Lecture 9: Lasso Estimator

Isabella Zhu

6 March 2025

§1 Summary

What we have so far:

- $MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}_{B_0(s)}) \lesssim \frac{\sigma^2 s}{n} \log\left(\frac{ed}{s}\right)$ if $\theta^* \in B_0(s)$.
- $MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}_{B_1(s)}) \lesssim \sigma \sqrt{\log d/n} \text{ if } \theta^* \in B_1.$
- Adapt to s using hard threshold, $\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}/n = I_d$, $MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}^{HARD}) \lesssim \frac{\sigma^2 s}{n} \log d$.

§2 The Lasso Estimator

We are continuing under the linear regression context. We are now assuming

$$\theta^* \in B_0(s) \text{ or } |\theta^*|_1 \leq R$$

for some R.

Definition 2.1. Fix $\tau > 0$. The Lasso estimator $\hat{\theta}^L$ is defined as

$$\hat{\theta}^L \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} |Y - \mathbb{X}\theta|_2^2 + 2\tau |\theta|_1$$

Claim 2.2 — If
$$\frac{\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X}}{n} = I_D$$
, then $\hat{\theta}^L = \hat{\theta}^{SOFT}$.

§2.1 Slow Rate for Lasso

Definition 2.3. Slow rate refers to $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. Fast rate refers to $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.

Theorem 2.4

Assume $|X_j|_2 \le n$ and $2\tau = 2\sigma\sqrt{\frac{2\log(2d)}{n}} + 2\sigma\sqrt{2\log(1/\delta)/n}$. Then, MSE of Lasso estimator is

$$MSE(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}^L) \le 4\tau |\theta^*|_1 \lesssim \sigma |\theta^*|_1 \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{n}}$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Remark 2.5. We use L1 norm instead of L2 norm because L1 encourages more sparsity.

Proof. By definition of $\hat{\theta}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n}|Y - \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}|_{2}^{2} + 2\tau|\hat{\theta}|_{1} \le \frac{1}{n}|Y - \mathbb{X}\theta^{*}|_{2}^{2} + 2\tau|\theta^{*}|_{1}$$

Like we've done five hundred other times already, we can rearrange

$$\frac{1}{n}|\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 \leq \frac{2}{n}\langle\epsilon, \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*\rangle + 2\tau|\theta^*|_1 - 2\tau|\hat{\theta}|_1 = \frac{2}{n}\langle\mathbb{X}^T\epsilon, \hat{\theta} - \theta^*\rangle + 2\tau|\theta^*|_1 - 2\tau|\hat{\theta}|_1$$

By Holder, we can bound

$$2\langle \mathbb{X}^T \epsilon, \hat{\theta} - \theta^* \rangle \leq 2|\mathbb{X}^T \epsilon|_{\infty}|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1$$

Note that $|\mathbb{X}^T \epsilon|_{\infty} = \max |\mathbb{X}_j^T \epsilon|$ so $\mathbb{X}_j^T \epsilon$ is subgaussian with variance proxy $\sigma^2 n$. Therefore, with high probability,

$$2|\mathbb{X}^T \epsilon|_{\infty}|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 \le 2 \cdot n\tau|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\frac{1}{n} |\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X} \star|_2^2 \leq 2\tau |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 + 2\tau |\theta^*|_1 - 2\tau |\hat{\theta}|_1 \leq 2\tau |\theta^*|_1 + 2\tau |\theta^*|_1 = 4\tau |\theta^*|_1$$

as desired.

Remark 2.6. This only requires $|X_j|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}$ as opposed to $\frac{\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X}}{n} = I_d$, so this works for n much less than d. $\hat{\theta}^L$ is similar to $\hat{\theta}^{HARD}$, adaptive to s but still requires σ and δ .

§2.2 Fast Rate for Lasso

We need $\frac{\mathbb{X}^T\mathbb{X}}{n} \approx I_d$.

Definition 2.7. Incoherence. The design matrix X satisfies incoherence (INC(k)) with parameter k if

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} - I_d \right|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{32k}.$$

This translates to the following conditions on our X_i s:

$$\left| \frac{|\mathbb{X}_j|_2^2}{n} - 1 \right| \le \frac{1}{32k}, \ \left| \frac{|\mathbb{X}_i^T \mathbb{X}_j|_2^2}{n} - 0 \right| \le \frac{1}{32k}$$

Lemma 2.8

Fix $k \leq d$ and assume INC(k). Then for $S \subset \{1, \dots d\}, |S| \leq k$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, s.t.

$$|(\theta_{S^c})|_1 \le 3|\theta_S|_1$$
, (cone condition)

then it holds

$$|\theta|_2^2 \le \frac{2|\mathbb{X}\theta|_2^2}{n}$$
 (restricted eigenvalue condition)

We have

$$(\theta_S)_j = \begin{cases} \theta_j & \text{if } j \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 S^c denotes the complement of S.

