

# Complexity and Mutation Analysis

---

## Bubble Sort Complexity

---

Bubble sort has a time complexity of  $O(n^2)$  in the worst and average cases, and  $O(n)$  in the best case (when the list is already sorted).

## Quick Sort Complexity

---

Quick sort has an average time complexity of  $O(n \log n)$ , but can degrade to  $O(n^2)$  in the worst case (when the pivot selection is poor).

## Worklist Algorithm Analysis

---

The worklist algorithm processes a matrix by continuously updating its values based on the sum of elements in the same row and column. The time complexity is  $O(n^3)$  in the worst case.

## Mutation Explanation

---

### Bubble Search Mutant

**File:** bubble\_search\_mutant.py

**Bug:** Changed the comparison operator in bubble sort from  $>$  to  $<$

**Effect:** Causes the list to be sorted in descending order instead of ascending order

**Why it fails:** The binary search function expects the input list to be sorted in ascending order. When given a descending list, the binary search will not work correctly.

### Quick Search Mutant

**File:** quick\_search\_mutant.py

**Bug:** Changed the pivot selection to the last element but didn't adjust the list slicing

**Effect:** The pivot element is included in both left and right partitions, causing incorrect sorting

**Why it fails:** The quick sort implementation produces an incorrectly sorted list, which causes the binary search to fail.

## Verification of Mutants

---

To verify that the mutants fail the tests:

1. For `bubble_search_mutant.py` :
  - Input: [5, 3, 8, 4, 2], target=4
  - Expected: Should return index 2 (after sorting: [2, 3, 4, 5, 8])
  - Actual: Sorts to [8, 5, 4, 3, 2], binary search fails to find 4
2. For `quick_search_mutant.py` :
  - Input: [9, 1, 6, 3], target=1
  - Expected: Should return index 0 (after sorting: [1, 3, 6, 9])
  - Actual: Incorrect sorting causes binary search to fail