

Single-sample versus case-control sampling schemes for Positive Unlabeled data: the story of two scenarios – supplementary material

Jan Mielniczuk^C

Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science

Warsaw University of Technology

Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

and

Institute of Computer Science

Polish Academy of Sciences

Jana Kazimierza 5, 01-248 Warsaw, Poland

jan.mielniczuk@ipipan.waw.pl

Adam Wawrzęńczyk

Institute of Computer Science

Polish Academy of Sciences

Jana Kazimierza 5, 01-248 Warsaw, Poland

adam.wawrzenczyk@ipipan.waw.pl

1. Extended result tables

In this section we present additional result tables not presented in the paper (F1 score, precision and recall for both single-sample and case-control scenario) in tables 1 through 6.

^CCorresponding author

Table 1. Test F1 score, single-sample datasets. „ Δ ” indicates F1 score difference between scenario-appropriate $nnPU_{ss}$ method and ill-specified $nnPU_{cc}$ method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	FashionMNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	$nnPU_{cc}$	66.24	94.19	92.30	79.91	81.71	97.14	95.28	74.36	68.70
	$nnPU_{ss}$	61.48	93.20	92.80	76.76	76.04	94.99	93.71	65.67	67.93
	Δ	-4.76	-0.99	0.50	-3.15	-5.67	-2.15	-1.56	-8.70	-0.77
0.3	$nnPU_{cc}$	84.22	93.75	94.13	96.37	90.34	96.79	95.51	93.21	77.40
	$nnPU_{ss}$	82.71	94.97	94.95	92.60	85.15	96.96	96.80	81.24	77.20
	Δ	-1.51	1.22	0.82	-3.77	-5.19	0.17	1.29	-11.97	-0.20
0.5	$nnPU_{cc}$	87.24	90.58	93.96	98.21	90.00	95.12	93.05	97.09	79.54
	$nnPU_{ss}$	87.65	95.96	95.41	97.18	90.01	97.98	98.26	88.85	81.74
	Δ	0.41	5.38	1.44	-1.02	0.01	2.86	5.21	-8.24	2.20
0.7	$nnPU_{cc}$	88.47	85.83	92.17	98.32	86.25	85.51	87.48	96.25	79.84
	$nnPU_{ss}$	91.31	97.10	95.84	99.01	92.65	99.11	99.00	93.77	84.47
	Δ	2.84	11.27	3.67	0.69	6.39	13.60	11.52	-2.48	4.63
0.9	$nnPU_{cc}$	88.01	82.93	89.24	98.00	82.69	76.08	75.94	94.73	79.92
	$nnPU_{ss}$	93.49	97.99	96.57	99.71	94.83	99.44	99.21	98.35	86.89
	Δ	5.48	15.06	7.33	1.70	12.15	23.36	23.27	3.62	6.96

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	$nnPU_{cc}$	82.43	67.12	74.80	69.65	82.96	0.00	73.01	1.18	20.45
	$nnPU_{ss}$	82.07	66.57	73.97	68.17	81.44	0.00	71.70	2.35	28.29
	Δ	-0.36	-0.54	-0.83	-1.48	-1.52	0.00	-1.31	1.18	7.84
0.3	$nnPU_{cc}$	84.39	70.78	78.62	75.52	85.70	29.84	77.50	11.54	85.11
	$nnPU_{ss}$	84.08	70.08	76.88	73.94	83.88	30.87	74.22	27.46	80.71
	Δ	-0.31	-0.70	-1.74	-1.58	-1.82	1.03	-3.28	15.92	-4.40
0.5	$nnPU_{cc}$	84.37	70.99	80.48	78.44	85.64	42.47	79.36	29.54	91.42
	$nnPU_{ss}$	85.33	70.94	79.15	76.08	85.48	41.00	75.18	48.05	88.58
	Δ	0.95	-0.05	-1.34	-2.36	-0.16	-1.47	-4.18	18.51	-2.84
0.7	$nnPU_{cc}$	82.93	71.02	80.58	79.47	83.94	47.28	79.57	52.70	93.89
	$nnPU_{ss}$	86.30	70.64	80.33	78.65	86.46	45.30	77.07	60.37	92.74
	Δ	3.38	-0.38	-0.25	-0.81	2.51	-1.98	-2.50	7.67	-1.15
0.9	$nnPU_{cc}$	80.82	70.81	79.23	79.11	81.19	49.51	78.55	59.71	94.85
	$nnPU_{ss}$	86.37	70.05	81.14	80.29	87.62	48.39	78.77	65.19	93.67
	Δ	5.55	-0.76	1.91	1.18	6.43	-1.11	0.22	5.48	-1.18

