

Introduction to Chromatic

Homotopy Theory

①

- Chromatic homotopy theory is a part of stable htpy theory
- Every map $f: S^n \rightarrow X$, X pt space, induces $\Sigma f: \Sigma S^n \cong S^{n+1} \rightarrow \Sigma X$.

The stable htpy gps of X are

$$\pi_n^{st}(X) := \text{colim} \left(\pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \pi_{n+2}(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \dots \right)$$

- Hard to get your hands on even in simple cases
- The stable htpy gps of spheres ^(or htpy gps of the sphere spectrum) $\pi_n(S)$, are the stable htpy gps of S^0 :

$$\pi_n(S) := \pi_n^{st}(S^0) = \text{colim}_m \pi_{n+m}(S^m)$$

Q. What do these gps look like?

• let us go to the rational story:

Slogan: Rational htpy theory is easy

Corollary: Rational stable htpy theory is very easy (supposed to be, heuristic).

But can be turned into a thm:

Theorem (Serre): The Hurewicz map induces an isomorphism

$$\pi_n^{st}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}_n(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

Corollary: $\pi_n(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}, & n=0 \\ 0, & n>0 \end{cases}$

ie, the higher stable homotopy groups of spheres are all trivial groups, and in fact Serre proved that $\pi_n(S)$ are finite for $n>0$.

Examples:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\pi_n S$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/24$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/240$	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$

Q. What's the pattern, what's going on here? Looking at this one would say that this is random.

• Let's start w/ providing examples of elements in $\pi_n S$

"The only chance we can succeed in mathematics is when we can reduce a problem to linear algebra"

What can linear algebra tell us about $\pi_n(S)$?

• Note. $U(n)$ acts on $S^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n \cup \infty$. (it acts on \mathbb{C}^n). We can think of this action as a rep

$$U(n) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(S^{2n}, S^{2n}) \cong \Omega^{2n}(S^{2n})$$

(3)

which induces

$$\pi_*(U(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{*+2n}(S^{2n})$$

Taking colim $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the complex J-homomorphism

$$J: \pi_*(U) \rightarrow \pi_*(S) \quad \text{a global gp hom}$$

Here $U := \text{colim} (U(1) \hookrightarrow U(2) \hookrightarrow \dots)$ is the infinite unitary gp.

Q. Why is this useful? The rhs is mysterious, what we want to get a hold on, and the lhs is very simple, we understand pretty well.

Theorem (Bott periodicity): $\pi_n(U) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & n \text{ odd} \\ 0, & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$

Since U is representing complex K-theory, this could be rephrased as

$$K^n(X) \cong K^{n+2}(X)$$

ie K is a periodic cohom theory.

Upshot. For each $n > 0$, the complex J-homomorphism determines a map

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_{2n-1}(U) \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}(S)$$

ie its image is a cyclic subgroup of the finite gp $\pi_{2n-1}(S)$

Q (Adams). What does the image of the complex J -hom look like?

(4)

To understand the answer, it is best to understand one prime at a time, i.e.

the image is going to be a finite abelian group, i.e. a sum $\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}/p$ for different p 's. For simplicity let us take $p \neq 2$

To begin w/ let us consider an upper bound for the image of the J -hom:

for this recall a feature of complex K -theory: it is equipped w/ the Adams operations

i.e.

$$\gamma^g: K^0(X) \rightarrow K^0(X) \quad g^{\mathbb{Z}^0 \text{ integer}}$$

characterized by what it does to complex v.b. which are sums of line bundles:

$$\gamma^g([L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k]) = [L_1^{\otimes g} \oplus \dots \oplus L_k^{\otimes g}]$$

As $\pi_{2n-1}(U) \cong \pi_{2n}(KU) \cong \widetilde{K}^0(S^{2n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then

\uparrow
spectrum
w.r. K -theory

γ^g acts on $\pi_{2n}(KU)$ by multiplication by g^n .

Theorem (Adams conjecture, shown by Quillen): Applying γ^g does not change the J -hom, i.e.

$$J \circ \gamma^g = J.$$

- So, the image of the J -homomorphism is a cyclic group generated by some element, $[1] = J(1)$, $[1]$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/n = \text{Im } J$. But by the theorem $[1] = [g^n]$, i.e.

$\mathbb{Z}/(g^{n-1})$ is an upper bound for $\text{Im } J$ (even for bigger values $[g^n] \neq [1]$).

i.e. $[1]$ must be annihilated by g^{n-1} . And this is true for all g .

