



Algorithms: Design  
and Analysis, Part II

# NP-Completeness

---

## Definition and Interpretation

# The Class NP

Refined idea: Prove that TSP is as hard as all brute-force-solvable problems.

Definition: a problem is in **NP** if:

- ① solutions always have length polynomial in the input size
- ② purported solutions can be verified in polynomial time

Examples: - is there a TSP tour with length  $\leq 1000$ ?  
- Constraint satisfaction problems (e.g., 3SAT)

# Interpretation of NP-Completeness

Note: every problem in NP can be solved by brute-force search in exponential time. [just check every candidate solution]

Fact: vast majority of natural computational problems are in NP [≈ can recognize a solution]

By definition of completeness: a polynomial-time algorithm for one NP-complete problem solves every problem in NP efficiently [i.e., implies that  $P=NP$ ]

Upshot: NP-completeness is strong evidence of intractability!

# A Little History

Interpretation: an NP-complete problem encodes simultaneously all problems for which a solution can be efficiently recognized (a "universal problem").

Question: Can such problems really exist?

Amazing Fact #1: [Cook '71, Levin '73] NP-complete problems exist.

Amazing Fact #2: [started by Karp '72] loads of natural and important problems are NP-complete (including TSP).

# NP-Completeness User's Guide

Essential tool in the programmer's toolbox! the following recipe for proving that a problem  $\Pi$  is NP-complete.

- ① find a known NP-complete problem  $\Pi'$   
↳ see e.g. Garey + Johnson, Computers + Intractability
- ② prove that  $\Pi'$  reduces to  $\Pi$   
⇒ implies that  $\Pi$  at least as hard as  $\Pi'$  ↗  
⇒  $\Pi$  is NP-complete as well (assuming  $\Pi$  is an NP problem)