

## Lecture 8: Sparse FFT

Lecturer: *Przemysław Uznański*

## 1 Sparse FFT - setting, motivation

Fourier transform: signal  $\rightarrow$  frequencies.

**Definition 1.** Assume  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$  is a signal. Let  $\omega$  be  $n$ -th root of unity, that is  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi}{n}i}$ . Let  $F$  be such that  $F_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\omega^{ij}$ . Then  $\hat{a} = Fa$  is a (Discrete) Fourier transform of  $a$ .

DFT can be computed in  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  time. However, for some applications this time can already be prohibitive. Consider a following scenario (of signal compression):

- Take input signal  $a$  and compute  $\hat{a}$ .
- Let  $\hat{a}_k$  be  $\hat{a}$  with only  $k$  largest magnitude elements kept (rest is zeroed).
- Output  $a_k = F^{-1}\hat{a}_k$ .

If we consider complexity measure of Fourier support  $fs(a) = \|\hat{a}\|_0$  that is number of non-zero Fourier coefficients, then actually there is

$$a_k = \arg \min_{x: fs(x) \leq k} \|a - x\|_2$$

(proof: exercise)

If we assume that  $a$  comes from real-life scenarios (photos, audio recording), then it should have only few “strong” frequencies, rest is noise. Since  $a_k$  has much simpler representation (namely,  $\hat{a}_k$  which takes  $\mathcal{O}(k \log n)$  bits), this is a lossy compression scheme.

How do we compute  $\hat{a}_k$  efficiently? (Assumption is that we have random access to  $a$ , otherwise just *reading* the input would dominate the computation time.)

Simpler question: can we recover  $\hat{a}$  if we know that  $fs(a) = \|\hat{a}\|_0 \leq k$  (so there are only  $k$  non-zero frequencies)?

## 2 Sparse FFT - no noise [Kui92]

### 2.1 Recovering sparse signal

Assume  $w \log n$  is power of two.Define  $p_{d,\ell}(x) = \sum_{i: i \bmod 2^\ell = d} \hat{a}_i x^i$ , and for a polynomial  $p(x)$  we write  $\|p\|^2 = \sum_i p_i^2$ .First trick is how to estimate  $\|p_{d,\ell}\|_2^2$  with additive error. Given such blackbox, we can proceed:

1. Define  $S_\ell = \{i : \|p_{i,\ell}\|^2 > 0\}$
2. Given  $S_\ell$ , compute  $S_{\ell+1}$ : for each  $d \in S_\ell$ , test for  $e \in \{d, d + 2^\ell\}$  whether  $\|p_{e,\ell+1}\|^2 > 0$  and if so, add  $e$  to  $S_{\ell+1}$ .

$$3. p_{i, \log n} = \hat{a}_i$$

The idea is to start at level 0 and proceed. The size of each  $S_\ell$  is bounded by  $k$ , thus the total number of steps (2) done is  $\mathcal{O}(k \log n)$ . Assume  $\log n$  is power of two.

**Plan of solution:** Define  $p_{d,\ell}(x) = \sum_{i: i \bmod 2^\ell = d} \hat{a}_i x^i$ , and for short  $p(x) = p_{0,0}(x) = \sum_i \hat{a}_i x^i$ . and for a polynomial  $f(x)$  we write for any polynomial  $\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_i f_i^2$ .

1. Define  $S_\ell = \{i : \|p_{i,\ell}\|^2 > 0\}$
2. Given  $S_\ell$ , compute  $S_{\ell+1}$ : for each  $d \in S_\ell$ , test for  $e \in \{d, d + 2^\ell\}$  whether  $\|p_{e,\ell+1}\|^2 > 0$  and if so, add  $e$  to  $S_{\ell+1}$ .
3.  $p_{i, \log n}(1) = \hat{a}_i$

The idea is to start at level 0 and proceed. The size of each  $S_\ell$  is bounded by  $k$ , thus the total number of steps (2) done is  $\mathcal{O}(k \log n)$ .

