   $\rightarrow$  contradiction!
- Thus  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$  must be a shortest path between  $v_i$  and  $v_j$

# Lemma 2: After a vertex $v$ is added to **Solved**, SP from $s$ to $v$ has been found (1)

Proof by contradiction:

- Let  $v$  be the 1<sup>st</sup> vertex added to **Solved** where SP from  $s$  to  $v$  has not been found when it was added
- Let  $p$  be path from  $s$  to  $v$  when  $v$  was added to **Solved**

$$p = s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$$




Observations:

- All vertices in  $s \rightsquigarrow u$  must be in **Solved**
- $s \rightsquigarrow u$  must be the SP from  $s$  to  $u$  since  $v$  is the 1<sup>st</sup> one added wrongly

# Lemma 2: After a vertex $v$ is added to **Solved**, SP from $s$ to $v$ has been found (2)


- There are then only 3 possibilities for the correct SP  $p'$
- Possibility 1: Predecessor of  $v$  in the correct SP is still  $u$  but the path from  $s$  to  $u$  is not the same



- Cannot be the case by way of Lemma 1 and the fact that  $s \rightsquigarrow u$  is SP from  $s$  to  $u$  by observation 2

# Lemma 2: After a vertex $v$ is added to **Solved**, SP from $s$ to $v$ has been found (3)

- Possibility 2: Predecessor of  $v$  in the correct SP  $p'$  is another vertex  $x$




- Cannot be the case since  $v$  had the lowest cost in the PQ through relaxation of  $(u,v)$  and not  $(x,v)$ , therefore  

$$\text{cost}(p') = \text{cost}(\text{SP}(s,x)) + w(x,v) > \text{cost}(p) = \text{cost}(\text{SP}(s,u)) + w(u,v)$$

## Lemma 2: After a vertex $v$ is added to **Solved**, SP from $s$ to $v$ has been found (4)

- Possibility 3: There exist at least one vertex along correct SP  $p'$  from  $s$  to  $v$  which is not in **Solved**. Let  $z$  be the first such vertex.



- Since  $y$  and  $u$  in **Solved**, their SP is correct and they will have correctly relaxed their neighbor  $z$  and  $v$  respectively
- Since  $v$  was added to Solved instead of  $z$ , we have


$$\text{cost}(\text{SP}(s,z)) = \text{cost}(\text{SP}(s,y)) + w(y,z) > \text{cost}(p)$$

# Lemma 2: After a vertex $v$ is added to **Solved**, SP from $s$ to $v$ has been found (5)

- Now for

$$\text{cost}(p') = \text{cost}(\text{SP}(s,z)) + \text{cost}(\text{SP}(z,v)) < \text{cost}(p)$$

$\text{cost}(\text{SP}(z,v))$  must be  $< 0$  which means there are  $-ve$  edge weights which is a contradiction that the graph only has  $+ve$  edge weights.



- Since there is no 1<sup>st</sup> vertex which is added wrongly, the algorithm is correct

# Why Does This Greedy Strategy Works? (2)

i.e. why is it sufficient to only process each vertex just once?

- Therefore by lemma 2, since SP to  $v$  has been found once it is put into Solved, we will never need to revisit it again, thus greedy works

# Original Dijkstra's – Analysis (1)

In the original Dijkstra's, each vertex will only be inserted and extracted from the priority queue **once**

- As there are  $V$  vertices, we will do this at most  $O(V)$  times
- Each insert/extract min runs in  $O(\log V)$  (since at most  $V$  items in the PQ) if implemented using **binary min heap (insert/extractMin)** or **bBST (insert/deleteMin)**

