Chapter 1 - Introduction

Weber Jakob

October 21, 2020

1 Introduction

The ongoing digitalization of complex, large-scale industrial plants is leading to a massive increase of process data. This data can be used to enhance the overall understanding of the characterizing physical process inside the plant. Modern observer and control concepts are used to enhance the efficiency and quality the the plant. They use mathematical models of the ongoing process. Using an exact physical description as mathematical model for the relevant quantities is nevertheless often not feasible because of the complexity of the process as well as computational and measurement limitations.

Data driven approaches are state-of-the-art in many fields, including e.g. image and speech recognition. The usage of data driven methods, e.g. artificial neural networks, parametric models, etc., to model process quantities for which measurements are expensive or not practical, gains more and more influence and acceptance in the field of process control and optimization. The application of the specific algorithm depends on issues like data quality, interpretability of the model or computational efficiency.

In most process optimization tasks massive amounts of domain specific knowledge in form of physical theories and a priori knowledge is available. The combination of the use of domain knowledge and data driven modeling techniques is called hybrid modeling or grey-boy modeling. It lies between the two modeling extrema of white-box models, which are derived from first principles and physical models, and black-box models, which are derived from data only. The incorporation of this knowledge in state-of-the-art data driven approaches is not trivial and not solved for some algorithms. Nevertheless, its inclusion should improve the interpretability, which itself is of importance in the context of explainable artificial intelligence (XAI). XAI refers to modeling approaches and techniques in

In this thesis, we are going to develop an algorithm for efficient, static, multidimensional function approximation using a priori knowledge. The algorithm is based on structured additive regression **fahrmeir2013regression** and the use of user-defined constraints to include the a priori domain knowledge in the fitting process. **hofner2011monotonicity** The incorporation of domain specific knowledge should improve the model quality and robustness in situations where the measured data is sparse and/or noisy as well as interpolation and extrapolation behavior.

2 Related Work

We will now discuss some of the most used data-driven algorithms. The discussion includes the following model approaches:

- Parametric models: Linear and polynomial regression
- Non-parametric models: Basis function models
- Artificial neural networks
- Look-up tables
- Gaussian process regression

and focuses on the interpretability, computational efficiency as well as the ability to include domain knowledge of the individual modeling approaches. The list given above is not intended to be complete.

The common starting point for the different data-driven modeling approaches is that we have some data $\{x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_q^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The set of explanatory or input variables $\boldsymbol{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_q\}$ is used by the model function f to predict the response or output variable y, i.e.

$$y = f(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{1}$$

We are therefore in the setting of supervised learning.

2.1 Parametric Models

According to Nelles **nelles2013nonlinear**, parametric models are defined as models that can describe the true process behavior using a finite number of parameters. An example is given by the linear regression model for one input variable x, i.e

$$y = f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x. \tag{2}$$

Both parameters β_0 and β_1 allow for a direct interpretation as β_0 is the intercept, i.e. the output for the input x=0, and β_1 is the slope, i.e. the constant defining the relationship between the increase of the output y with respect to the increase of the input x.

Linear regression models are widely used and part of standard software tools. Their parameters can be efficiently computed using the least squares algorithm. One major drawback of linear models is that they can only recover a linear relationship between input and output variables. They are therefore quite restrictive and do not allow the incorporation of a priori domain knowledge.

An extension of the linear regression model is given by polynomial regression. Here, we try to model the output data y using a polynomial of degree p, i.e.

$$y = f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \dots + \beta_p x^p.$$
 (3)

Polynomial regression introduces more flexibility in the fitting process, since the restriction of linear relationship is relaxed to a polynomial relationship of degree p. As for linear models, we can again use the least squares algorithm for parameter estimation. The incorporation of a priori domain knowledge is possible, e.g. as the degree of the polynomial regression model. One major problem of polynomial regression is that the model function becomes quite wiggly for high polynomial degrees p.

Linear and polynomial regression models are so-called global models. Their parameters act on the complete input space. This property makes the incorporation of specific a priori domain knowledge, e.g. unimodal behavior, difficult and in most cases nearly impossible for parametric models.