- If you are interested in the p -local components, you get the best possible information by choosing special values of g , namely take g to be a top generator for the group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times of p -adic units.

- What about a lower bound? Let us write \hat{K} for the p -completed K -theory. That is, $\hat{K}(X) = K(X)_p^\wedge$ where for an abelian group A and p prime, the p -completion of A is

$$A_p^\wedge := \varprojlim_n A/p^n A.$$

(e.g. if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ then $A_p^\wedge = \mathbb{Z}_p$ the p -adic numbers, and if A is finitely generated then $A_p^\wedge \cong A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$).

- So if we complete, now it turns out that if $p \nmid g$ then γ^g defines an automorphism of \hat{K} ,

$$\gamma^g: \hat{K}(X) \rightarrow \hat{K}(U)$$

let us look at the fixed pts of this automorphism: write $\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1}$ to be the "fixed pts" of this automorphism. More precisely, in the world of spectra, $\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1}$ is the htpy fixed pts of χ^2 on \hat{K} . i.e., get a fiber square (in spectra)

$$\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1} \rightarrow \hat{K} \xrightarrow{\chi^2 - \text{Id}} \hat{K}$$

• We can use this to understand the htpy of $\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1}$: we understand the htpy gps of \hat{K} by Bott periodicity;

$$\hat{K}_{2n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$\hat{K}_{2n+1} = 0$$

and $\chi^2 - \text{Id}$ acts on \mathbb{Z}_p by multiplication by $g^n - 1$. So looking at the induced les we conclude

$$\pi_{2n}(\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1}) \cong 0, \quad \pi_{2n-1}(\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1}) = \mathbb{Z}_p / (g^n - 1).$$

Theorem (Adams) let p odd and g a top generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . Then the map

$$\pi_{2n}(K) = \pi_{2n-1}(U) \xrightarrow{J} \pi_{2n-1}(S) \longrightarrow \pi_{2n-1}(\hat{K}^{\chi^2=1})$$

is surjective.

• This gives a lower bound which matches the upper bound!

Upside. $(\text{Im } J)_{(p)} = \begin{cases} 0, & (p-1) \nmid n \\ \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}, & n = (p-1)p^k m, p \nmid m. \end{cases}$

• Putting together all the answers of "what happens at the prime p " we get

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\pi_n S$	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^4$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2^4 0 \dots$
$\text{Im } J$	NA	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2^4$	0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/120 \dots$

• Things to read off:

- The J -hom is non-trivial; but it is not really giving us everything. In particular it is not giving anything in even degrees.

- If we zoomed out we would see that the J -hom is not really seeing that much from $\pi_n S$. I.e., in large dimensions $\text{Im } J$ is only a small part of $\pi_n S$. Yet it is a part that we can completely understand.

• Asking the "right" question can reveal orderly (periodic) behaviour amidst apparent chaos.

Ex. At an odd prime p , $\text{Im } J \subset \pi_{2n-1}(S)$ is nontrivial exactly when $(p-1) \mid n$.

- You can think of chromatic htpy theory as an attempt to generalise the previous story to get more complete information about the stable htpy grs of spheres. (8)
-

- What was important in the prev story? the spectrum K . Now, what happens if we replace it by some other coh. theory?

Essential features of K :

- Bott periodicity
- Adams operations

- let E be a multiplicative chromal theory, ie

$$E^*: \text{Top}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Graded (com) rings}$$

$$X \longmapsto E^*(X)$$

Say that E is even if $E^n(\text{pt}) \neq 0$ where n odd.

Say E periodic if $E^*(\text{pt})$ contains an invertible elmt of deg 2.

Example. K is ~~an~~ even periodic.

- I want to talk about thing that you could do w/ an even periodic coh theory.

(9)

Recall. $\mathbb{CP}^\infty = BU(1)$, i.e. it is the space that classifies complex line bundles. There is a complex line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ st

$$[X, \mathbb{CP}^\infty] \xrightarrow{\cong} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism class} \\ \text{of complex} \\ \text{line bundles on } X \end{array} \right\}$$

via pullback.

Now, $H^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t]$, $|t| = 2$, and t cohom class well def up to sign.

If L is a line bundle on X classified by $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, then

$$c_1(L) := f^*(t) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the first Chern class.