Therefore this part is  $O(V \log V)$



# Original Dijkstra's – Analysis (2)

Every time a vertex is processed, we relax its neighbors

- In total, all  $O(E)$  edges are processed (and only once for each edge)
- If by relaxing edge( $u, v$ ), we have to decrease  $D[v]$ , we call the  $O(\log V)$  **DecreaseKey()** in **binary min heap** (harder to implement) or simply **delete old entry and then re-insert new entry in balanced BST** (which also runs in  $O(\log V)$ , but this is much easier to implement)
  - \*\*The easiest implementation is to use **Java TreeSet** as the PQ

This part is  $O(E \log V)$

Thus overall, Dijkstra's runs in  $O(V \log V + E \log V)$ , or more well known as an  $O((V+E) \log V)$  algorithm

# Wait... Let's try this!

Ask VisuAlgo to perform Dijkstra's (Original) algorithm from source = 0 on the sample Graph (CP4 4.20)

Do you get correct answer at vertex 4?

**VISUALGO** SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph  
Random Graph  
Example Graphs  
Bellman Ford's  
Dijkstra's Algorithm  
BFS Algorithm  
DFS Algorithm  
Dynamic Programming

0 Original Modified

Graph structure and distances:

- Vertex 0: source, 0
- Vertex 1: Inf
- Vertex 2: Inf
- Vertex 3: Inf
- Vertex 4: Inf

Edges and weights:

- 0 → 1: 1
- 0 → 2: 10
- 1 → 3: 2
- 2 → 3: -10
- 3 → 4: 3

**OriginalDijkstra(0)**

0 is the source vertex.  
Set  $p[v] = -1$ ,  $d[v] = \text{Inf}$ , but  $d[0] = 0$ ,  $PQ = \{(0,0), (999,1), (999,2), \dots\}$ .

show warning if the graph has -ve weight edge

```
initSSSP, pre-populate PQ
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue
    for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()
        relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + update PQ
// ch4_05_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3
```

slow fast

About Team Terms of use

# Why Does This Greedy Strategy Not Work This Time 😞?

The presence of negative-weight edge can cause the vertices “greedily” chosen first eventually not to have the true shortest path from the source!

- It happens to vertex 3 in this example

en

SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS

Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph

Random Graph

Example Graphs

Bellman Ford's

Dijkstra's Algorithm

BFS Algorithm

DFS Algorithm

Dynamic Programming

0

Original

Modified

The issue is here...

OriginalDijkstra(0)

d[1] = 1 is final as all outgoing edges of this vertex has been processed.

show warning if the graph has -ve weight edge  
initSSSP, pre-populate PQ  
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue  
  for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()  
    relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + update PQ  
// ch4\_05\_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3

slow

fast

⏮

⏪

⏩

⏭

⏴

About

Team

Terms of use

The 'modified' implementation

# DIJKSTRA'S ALGORITHM

# Special Case 4b:

The graph has **no negative weight cycle**

For many practical cases, the SSSP problem is performed on a graph where its edges may have **negative weight** **but it has no negative cycle**

can just use modified ver for any graph as long as it doesnt have a negative cycle

We have another version of Dijkstra's algorithm that can handle this case: The **Modified Dijkstra's** algorithm

allow vertex to be re-enqueued into the priority queue multiple times

when we do modified dijkstra on a positive weight graph, we find that when we dequeue a vertex from the pq, we would have already found the shortest path because there are no negative edge weights for me to re-enqueue later on

# Implementation of Modified Dijkstra's Algorithm (1)

Formal assumption (different from the original one):

- The graph has **no negative weight cycle** (but can have negative weight edges)

Key ideas:

- Allow a vertex to be possibly processed multiple times as detailed below and in the next slide
- Use a **built-in** priority queue in **Java Collections** to **order the next vertex  $u$  to be processed based on its  $D[u]$** 
  - This vertex information is stored as **IntegerPair  $(d, u)$  where  $d = D[u]$**  (the current shortest path estimate)
- But with modification: We use **“Lazy Data Structure”** strategy
  - **Main idea:** No need to maintain just one IntegerPair (shortest path estimate) for each vertex  $v$  in the PQ
  - Can have **multiple shortest path estimates to exist in the PQ for a vertex  $v$**

