## Theory Behind Monte-Carlo Wavefunction Simulation

Jonathan Curtis

November 18, 2016

The Monte-Carlo wavefunction approach to problems in quantum optics is outlined well in the paper DOI: 10.1364/JOSAB.10.000524 (Molmer, Castin, Dalibard 1993). The basic idea will be outlined here in the context of a driven/dissipative cavity with a Jaynes-Cummings type non-linearity. For more information on this topic, see for example Carmichael (2015) DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.5.031028. We begin with a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_0 = \omega_0(a^{\dagger}a + \sigma^{\dagger}\sigma) + g(\sigma^{\dagger}a + a^{\dagger}\sigma)$$

where the

$$\sigma = |0\rangle\langle 1|$$

is the atomic lowering operator and

$$a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} |n - 1\rangle \langle n|$$

is the photonic lowering operator. This Hamiltonian is diagonalized by a change of basis to

$$|n,\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|n\rangle_{\text{cavity}} \otimes |0\rangle_{\text{atom}} \pm |n-1\rangle_{\text{cavity}} \otimes |1\rangle_{\text{atom}})$$

We consider this system in the presence of a coherent drive field and incoherent dissipation such that the drive Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_D = \mathcal{E}\left(ae^{i\omega_d t} + a^{\dagger}e^{-i\omega t}\right)$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the drive frequency and  $\mathcal{E}$  is the drive strength. Note that this is not diagonal in terms of the polariton basis. We also have dissipation introduced by the Markovian jump operators

$$L = \sqrt{\kappa}a$$

where  $\kappa$  is the cavity decay rate. The Monte-Carlo wavefunction approach calls for two ingredients. The first is a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian we can evolve the state by. This is given by

$$H = H_0 + H_D - \frac{i}{2}L^{\dagger}L$$

which means

$$H = (\delta - \frac{1}{2}i\kappa)a^{\dagger}a + \delta\sigma^{\dagger}\sigma + g(a^{\dagger}\sigma + \sigma^{\dagger}a)$$

where  $\delta = \omega_c - \omega_d$  is the drive detuning from the cavity and we have already passed to the frame co-rotating with the drive field. Let dt be a small time step we will evolve our state over. Then if our wavefunction is given by  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  then at time t + dt the wavefunction is given by

$$|\psi(t+dt)\rangle = (\mathbb{1} - iHdt) |\psi(t)\rangle$$

This is no longer a normalized state. Instead, this state has norm (to O(dt)),

$$\langle \psi(t+dt)|\psi(t+dt)\rangle = 1 - idt\langle \psi(t)|(H-H^{\dagger})|\psi(t)\rangle \equiv 1 - dp$$

For our Hamiltonian, this gives

$$dp = idt \langle \psi(t)| - i\kappa a^{\dagger} a |\psi(t)\rangle \Rightarrow dp = \kappa n(t) dt$$

where we have defined n(t) to be the expected photon population at time t. We now have the second part of the time evolution; the quantum jump. That is, with probability  $dp = \kappa n(t)dt$  we apply the quantum jump operator and with probability 1 - dp we don't. That means that with probability dp we have the immediate stochastic state evolution of

$$|\psi(t+dt)\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{dp/dt}}L|\psi(t+dt)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(t)}}a\left(1-idtH\right)|\psi(t)\rangle$$

This semi-unitary, semi-stochastic evolution is itererated to obtain arbitrarily long time dynamics. By repeating this a number of times with difference random results of each jump, an ensemble distribution of observables may efficiently be obtained.

## 1 Algorithm Implementation

In this program we will consider a truncated photon Hilbert space with a maximum number of photons of

$$N_{\rm max} >> 1$$

We will also choose a time interval sufficiently small such that

$$dp \ll 1$$

but also large enough that the bath is essentially uncorrelated. For an optical wavelength (as per the Molmer, et. al. paper), this is roughly the period of the optical radiation. As such, we require

$$dt >> \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \approx 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{s}$$

which is very short.

We will in practice measure times relative to the cavity decay rate, defining

$$\tau = \kappa t = t/\Gamma_{\rm cavity}$$

where  $\Gamma_{\text{cavity}} = 1/\kappa$  is the cavity lifetime. We therefore will endup measuring all frequencies relative to the cavity width. Thus, in our program, the parameters that appear will actually be

$$\delta/\kappa$$

 $g/\kappa$ 

 $\mathcal{E}/\kappa$ 

We will choose an appropriate dimensionless time-step

$$d\tau << 1$$

and we will evolve using the algorithm outlined above for

$$N_{\rm steps} >> 1$$

time steps. This will then be repeated over

$$N_{\rm trials} >> 1$$

independent trajectories to obtain an ensemble distribution. The quantities we will be interested in are

$$\langle a^{\dagger}a \rangle \equiv n(t)$$

$$\langle a \rangle \equiv \psi(t)$$

Furthermore, we will begin by initializing our cavity/atom state into the joint ground state so that

$$|\psi(0)\rangle = |0\rangle_{\text{cavity}} \otimes |0\rangle_{\text{atom}}$$

## 1.1 A Note on Indexing

It turns out that internally, it is much easier to implement the algebra if the Hilbert Space basis is indexed by a single integer. However, it is conceptually easier to implement an indexing based on the basis index for each respective factor space in the tensor product. Thus, we have created an internal map that maps a pair of integers for the tensor product basis to the flattened internal basis. For  $N_{\rm phot}$  internal photon states and  $N_{\rm spin}$  internal spin states, the tensor product basis indices are

$$(n_{\rm phot}, n_{\rm spin})$$

which corresponds to a basis ket

$$|n_{\mathrm{phot}}\rangle_{\mathrm{cavity}}\otimes|n_{\mathrm{spin}}\rangle_{\mathrm{atom}}$$

Internally, these are stored as an array of size  $N_{\rm phot} \times N_{\rm spin}$  which each corresponds to a ket in the tensor product basis. The mapping between these two schemes is accomplished by the flattening

$$n_{\rm flattened} = n_{\rm spin} \times N_{\rm phot} + n_{\rm phot}$$

which means that the basis kets read

$$|n_{\rm spin} \times N_{\rm phot} + n_{\rm phot}\rangle_{\rm flattened} = |n_{\rm phot}\rangle_{\rm cavity} \otimes |n_{\rm spin}\rangle_{\rm atom}$$