Now, what about our generalised cohom theory? If E is an even periodic cohom theory, then a more or less similar computation gives

$$E^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty) \cong E^*(\text{pt})[[t]], \quad |t| = 0$$

It depends on a choice. Now if L is a line bundle class by $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$,

$$c_1^E(L) := f^*(t) \in E^0(X)$$

is the first Chern class in E -cohomology

- An important prop of Chern classes (in the usual setup) is that they are additive:

$$c_1(L \otimes L') = c_1(L) + c_1(L')$$

Legend. This all theory goes back to a mistake that Quillen made.

When he was thinking about coh theories when you have a good notion of Chern class. Initially he assumed that this formula would be true in general but he quickly realised that that was not the case for Chern classes in generalised coh theories.

Ex: If $E = K$, $c_1^E(L) = [L] - 1$. This satisfies

$$c_1^E(L \otimes L') + 1 = (c_1(L) + 1)(c_1(L') + 1).$$

ie $c_1(L \otimes L') = c_1(L) + c_1(L') + c_1(L)c_1(L')$.

For a general even periodic coh theory, ~~but~~ ^{that} you don't expect either of the formulas are going to be correct; but you can expect that there is always some formula, depending on E , ~~at~~. ie

$$c_1(L \otimes L') = F(c_1(L), c_1(L'))$$

where F is a power series in two variables.

This follows from examining the universal case $X = \mathbb{CP}^\infty \times \mathbb{CP}^\infty$, (11)

$$E^*(X) \cong E^*(\mathbb{CP}^\infty)[[t_0, t_1]]$$

For any of these even periodic cohom theories there is some power series F w/ this property. In general this power series is complicated but it's not arbitrary: it will always satisfy

- $F(0, t) = t$

- $F(t_0, t_1) = F(t_1, t_2)$

- $F(t_0, F(t_1, t_2)) = F(F(t_0, t_1), t_2)$

conseq. of the fact that \otimes for line bundles is commutative (up to iso)

conseq. that \otimes is associative up to iso.

So we found our alg structure: a formal gp law.

Def. A formal gp law over R comm ring is a power series $F(s, t) \in R[[s, t]]$

satisfying the equalities above.

Eg: $F(s, t) = s + t$ (additive formal gp law)

Eg: $F(s, t) = s + t + st$ (multiplicative —)

Def. Two formal gp laws F, F' are isomorphic if they differ by a change of coordinates, i.e. $\exists g \in R[[u]]$ invertible st

$$F'(s, t) = \bar{g}^{-1}(F(g(s), g(t)))$$

In this case we say that F, F' determine the same formal group

Moral: Every even periodic cohomology theory E determines a formal gp law over $R := E^{cpt}$ characterised by

$$c_1^E(L \otimes L') = F(c_1(L), c_1(L'))$$

This gives a construction

Even periodic
cohomology theories E

\longrightarrow

Comm rings R
w/ a formal gp over R

\updownarrow
topology

\updownarrow
algebraic object,
well-understood, they come up
in alg number theory

It turns out that it is often possible to reverse this construction:

Theorem (Landweber). If F formal gp law over R comm ring, then under additional assumptions there is a unique even periodic cohomology theory E which gives rise to (R, F) under the preceding construction, i.e. so that $R \cong E^0(\text{pt})$.

• I don't want to mention here what the assumptions are. But $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $F(s, t) = s + t + st$ satisfies those assumptions, so K is the unique cohomology theory w/ such formal gp law. ~~the~~

• A virtue of this is that it allows us to discover complex K-theory in a completely new way! It gives a "purely alg" approach.

~~Do we understand formal gplaws?~~

Classification of formal gp laws: let k be an alg closed field.

- If $\text{char } k = 0$, then ~~any~~ any formal gp law is isomorphic to the additive fgl.
- If $\text{char } k = p > 0$, then any fgl is determined by an invariant called the height, $\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \infty$.

Ex. F additive has height ∞

F multpl. has — 1.

This was a story in pure algebra. What can we say about their corresponding coh th?

(14)

• let k be a perfect field of char p and \mathbb{F}_p fgl of height $0 < n < \infty$.

Theorem (Moreno). \mathbb{F} comes from an even periodic coh theory $K(n)$.

The coh theories $K(n)$ are called Moreno K -theories

Ex: $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, $F(s,t) = s+t+st$, then $K(1) = K/p$. $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{over } \mathbb{Z} \text{ } F \text{ cons} \\ \text{from complex } K\text{-thy} \\ K \end{array} \right)$

Theorem (Lubin-Tate). If k, p, \mathbb{F} and n are as before, \mathbb{F} has a universal

deformation $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ over the ring $R \cong \underbrace{W(k) \llbracket v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rrbracket}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{complete local ring w/ residue field } k}}$

• The formal gp $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ arises from an even periodic coh th E_n , called

Moreno E -theory.

