

Experiment 5

Student Name: Hiten Mehta

Branch: B.E./C.S.E.

Semester: 5th

Subject Name: ADBMS Subject Code: 23CSP-333

UID: 23BCS14058

Section/Group: KRG_1-B

Question: Normal View vs. Materialized View

1. Create a large dataset:

- Create a table names transaction data (id, value) with 1 million records.
- take id 1 and 2, and for each id, generate 1 million records in value column
- Use Generate series () and random() to populate the data.
- 2. Create a normal view and materialized view to for sales_summary, which includes total_quantity_sold, total_sales, and total_orders with aggregation
- 3. Compare the performance and execution time of both.

Solution:

```
CREATE TABLE transaction_data (
   id INT,
   value NUMERIC
);
```

Insert 1 million records for id = 1

INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value) SELECT 1, (random() * 100)::numeric FROM generate series(1, 1000000);

Insert 1 million records for id = 2

INSERT INTO transaction data (id, value)



SELECT 2, (random() * 100)::numeric FROM generate series(1, 1000000);

WITH NORMAL VIEW

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW sales_summary_view AS SELECT

id.

COUNT(*) AS total orders,

SUM(value) AS total sales,

AVG(value) AS avg transaction

FROM transaction_data

GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT * FROM sales_summary_view;

Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=25226.29..25279.46 rows=200 width=76) (actual time=364.318..375.012 rows=2 loops=1) Group Key: transaction_data.id -> Gather Merge (cost=25226.29..25272.96 rows=400 width=44) (actual time=364.304..374.995 rows=6 loops=1) Workers Planned: 2 Workers Launched: 2 -> Sort (cost=24226.26..24226.76 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.350..289.351 rows=2 loops=3) Sort Key: transaction data.id Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB Worker 0: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB Worker 1: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB -> Partial HashAggregate (cost=24216.12..24218.62 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.302..289.304 rows=2 loops=3) Group Key: transaction_data.id Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB Worker 0: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB Worker 1: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB -> Parallel Seq Scan on transaction_data (cost=0.00..19226.21 rows=665321 width=36) (actual time=0.023..80.878 rows=66... Planning Time: 0.276 ms Execution Time: 375.102 ms



WITH MATERIALIZED VIEW

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW sales_summary_mv AS
SELECT
id,
COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
SUM(value) AS total_sales,
AVG(value) AS avg_transaction

FROM transaction_data GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT * FROM sales_summary_mv;

Seg Scan on sales_summary_mv (cost=0.00..17.80 rows=780 width=76) (actual time=0.014..0.016 rows=2 loops=...

Planning Time: 0.858 ms

Execution Time: 0.031 ms

Hard Level Problem

Question : Securing Data Access with Views and Role-Based Permissions

The company TechMart Solutions stores all sales transactions in a central database. A new reporting team has been formed to analyze sales but they should not have direct access to the base tables for security reasons.

The database administrator has decided to:

- 1. Create restricted views to display only summarized, non-sensitive data.
- 2. Assign access to these views to specific users using DCL commands (GRANT, REVOKE).



Solution:

```
CREATE VIEW vW ORDER SUMMARY AS
SELECT
 O.order id,
 O.order date,
 P.product name,
 C.full name,
 (P.unit price * O.quantity) - ((P.unit price * O.quantity) * O.discount percent / 100)
AS final cost
FROM customer master AS C
JOIN sales orders AS O
 ON O.customer id = C.customer id
JOIN product catalog AS P
 ON P.product id = O.product id;
SELECT * FROM vW ORDER SUMMARY;
CREATE ROLE CLIENT USER
LOGIN
PASSWORD 'client password';
GRANT SELECT ON vW ORDER SUMMARY TO CLIENT USER;
REVOKE SELECT ON vW ORDER SUMMARY FROM CLIENT USER;
```