Table 2. Test precision, single-sample datasets. „ Δ ” indicates precision difference between scenario-appropriate $nnPU_{ss}$ method and ill-specified $nnPU_{cc}$ method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	FashionMNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	$nnPU_{cc}$	82.98	90.11	90.88	89.28	92.74	97.62	94.47	99.59	70.35
	$nnPU_{ss}$	77.50	89.90	91.21	88.30	91.31	97.83	95.15	99.72	71.62
	Δ	-5.48	-0.20	0.33	-0.98	-1.44	0.20	0.68	0.13	1.27
0.3	$nnPU_{cc}$	86.94	88.41	91.84	97.43	89.56	93.79	91.53	99.53	74.45
	$nnPU_{ss}$	86.16	92.51	93.00	97.47	91.33	97.24	97.27	99.78	77.30
	Δ	-0.77	4.09	1.17	0.04	1.78	3.45	5.74	0.26	2.85
0.5	$nnPU_{cc}$	83.14	82.81	91.72	97.77	83.92	90.71	87.04	97.68	73.93
	$nnPU_{ss}$	87.08	93.76	93.86	99.36	92.65	98.02	98.26	99.98	81.20
	Δ	3.93	10.95	2.14	1.59	8.73	7.31	11.22	2.30	7.27
0.7	$nnPU_{cc}$	81.97	75.18	91.03	97.42	76.65	74.76	77.80	93.87	72.64
	$nnPU_{ss}$	90.26	95.41	94.80	99.80	93.90	98.91	98.79	99.99	84.15
	Δ	8.29	20.23	3.76	2.38	17.25	24.14	20.99	6.13	11.51
0.9	$nnPU_{cc}$	81.71	70.85	90.14	96.64	70.86	61.39	61.23	90.34	71.83
	$nnPU_{ss}$	93.23	97.00	96.41	99.96	95.34	99.07	98.84	100.00	87.84
	Δ	11.52	26.14	6.27	3.32	24.48	37.68	37.62	9.66	16.01

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	$nnPU_{cc}$	79.48	62.82	75.93	69.46	79.78	0.00	73.84	10.00	80.00
	$nnPU_{ss}$	80.85	63.22	76.93	69.72	79.86	0.00	74.69	20.00	99.77
	Δ	1.37	0.41	1.01	0.26	0.08	0.00	0.86	10.00	19.77
0.3	$nnPU_{cc}$	78.83	63.23	77.92	71.86	79.58	57.14	75.85	48.00	95.50
	$nnPU_{ss}$	84.06	65.97	81.01	75.10	82.08	55.47	78.96	55.04	92.94
	Δ	5.22	2.74	3.09	3.24	2.49	-1.67	3.12	7.04	-2.56
0.5	$nnPU_{cc}$	76.24	59.74	76.95	71.77	77.53	52.24	74.72	67.50	94.70
	$nnPU_{ss}$	85.29	62.80	81.15	76.70	83.88	52.74	80.96	70.25	92.48
	Δ	9.05	3.06	4.20	4.93	6.35	0.50	6.25	2.75	-2.22
0.7	$nnPU_{cc}$	72.68	57.87	73.63	70.48	73.84	50.32	71.89	80.16	96.70
	$nnPU_{ss}$	86.10	59.65	81.76	77.79	84.96	53.35	81.58	76.51	93.85
	Δ	13.42	1.78	8.13	7.31	11.12	3.03	9.69	-3.66	-2.85
0.9	$nnPU_{cc}$	68.84	57.12	69.57	67.99	69.14	49.43	68.30	81.64	98.23
	$nnPU_{ss}$	86.20	56.81	81.42	78.09	86.02	53.92	81.01	80.15	92.39
	Δ	17.36	-0.31	11.84	10.10	16.88	4.49	12.71	-1.49	-5.84

