1. Consider the Maybe class which is a simplification of Probably class: import java.util.function.Function; 1 2 3 class Maybe <T> { private static final Maybe <?> NULL = (Maybe <?>) new Maybe <>(); 4 5 private final T val; 6 7 private Maybe() { 9 this.val = null; 10 11 private Maybe(T val) { 12 this.val = val; 13 14 15 public static <T> Maybe <T> some(T val) { if (val == null) { 17 @SuppressWarnings("unchecked") 18 Maybe <T> res = (Maybe <T>) NULL; 19 return res; 20 } else { 21 return new Maybe <T>(val); 22 } } 23 24 25 public <R> Maybe<? extends R> flatMap(Function<? super T, ?</pre> extends Maybe <? extends R>> f) { 26 return f.apply(this.val); 27 28 29 @Override public String toString() { if (this.val == null) { 31 return "<>"; 32 33 } else { 34 return "<" + this.val + ">"; 35 } 36 } } 37 Further consider the following two methods foo and bar: 1 Optional < Integer > foo(Integer x) { 2 if (x == null) { 3 return null; } 4 return Optional.ofNullable(x\*2); 5 } 6 7 8 Maybe < Integer > bar(Integer x) { 9 if (x == null) { 10 return null; 11

12

13

return Maybe.some(x\*2);

- (a) Using these methods, test if Optional and Maybe obey the three Monad laws.
  - i. Left Identity Law

$$Monad.of(x).flatMap(y \rightarrow f(y))$$
 is equivalent to  $f(x)$ 

ii. Right Identity Law

```
monad.flatMap(y -> Monad.of(y)) is equivalent to monad
```

iii. Associative Law

- (b) Now test if Optional and Maybe obey the two Functor laws.
  - i. Preserving Identity

```
functor.map(x -> x) is equivalent to functor
```

ii. Preserving Composition

```
functor.map(x -> f(x)).map(x -> g(x))
    is equivalent to
functor.map(x -> g(f(x))
```

(c) What can we deduce about Optional and Monad from Question 1a and 1b?

2. Consider the following stream pipeline:

```
1
   Stream.of(1, 2, 3, 4)
     .reduce(0, (result, x) -> result * 2 + x);
```

- (a) What is the outcome of the stream pipeline above when run sequentially?
- (b) What happens if we parallelize the stream? Explain.
- 3. By now you should be familiar with the Fibonacci sequence where the first two terms are defined by  $f_1 = 1$  and  $f_2 = 1$ . We can generate each subsequent term as the sum of the previous two terms. In this question, we shall attempt to parallelize the generation of the sequence.

Suppose we are given the first k=4 values of the sequence  $f_1$  to  $f_4$  (i.e., 1, 1, 2, 3).

To generate the next k-1 values, we observe the following:

$$f_5$$
 =  $f_3 + f_4$  =  $f_3 + f_4$  =  $f_1 \times f_3 + f_2 \times f_4$  (1)  
 $f_6$  =  $f_4 + f_5$  =  $f_3 + 2f_4$  =  $f_2 \times f_3 + f_3 \times f_4$  (2)  
 $f_7$  =  $f_5 + f_6$  =  $2f_3 + 3f_4$  =  $f_3 \times f_3 + f_4 \times f_4$  (3)

$$f_6 = f_4 + f_5 = f_3 + 2f_4 = f_2 \times f_3 + f_3 \times f_4$$
 (2)

$$f_7 = f_5 + f_6 = 2f_3 + 3f_4 = f_3 \times f_3 + f_4 \times f_4$$
 (3)

Notice that generating each of the terms  $f_5$  to  $f_7$  only depends on the terms of the given sequence. In other words, to generate  $f_5$  to  $f_7$  we only need to know  $f_1$  to  $f_4$ . In particular, generating  $f_6$  is no longer dependent on  $f_5$ . This actually means that generating the terms can now be done in parallel! In addition, repeated application of the above results in an exponential growth of the Fibonacci sequence.

You are now given the following program fragment:

```
1
    static BigInteger findFibTerm(int n) {
2
      List < BigInteger > fibList = new ArrayList <>();
3
      fibList.add(BigInteger.ONE);
      fibList.add(BigInteger.ONE);
4
5
6
      while (fibList.size() < n) {</pre>
        generateFib(fibList);
8
9
     return fibList.get(n-1);
10
    }
```

- (a) Using Java parallel streams, write the generateFib method such that each method call takes in an initial sequence of k terms and fills it with an additional k-1 terms. The findFibTerm method calls generateFib repeatedly until the *n*-th term is generated and returned.
- (b) Find out the time it takes to complete the sequential and parallel generations of the Fibonacci sequence for n = 50000.