



Algorithms: Design  
and Analysis, Part II

# Local Search

---

## Analysis of Papadimitriou's Algorithm

# Papadimitriou's Algorithm

Repeat  $\log_2 n$  times:

- Choose random initial assignment

- repeat  $2n^2$  times:

- if current assignment satisfies all clauses, halt + report this

- else, pick arbitrary unsatisfied clause and flip the value of one of its variables [choose between the two uniformly at random]

$n$  = number of variables

Report "unsatisfiable".

Obvious good points

① runs in polynomial time

② always correct on unsatisfiable instances

# Satisfiable Instances

Theorem: for a satisfiable 2-SAT instance with  $n$  variables, Papadimitriou's algorithm produces a satisfying assignment with probability  $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ .

Proof: first focus on a single iteration of the outer for loop.  
Fix an arbitrary satisfying assignment  $a^*$ .

Let  $a_t$  = algorithm's assignment after inner iteration  $t$   
( $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n^2$ ) [a random variable]  $\rightarrow x_t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Let  $X_t$  = number of variables on which  $a_t$  and  $a^*$  agree.

Note: if  $X_t = n$ , algorithm halts with satisfying assignment  $a^*$ .

# Proof of Theorem (con'd)

Key point: Suppose  $a_t$  not a satisfying assignment and algorithm picks unsatisfied clause with variables  $x_i, x_j$ .

Note: Since  $a^*$  is satisfying, it makes a different assignment than  $x_i$  or  $x_j$  (or both).

Consequence of algorithm's random variable flip:

① if  $a^*$  and  $a_t$  differ on both  $x_i$  &  $x_j$ , then  $X_{t+1} = X_t + 1$  (100% probability)

② if  $a^*$  and  $a_t$  differ on exactly one of  $x_i, x_j$  then 
$$X_{t+1} = \begin{cases} X_t + 1 & (50\% \text{ probability}) \\ X_t - 1 & (50\% \text{ probability}) \end{cases}$$


# Quiz: Connection to Random Walks

Question: the random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n^2}$  behave just like a random walk of the nonnegative integers except that:



- (A) sometimes move right with 60% probability (instead of 50%)
- (B) might have  $X_0 > 0$  instead of  $X_0 = 0$
- (C) might stop early, before  $X_t = n$
- (D) all of the above

# Completing the Proof

Consequence: Probability that a single iteration of the outer for loop finds a satisfying assignment is  $\geq \Pr[T_n \leq 2n^2] \geq 1/2$   from last video

Thus:  $\Pr[\text{algorithm fails}] \leq \Pr[\text{all } \log_2 n \text{ independent trials fail}]$   
 $\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log_2 n}$   
 $= \frac{1}{n}.$  QED!