

EMAT20920: Numerical Methods in MATLAB

COURSEWORK ASSESSMENT

Jake Bowhay (UP19056)

Contents

1	Root-finding	2
2	Numerical integration and differentiation	11
3	Numerical solution of ODEs	16
	Appendices	22
A	Additional Code for Question 1	22
B	Additional Code for Question 2	22
C	Additional Code for Question 3	23

All figures in this report have been saved using `saveFigPDF` function as it automatically resizes the paper to the correct size.

Listing 1: `../src/saveFigPDF.m`

```
function saveFigPDF(fileName)
    %saveFigPDF saves open figure as a PDF file
    %
    %Inputs:
    %  fileName = File name to save figure as
    %Usage:
    %  saveFigPDF("polynomial") -> Saves current figure as polynomial.pdf

    % Get current figure handle
    figureHandle = gcf;
    % Resize paper
    set(figureHandle, 'PaperPosition', 3*[0 0 6 4]);
    set(figureHandle, 'PaperSize', 3*[6 4]);
    set(figureHandle, 'PaperUnits', 'centimeters');

    print(fileName, '-dpdf');
end
```



Question 1: Root-finding

- (a) To find how many solutions each equation has in the given domain I will rearrange all the equations to be equal to zero and then look for the roots of the rearranged equations. As a corollary to the intermediate value theorem, if a function is continuous and changes sign in an interval then that bracketing interval must contain a root. So I will plot each of the rearranged equation and look for bracketing intervals that contain a root. I will use the `pltFunc` function (as shown in Listing 30) to plot the functions as it removes values outside a defined limit which prevents MATLAB plotting discontinuous functions as continuous. The limits can then be changed using the property explorer to show more detail if needed.

- (i) Rearranging $x^4 = e^{-x} \cos(x)$ gives $f(x) = x^4 - e^{-x} \cos(x)$.

Listing 2: `../src/q1/Q1a.i.m`

```
f = @(x) x.^4 - exp(-x).*cos(x);
pltFunc(f, [-2*pi 2*pi], inf);
```





The second zoomed in plot shows there are two solutions in the given domain. The first root can be bracketed by the interval $[-1.5, -1]$ as $f(-1.5) = 4.7455$ and $f(-1) = -0.4687$ so since the function is continuous and there is a change of sign this bracket must contain a root. Like wise the second root can be bracketed by the interval $[0.5, 1]$ as $f(0.5) = -0.4698$ and $f(1) = 0.8012$.

- (ii) Setting $f(x) = \frac{x^3}{\sin(x)} - 1$.

Listing 3: `../src/q1/Q1a.ii.m`

```
f = @(x) (x.^3)./sin(x) - 1;
pltFunc(f, [-2*pi 2*pi], 500);
```




The second plot shows there are two roots. The first root can be bracketed by the interval $[-1, -0.5]$ as $f(-1) = 0.1884$ and $f(-0.5) = -0.7393$ and $f(x)$ is continuous in this bracket. Likewise, the second root can be bracketed by the interval $[0.5, 1]$ as $f(0.5) = -0.7393$ and $f(1) = 0.1884$.

- (iii) Rearranging $\cot(x) = \frac{25}{25x-1}$ gives $f(x) = \cot(x) - \frac{25}{25x-1}$.

Listing 4: `../src/q1/Q1a_iii.m`

```
f = @(x) cot(x) - 25./(25*x - 1);
pltFunc(f, [-2*pi 2*pi], 30);
```





The plot shows that the equation has three solutions. The first can be bracketed by the interval $[-5, -4]$ as $f(-5) = 0.4942$ and $f(-4) = -0.6162$. The second solution can be bracketed by the interval $[-1, -0.1]$ as $f(-1) = 0.3194$ and $f(-0.1) = -2.8238$. The third solution can be bracketed by the interval $[4, 5]$ as $f(4) = 0.6112$ and $f(5) = -0.4974$. $f(x)$ is continuous in each of the bracketing intervals.

- (iv) Rearranging $4e^{-x^2/5} = \cos(5x) + 2$ gives $f(x) = 4e^{-x^2/5} - \cos(5x) - 2$.

Listing 5: `../src/q1/Q1a_iv.m`

```
f = @(x) 4*exp(-x.^2/5) - cos(5*x) - 2;
pltFunc(f, [-2*pi 2*pi], inf);
```



The second plot shows that the equation has 6 solutions. The bracketing intervals are shown in the table below.

$[a, b]$	$f(a)$	$f(b)$
$[-2.5, -2]$	-1.8518	0.6364
$[-1.5, -1.25]$	0.2039	-0.0730
$[-1.25, -1]$	-0.0730	0.9913
$[1, 1.25]$	0.9913	-0.0730
$[1.25, 1.5]$	-0.0730	0.2039
$[2, 2.5]$	0.6364	-1.8518

- (b) The bisection method is used by calling the the `bisectRoot` function.