### A few identities:

By inverse Fourier transform

$$p(\omega^t)/\sqrt{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_i \hat{a}_i \omega^{it} = a_{-t}$$

By Parseval's theorem

$$\|p\|_2^2 = \sum_i \hat{a}_i^2 = \sum_i a_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i |p(\omega^i)|^2$$

so

$$\|p_{d,\ell}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |p_{d,\ell}(\omega^i)|^2$$

Additionally

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=0}^{2^\ell-1} a_{-(t+i\frac{n}{2^\ell})} \omega^{-di\frac{n}{2^\ell}} &= \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{2^\ell-1} p(\omega^{t+i\frac{n}{2^\ell}}) \omega^{-di\frac{n}{2^\ell}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{2^\ell-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j (\omega^{t+i\frac{n}{2^\ell}})^j \omega^{-di\frac{n}{2^\ell}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j \omega^{tj} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{i=0}^{2^\ell-1} \omega^{i(j-d)\frac{n}{2^\ell}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_j \omega^{tj} [j-d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\ell}] \\ &= p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t) \end{aligned}$$

**Estimating sum via sampling:** Lets say we have  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  such that  $|a_i| \leq H$ . We can use  $\mathcal{O}(H^2/\varepsilon^2 \cdot \log n)$  samples to obtain  $\pm \varepsilon$  estimate of  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_i a_i$ , or equivalently  $\pm n\varepsilon$  estimate of  $\sum_i a_i$ , with proof via Hoeffding bound.

**Solution:** Denote  $L = \max |\hat{a}_i|$  and  $H = \max |p(\omega^t)|$ . Observe that  $p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t) \leq H$ . Since  $p(\omega^t) = \sum_j \hat{a}_j \omega^{-tj}$ , we have  $H \leq kL$ .

1. Estimate  $A_{d,\ell,t} \approx p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t)$  using  $\mathcal{O}(H^4 \log n)$  samples of  $a_{-t-i\frac{n}{2^\ell}} \omega^{-di\frac{n}{2^\ell}}$ , up to error  $\pm \frac{1}{16H}$ .
2.  $|A_{d,\ell,t}|^2 - |p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t)|^2 \leq (|A_{d,\ell,t}| - |p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t)|)(|A_{d,\ell,t}| + |p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t)|) \leq \frac{1}{16H} \cdot 2H \leq \frac{1}{4}$
3.  $\|p_{d,\ell}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} |p_{d,\ell}(\omega^t)|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (|A_{d,\ell,t}|^2 \pm \frac{1}{4}) = (\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} |A_{d,\ell,t}|^2) \pm 1/4$
4. We have  $|A_{d,\ell,t}|^2 = \mathcal{O}(H^2)$ .
5. We sample  $\mathcal{O}(H^4 \log n)$  of  $|A_{d,\ell,t}|^2$  to estimate  $\|p_{d,\ell}\|_2^2$  up to  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ .
6. In total  $\mathcal{O}(H^8 \log^2 n) = \mathcal{O}(k^8 L^8 \log^2 n)$  samples to compute such estimate.

Applying to our tree-traversal, we get  $\mathcal{O}(k^9 L^8 \log^3 n)$  complexity. Once we have the indices of non-zero coefficients, we extract the exact values:

$$\hat{a}_d = p_{d,\log n}(\omega^0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \omega^{di}$$

via sampling.

### 3 Another algorithm, noisy case [LLL16] [EÇ18]

#### 3.1 $k = 1$

There is some heavy  $\hat{a}_u$  such that  $\sum_{u' \neq u} |\hat{a}_{u'}|^2 \leq \varepsilon |\hat{a}_u|^2$ , for some small constant  $\varepsilon$ .

Idea: extract  $u$  bit-by-bit.

**No noise:** If  $u = 2v + b_0$  for  $b_0 \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $a_{n/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u \omega^{un/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u \omega^{vn+b_0n/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u \omega^{b_0n/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u (-1)^{b_0}$ . Then,  $a_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u$ . Thus we can use following test:

$$b_0 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad |a_0 - a_{n/2}| \leq |a_0 + a_{n/2}|$$

How to test for older bits? Assume wlog that  $b_0 = 0$ , since if  $b_0 = 1$ , we can always consider signal  $a'$  defined as  $a'_j = a_j \cdot \omega^j$ , where  $\hat{a}'_j = \hat{a}_{j-1}$ . So  $u = 4v' + 2b_1$ , where  $b_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ . We then observe that  $a_{n/4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u (-1)^{b_1}$ , so the test is then

$$b_1 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad |a_0 - a_{n/4}| \leq |a_0 + a_{n/4}|$$

So we can proceed with all the bits in this manner.

