## Talip Can TERMEN

14.10.2025

İzmir Institute of Technology

#### Definition

Let  $f:\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$  be an arithmetical function. Then the series of the form

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$

are called Dirichlet series.

• We let s be a complex variable and write

$$s = \sigma + it$$
.

Then, we have  $|n^s| = n^{\sigma}$ .



The set of points  $s=\sigma+it$  such that  $\sigma\geq a$  is called a half-plane. We will show that for each Dirichlet series there is a half-plane  $\sigma>\sigma_c$  in which the series converges, and another half-plane  $\sigma>\sigma_a$  in which it converges absolutely.

The set of points  $s=\sigma+it$  such that  $\sigma\geq a$  is called a half-plane. We will show that for each Dirichlet series there is a half-plane  $\sigma>\sigma_c$  in which the series converges, and another half-plane  $\sigma>\sigma_a$  in which it converges absolutely.

**Observation.** If  $\sigma > a$ , we have

$$|n^s|=n^\sigma\geq n^a$$

. Hence

$$\left|\frac{f(n)}{n^s}\right| \leq \frac{|f(n)|}{n^a}.$$

Threfore if a Dirichlet series converges absolutely for s = a + ib, then it converges absolutely for all s with  $\sigma \ge a$ .



### Theorem1

Suppose the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)n^{-s}|$  does not converge for all s or diverge for all s. Then there exists a real number  $\sigma_a$ , called the abscissa of absolute convergence, such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely if  $\sigma > \sigma_a$  but does not converge absolutely if  $\sigma < \sigma_a$ .

#### Theorem1

Suppose the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)n^{-s}|$  does not converge for all s or diverge for all s. Then there exists a real number  $\sigma_a$ , called the abscissa of absolute convergence, such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely if  $\sigma > \sigma_a$  but does not converge absolutely if  $\sigma < \sigma_a$ .

Note. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)n^{-s}|$  converges everywhere, then  $\sigma_a = -\infty$ .  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n)n^{-s}|$  diverges everywhere, then  $\sigma_a = \infty$ .

Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for  $\sigma>1$  When s=1 the series diverges, so  $\sigma_{\rm a}=1$ 

- The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^n}{n^s}$  diverges for every s so  $\sigma_a = \infty$ .
- The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{-n}}{n^s}$  converges for every s so  $\sigma_a = -\infty$ .



• If f is bounded, say  $|f(n)| \le M$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ , so  $\sigma_a \le 1$ .

$$|\sum f(n)n^{-s}| \leq M \sum \frac{1}{n^s}$$

• If f is bounded, say  $|f(n)| \le M$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , then  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ , so  $\sigma_a \le 1$ .

$$|\sum f(n)n^{-s}| \leq M \sum \frac{1}{n^s}$$

In particular , if  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character, the  $\emph{L}$ -series

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ .



#### Lemma1

If  $N \geq 1$  and  $\sigma \geq c \geq \sigma_a$ , we have

$$\Big|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s}\Big| \leq N^{-(\sigma-c)}\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |f(n)|n^{-c}.$$

Assume that  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ , and let F(s) denote the sum function

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$
 for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ .

#### Theorem2

We have

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}F(\sigma+it)=f(1)$$

uniformly for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ .

Assume that  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ , and let F(s) denote the sum function

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$
 for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ .

#### Theorem2

We have

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}F(\sigma+it)=f(1)$$

uniformly for  $-\infty < t < \infty$ .

- $\zeta(\sigma + it) \to 1$  as  $\sigma \to \infty$
- $L(\sigma + it, \chi) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$



## Uniqueness Theorem

#### Theorem3

Given two Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$
 and  $G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}$ 

both absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ . If F(s) = G(s) for each s in an infinite sequence  $\{s_k\}$  such that

$$\sigma_k \to \infty$$
 as  $k \to \infty$ 

then

$$f(n) = g(n)$$

for every n.