Listing 6: `../src/q1/bisectRoot.m`

```
function [sol, i, err] = bisectRoot(f, a, b, tol)
    %bisectRoot Use the bisection method to find roots of the function f
    % bracketed within the intervals [a, b].
    %
    %Inputs:
    % f = function handle to function whose root is to be found
    % a = 1*n array containing all the lower ends of the brackets
    % where n is the number of roots
    % b = 1*n array containing all the lower ends of the brackets
    % where n is the number of roots
    % tol = absolute error tolerance with which to find the root;
    % Iteration terminates when the root is known to within +/- tol
    %
    %Outputs:
    % sol = 1*n array of of roots
    % i = 1*n array of the number of iterations required to find the nth
    % root
    % err =
    %
    %Usage:
    % [r, i, err] = bisect(@(x) x.^2 - 4, 1, 3, 5e-9) -> returns the
    % approximation to root of  $x^2 - 4 = 0$  within  $[1, 3]$ , the number of
    % iterations required to find the root and the final absolute error

    % check if all intervals are correctly defined
    assert(isequal(size(a), size(b)),...
        "Must be an equal number of upper and lower bounds");
```

```

% check whether f changes sign
assert(all(sign(f(a)) ~= sign(f(b))),...
    'f(a) and f(b) should have opposite sign');

% initialise variables
% iteration counter
i = zeros(size(a));
% current solution estimate
sol = (a + b)/2;
% previous solution estimate
sol_old = Inf;
% absolute error
err = Inf;
withinTol = zeros(size(a));

% bisection algorithm:
% at each iteration, find the half-interval that contains a sign change
% and relabel the endpoints appropriately
while any(~withinTol)
    i(~withinTol) = i(~withinTol) + 1;
    sol_old = sol;
    mid = (a + b)/2;

    % mid point is a root
    exactRoot = f(mid) == 0;
    sol(exactRoot) = mid(exactRoot);
    err(exactRoot) = 0;
    withinTol(exactRoot) = true;

    % solution is in first half of interval and mid point not a root
    firstHalf = (sign(f(a)) ~= sign(f(mid))) & ~exactRoot;
    b(firstHalf) = mid(firstHalf);

    % solution is in second half of interval and mid point not a root
    secondHalf = (sign(f(a)) == sign(f(mid))) & ~exactRoot;
    a(secondHalf) = mid(secondHalf);

    % update solutions and errors values that aren't within tolerance
    sol(~withinTol) = (a(~withinTol) + b(~withinTol))/2;
    err(~withinTol) = abs(sol(~withinTol) - sol_old(~withinTol));
    withinTol(err < tol) = true;
end
end

```

(i) Solutions to $f(x) = x^4 - e^{-x} \cos(x) = 0$ $x \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]$.

Listing 7: ../src/q1/Q1b-i.m

```

f = @(x) x.^4 - exp(-x).*cos(x);
a = [-1.5 0.5];
b = [-1 1];
[r, i, err] = bisectRoot(f, a, b, [5e-8 5e-9])

```

Note the two different tolerances since one root is an order of magnitude larger so requires one less decimal place of accuracy to be accurate to 8 significant figures.

$[a, b]$	Root	# Iterations
$[-1.5, -1]$	-1.0843597	23
$[0.5, 1]$	0.76221107	26

- (ii) Solutions to $f(x) = \frac{x^3}{\sin(x)} - 1 = 0 \quad x \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]$.

Listing 8: `../src/q1/Q1b_ii.m`

```
f = @(x) (x.^3)./sin(x) - 1;
a = [-1 0.5];
b = [-0.5 1];
[r, i, err] = bisectRoot(f, a, b, 5e-9)
```

$[a, b]$	Root	# Iterations
$[-1, -0.5]$	-0.92862631	26
$[0.5, 1]$	0.92862631	26

- (iii) Solutions to $f(x) = \cot(x) - \frac{25}{25x-1} = 0 \quad x \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]$.

Listing 9: `../src/q1/Q1b_iii.m`

```
f = @(x) cot(x) - 25./(25*x - 1);
a = [-5 -1 4];
b = [-4 -0.1 5];
[r, i, err] = bisectRoot(f, a, b, [5e-8 5e-9 5e-8])
```

$[a, b]$	Root	# Iterations
$[-5, -4]$	-4.4953722	24
$[-1, -0.1]$	-0.47773376	27
$[4, 5]$	4.4914097	24

- (iv) Solutions to $f(x) = 4e^{-x^2/5} - \cos(5x) - 2 = 0 \quad x \in [-2\pi, 2\pi]$.

Listing 10: `../src/q1/Q1b_iv.m`

```
f = @(x) 4*exp(-x.^2/5) - cos(5*x) - 2;
a = [-2.5 -1.5 -1.25 1 1.25 2];
b = [-2 -1.25 -1 1.25 1.5 2.5];
[r, i, err] = bisectRoot(f, a, b, 5e-8)
```

$[a, b]$	Root	# Iterations
$[-2.5, -2]$	-2.1222382	23
$[-1.5, -1.25]$	-1.4255432	22
$[-1.25, -1]$	-1.2145933	22
$[1, 1.25]$	1.2145933	22
$[1.25, 1.5]$	1.4255432	22
$[2, 2.5]$	2.1222382	23

- (c) The iterative scheme we asked to implement is called Steffensen's method. This is implemented in the `steffensenRoot` function.