# Implementation of Modified Dijkstra's Algorithm (2)

Lazy DS: Extract pair **(d, u)** in **front of the priority queue PQ** with the minimum shortest path estimate *so far*

- if **d = D[u]**, we relax all edges out of **u**,  
else if **d > D[u]**, we discard this inferior **(d, u)** pair
  - Since there can be multiple copies of **(d, u)** pair we only want the most up to date copy
  - See below to understand how we get multiple copies !
- If during edge relaxation, **D[v]** of a neighbor **v** of **u** *decreases*,  
enqueue a new **(D[v], v)** pair for *future propagation* of shortest path estimate
  - No need to find the **v** in the **PQ** and update it!
  - Thus no need to implement **DecreaseKey** (which you don't have in Java PriorityQueue class) or need bBST implementation of PQ!

# Modified Dijkstra's Algorithm

```
initSSSP(s)
```

```
PQ.enqueue((0, s)) // store pair of (dist[u], u)
while PQ is not empty // order: increasing dist[u]
    (d, u) ← PQ.dequeue()
    if d == D[u] // to check that we are not processing outdated copies important check, lazy DS
        for each vertex v adjacent to u
            if D[v] > D[u] + w(u, v) // can relax
                D[v] = D[u] + w(u, v) // relax
                PQ.enqueue((D[v], v)) // (re)enqueue this
```

in worst case, vertex v will relax all of its neighbours all at once so the num of elements in pq will be E (V - 1)

$O(E \log E)$



# SSSP: Dijkstra's (Modified)

Ask VisuAlgo to perform Dijkstra's (Modified) algorithm from various sources on the sample Graph (CP4 4.16)

The screen shot below shows the *initial stage* of **Dijkstra(0)** (the modified algorithm)

en VISUALGO SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph

Random Graph

Example Graphs

Bellman Ford's

Dijkstra's Algorithm

BFS Algorithm

DFS Algorithm

Dynamic Programming

0 Original Modified

Use the modified Dijkstra algorithm

**ModifiedDijkstra(0)**

```

relax(0,3,7), #edge_processed = 3.
d[3] = d[0]+w(0,3) = 0+7 = 7, p[3] = 0, PQ = {(2,1), (6,2), (7,3)}.

show warning if the graph has -ve weight cycle
initSSSP, PQ.push((0,sourceVertex))
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue
    if the front pair is invalid, skip
    for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()
        relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + insert new pair to PQ
// ch4_05_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3

```

slow — fast

⏮ ⏪ ⏩ ⏭

About Team Terms of use

# Try!

Ask VisuAlgo to perform Dijkstra's (**modified**) algorithm from source = 0 on the sample Graph (CP4 4.20)

Do you get correct answer at vertex 4?

**VISUALGO SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS** Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph  
Random Graph  
Example Graphs  
Bellman Ford's  
Dijkstra's Algorithm  
BFS Algorithm  
DFS Algorithm  
Dynamic Programming

0 | Original | Modified

Use the modified Dijkstra algorithm

**ModifiedDijkstra(0)**

The current priority queue  $\{(0,0)\}$ .  
Exploring neighbors of vertex  $u = 0$ ,  $d[u] = 0$ .

```
show warning if the graph has -ve weight cycle
initSSSP, PQ.push((0,sourceVertex))
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue
    if the front pair is invalid, skip
    for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()
        relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + insert new pair to PQ
// ch4_05_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3
```

slow — fast

About Team Terms of use

# Modified Dijkstra's – Analysis

## for graphs with no negative weight edge

If there is **no-negative weight edge**, there will **never be another path that can decrease  $D[u]$  once  $u$  is dequeued from the PQ and processed (Original Dijkstra's proof)**