#### Theorem4

Let  $F(s) = \sum f(n)n^{-s}$  and assume  $F(s) \neq 0$  for some s with  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ . Then there is a half-plane  $\sigma > c > \sigma_a$  in which F(s) is never zero.

#### Theorem5

Given two functions F(s) and G(s) represented by Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$
 and  $G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}$ 

for  $\sigma > a$ 

for  $\sigma > b$ .

Then in the half-plane where both series converges absolutely, we have

$$F(s)G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s},$$

where h=f\*g, the Dirichlet convolution of f and g. Conversely, if  $F(s)G(s)=\sum \alpha(n)n^{-s}$  for all s in a sequence  $\{s_k\}$  with  $\sigma_k\to\infty$  as  $k\to\infty$ , then

$$\alpha = f * g$$
.



• Both series  $\sum n^{-s}$  and  $\sum \mu(n)n^{-s}$  converge absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ . Taking f(n) = 1 and  $g(n) = \mu(n)$ , we find

$$h(n) = 1 * \mu(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n} \right\rfloor = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1, n = 1 \\ 0, n \neq 1 \end{array} \right.$$

SO

$$\zeta(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) n^{-s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 * \mu(n)}{n^s} = 1$$

In particular, this shows that  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  for  $\sigma > 1$ .

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$



• More generally, assume  $f(1) \neq 0$  and let  $g = f^{-1}$ , the Dirichlet inverse of f. Then in any half-plane where both series F(s) and G(s) converge absolutely, we have

$$F(s) \neq 0$$
 and  $G(s) = \frac{1}{F(s)}$ .

$$F(s)G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(f * g)(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I(n)}{n^s} = 1,$$

where

$$f * f^{-1}(n) = I(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{n} \right\rfloor.$$



• Assume  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ . If f is completely multiplicative, we have  $f^{-1}(n) = \mu(n) f(n)$ . Since

$$|f^{-1}(n)| = |\mu(n)||f(n)| \le |f(n)|$$

the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(n) n^{-s}$  also converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$  and we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f^{-1}(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{F(s)} \text{ if } \sigma > \sigma_a.$$

In particular, for every Dirichlet character  $\chi$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{L(s,\chi)}.$$



• Take f(n) = u(n) = 1, and  $g(n) = \varphi(n)$ , Euler's totient. Since  $\varphi(n) \le n$ , the series  $\sum \varphi(n) n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 2$ . Also,

$$h(n) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$$

Then

$$\zeta(s)\sum \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s} = \sum \frac{1*\varphi(n)}{n^s} = \sum \frac{n}{n^s} = \zeta(s-1) \text{ if } \sigma > 2.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} \text{ if } \sigma > 2.$$



• Take (f) = 1 and  $g(n) = n^{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\alpha}}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}(n)}{n^s}$$

if  $\sigma > \max\{1, 1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)\}$ .

• Take (f) = 1 and  $g(n) = n^{\alpha}$ . Then

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\alpha}}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}(n)}{n^s}$$

if  $\sigma > \max\{1, 1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)\}$ .

• Take (f)=1 and  $g(n)=\lambda(n)$ , Lioville's function. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$

## Euler Product

#### Theorem 6

Let f be a multiplicative arithmetical function such that the series  $\sum f(n)$  is absolutely convergent. Then the sum of the series can be expressed as an absolutely convergent infinite procut,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = \prod_{p} \{1 + f(p) + f(p^{2}) + ...\}$$

extended over all primes.