Listing 11: `../src/q1/steffensenRoot.m`

```
function [r, n, err] = steffensenRoot(f, x0, tol, nMax)
%steffensenRoot uses Steffensen's method to find roots of f(x)
```

```

% based on an initial guess x0
%
%Inputs:
% f = function handle to function whose root is to be found
% x0 = initial guess of the root to begin iteration at
% tol = absolute error tolerance with which to find the root
% iteration terminates when the root is known to within +/- tol
% nMax = the maximum number of iteration to quit after. Prevents an
% infinite loop if the iterations do not converge
%
%Outputs:
% r = the approximate root of f(x)=0
% n = the number of iterations
% err = 1*n vector of the absolute error after each iteration
%
%Usage:
% [r, n, err] = steffensenRoot(@(x) exp(-x) -x, 0, 5e-9, 50) ->
% returns the approximate roo of x^-x -x = 0 after n iterations and
% err the absolute error after each iteration

% set initial guess as first root
xn = x0;
%iteration counter
n = 0;
% preallocate error array
err = Inf(1, nMax);

while all(err > tol) && n < nMax
    n = n + 1;
    xOld = xn;
    % Calculate f(xn) to avoid repeat computation
    fn = f(xn);
    % Calculate next iteration
    xn = xn - fn*(f(xn + fn)/fn - 1)^-1;
    err(n) = abs(xn - xOld);
end

% remove any unused preallocated element in error array
err(isinf(err)) = [];

% check if solution converged
assert(err(end) < tol, "No convergence")

r = xn;
end

```

The following uses this function to find the root of $e^{-x} - x = 0$ and calculate the convergence.

Listing 12: ../src/q1/Q1c.m


```

f = @(x) exp(-x) - x;
[r, n, e] = steffensenRoot(f, 0, 5e-13, 50);
%% Generate plot of convergence
loglog(e(1:end-1), e(2:end), "bx-", "LineWidth", 2)
title("Convergence of Steffensen's Method")
xlabel('\Delta_{n-1}');
ylabel('\Delta_n');
xlim([e(end - 1) e(1)]);
grid on;
%% Find order of congerence

```

```
polyfit(log(e(1:end-1)), log(e(2:end)), 1)
```

After 5 iterations the root $x = 0.567143290410$ is accurate to 12 decimal places.



The graph shows a straight line which shows $\text{error} \propto \Delta_{n-1}^q$, where q is the gradient of the line. Using the MATLAB function `polyfit` the gradient of the above graph is 1.8 which is approximately 2 so Steffensen's method is second order.

- (d) The first step in creating a cobweb plot is to implement a fixed point iteration scheme.

Listing 13: `../src/q1/fixedPointRoot.m`

```
function xn = fixedPointRoot(g, x0, nMax)
% fixedPointRoot Iteration to find solutions of x = g(x)
%
%Inputs:
% g = function handle to find the solutions of x = g(x)
% x0 = first term of the iteration
% nMax = the maximum number of iteration to quit after
%
%Output:
% xn = the iterative sequence
%
%Usage:
% xn = fixedPointRoot(@(x) cos(x), 0.75, 100) -> looks for a
% root of the equation x - cos(x) = 0, starting with an initial guess
% of 0.75.

% number of iterations
n = 0;
% preallocated sequence array and set initial guess as first term
xn = NaN(1, nMax);
xn(1) = x0;
% set initial error
err = Inf;

% iterate x -> g(x)
while n < nMax
    n = n + 1;
```



```

        xn(n + 1) = g(xn(n));
        err = abs(xn(n + 1) - xn(n));
    end

    % remove any unused elements of the preallocated array
    xn(isnan(xn)) = [];

    fprintf('\nAfter %d steps root is %-20.14g\n', n, xn(end));
    fprintf('Final absolute error is %g\n\n', err);
end

```

Then the `cobwebDiagram` function can display the results of the fixed point iteration.

Listing 14: `../src/q1/cobwebDiagram.m`

```

function cobwebDiagram(g, x0, n, a, b)
    %cobwebDiagram Creates cobweb diagram for x = g(x) in interval [a,b]
    %
    %Inputs:
    %   g = function handle for g(x)
    %   x0 = initial guess to start iteration
    %   nMax = number of iterations to complete
    %   a = lower end of interval [a,b] to plot cobweb diagram over
    %   b = upper end of interval [a,b] to plot cobweb diagram over
    %
    %Usage:
    %   cobwebDiagram(@(x) (x.^5 + 3)/5, 1, 10, 0, 1.5) -> produces a
    %   cobweb diagram of x = (x^5 + 3)/5 based on an initial guess of 10
    %   and 10 iterations. This is shown over the interval [0,1.5].

    %% get fixed point iteration sequence
    xn = fixedPointRoot(g, x0, n);

    %% generate cobweb diagram

    % get values for the line y = x and y = g(x)
    x = linspace(a, b);
    y = g(x);
    y(isinf(y)) = NaN;
    y(y ~= real(y)) = NaN;

    % set up figure
    hold on;
    grid on;
    set(gca, "DefaultLineLineWidth", 2);
    title("Cobweb plot for fixed point iteration");
    xlabel("x");
    ylabel("y")
    xlim([a b])
    ylim([min(x(1), y(1)) max(x(end), y(end))]);

    % plot lines y = x and y = g(x)
    plot(x, x, "r-", "DisplayName", "y = x");
    plot(x, y, "k-", "DisplayName", "y = g(x)");
    legend("AutoUpdate", "off");

    % plot the steps
    plot([xn(1) xn(1)], [0 xn(2)], 'm-');
    for i=1:length(xn) - 2
        plot([xn(i) xn(i + 1)], [xn(i + 1) xn(i + 1)], 'm-');
    end