Table 3. Test recall, single-sample datasets. „ Δ ” indicates precision recall between scenario-appropriate nnPU_{ss} method and ill-specified nnPU_{cc} method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	FashionMNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	nnPU_{cc}	55.95	98.66	94.04	72.75	73.05	96.68	96.10	59.53	67.40
	nnPU_{ss}	51.67	96.76	94.62	68.51	65.20	92.33	92.33	49.28	64.81
	Δ	-4.29	-1.90	0.58	-4.24	-7.85	-4.34	-3.78	-10.25	-2.60
0.3	nnPU_{cc}	81.90	99.76	96.59	95.37	91.15	99.98	99.85	87.65	80.95
	nnPU_{ss}	79.76	97.57	97.01	88.24	79.77	96.68	96.33	68.59	77.22
	Δ	-2.14	-2.20	0.41	-7.14	-11.38	-3.30	-3.52	-19.06	-3.73
0.5	nnPU_{cc}	92.14	99.98	96.37	98.67	97.05	100.00	99.96	96.50	86.32
	nnPU_{ss}	88.57	98.28	97.01	95.14	87.52	97.95	98.26	79.97	82.39
	Δ	-3.57	-1.70	0.63	-3.53	-9.53	-2.05	-1.70	-16.53	-3.93
0.7	nnPU_{cc}	96.43	99.99	93.55	99.25	98.64	100.00	99.99	98.77	88.87
	nnPU_{ss}	92.62	98.85	96.91	98.24	91.44	99.31	99.21	88.28	84.83
	Δ	-3.81	-1.15	3.36	-1.02	-7.20	-0.69	-0.79	-10.49	-4.04
0.9	nnPU_{cc}	95.71	100.00	89.12	99.45	99.27	100.00	99.99	99.59	90.39
	nnPU_{ss}	93.81	99.01	96.74	99.45	94.33	99.81	99.57	96.76	85.98
	Δ	-1.90	-0.99	7.62	0.00	-4.94	-0.19	-0.41	-2.83	-4.42

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	nnPU_{cc}	85.77	72.17	73.73	69.90	86.41	0.00	72.20	0.62	12.46
	nnPU_{ss}	83.49	70.44	71.25	66.76	83.09	0.00	68.94	1.25	17.85
	Δ	-2.29	-1.74	-2.48	-3.14	-3.32	0.00	-3.26	0.62	5.40
0.3	nnPU_{cc}	90.86	80.52	79.37	79.66	92.84	20.29	79.23	6.88	76.89
	nnPU_{ss}	84.18	74.99	73.17	72.94	85.77	21.43	70.02	18.75	71.38
	Δ	-6.68	-5.54	-6.20	-6.72	-7.08	1.15	-9.22	11.88	-5.51
0.5	nnPU_{cc}	94.57	87.65	84.36	86.53	95.64	35.82	84.63	19.38	88.45
	nnPU_{ss}	85.47	81.93	77.25	75.67	87.15	33.66	70.18	37.50	85.20
	Δ	-9.10	-5.72	-7.11	-10.86	-8.50	-2.16	-14.46	18.13	-3.25
0.7	nnPU_{cc}	96.62	92.10	89.00	91.11	97.25	44.67	89.08	40.00	91.31
	nnPU_{ss}	86.62	87.02	78.98	79.65	88.01	39.50	73.03	50.62	91.72
	Δ	-10.00	-5.08	-10.03	-11.46	-9.24	-5.17	-16.05	10.62	0.41
0.9	nnPU_{cc}	97.89	93.27	92.00	94.60	98.34	49.67	92.42	48.12	91.71
	nnPU_{ss}	86.60	91.64	80.92	82.70	89.30	44.06	76.66	55.62	95.07
	Δ	-11.29	-1.62	-11.07	-11.90	-9.04	-5.61	-15.76	7.50	3.37