- Thus each vertex will still be dequeued from the PQ and processed once;
  - Even though a vertex  $v$  can have multiple copies in the PQ outdated copies are not processed due to the  $(d > D[v])$  check
- Each processed vertex can at most relax all its neighbors **thus making as many insertions into the PQ as there are neighbors**
- In total the number of insertions into the PQ is  **$O(E)$**  meaning the size of the PQ is at most  **$O(E)$**
- At the end, the PQ is empty so we have made  **$O(E)$**  insertions and **extractMin**, each taking at most  **$O(\log E)$**  time, thus total time is  **$O(E \log E)$** . This is the same as  **$O((V+E) \log V)$**  except when  **$E < O(V)$** , then  **$O(E \log E) < (O((V+E) \log V) = O(V \log V))$**

# Not an all-conquering algorithm...

## Check this

If there are negative weight edges without negative cycle, then there exist some (extreme) cases where the modified Dijkstra's re-process the same vertices several/many/crazy amount of times...

en VISUALGO

SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS

Exploration Mode ▾

Draw Graph

Random Graph

Example Graphs

Bellman Ford's

Dijkstra's Algorithm

BFS Algorithm

DFS Algorithm

Dynamic Programming

0

Original

Modified

Use the modified Dijkstra algorithm

### ModifiedDijkstra(0)

0 is the source vertex.  
Set  $p[v] = -1$ ,  $d[v] = \text{Inf}$ , but  $d[0] = 0$ ,  $PQ = \{(0,0)\}$ .

```

show warning if the graph has -ve weight cycle
initSSSP, PQ.push((0,sourceVertex))
while !PQ.empty() // PQ is a Priority Queue
    if the front pair is invalid, skip
    for each neighbor v of u = PQ.front()
        relax(u, v, w(u, v)) + insert new pair to PQ
// ch4_05_dijkstra.cpp/java, ch4, CP3

```

slow ————— fast

⏮ ⏪ ⏩ ⏭

About Team Terms of use

# About that Extreme Test Case

Such extreme cases that causes *exponential time complexity* are *rare* and thus in practice, the modified Dijkstra's implementation runs much faster than the Bellman Ford's algorithm 😊

- If you know your graph has only a few (or no) negative weight edge, this version is probably one of the best current implementation of Dijkstra's algorithm
- But, if you know for sure that your graph has a high probability of having a negative weight cycle, use the tighter (and also simpler)  $O(VE)$  Bellman Ford's algorithm as this modified Dijkstra's implementation can be trapped in an infinite loop

# Try Sample Graph, CP4 4.22!

Find the shortest paths from  $s = 0$  to the rest

- Which one **can terminate**?

The original or the modified Dijkstra's algorithm?

- Which one is **correct when it terminates**?

The original or the modified Dijkstra's algorithm?

en VISUALGO SINGLE-SOURCE SHORTEST PATHS Exploration Mode ▾

Graph visualization showing nodes 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. Node 0 is the source (0). Edges: 0 to 1 (99), 0 to 4 (-99), 1 to 2 (-15), 2 to 3 (10). All other nodes have distance 'Inf'.

Menu options: Draw Graph, Random Graph, Example Graphs, Bellman Ford's, Dijkstra's Algorithm, BFS Algorithm, DFS Algorithm, Dynamic Programming.

Algorithm selection: 0 Original Modified

slow fast

About Team Terms of use

# Summary of Various SSSP Algorithms

- General case: weighted graph
  - Use  $O(VE)$  Bellman Ford's algorithm (the previous lecture)
- Special case 1: Tree
  - Use  $O(V)$  BFS or DFS 😊
- Special case 2: unweighted graph
  - Use  $O(V+E)$  BFS 😊
- Special case 3: DAG
  - Use  $O(V+E)$  DFS to get the topological sort,  
then relax the vertices using this topological order
- Special case 4ab: graph has no negative weight/negative cycle
  - Use  $O((V+E) \log V)$  original/ $O(E \log E)$  modified Dijkstra's, respectively