```

```

    plot([xn(i + 1) xn(i + 1)], [xn(i + 1) xn(i + 2)], 'm-');
end
end

```

The function requires user inputs of the iteration function $g(x)$, the initial guess x_0 , the number of iterations and the interval to display on the x-axis (which should contain the root). The reason to user has to enter the range to display on the x-axis is because in the case of divergence the estimate of the root can shoot off to very large value which when plotted make previous iteration so small that the plot doesn't show anything meaningful.


The first test I did was to ensure it could produce a cobweb diagram. For this I set $g(x) = \frac{3-x^3}{4}$ with an initial guess of $\frac{1}{2}$.

Listing 15: `../src/q1/Q1d_cobweb_example.m`

```

cobwebDiagram(@(x) (3 - x.^3)/4, 0.5, 10, 0.2, 1)

```




Next I tested producing a staircase diagram, so I set $g(x) = \sqrt[5]{5x - 3}$ with an initial guess of 1.

Listing 16: `../src/q1/Q1d_staircase_example.m`

```

cobwebDiagram(@(x) (5*x - 3).^0.2, 1, 10, 0.5, 2)


```



Since the function should also be able to handle iterations that don't converge, I tried $g(x) = \frac{1-xe^x}{x}$ with an initial guess of $\frac{1}{2}$.

Listing 17: `../src/q1/Q1d.divergence_example.m`

```
cobwebDiagram(@(x) (1 - x.*exp(x))./x, 0.5, 10, -5, 5)
```



This cobweb diagram shows how the gradient at the root is too steep which means the iteration fails to converge. This is also shown by that fact that the approximate absolute error at the final step is still ~ 4.6 . I also tested it with a number of other function both converge and diverge however these have been omitted from the report to save space.

Question 2: Numerical integration and differentiation

- (a) (i) The first expression is Simpson's 3/8 rule and the second is Milne's rule.

Simpson's 3/8 rule can be implemented as follows.

Listing 18: ../src/q2/simpson38.m

```
function simpQuad = simpson38(f, a, b)
    %simpson38 approximates integral of f(x) over interval [a,b] by using
    %Simpson's 3/8 rule
    %
    %Inputs:
    % f = function handle of the integrand f(x)
    % a = lower bound of the interval
    % b = upper bound of the interval
    %
    %Outputs:
    % simpQuad = approximate quadrature
    %
    %Usage:
    % quad = simpson38(@(x) x^2, 0, 0.5) -> returns the approximate
    % intergal of x^2 in the interval [0, 0.5]

    simpQuad = (b - a)/8 .* (f(a) + 3*f((2*a + b)/3) + 3*f((a + 2*b)/3)...
        + f(b));
end
```

And similarly Milne's rule can be implemented.

Listing 19: ../src/q2/milne.m

```
function milneQuad = milne(f, a, b)
    %milne approximates integral of f(x) over interval [a,b] by using
    %Milne's rule
    %
    %Inputs:
    % f = function handle of the integrand f(x)
    % a = lower bound of the interval
    % b = upper bound of the interval
    %
    %Outputs:
    % milneQuad = approximate quadrature
    %
    %Usage:
    % quad = milne(@(x) x^2, 0, 0.5) -> returns the approximate
    % intergal of x^2 in the interval [0, 0.5]

    milneQuad = (b - a)/3 .* (2*f((3*a + b)/4) - f((a + b)/2)...
        + 2*f((a + 3*b)/4));
end
```

However to use the composite version the integral must be broken down into smaller intervals. For example breaking the integral into n intervals gives $\int_a^b f(x)dx = \int_a^{x_1} f(x)dx + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(x)dx + \dots + \int_{x_{n-1}}^b f(x)dx$ where $x_i = a + i \cdot \frac{b-a}{n}$. Then each of these smaller integrals can be calculated using either of the methods. The `compositeQuad` function breaks down the integral into smaller intervals before using a Newton-Cotes method of choice to approximate the integral.