Table 4. Test F1 score, case-control datasets. „ Δ ” indicates F1 score difference between scenario-appropriate $nnPU_{cc}$ method and ill-specified $nnPU_{ss}$ method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	Fashion MNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	$nnPU_{ss}$	71.14	94.59	92.89	79.05	75.37	94.77	93.64	68.69	69.33
	$nnPU_{cc}$	71.76	94.99	92.33	81.47	81.77	97.06	95.76	76.14	69.63
	Δ	0.62	0.41	-0.57	2.41	6.40	2.29	2.12	7.45	0.30
0.3	$nnPU_{ss}$	80.53	95.86	95.44	90.48	82.73	94.67	94.25	76.35	78.76
	$nnPU_{cc}$	80.47	96.76	94.89	95.79	90.94	98.71	97.95	90.09	80.64
	Δ	-0.06	0.90	-0.55	5.31	8.21	4.03	3.70	13.73	1.87
0.5	$nnPU_{ss}$	84.72	92.34	95.35	93.16	79.46	88.21	86.20	82.06	73.04
	$nnPU_{cc}$	84.72	97.87	95.81	97.51	94.07	99.34	98.95	94.87	84.74
	Δ	0.00	5.53	0.46	4.35	14.61	11.13	12.75	12.81	11.70
0.7	$nnPU_{ss}$	82.66	87.82	88.92	89.77	75.12	87.76	89.83	80.44	72.22
	$nnPU_{cc}$	86.09	98.66	94.28	96.85	96.01	99.59	99.38	96.99	84.29
	Δ	3.42	10.84	5.36	7.08	20.89	11.83	9.55	16.56	12.07
0.9	$nnPU_{ss}$	47.27	94.06	91.77	27.68	12.50	92.43	92.08	18.05	55.93
	$nnPU_{cc}$	69.56	99.32	87.59	81.60	97.23	99.77	99.59	94.50	77.50
	Δ	22.29	5.26	-4.18	53.91	84.74	7.33	7.51	76.45	21.57

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	$nnPU_{ss}$	82.45	66.44	74.32	69.11	82.00	0.00	72.41	7.38	36.99
	$nnPU_{cc}$	82.81	67.37	75.04	71.25	83.44	0.00	73.75	5.31	30.33
	Δ	0.36	0.93	0.73	2.14	1.44	0.00	1.34	-2.07	-6.66
0.3	$nnPU_{ss}$	85.54	71.56	78.13	71.83	83.71	34.42	71.84	44.10	80.68
	$nnPU_{cc}$	87.03	73.10	78.95	77.06	87.64	38.94	78.21	41.61	86.37
	Δ	1.48	1.54	0.82	5.23	3.93	4.52	6.38	-2.49	5.69
0.5	$nnPU_{ss}$	87.39	76.16	81.21	76.63	78.87	32.08	74.80	55.17	79.65
	$nnPU_{cc}$	89.26	77.83	81.75	81.65	90.34	49.95	80.95	59.11	92.79
	Δ	1.87	1.67	0.55	5.02	11.46	17.87	6.15	3.94	13.14
0.7	$nnPU_{ss}$	90.07	80.34	83.89	79.64	80.82	6.47	78.05	45.80	47.23
	$nnPU_{cc}$	91.68	82.49	84.54	85.44	93.01	56.17	84.07	66.88	94.47
	Δ	1.62	2.16	0.65	5.80	12.19	49.70	6.02	21.08	47.24
0.9	$nnPU_{ss}$	89.91	82.10	85.19	81.38	89.11	0.00	81.48	0.00	0.78
	$nnPU_{cc}$	94.24	85.59	87.69	90.05	96.11	53.40	87.81	54.04	90.68
	Δ	4.32	3.50	2.50	8.67	6.99	53.40	6.33	54.04	89.90