**Noisy case:** Consider test for bit 0. If the noise is concentrated around  $a_0$  and  $a_{n/2}$ , then such test fails. But we know that on average the noise is small. Thus we replace the test with a randomized one: pick  $0 \leq r < n$  at random, and test:

$$b_0 = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad |a_r - a_{r+n/2}| \leq |a_r + a_{r+n/2}|$$

(we can do many tests and pick majority vote) and in general

$$b_{i-1} = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad |a_r - a_{r+n/2^i}| \leq |a_r + a_{r+n/2^i}|$$

of course assuming  $b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_{i-2} = 0$ , and changing the signal accordingly.

Why does it work?

Let  $\hat{a}'$  be the output. We show that with ppb at least  $3/4$  there is  $\|\hat{a} - \hat{a}'\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{(1)}\|_2$ , where  $\hat{a}^{(1)}$  is the top coefficient, so  $\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{(1)}$  is the noise.

We rewrite

$$a_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u \omega^{uj} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{u' \neq u} \hat{a}_{u'} \omega^{u'j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u \omega^{uj} + \mu_j$$

so

$$a = F^{-1} \hat{a}^{(1)} + \mu$$

Looking at the error

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\mu_j|^2 = \|\mu\|_2^2 = \|F^{-1}(\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{(1)})\|_2^2 = \|\hat{a} - \hat{a}^{(1)}\|_2^2 = \sum_{u' \neq u} |\hat{a}_{u'}|^2$$

Algorithm at single step compares  $|a_k - a_\ell|$  vs  $|a_k + a_\ell|$ . We have

$$a_k - a_\ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u (\omega^{uk} - \omega^{u\ell}) + (\mu_k - \mu_\ell)$$

$$a_k + a_\ell = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u (\omega^{uk} + \omega^{u\ell}) + (\mu_k + \mu_\ell)$$

We know that  $|\omega^{uk} \pm \omega^{u\ell}| \in \{0, 2\}$  so for the comparison to be done correctly, it is enough that  $|\mu_k - \mu_\ell| + |\mu_k + \mu_\ell| \leq 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u$ , so  $|\mu_k| + |\mu_\ell| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \hat{a}_u$ .

We now have, since each index is picked with a random shift,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mu_k|^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\mu_j|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u' \neq u} |\hat{a}_{u'}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon |\hat{a}_u|^2$$

so

$$\Pr[|\mu_k| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} |\hat{a}_u|] \leq \frac{\frac{1}{n} \varepsilon |\hat{a}_u|^2}{\frac{1}{4n} |\hat{a}_u|^2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

So picking  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  gives us by union bound  $1/4$  ppb of success.

Now the trick is to amplify the ppb by repeating each test  $\mathcal{O}(\log \log n)$  times and do the majority vote. This amplifies the ppb to  $1/(4 \log n)$ , so by union bound the whole procedure is ok with  $3/4$  ppb.

**Not covered:** how to extract the value of  $\hat{a}_u$ .

## References

- [EÇ18] Esra Sengun Ermeýdan and Ilyas Çankaya. Sparse fast fourier transform for exactly sparse signals and signals with additive gaussian noise. *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, 12(3):445–452, 2018.
- [Kui92] W. Kuich, editor. *Randomized interpolation and approximation of sparse polynomials: Preliminary version*, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [LLL16] Pierre-David Letourneau, M. Harper Langston, and Richard Lethin. A sparse multi-dimensional fast fourier transform with stability to noise in the context of image processing and change detection. In *2016 IEEE High Performance Extreme Computing Conference, HPEC 2016, Waltham, MA, USA, September 13-15, 2016*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2016.