

🔒 **DISCLAIMER:** This document was created as a portfolio sample based on a hypothetical scenario. It is not affiliated with any real company or organization. Unauthorized use, distribution, or reproduction is prohibited. All content is the intellectual property of Julia Elliott.

## SCENARIO 3 – GLOBAL SOP PROGRAM BUILD-OUT

### Executive Summary

MedTech Solutions recently failed an FDA audit due to inadequate documentation and inconsistent processes across its three manufacturing sites (California, Texas, and Mexico). Only **23% of 148 critical processes** have controlled SOPs, exposing the organization to significant regulatory risk under **FDA 21 CFR Part 820** and **ISO 13485**. A follow-up audit is scheduled in 90 days, requiring swift and structured remediation.

We recommend the formation of a centralized **SOP Center of Excellence (CoE)** and a focused 9-month rollout plan that will:

- Deliver a **standardized, bilingual SOP template** aligned with FDA, ISO, and EHS requirements;
- Prioritize authoring using a **risk-based scoring model** (severity × frequency);
- Implement a **closed-loop validation, approval, and training workflow** inside MasterControl QMS;
- Achieve full regulatory compliance through SOP coverage ≥ 95%, training effectiveness ≥ 90%, and zero major audit findings.

This approach ensures consistency, compliance, and operational continuity across all facilities while enabling long-term SOP sustainability.

### Detailed Analysis & Prioritization Logic

To efficiently close documentation gaps and reduce compliance risk, SOP development is prioritized using a risk-based scoring model calculated as:

Risk Score = Severity (1–5) × Occurrence (1–5)

This scoring approach helps ensure the most critical and frequently executed processes are documented first. SOPs are then assigned to authoring sprints based on tier level:

Process	Risk Score	SOP Tier	Authoring Sprint
Clean-Room Gowning	25	Tier 1	Sprint 1

Process	Risk Score	SOP Tier	Authoring Sprint
Equipment Calibration	20	Tier 1	Sprint 1
Non-Conformance & CAPA	19	Tier 1	Sprint 2
Supplier Incoming Inspection	16	Tier 2	Sprint 2
Packaging & Label Control	12	Tier 3	Sprint 3

Tier-1 SOPs are directly tied to FDA-critical functions such as contamination control, traceability, and corrective action—making them the top priority. Lower-tier SOPs, while important, present less immediate regulatory exposure and are scheduled later in the rollout.

This methodical approach enables a structured and defensible remediation path during the 90-day audit window, with high-risk documentation addressed up front.

## **SOP Template & Tribal Knowledge Strategy**

### **Standardized SOP Template**

All SOPs will follow a centralized, bilingual template designed to satisfy FDA 21 CFR Part 820, ISO 13485, and internal EHS requirements. The template promotes consistency, clarity, and audit-readiness across all sites.

Template Section	Description
1. Purpose & Scope	Defines the process objective, boundaries, and applicability.
2. Definitions & References	Links directly to relevant regulatory clauses and internal policies.
3. Responsibilities	Presented in a RACI format to ensure role clarity across functions.
4. Procedure	Step-by-step instructions using photos, flowcharts, or diagrams for major actions.
5. Safety & Quality Alerts	Highlights known hazards, risk flags, or quality checkpoints.

Template Section	Description
6. Records & Forms	Specifies forms to complete and records to retain, including storage location.
7. Change History	Maintains version control and links to prior revisions for audit traceability.

---

### Tribal Knowledge Capture Strategy

To convert informal, undocumented practices into formal SOPs, the following knowledge capture methods will be employed:

- **Gemba Walkthrough Videos:** Subject matter experts (SMEs) will be recorded performing key processes using GoPro cameras, with bilingual narration to capture real-time nuance and best practices.
- **Storyboard Drafting & AI Transcription:** Video content will be uploaded to SharePoint, where speech-to-text AI tools generate first-draft SOP narratives. SMEs will then refine these into formal procedures.
- **Peer Review Boards:** Each draft will undergo structured peer review with representation from QA, EHS, and floor operators to ensure clarity, eliminate jargon, and validate regulatory alignment.

This approach reduces the documentation burden on SMEs while preserving critical process know-how, making SOPs both accurate and operator-friendly. It also facilitates rapid knowledge transfer and boosts training effectiveness.

### Approval Workflow & Training Loop

All SOPs will move through a standardized and closed-loop **approval workflow** housed within the **MasterControl Quality Management System (QMS)**. This process ensures traceability, accountability, and readiness for audit review.

#### ◆ SOP Workflow Steps

##### 1. Initial Drafting

SME drafts content using the standardized template with support from knowledge capture assets.

## 2. **SME Review**

Technical content and operational feasibility are reviewed by process owners.