Table 5. Test precision, case-control datasets. „ Δ ” indicates precision difference between scenario-appropriate nnPU_{cc} method and ill-specified nnPU_{ss} method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	Fashion MNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	nnPU _{ss}	69.88	92.13	92.24	86.86	89.84	98.17	95.55	96.53	69.59
	nnPU _{cc}	70.66	91.73	91.88	85.98	91.76	98.20	95.88	97.48	68.11
	Δ	0.78	-0.40	-0.36	-0.88	1.92	0.03	0.33	0.95	-1.49
0.3	nnPU _{ss}	82.50	96.53	95.64	98.36	93.63	99.48	99.33	99.20	86.25
	nnPU _{cc}	81.66	94.40	95.07	97.67	92.93	98.68	97.72	99.16	81.52
	Δ	-0.85	-2.13	-0.57	-0.69	-0.70	-0.80	-1.61	-0.04	-4.73
0.5	nnPU _{ss}	90.88	98.83	98.05	99.75	98.06	99.67	99.76	99.80	94.17
	nnPU _{cc}	90.08	96.29	96.78	99.66	94.44	99.09	98.75	99.37	89.23
	Δ	-0.80	-2.55	-1.27	-0.09	-3.62	-0.58	-1.01	-0.43	-4.95
0.7	nnPU _{ss}	97.49	99.49	99.32	99.88	98.77	99.83	99.79	99.88	96.74
	nnPU _{cc}	97.43	97.72	98.24	99.90	96.37	99.35	99.28	99.57	94.52
	Δ	-0.07	-1.77	-1.08	0.01	-2.40	-0.48	-0.51	-0.31	-2.22
0.9	nnPU _{ss}	99.32	99.62	99.73	100.00	99.75	99.90	99.78	99.90	99.27
	nnPU _{cc}	99.53	98.89	98.73	99.94	98.11	99.64	99.58	99.80	96.76
	Δ	0.21	-0.73	-1.00	-0.06	-1.64	-0.26	-0.20	-0.10	-2.51

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	nnPU _{ss}	81.21	65.61	79.28	71.19	81.08	0.00	76.76	23.75	99.00
	nnPU _{cc}	80.02	65.02	78.31	70.97	81.05	0.00	75.83	20.00	89.57
	Δ	-1.19	-0.59	-0.97	-0.22	-0.03	0.00	-0.94	-3.75	-9.44
0.3	nnPU _{ss}	89.47	74.41	85.39	80.97	87.17	61.88	85.07	79.24	96.27
	nnPU _{cc}	86.19	72.45	85.09	79.43	85.56	59.57	82.71	81.66	94.78
	Δ	-3.28	-1.97	-0.30	-1.54	-1.61	-2.31	-2.36	2.42	-1.49
0.5	nnPU _{ss}	92.21	80.09	89.20	87.65	91.12	77.01	88.95	85.26	99.33
	nnPU _{cc}	89.87	78.57	88.69	85.61	89.27	67.95	87.32	79.83	96.68
	Δ	-2.34	-1.52	-0.51	-2.05	-1.85	-9.06	-1.63	-5.43	-2.65
0.7	nnPU _{ss}	94.79	86.07	93.06	91.36	94.63	92.39	92.60	95.10	99.92
	nnPU _{cc}	93.35	85.22	92.84	90.30	93.06	77.90	91.67	90.85	98.21
	Δ	-1.44	-0.85	-0.23	-1.06	-1.57	-14.49	-0.93	-4.25	-1.71
0.9	nnPU _{ss}	98.03	94.78	97.28	95.87	97.32	0.00	96.92	0.00	20.00
	nnPU _{cc}	97.14	94.65	97.05	95.61	96.68	91.34	96.68	96.93	99.30
	Δ	-0.89	-0.14	-0.23	-0.27	-0.65	91.34	-0.25	96.93	79.30