2.2 Non-parametric Models

Nelles defines non-parametric models as models which require a infinite number of parameters to describe a process exactly. **nelles2013nonlinear** In almost all practical applications this infinite series is approximated by a finite number of parameters using the basis function approach given by

$$y = f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \theta_i^{(l)} \Phi_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \theta_i^{(nl)})$$
(4)

with the linear parameters $\theta_i^{(l)}$, the basis functions $\Phi_i(.)$, the input variables $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and the non-linear parameters $\theta_i^{(nl)}$. The output y is therefore given by a linear combination of M basis functions $\Phi_i(.)$. To model a non-linear relationship between y and \boldsymbol{x} , the basis functions $\Phi(.)$ need to be non-linear. Commonly used basis functions are e.g. the *hat function*, the *Gaussian*, *splines* or the *hinge function*.

One commonly used algorithm using the basis function approach is called Multivariate Addaptive Regression Splines (MARS). **friedman1991multivariate** MARS approximates data using the following model

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \theta_i \Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \tag{5}$$

using constant parameters θ_i . The used basis functions are one of the following three alternatives:

- 1. $\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, representing the intercept.
- 2. $\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \max(0, x \text{constant}_i)$ or $= \max(0, \text{constant}_i x)$, representing the hinge function h_i .
- 3. $\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = h_i h_i$, representing a product of two hinge functions.

MARS fits the model using an recursive splitting approach. More information can be found in **friedman1991multivariate** and **friedman2001elements**. MARS models are more flexible compared to the parametric linear and polynomial regression models. As only hinge functions and products of hinge functions

are used, MARS models are efficient and in general simple to understand and interpret. To our knowledge, there is currently no possibility to include a priori domain knowledge in the fitting process when using MARS.

The basis function approach in (4) may be extended by changing the parameters $\theta_i^{(l)}$ to more complex forms. An example for this is the so-called local linear neuro-fuzzy model, for which each parameter $\theta_i^{(i)}$ is changed to be a local linear model and each basis function $\Phi_i(.)$ is then called validity function determining the region of validity for the local linear model. **nelles2013nonlinear** The validity functions are normalized for any model input \boldsymbol{x} , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \Phi_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1. \tag{6}$$

and typically chosen to be Gaussian functions, i.e.

$$\Phi_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = a_i \exp\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right)$$
 (7)

with the normalization constant a_i and the parameters μ_i and σ_i determining the location and scale of the Gaussian function. The output of the local linear neuro-fuzzy model using M local linear models is then given by

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\beta_{i0} + \beta_{i1}x_1 + \dots + \beta_{iq}x_q)\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}).$$
 (8)

where the first term in the summation are the local linear models. The parameters β_{ij} for $i=1,\ldots,M$ and $j=1,\ldots,q$ as well as the parameters μ_i and σ_i from the validity functions Φ_i need to be optimized. This is done using the LOLIMOT algorithm. Further information is given in **nelles2013nonlinear**.

Local linear models as extension of linear models possess more flexibility with regards to non-linear relationships in the data. They can also be efficiently evaluated after the iterative training process. The interpretability is high since each local linear model contributes to the prediction according to its validity function. The ability to include a priori domain knowledge in the fitting process is currently not available.

2.3 Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial neural networks are currently the state-of-the-art solution method for many problems ranging from computer vision over time-series prediction to regression tasks. They utilize a high number of parameters to model hidden, high-dimensional relationships in the data. Further information can be found in standard textbook about neural networks, e.g. bishop2006patternRecognition or goodfellow2016deep.

In terms of modeling flexibility, artificial neural networks of sufficient size are proven by so-called universal approximation theorems to be able to represent a wide variety of functions.cybenko1989approximation hornik1991approximation

The computational complexity of a neural network depends on its size, aka. the number of parameters. Large networks need many training samples to generate sufficiently accurate predictions. The inclusion of a priori domain knowledge into the learning process of neural networks is possible for specific types of knowledge using the concepts of hints, see abu1990learning sill1997monotonicity

2.4 Look-up Tables

A look-up table is an array of values, which allows to replace computational expensive computations with inexpensive array indexing operations. The values in the look-up table are most often computed and stored beforehand. To gain higher resolution, interpolation techniques such as linear or quadratic interpolation may be applied to look-up tables.