## 3. **QA Validation**

QA team checks for compliance alignment, regulatory citations, and risk mitigation.

## 4. **Change Control Board (CCB) Review**

Formal approval by a cross-functional CCB (QA, Ops, EHS, Regulatory), ensuring consensus and sign-off.

## 5. **Final Release & Distribution**

SOP is uploaded to the controlled document repository and version-locked.

---

### ◆ **Training & Competency Loop**

Upon release, the system auto-generates associated training tasks via the Learning Management System (LMS):

- **Autobuild Training Plan** → SOP linked to role-based learning paths
- **LMS Assignment** → Employees receive SOP reading and quiz via LMS
- **Competency Quiz** → Pass threshold ≥ 90%; failures trigger retest
- **Effectiveness Check** → Supervisors confirm knowledge transfer on the floor

This workflow ensures full visibility and traceability of SOP lifecycle—from creation to training and enforcement.

### **Resource & Timeline Overview**

The SOP rollout will be delivered over a 9-month timeline, leveraging a centralized Center of Excellence (CoE) with rotational site-level support. Authoring will proceed in agile-style sprints, allowing for iterative delivery, validation, and training.

Months	Milestone / Activity	Estimated FTEs
0–1	Charter SOP CoE, finalize bilingual template, and align stakeholders across sites	2
1–3	Execute Sprint 1: Author 12 Tier-1 SOPs (highest risk areas: clean rooms, calibration)	6

Months	Milestone / Activity	Estimated FTEs
3–5	Execute Sprint 2: Author 18 SOPs across Tier-1 and Tier-2 categories	7
5–7	Execute Sprint 3: Complete 22 SOPs across Tier-2 and Tier-3 (e.g., labeling, inspections)	7
7–9	Conduct internal validation audit, close out CAPAs, and transition CoE to ongoing OPEX model	3

Site leads from California, Texas, and Mexico will provide rotational SME support. Translation support and documentation labs will be co-scheduled to align with authoring sprints and minimize production disruption.

### Risk Matrix & Mitigation Strategies

The following risks may impact SOP program success. Each has been evaluated for operational and regulatory impact, and paired with targeted mitigation strategies.

Risk	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
<b>Language Barriers</b>	High – Misinterpretation of SOPs may lead to compliance violations or safety issues.	Deploy a <b>dual-column ENG/ESP SOP template</b> , and provide <b>on-floor bilingual translators</b> during documentation walkthroughs and training sessions.
<b>SME Schedule Conflicts</b>	Medium – Subject matter experts may have limited time due to ongoing production responsibilities.	Schedule weekly <b>4-hour documentation labs</b> , pre-blocked on calendars, to provide uninterrupted writing and review time.
<b>Approval Delays</b>	Medium – SOP backlog may occur if reviews are not time-bound.	Leverage <b>parallel electronic approvals in MasterControl</b> with a <b>48-hour SLA</b> for all Change Control Board members.
<b>Low Operator Engagement</b>	Medium – SOPs may not reflect floor realities or may be seen as disconnected.	Include operators in <b>peer review boards</b> for each SOP draft to increase buy-in and ensure language clarity.

Risk	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
<b>Audit Exposure (FDA Follow-Up)</b>	High – Failure to demonstrate structured progress may result in warning letters or fines.	Maintain a real-time dashboard tracking SOP progress, coverage, and training metrics for executive and auditor visibility. Conduct monthly internal reviews to stay audit-ready.

This proactive risk strategy ensures the SOP program remains on track, responsive to constraints, and fully aligned with compliance deadlines.

### Success Metrics & Monitoring

The success of the SOP development program will be measured through clear, audit-ready performance indicators across documentation, training, and compliance.

#### ◆ SOP Coverage & Documentation Quality

- **Document Coverage ≥ 95%** of critical processes completed within 9 months.
- **Approval Cycle Time ≤ 5 business days** on average across all tiers.
- **Zero major audit findings** related to SOP completeness or control during the FDA follow-up audit.

#### ◆ Training Effectiveness

- **Training Pass Rate ≥ 90%** on first attempt (via LMS-linked competency quizzes).
- **Retest Completion Rate 100%** within 7 days for any failed attempt.
- **On-Floor Effectiveness Confirmed** via supervisor observation and checklist within 14 days of SOP release.

#### ◆ Monitoring & Continuous Improvement

- SOP status and training metrics tracked in **real-time via QMS dashboard** (MasterControl).
- Monthly progress reviews with CoE and site leadership to identify bottlenecks.
- **Annual SOP review cycle** embedded into the QMS for sustainability and regulatory alignment.
- Operator feedback loop incorporated into sprint retrospectives to capture usability issues and tribal insights.

These metrics ensure the program delivers measurable results and drives long-term cultural and operational change across all three facilities.