

- What should be equality? $\Gamma \vdash M \equiv N : A$

- Nat, zero, succ, rec_{Nat}

eg) plus: $\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

$\lambda x \lambda y \text{rec}(x; x; \text{succ}(x'))(y)$

Fact: $\forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ plus } m \ n \equiv \overline{m+n} = \text{plus } \overline{m} \ \overline{n}$
 pr. by mathematical induction.

β $\begin{cases} x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{plus } x \ \text{zero} \equiv x \\ x : \text{Nat}, y : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{plus } x \ (\text{succ } y) \equiv \text{succ}(\text{plus } x \ y) \end{cases}$
 \hookrightarrow "true by calculation"

$x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{plus } 0 \ x \equiv x$

\hookrightarrow without utility (mathematical induction)

Families of Types \approx Predicates are propositional functions

Logic \approx predicates

FP $\approx n : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{Seg}(n)$ type

STT - simple type theory

Λ type

$\Gamma \text{ ctx}$

$\Gamma \vdash M : A$

$\gamma : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$

$x_i : A_i \dots x_n : A_n$

$\Gamma' \vdash \gamma^* M : A$

context/
closed
type

DTT - dependent type theory

$\Gamma \text{ ctx}$

$\Gamma \vdash A$ type

$\Gamma \vdash M : A$

$\gamma : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$

family
element

$\Gamma \equiv \Gamma'$

$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv A'$ type

$\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A$

$(\gamma \equiv \gamma' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma)$

calculational
equivalence

Functionality

$x : A \vdash M_x : B_x$

$P \equiv P' : A$

$[P/x] M \equiv [P'/x] M : \begin{cases} [P/x] B \\ [P'/x] B \end{cases}$

Functionality

$x : A \vdash B_x$ type

$M \equiv M' : A$

$[M/x] B \equiv [M'/x] B$ type

Respect for Type Equivalence:

$\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv A'$

$\Gamma \vdash M : A'$

$\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv A'$

$\Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A'$

eg) $\text{Seg}(\text{plus } M \ \text{zero}) \equiv \text{Seg } M$ type

* Something being of type A is a matter of syntax, not a theorem. It should be consequence of rules.

Which families do we have?

- $n : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{Seg}(n)$ type

- Π, Σ

- all STT

$\text{IF}(\text{?}, \text{"?"})(x) : \text{IF}(\text{Mat}, \text{Strat})(x)$

family

$\Gamma \vdash A$ type $\Gamma \vdash B$ type

$\Gamma, x : B \vdash \text{IF}(A, B)(x)$ type

Replace families, elements with universes

$$\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U} \quad \Gamma \vdash A \equiv A' : \mathcal{U}$$

so can replace w/ next line:

$$\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad \Gamma \vdash M \equiv M' : A$$

But can't have nests within self.

Infinite hierarchy of universes $\mathcal{U}_0 : \mathcal{U}_1 : \mathcal{U}_2 \dots$

cumulative " $\mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_2 \dots$ " i.e.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \mathcal{U}_i}{\Gamma \vdash M : \mathcal{U}_{i+1}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Nat} : \mathcal{U}_0}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0, 1 : \mathcal{U}_0}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_i \quad \Gamma \vdash B : \mathcal{U}_i}{\Gamma \vdash A \times B : \mathcal{U}_i}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{U}_i : \mathcal{U}_{i+1}} \text{ (hierarchy)}$$

(inconsistent): $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U}$.

$$\Gamma \vdash A : \mathcal{U}_i$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \vdash B : \mathcal{U}_i$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \Pi x : A. B : \mathcal{U}_i$$

$$\Sigma x : A. B : \mathcal{U}_i$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{sgn}(x) : \mathcal{U}_0}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma x : A \vdash M x : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : \Pi x : A. B} \Pi\text{-I}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \Pi x : A. B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash M(N) : [N/x] B}$$

$$\beta \quad \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M)(N) \equiv [N/x] M$$

$$\text{eg: } \lambda x. \lambda y. x : (\Pi x : \mathcal{U}_i. x \rightarrow x) : \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$$

$$\eta \quad \Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M x \equiv M : \Pi x : A. B$$

predicativity

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad \Gamma \vdash N : [M/x] B}{\Gamma \vdash \langle M, N \rangle : \Sigma x : A. B} \Sigma\text{-I}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \Sigma v : A. B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } M : A}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } M : [B/x] B$$

In Prop or types:

$$\Pi \sim \forall$$

$$\Sigma \sim \exists$$

↳ constructive existential

$$\beta : \text{fst } \langle M, N \rangle \equiv M, \quad \text{snd } \langle M, N \rangle \equiv N$$

$$\lambda x : \text{Nat}. _ : \text{Vec } x$$

not constructive

Fact

$\exists a, b$ irrational ($\notin \mathbb{Q}$) s.t. $a^b \in \mathbb{Q}$ Ex. what does this prove?

BE consider $a = b = \sqrt{2}$

either $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is in \mathbb{Q} or not:

if rational, done. else, $a = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}, b = \sqrt{2}$.

$$\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}} = \sqrt{2}^2 = 2 \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad \square$$

$$(\text{Nat}, \text{Vec } x)$$

$$(\text{Nat}, \text{Vec } N)$$

$$(1, [0])$$

$$x(1, [0, 0])$$

If, revisited.

STT

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : C \quad \Gamma \vdash N : C}{\Gamma, z : \text{Bool} \vdash \text{if}(M, N)(z) : C}$$

DTT

$$\frac{\Gamma, z : \text{Bool} \vdash C_z : \mathcal{U} \quad \Gamma \vdash M : [\text{true}/z]C \quad \Gamma \vdash N : [\text{false}/z]C}{\Gamma, z : \text{Bool} \vdash \text{if}([x]M, N)(z) : C_z}$$

↳ Motive

principle: "proof by induction"
"GADTs"

STT

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : C \quad \Gamma, x : \text{Nat}, y : C \vdash N : C}{\Gamma, z : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{rec}_{\text{Nat}}(M, x, y, N) : C}$$

DTT

$$\frac{\Gamma, z : \text{Nat} \vdash C_z : \mathcal{U} \quad \Gamma \vdash M : [\text{zero}/z]C \quad \Gamma, x : \text{Nat}, y : [x/1]C \vdash N : [\text{succ } x/z]C}{\Gamma, z : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{rec}_{\text{Nat}}(M; x, y, N)(z) : C_z}$$

Ex. choose $z : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{seg } z$,
write program w/ type
 $z : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{---} : \text{seg } z$
that does!

Idea: C_z can compute in interesting ways.

ex. $\text{EQ} : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$

s.t. $\text{EQ}(\bar{m})(\bar{m}) \equiv 1$

$\text{EQ}(\bar{m})(\bar{n}) \equiv 0 \quad (m \neq n)$

recursively:

$\text{EQ}(\bar{0})(\bar{0}) \equiv 1$

$\text{EQ}(\bar{0})(\text{succ}(_)) \equiv 0$

$\text{EQ}(\text{succ}(_))(\bar{0}) \equiv 0$

$\text{EQ}(\text{succ}(x))(\text{succ}(y)) \equiv \text{EQ}(x)(y)$

Exercise: write out with rec_{Nat}

Exercise: $x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{---} \xleftarrow{\text{fill in}}$
s.t. $\text{EQ}(x)(x)$

Suppose $f : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

and $M : \text{EQ}(M, M)$

Is it the case that

$\text{---} : \text{EQ}(fM, fN) ?$