Look-up tables are a standard tool in many fields. They are extremely efficient in terms of computation time. One problem that occurs is the exponential increase in size with the number of dimensions for the look-up table. As example, a 2×2 -table needs to save 4 values, while a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ table already needs 8 values. Another problem is that the values in the look-up table may come from complex, computational or physical models.

Lattice regression tackles this problems by jointly estimating all lookuptable values by minimizing the regularized interpolation error on training data. garcia2009lattice They state that using ensembles of lookup-tables which combine several tiny lattices enables linear scaling in the number of input dimension even for high dimensions. fard2016fast They further state that lattice regression may be used to incorporate a priori domain knowledge like monotonicity, shape or unimodality into the fitting process. gupta2016monotonic you2017deep

3 Outline

4 Stages in a thesis introduction

- 1. state the general topic and give some background
- 2. provide a review of the literature related to the topic
- 3. define the terms and scope of the topic
- 4. outline the current situation
- 5. evaluate the current situation (advantages/disadvantages) and identify the gap
- 6. state research question of proposed research
- 7. state research aims and/or research objectives
- 8. state the hypotheses
- 9. outline the order of information in the thesis
- 10. outline the methodology

This is the introduction chapter. It is going to contain the following points:

- Background Motivation: Like in the expose, data-driven approaches are necessary because of complexe phenomena
- Interpretable AI: Shift from black-box models to interpretable models
- Related work in XAI
- Goal of Thesis
- outline

References

- **abu1990learning** Yaser S Abu-Mostafa. "Learning from hints in neural networks". In: *Journal of complexity* 6.2 (1990), pp. 192–198.
- bishop2006patternRecognition Christopher M Bishop. Pattern recognition and machine learning. springer, 2006.
- cybenko1989approximation George Cybenko. "Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function". In: *Mathematics of control, signals and systems* 2.4 (1989), pp. 303–314.
- fahrmeir 2013
regression Ludwig Fahrmeir et al. Regression models. Springer, 2013, pp. 21–72.
- fard2016fast Mahdi Milani Fard et al. "Fast and flexible monotonic functions with ensembles of lattices". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2016, pp. 2919–2927.
- friedman1991multivariate Jerome H Friedman. "Multivariate adaptive regression splines". In: *The annals of statistics* (1991), pp. 1–67.
- friedman2001elements Jerome Friedman, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani. *The elements of statistical learning*. Vol. 1. 10. Springer series in statistics New York, 2001.
- garcia2009lattice Eric Garcia and Maya Gupta. "Lattice regression". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2009, pp. 594–602.
- **goodfellow2016deep** Ian Goodfellow et al. *Deep learning*. Vol. 1. MIT press Cambridge, 2016.
- gupta2016monotonic Maya Gupta et al. "Monotonic calibrated interpolated look-up tables". In: *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 17.1 (2016), pp. 3790–3836.
- hofner2011monotonicity Benjamin Hofner, Jörg Müller, and Torsten Hothorn. "Monotonicity-constrained species distribution models". In: *Ecology* 92.10 (2011), pp. 1895–1901.
- hornik1991approximation Kurt Hornik. "Approximation capabilities of multilayer feedforward networks". In: *Neural networks* 4.2 (1991), pp. 251–257.
- nelles2013nonlinear Oliver Nelles. Nonlinear system identification: from classical approaches to neural networks and fuzzy models. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

- sill1997monotonicity Joseph Sill and Yaser S Abu-Mostafa. "Monotonicity hints". In: *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 1997, pp. 634–640.
- you2017deep Seungil You et al. "Deep lattice networks and partial monotonic functions". In: Advances in neural information processing systems. 2017, pp. 2981–2989.