Listing 20: ../src/q2/compositeQuad.m

```
function [compQuad, h, err] = compositeQuad(f, i, a, b, tol)
    %compositeQuad approximate quadrature using a Newton-Cotes method
    %
    %Inputs:
    % f = function handle of the integrand
    % i = function handle of the Newton-Cotes method to use. Must be in
    % the format i(f, a, b) where f is the integrand and [a,b] is the
```

```

% interval to integrate over
% a = lower bound of the interval
% b = upper bound of the interval
% tol = desired absolute error tolerance
%
%Outputs:
% compQuad = vector of the successive approximates of the
% quadrature where the final entry is the final approximate
% h = vector of the step size used at each approximation
% err = vector of the absolute error at each approximation
%
%Usage:
% compositeQuad(@(x) exp(x), @(f, a, b) (b - a)/2 .* (f(a) + f(b)),...
% 0, 1, 5e-4) -> Estimates the quadrature of e^x in the interval
% [0,1] using the trapezium rule to 3 decimal places

% max number of iterations to prevent infinite loop
nMax = 25;
% iteration counter
n = 1;
% number of subintervals
N = 2;
% preallocate vectors for the error, quadrature and step size
err = inf(1, nMax);
compQuad = NaN(1, nMax);
h = NaN(1, nMax);

% composite algorithm
while all(err > tol) && n < nMax
    % generate step size
    h(n) = (b - a)/N;
    % calculate lower bounds
    lowerBounds = a + h(n).*[0:N-1];
    % calculate upper bounds
    upperBounds = a + h(n).*[1:N];
    % calculate quadrature using given Newton-cotes method
    compQuad(n) = sum(i(f, lowerBounds, upperBounds));
    % calculate absolute error
    try
        err(n) = abs(compQuad(n) - compQuad(n - 1));
    catch
        % prevents error when calculating first error term as no
        % previous approximation to compare against
        err(n) = inf;
    end
    n = n + 1;
    N = N * 2;
end
% removed any used preallocation
err(isinf(err)) = [];
compQuad(isnan(compQuad)) = [];
h(isnan(h)) = [];
end

```

For an example both methods can be used to evaluate $\int_0^5 e^x - x dx$ to 6 decimal places as follows.

Listing 21: ../src/q2/Q2ai-example.m

```

f = @(x) exp(x) - x;
a = 0;
b = 5;
tol = 5e-7;
% using Simpson's 3/8 rule
compositeQuad(f, @simpson38, a, b, tol)
% using Milne's rule
compositeQuad(f, @milne, a, b, tol)

```

Both give the answer to the example as 134.913159.

- (ii) The order of a Newton-Cotes method is measured with respect to h (the size of subintervals).




Figure 1: Error convergence of composite Simpson's 3/8 rule and composite Milne's rule. Generated using Listing 31.

Figure 1 shows both method produce a straight line which shows $\text{error} \propto h^q$ where q is the gradient. The `polyfits` function shows both line have a gradient of 4 which means both composite Simpson's 3/8 rule and composite Milne's rule are 4th order.

The accuracy is the highest order polynomial that the method can integrate exactly. So to find the degree of accuracy of each method test it on a variety of polynomials of increasing order and see if it can integrate the polynomial exactly.

	Exact Value	Simpson's 3/8 Rule	Milne's Rule
$\int_0^1 x \, dx$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.5	0.5
$\int_0^1 x^2 \, dx$	$\frac{1}{3}$	0.3333333333333333	0.3333333333333333
$\int_0^1 x^3 \, dx$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0.25	0.25
$\int_0^1 x^4 \, dx$	$\frac{1}{5}$	0.203703703703704	0.1927083333333333

This shows that both methods are of degree of accuracy 3 as they can both exact integrate cubics but not quartics.

Whilst both methods are fourth order Simpson's 3/8 rule has a lower error term so is slightly better as it is more accurate. This is shown in page 14 as the absolute error for Simpson's 3/8

rule is always less.

- (b) (i) To find the order of the rounding and truncation error the absolute error is plotted against h .




Figure 2: Plot generated by Listing 32

The gradient of the two sections of the graph shows the order of the rounding and truncation error. The rounding error is shown by the jagged first half which has a gradient of -2 which shows the order of the rounding error is $\mathcal{O}(h^{-2})$. The second half of the graph shows the truncation error. This has a gradient of 2 which shows the truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

- (ii) The error is comprised of the truncation and rounding error. To find the truncation error of

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{2f(x) - 5f(x+h) + 4f(x+2h) - f(x+3h)}{h^2}, \quad (1)$$

consider the following Taylor expansions

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f^{(3)}(x) + \frac{h^4}{24}f^{(4)}(x) + \dots, \quad (2)$$

$$f(x+2h) = f(x) + 2hf'(x) + \frac{4h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{8h^3}{6}f^{(3)}(x) + \frac{16h^4}{24}f^{(4)}(x) + \dots, \quad (3)$$

$$f(x+3h) = f(x) + 3hf'(x) + \frac{9h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{27h^3}{6}f^{(3)}(x) + \frac{81h^4}{24}f^{(4)}(x) + \dots. \quad (4)$$

Substituting (2), (3), (4) into (1) gives

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{h^2 f''(x) + \frac{-11}{12}h^4 f^{(4)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^5)}{h^2} \quad (5)$$

$$= f''(x) - \frac{11}{12}h^2 f^{(4)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3), \quad (6)$$

so the truncation error is $\frac{11}{12}h^2 f^{(4)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$.