• This gives you a machinery to produce all kind of coh theories which are potentially interesting, but how can we exploit them? I want to take a detour

to Bousfield localization:

Let E be a (co)homology theory. A map of spectra $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an E -equivalence if it induces an isomorphism in E -(co)homology.

An spectrum Z is E -local if any E -equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces a bijection

$$f_*: [Y, Z] \rightarrow [X, Z].$$

Theorem (Bousfield). For any spectrum X , there is an E -equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$ where Y is E -local.

- Standard abstract nonsense will tell you that ~~the~~ such Y is unique, and denoted $L_E(X)$ the E -localisation of X .

When $E = E_n$ is a Morava E -theory, $L_{E_n}(X)$ is denoted $L_n(X)$.

- Now: fix a spectrum X and p prime. We can actually think of $L_n(X)$'s as approximations to X , which get better as n increases. These approximations are related to each other: they can be organised as an inverse system

$$\dots \rightarrow L_3(X) \rightarrow L_2(X) \rightarrow L_1(X) \rightarrow L_0(X) := X_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

\uparrow
rationalisation
of X

which is called the chromatic tower of X

Remark. $L_*(X)$ is related to the story about the \mathcal{J} -hom.

(16)

$$\pi_n(L_*(S)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} & , n=0 \\ \varinjlim \gamma \subset \pi_n(S)_{(p)} & , n>0 \end{cases}$$

• Now, what can we say about the chromatic tower of X ?

Theorem (Chromatic convergence thm, Hopkins-Ravenel). If X is a finite spectrum, then $\varprojlim L_n(X)$ recovers the p -localisation $X_{(p)}$.

Eg: If $X = S$ sphere spectrum, then this tells you that if you are interested in the p -local stable htyg gps of spheres, all that information is already contained in this ~~tower~~ chromatic tower. All you need to do is to understand these localisations $L_n(S)$

• How to understand those? Fix a spectrum X , p prime and $m > 0$ integers. Then is a htyg pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_m(X) & \longrightarrow & L_{K(m)}(X) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \\ L_{m-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & L_{m-1}(L_{K(m)}(X)) \end{array}$$

This is non-trivial, but the takeaway is that if you want to understand L_m , it suffices to understand L_{m-1} and $L_{K(m)}$.

• Let us focus on $L_{K(n)}$. Understanding this comes down to understanding the appropriate generalisation of Adams operations.

Let F be a formal gp of height $n < \infty$ over $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (alg. cl. of \mathbb{F}_p)

Let G be the (pro)finite gp of automorphisms of F (keeping k fixed), and G_0 the gp of automorphisms of (k, F) (pairs). These are related by the seq

$$0 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$$

Theorem (Hopkins - Miller). The groups G acts on the Morava E -theory spectrum E_n .

Eg: $n=1$, then $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and the action of G_0 on E_1 is (essentially) by Adams operations

• Why is this useful? Because we can look at the htpy fixed pts:

Theorem (Devinatz - Hopkins). Let E_n be the Morava E -theory associated to a formal gp of height n over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Then $L_{K(n)}(S)$ can be recovered as the (continuous) htpy fixed pts for the action of G on E_n .

Eg. p odd and $n=1$, then $L_{K(1)}(S)$ is the spectrum $\widehat{K} \chi^q=1$.

Summary:

I have given you is an advertisement that sounds as a blueprint for understanding stable homotopy theory. What do you need to do?

In principle

- 1) Start by writing down these Morava E-theories E_m . These are spectra satisfying something like Bott periodicity, and their homotopy groups are completely known.
- 2) Understand the symmetry group G and pass to homotopy fixed points $E_m^{hG} = L_{K(m)}(S)$.
- 3) The previous thing depends on m , but allowing m to vary, assemble these together for $m \leq \infty$ to the spectrum $L_\infty(S)$.
- 4) Pass to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, obtain the p -local sphere $S_{(p)}$ by the chromatic convergence theorem. Taking homotopy groups, you are done.

In practice

, things get complicated very easily.

- For $m \geq 2$, G is non-commutative
- Its action G on E_m is very complicated (even at the level of homotopy)

Nevertheless, the overarching framework is extremely useful for understanding stable homotopy theory.