Note that if f is completely multiplicative, the product simplifies and we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} = \prod_{p} = \frac{1}{1 - f(p)}.$$



## Euler Product

#### Theorem7

Assume  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges absolutely for  $\sigma > \sigma_a$ . If f is multiplicative, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s} = \prod_{p} \{1 + \frac{(p)}{p^{s}} + \frac{f(p^{2})}{p^{2s}} + ...\} \text{ if } \sigma > \sigma_{a}.$$

and if f is completely multiplicative, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)n^{-s} = \prod_{p} \frac{p^{s}}{p^{s} - f(p)}.$$

Taking  $f(n) = 1, \mu(n), \varphi(n), \sigma_{\alpha}(n), \lambda(n), \chi(n)$ , respectively, we obtain the following Euler products:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} (1 - p^{-s}) \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$

$$\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{1-s}} \text{ if } \sigma > 2.$$



$$\zeta(s)\zeta(s-\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}(n)}{n^{s}} = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{(1-p^{1-s})(1-p^{\alpha-s})}$$

if  $\sigma > \max\{1, 1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)\}$ .

$$\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1+p^{-s}} \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} \text{ if } \sigma > 1.$$



### Euler Product

If  $\chi=\chi_1$ , the principal character mod k, then  $\chi_1(p)=0$ , if p|k, and  $\chi_1(p)=1$  if  $p\nmid k$ . So the Euler product for  $L(s,\chi)$  becomes

$$L(s,\chi_1) = \prod_{p \nmid k} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \prod_{p \nmid k} (1 - p^{-s}) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid k} (1 - p^{-s}).$$

#### Lemma2

Let  $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$  and assume that the Dirichlet series  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  has bounded partial sums, say

$$\Big|\sum_{n\leq x}f(n)n^{-s}\Big|\leq M$$

for all  $x \ge 1$ . Then for each s with  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , we have

$$\Big|\sum_{a \le n \le h} f(n)n^{-s}\Big| \le 2Ma^{\sigma_0 - \sigma}\Big(1 - \frac{|s - s_0|}{\sigma - \sigma_0}\Big)$$

• If the partial sums  $\sum_{n\leq x} f(n)$  are bounded, Lemma2 implies that  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges for  $\sigma>0$ . In fact, if we take  $s_0=\sigma_0=0$ , we obtain for  $\sigma>0$ 

$$\Big|\sum_{a< n\leq b} f(n)n^{-s}\Big| \leq Ka^{-\sigma}$$

where K is independent of a. Letting  $a \to \infty$  we find that  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges if  $\sigma > 0$ . In particular, this shows that the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^s}$$

converges for  $\sigma > 0$  since

$$\Big|\sum_{n\leq x}(-1)^n\Big|\leq 1.$$



ullet Similarly, if  $\chi$  is any non-principal Dirichlet character  $\mathrm{mod} k$ , we have

$$\Big|\sum_{n\leq x}\chi(n)\Big|\leq \varphi(k)$$

so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

converges for  $\sigma > 0$ .

#### Theorem8

If the series  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  converges for  $s=\sigma_0+it_0$ , then it also converges for all s with  $\sigma>\sigma_0$ . If it diverges for  $s=\sigma_0+it_0$ , then it diverges for all s with  $\sigma<\sigma_0$ .

#### Theorem9

If the series  $\sum f(n)n^{-s}$  does not converge everywhere or diverge everywhere, then there exists a real number  $\sigma_c$ , called the abscissa of convergence, such that the series converges for all s in the half-plane  $\sigma > \sigma_c$  and diverges for all s in the half-plane  $\sigma < \sigma_c$ .

**Note.** If the series converges everywhere we define  $\sigma_c = -\infty$ , and if it converges nowhere we define  $\sigma_c = \infty$ .

Since absolute convergence implies convergence, we always have  $\sigma_a \geq \sigma_c$ . If  $\sigma_a > \sigma_c$  there is an infinite strip

$$\sigma_{\rm c} < \sigma < \sigma_{\rm a}$$

in which the series converges conditionally.

### Theorem 10

For any Dirichlet series with  $\sigma_c$  finite, we have

$$0 \le \sigma_a - \sigma_c \le 1$$
.

The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^s}$$

converges if  $\sigma > 0$ , but the convergence is absolute only if  $\sigma > 1$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_c = 0$  and  $\sigma_a = 1$ .

## References

 Apostol, T.M., Introduction to analytic number theory. Springer, 1976.