To find the rounding error assume h is small and can be stored exactly. So the rounding error in storing $f(x)$, $f(x+h)$, $f(x+2h)$ and $f(x+3h)$ is $|f(x)|\epsilon$, where ϵ is the floating point

relative accuracy, 2×10^{-16} . This means the total rounding error is $\frac{12|f(x)|\epsilon}{h^2}$. So the total error is given by

$$\text{error} \approx \frac{11}{12}h^2 f^{(4)}(x) + \frac{12|f(x)|\epsilon}{h^2}. \quad (7)$$

We want to minimise the error so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{error} \approx \frac{22}{12}hf^{(4)}(x) - \frac{24|f(x)|\epsilon}{h^3} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Approximating $f(x) \approx f^{(4)}(x)$ gives

$$\frac{22}{12}h - \frac{24\epsilon}{h^3} = 0, \quad (9)$$

so the h which minimises the error is

$$h = \sqrt[4]{\frac{144}{11}\epsilon}. \quad (10)$$




Figure 3: Plot produced using Listing 33

The algebraic expression has the same gradient however it is offset. This is because it assumes that h can be stored exactly and because it assumes $f(x) \approx f^{(4)}(x)$. However the value of h that minimises error lines up correctly.

Question 3: Numerical solution of ODEs

Listing 22: `../src/q3/rhsProjectile.m`

```
(a) function dydt = rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu)
    %rhsProjectile returns column vector of [x,y,u,v]
    dydt = [y(3), y(4), -mu * y(3) * (y(3)^2 + y(4)^2)^0.5, ...
            -g - mu * y(4) * ((y(3)^2 + y(4)^2)^0.5)]';
end
```


(b) (i) Forward Euler

Listing 23: ../src/q3/forwardEulerProjectile.m

```
function [t, y] = forwardEulerProjectile(rhs, tSpan, y0, g, mu, n)
    %forwardEulerProjectile solves the projectiles motion using forward
    %euler
    %
    %Inputs:
    %   rhs = function handle for rhs of ode returning a column vector
    %   [x,y,u,v]
    %   tSpan = vector [a,b] which is the time interval to solve ODE over
    %   y0 = 1*4 vector of initial conditions [x0,y0,u0,v0]
    %   g = value of the acceleration due to gravity
    %   mu = value of the drag parameter
    %   n = the number of steps to split integration over
    %
    %Outputs:
    %   t = column vector of solution times
    %   y = matrix of solutions where each row is the values of each of the
    %   variables at the corresponding value of t in the same fashion as
    %   ode45
    %Usage:
    %   [t,y]=forwardEulerProjectile(@rhsProjectile, [0 5],...
    %   [0 0 31 21]', 9.81, 2.79e-2, 100) -> Solves the projectile ODE from
    %   t=1 to 5 with 100 steps

    t = linspace(tSpan(1), tSpan(end), n + 1);
    % preallocate solution matrix
    y = zeros(numel(t), numel(y0));
    % calculate step size
    h = (tSpan(end) - tSpan(1))/n;

    % parse parameter values to RHS function
    f = @(t,y) rhs(t, y, g, mu);

    % set initial conditions
    y(1,:) = y0';

    % forward euler method
    for i = 1:n
        y(i + 1,:) = y(i,:) + h * f(t(i), y(i,:))';
    end
end
```

(ii) 4th-order Runge-Kutta

Listing 24: ../src/q3/rk4Projectile.m

```
function [t, y] = rk4Projectile(rhs, tSpan, y0, g, mu, n)
    %rk4Projectile solves the projectile motion using 4th order Runge-Kutta
    %
    %Inputs:
    %   rhs = function handle for rhs of ode returning a column vector
    %   [x,y,u,v]
    %   tSpan = vector [a,b] which is the time interval to solve ODE over
    %   y0 = 1*4 vector of initial conditions [x0,y0,u0,v0]
    %   g = value of the acceleration due to gravity
    %   mu = value of the drag parameter
```

```

% n = the number of steps to split integration over
%
%Outputs:
% t = column vector of solution times
% y = matrix of solutions where each row is the values of each of the
% variables at the corresponding value of t in the same fashion as
% ode45
%Usage:
% [t,y]=rk4Projectile(@rhsProjectile, [0 5],...
% [0 0 31 21]', 9.81, 2.79e-2, 100) -> Solves the projectile ODE from
% t=1 to 5 with 100 steps

t = linspace(tSpan(1), tSpan(end), n + 1);
% preallocate solution matrix
y = zeros(numel(t), numel(y0));
% calculate step size
h = (tSpan(end) - tSpan(1))/n;

% parse parameter values to RHS function
f = @(t,y) rhs(t, y, g, mu);

% set initial conditions
y(1,:) = y0';

for i=1:n
    m1 = f(t(i), y(i,:))';
    m2 = f(t(i) + h/2, y(i,:) + m1 * h/2)';
    m3 = f(t(i) + h/2, y(i,:) + m2 * h/2)';
    m4 = f(t(i) + h, y(i,:) + m3 * h)';
    y(i + 1,:) = y(i,:) + (h/6)*(m1 + 2*m2 + 2*m3 + m4);
end
end

```

(iii) ode45

Listing 25: ../src/q3/ode45projectile.m

```
[t, y] = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan, y0');
```

(c) Solving the ODE using the three different solvers gives the following trajectories.




Figure 4: Plot produced using Listing 34

(d) Error compared to ode45.




Figure 5: Generated using Listing 35

The order of global truncation error can be given by the gradient in the limit as $h \rightarrow 0$. In this case the gradient is 1 so the global truncation error is $\mathcal{O}(h)$.