We have

$$\frac{|\mathbb{X}\theta|_2^2}{n} = \frac{|\mathbb{X}\theta_S|_2^2}{n} + \frac{|\mathbb{X}\theta_{S^c}|_2^2}{n} + 2\theta_S^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \theta_{S^c}$$

We deal with each term separately.

$$\theta_S^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \theta_S = |\theta_S|_2^2 + \theta_S^T \left(\frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} - I_d \right) \theta_S$$

By Holder, we know

$$\theta_S^T \left(\frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} - I_d \right) \theta_S \ge -|\theta_S|_1^2 \left| \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} - I_d \right|_{\infty} \ge -\frac{|\theta_S|_1^2}{32k}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\theta_S^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \theta_S \ge |\theta_S|_2^2 - \frac{|\theta_S|_1^2}{32k}$$

We can do a similar thing for $\frac{\|\mathbb{X}\theta_{S^c}\|_2^2}{n}$, combined with the cone condition, which gives

$$\frac{|\mathbb{X}\theta_{S^c}|_2^2}{n} \ge |\theta_{S^c}|_2^2 - \frac{9|\theta_S|_1^2}{32k}$$

Finally, for the third term,

$$2\left|\theta_S^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \theta_{S^C}\right| \le 2|\theta_S^T \theta_{S^C}| + \frac{2}{32k} |\theta_S|_1 \cdot |\theta_{S^C}|_1 \le \frac{6}{32k} |\theta_S|_1^2$$

as θ_S and θ_{SC} are orthogonal.

We need to convert L1 to L2 using Cauchy Schwarz. For first term:

$$\theta_S^T \frac{\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X}}{n} \theta_S \ge |\theta_S|_2^2 - \frac{|\theta_S|_1^2}{32k} \ge |\theta_S|_2^2 - \frac{|S| \cdot |\theta_S|_2^2}{32k}$$

and similarly for the rest. Using the fact that $|S| \leq k$, we collect terms to get

$$\frac{|\mathbb{X}\theta|_2^2}{2} \ge |\theta_S|_2^2 + |\theta_{S^C}|_2^2 - (\frac{1}{32} + \frac{9}{32} + \frac{6}{32})|\theta_S|_2^2 = |\theta|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\theta_S|_2^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}|\theta|_2^2.$$

Theorem 2.9

Assume INC(k) with k equal to the sparsity of θ^* (i.e. $k = |\theta^*|_0$). Fix

$$2\tau = 8\sigma\sqrt{\log(2d)/n} + 8\sigma\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n}.$$

Then, the MSE of the lasso estimator is at most

$$\mathrm{MSE}(\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta}^L) \leq 32k\tau^2 \lesssim \frac{\sigma^2 |\theta^*|_0}{n} \log(d/\delta)$$

Moreover,

$$|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_2^2 \le 2MSE(X\hat{\theta}^L)$$

all happening with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Proof. For the five hundred millionth time, we start with the good ole basic inequality

$$|\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 \le 2\langle \epsilon, \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^* \rangle + 2n\tau|\theta^*|_1 - 2n\tau|\hat{\theta}|_1$$

We bound

$$2\langle \epsilon, \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^* \rangle \le 2|\mathbb{X}^T \epsilon|_{\infty} \cdot |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_{1}$$

We bound the highest column norm of X. We have

$$|\mathbb{X}_j|_2^2 = (\mathbb{X}^T \mathbb{X})_{jj} \le n + \frac{n}{32k} \le 2n$$

by the incoherence property. Therefore, we get

$$2\langle \epsilon, \mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^* \rangle \leq 2|\mathbb{X}^T \epsilon|_{\infty} \cdot |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 \leq 2 \cdot 2n \cdot \frac{\tau}{4} \cdot |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 = n\tau|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1$$

To summarize, we've proved so far that

$$|\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 \le n\tau |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 + 2n\tau |\theta^*|_1 - 2n\tau |\hat{\theta}|_1$$

We add $n\tau |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1$ on both sides.

$$|\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 + n\tau|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 \le 2n\tau|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 + 2n\tau|\theta^*|_1 - 2n\tau|\hat{\theta}|_1$$

Now we take the support S into account. We have

$$|\hat{\theta}|_1 = |\hat{\theta}_S|_1 + |\hat{\theta}_{S^c}|_1 \implies |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 - |\hat{\theta}|_1 = |\hat{\theta}_S - \theta^*|_1 - |\hat{\theta}_S|_1.$$

Putting it together,

$$|\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 + |\mathbb{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{X}\theta^*|_2^2 \le 2n\tau \left[|\hat{\theta}_S - \theta^*|_1 + |\theta^*|_1 - |\hat{\theta}|_S \right] \le 4n\tau |\hat{\theta}_S - \theta^*|_1$$

We have that

$$|\hat{\theta} - \theta^*|_1 \le 4|\hat{\theta}_S - \theta^*|_1 \leftrightarrow |\hat{\theta}_{S^c} - \theta^*_{S^c}| \le 3|\hat{\theta}_S - \theta^*_S|$$

which is exactly the cone condition! We'll wrap this up next lecture.