Table 6. Test recall, case-control datasets. „ Δ ” indicates recall difference between scenario-appropriate $nnPU_{cc}$ method and ill-specified $nnPU_{ss}$ method.

c	Model	Beans	CIFAR	Chest X-ray	DogFood	EuroSAT	Fashion MNIST	MNIST	Oxford Pets	Snacks
0.1	$nnPU_{ss}$	76.01	97.19	93.72	72.93	64.97	91.62	91.82	53.38	69.37
	$nnPU_{cc}$	76.52	98.50	93.07	77.89	73.79	95.95	95.66	62.52	71.49
	Δ	0.51	1.31	-0.66	4.96	8.82	4.34	3.84	9.14	2.12
0.3	$nnPU_{ss}$	80.79	95.20	95.26	83.90	74.14	90.31	89.67	62.08	72.64
	$nnPU_{cc}$	81.19	99.25	94.77	94.03	89.05	98.73	98.19	82.55	79.96
	Δ	0.39	4.05	-0.49	10.13	14.91	8.42	8.52	20.47	7.32
0.5	$nnPU_{ss}$	80.03	86.67	92.80	87.47	66.87	79.15	75.93	69.69	59.79
	$nnPU_{cc}$	80.64	99.50	94.89	95.46	93.71	99.59	99.14	90.77	80.89
	Δ	0.61	12.83	2.09	7.99	26.84	20.44	23.21	21.07	21.09
0.7	$nnPU_{ss}$	72.37	78.63	80.53	81.65	60.68	78.37	81.69	67.35	57.79
	$nnPU_{cc}$	77.64	99.62	90.75	94.04	95.66	99.83	99.49	94.55	76.29
	Δ	5.27	20.99	10.22	12.39	34.98	21.47	17.79	27.20	18.50
0.9	$nnPU_{ss}$	32.86	89.08	85.04	16.67	6.82	86.01	85.50	10.13	39.37
	$nnPU_{cc}$	54.70	99.74	80.01	69.99	96.38	99.89	99.60	89.75	65.74
	Δ	21.84	10.66	-5.03	53.32	89.56	13.88	14.10	79.62	26.36

c	Model	California	Credit	Electricity	Wine	20News	HateSpeech	IMDB	PoemSentiment	SMSSpam
0.1	$nnPU_{ss}$	83.83	67.44	69.97	67.29	82.96	0.00	68.53	4.50	23.99
	$nnPU_{cc}$	85.88	70.00	72.06	71.65	86.00	0.00	71.79	3.07	19.58
	Δ	2.04	2.56	2.09	4.36	3.04	0.00	3.26	-1.43	-4.41
0.3	$nnPU_{ss}$	82.02	69.58	72.06	64.66	80.53	23.98	62.18	31.69	69.52
	$nnPU_{cc}$	87.90	74.33	73.68	74.93	89.84	28.99	74.19	28.83	79.56
	Δ	5.88	4.75	1.63	10.27	9.31	5.01	12.01	-2.86	10.04
0.5	$nnPU_{ss}$	83.11	73.08	74.57	68.23	69.55	20.36	64.54	41.72	66.54
	$nnPU_{cc}$	88.74	77.74	75.86	78.10	91.44	39.72	75.45	48.24	89.34
	Δ	5.62	4.66	1.29	9.88	21.90	19.36	10.90	6.52	22.80
0.7	$nnPU_{ss}$	85.81	75.85	76.38	70.70	70.55	3.37	67.46	30.95	31.44
	$nnPU_{cc}$	90.09	80.35	77.62	81.14	92.97	44.07	77.64	53.67	91.07
	Δ	4.28	4.49	1.24	10.44	22.42	40.70	10.18	22.72	59.64
0.9	$nnPU_{ss}$	83.08	72.50	75.81	70.92	82.19	0.00	70.29	0.00	0.40
	$nnPU_{cc}$	91.51	78.18	79.99	85.18	95.54	37.88	80.44	38.80	83.50
	Δ	8.43	5.68	4.18	14.26	13.35	37.88	10.15	38.80	83.09