(e) To find when the projectile crosses the x-axis first an event function is created.

Listing 26: `../src/q3/xaxisEvent.m`

```
function [value, isTerminal, direction] = xaxisEvent(t, y)
    % Halt when the ball reaches xaxis ie. y = 0
```

```

    value = y(2);
    % End integration
    isTerminal = 1;
    direction = -1;
end

```

Then solve the ODE with the added event function.

Listing 27: ../src/q3/Q3e.m

```

%% setup parameters
tSpan = [0 5];
v0 = 38;
theta0 = deg2rad(35);
g = 9.81;
mu = 2.79e-2;
h = 0.5;
n = (tSpan(end) - tSpan(1))/h;

%% initial conditions
y0 = [0 0 v0*cos(theta0) v0*sin(theta0)]';

%% solve ODE
options = odeset("Events", @xaxisEvent);
[t, y, te, ye, ie] = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan, ...
    y0, options);

%% display result
fprintf("Projectile first crosses x axis at %.13f\n", te)

```

This gives that the projectile first passes through the x-axis when $t = 3.038777368718$

- (f) An equivalent formulation to this problem is to consider the angles θ_0 required so that when a particle is fired from $(-40, 0)$ it lands through the origin $(0, 0)$. This can be visualized by plotting the landing x coordinate against θ_0 as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6: Generated using Listing 36

This shows the problem can be reduced to a root finding problem. This can be done using the bisection method already implemented in Listing 6 or Stephen's method implemented in Listing 11. The two values of θ_0 can be bracketed by the intervals $[20, 25]$ and $[50, 55]$. First a function, $x(\theta_0)$, that returns just the landing position given a θ_0 needs to be created.

Listing 28: ../src/q3/landingPosition.m

```
function x = landingPosition(theta)
    %% setup parameters
    tSpan = [0 5];
    v0 = 38;
    g = 9.81;
    mu = 2.79e-2;
    theta0 = deg2rad(theta);

    %% solve ODE for a range of theta
    %% set event detection
    options = odeset("Events", @xaxisEvent);
    % calculate initial conditions for a given theta
    y0 = [-40 0 v0*cos(theta0) v0*sin(theta0)]';
    % solve ODE
    [t, y, te, ye, ie] = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan, ...
    y0, options);

    x = ye(1);
end
```

Then using the bisection method the roots of $x(\theta_0) = 0$ can be found.

Listing 29: ../src/q3/Q3f_theta_solution.m

```
bisectRoot(@landingPosition, 20, 25, 5e-20)
bisectRoot(@landingPosition, 50, 55, 5e-20)
```

This gives $\theta_0 = 21.9185709$ or $\theta_0 = 51.567361$ as solutions to the initial angle so that the projectile crosses the x-axis 40m away.

Appendix A Additional Code for Question 1

Listing 30: ../src/q1/pltfunc.m

```
function pltFunc(f, domain, discountLim)
    %pltFunc plots function f between values of xLim removing any values
    % that are greater than discountLim to prevent MATLAB plotting
    % discontinuous functions as continuous and plots a line of x = 0 to
    % help make any zeros clear
    %
    % Input:
    % f = function handle to plot
    % domain = 1x2 vector containing the lower and upper bound of the
    % domain of f
    % discountLim = absolute values of the function greater than this are
    % changed to NaN. Setting to inf will plot all values of the function
    %
    % Usage:
    % pltFunc(@(x) 1./x, [-10 10], 5) -> Plots 1/x between -10 and 10
    % changing the values where |1/x|>5 to NaN

    % Check xLim is the correct dimensions
    assert(isequal(size(domain), [1 2]), "domain must be a 1x2 vector")

    %% Generate values to plot
    x = linspace(domain(1), domain(2));
    y = f(x);

    % Remove large values of y to prevent MATLAB plotting discontinuous
    % functions as continuous
    y(abs(y)>discountLim) = NaN;

    %% Plot function and line x = 0
    plot(x, y, [min(x) max(x)], [0 0], "g-", "LineWidth", 2);
    xlabel("x");
    ylabel("f(x)");
    xlim(domain);
    title("Plot of f(x)");
    grid on;
end
```

Appendix B Additional Code for Question 2

Listing 31: ../src/q2/Q2aii.m

```
f = @(x) exp(x) - x;
a = 3;
b = 4;
tol = 5e-9;
% using Simpson's 3/8 rule
[q, hSimp38, errSimp38] = compositeQuad(f, @simpson38, a, b, tol);
[q, hMilne, errMilne] = compositeQuad(f, @milne, a, b, tol);

% plot error
loglog(hSimp38(2:end), errSimp38, "x-", ...
    hMilne(2:end), errMilne, "x-", "LineWidth", 2);
legend("Simpson's 3/8 rule", "Milne's rule")
xlim([hSimp38(end) hSimp38(2)]);
```

```

xlabel("h")
ylabel("Absolute Error")
title("Effect of h on absolute error")
grid on;

polyfit(log(hSimp38(2:end)), log(errSimp38), 1)
polyfit(log(hMilne(2:end)), log(errMilne), 1)

```

Listing 32: ../src/q2/Q2bi.m

```

f = @(x) sin(x).^3;
d2 = @(f, x, h) (2*f(x) - 5*f(x + h) + 4*f(x + 2*h) - f(x + 3*h))./(h.^2);

x = 1;
h = logspace(-8,0,500);

df2 = d2(f, x, h);
err = abs(df2(2:end) - df2(1:end-1));

loglog(h(2:end),err,"LineWidth",2);
grid on
xlabel("h")
ylabel("Absolute Error")
title("Effect of h on absolute error")
[m,i]=min(err);
polyfit(log(h(1:i)),log(err(1:i)),1)
polyfit(log(h(i:end-1)),log(err(i:end)),1)

```

Listing 33: ../src/q2/Q2bii.m

```

f = @(x) sin(x).^3;
d2 = @(f, x, h) (2*f(x) - 5*f(x + h) + 4*f(x + 2*h) - f(x + 3*h))./(h.^2);

x = 1;
h = logspace(-8,0,500);

df2 = d2(f, x, h);
err = abs(df2(2:end) - df2(1:end-1));
errTheoretical = (11/12)*h.^2*f(x) + (12*f(x)*eps)./(h.^2);
hstar = nthroot((144*eps)/11, 4);
estar = (11/12)*hstar.^2*f(x) + (12*f(x)*eps)./(hstar.^2);

loglog(h(2:end),err,h, errTheoretical,"LineWidth",2);

hold on
plot(hstar,estar,"kx","MarkerSize",20, "LineWidth",2);

legend("Absolute Error", "Theoretical Absolute Error",...
       "Theoretical Minimum Error")
grid on
xlabel("h")
ylabel("Absolute Error")
title("Effect of h on absolute error")

```

Appendix C Additional Code for Question 3

Listing 34: ../src/q3/q3c.m

```

%% setup parameters
tSpan = [0 5];
v0 = 38;

```

```

theta0 = deg2rad(35);
g = 9.81;
mu = 2.79e-2;
h = 0.5;
n = (tSpan(end) - tSpan(1))/h;

%initial conditions
y0 = [0 0 v0*cos(theta0) v0*sin(theta0)]';

%% solve ODE
%ode45
[t, yode45] = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan, y0);

%forward euler
[t, yFE] = forwardEulerProjectile(@rhsProjectile, tSpan, y0, g, mu, n);

%runge-kutta 4th order
[t, yRK4] = rk4Projectile(@rhsProjectile, tSpan, y0, g, mu, n);

%% plot figure
% configure plot
hold on
grid on
legend
set(gca, "DefaultLineLineWidth", 2);
xlabel("x")
ylabel("y")
title("Comparison of ODE solvers")

% plot data
plot(yode45(:,1), yode45(:,2), "g-", "DisplayName", "ode45",...
     "LineWidth", 3)
plot(yFE(:,1), yFE(:,2), "r-", "DisplayName", "Forward Euler")
plot(yRK4(:,1), yRK4(:,2), "m-", "DisplayName", "4th Order Runge-Kutta")

```

Listing 35: ../src/q3/q3d.m

```

%% setup parameters
tSpan = [0 5];
v0 = 38;
theta0 = deg2rad(35);
g = 9.81;
mu = 2.79e-2;
n = floor(logspace(1,6));
h = nan(size(n));
err = nan(size(n));

%initial conditions
y0 = [0 0 v0*cos(theta0) v0*sin(theta0)]';

%% solve ODE
%ode45
options = odeset("RelTol",1e-6,"AbsTol",1e-9);
sol = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan, y0, options);

for i = 1:length(n)
    %forward euler
    [t, yFE] = forwardEulerProjectile(@rhsProjectile, tSpan, y0, g, mu, n(i));
    yExact = deval(sol, t)';

```



```

    h(i) = (t(end) - t(1))/n(i);
    diff = vecnorm(yFE - yExact, 2, 2);
    err(i) = trapz(t,diff)/t(end);
end

loglog(h, err,"b-","LineWidth",2)
ylabel("Absolute Error")
xlabel("h")
title("Affect of h on absolute error")
grid on
polyfit(log(h), log(err),1)

```

Listing 36: ../src/q3/Q3f.m

```

%% setup parameters
tSpan = [0 5];
v0 = 38;
g = 9.81;
mu = 2.79e-2;

%% solve ODE for a range of theta
%set event detection
options = odeset("Events", @xaxisEvent);

% generate range of theta
theta = [0:90];
landingPosition = zeros(1,91);

for i=theta
    theta0 = deg2rad(i);
    % calculate initial conditions for a given theta
    y0 = [-40 0 v0*cos(theta0) v0*sin(theta0)]';
    % solve ODE
    [t, y, te, ye, ie] = ode45(@(t, y) rhsProjectile(t, y, g, mu), tSpan,...
    y0, options);
    % extract landing position
    landingPosition(i+1) = ye(1);
end

%% Plot results
% configure plot
hold on
grid on
set(gca, "DefaultLineLineWidth", 2);
xlabel("\theta_{0}")
ylabel("x")
title("Landing position against theta")

plot(theta,landingPosition)
plot([0 90], [0 0], 'g-')

```