CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: BandBlog		
Team leader: Brandon Papin		
Team members:		
Kyle Ear, Karl Nguyen		
Repository (print): https://github.com/ bpapin19	/_BandBlog	
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
They did a good job, great use of Flask.		

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER			
Team name: Gamble			
Team leader: Zakee Khattak			
Team members:			
Chukwedi Ikem, Rene Ortiz			
Repository (print): https://github.com/	/		
Scoring			
Factor	Score	Comments	
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5		
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5		
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5		
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5		
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5		
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5		
Total			
General comments:			
Good presentation, you can tell a lot of	work went in to th	is.	

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: Definitely Not Malware		
Team leader: Tyler Goodman		
Team members:		
Billie Thompson, Waleed Ali		
Repository (print): https://github.com/ technicallyty	/ Solf Card	
Scoring	/ Sell.Calu	
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 345	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
The base functionality is good, good us	se of flask, looks g	good as well, solid job.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: WhozDat		
Team leader: Stephen Lee		
Team members:		
Armando Lopez, Jose Sanrindo		
Repository (print): https://github.com/ stephenjonglee	/ MakeACharQuiz	z
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
It was a good project, I liked that the la	yout wasn't perfec	tly done, fits the project content better.
Fill out and print this page, and sul	omit it on the da	ay this project is due.

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: Foodgram		
Team leader: Stephanie Nguyen		
Team members:		
Brandon Chenze, David Huang, Loc Ng	juyen	
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
Roaster	/ Foodgram	
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		I
High quality presentation, good function	nality.	

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: CPSC A-Team		
Team leader: Andrew Lam		
Team members:		
Katherine Correa, Paul Paguio, Stephe	n Pham	
Repository (print): https://github.com/	/ ProjectSubmiss	ion
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		l l
Props for working with PHP, the presen	tation was good a	is well.
Fill out and print this page, and sub	mit it on the de	by this project is due

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: Quickboard		
Team leader: Antonio Lopez		
Team members:		
Javier Melendrez, Brianna Sharpe, Jose	e Alvarado	
Repository (print): https://github.com/ antonio-lopez	/ cpsc-349-projec	et-one
Scoring		
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4 5	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
The project looks good, and the base	functionality is ac	dequate for the scope of the project.
 Fill out and print this page, and sub	mit it on the da	ay this project is due.

cpsc-349: Front-end website w/ php and MySQL

CPSC 349 Project Submission 1, due 27/30 Oct 2020 (-01/-02) REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER		
Team name: Team 4		
Team leader: Aedan Valle		
Team members:		
Brent Pfefferle, Adrian Lawson, Matthe	w Busch	
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
Scoring aedanvalle	/ Group-Project-1	349
Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include		Comments
equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	
Quality of documentation for installing,	1 2 3 4 5	
configuring, and using the application		
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
Good presentation, good functionality, solid project overall.		

CPSC 349 Project Submission REVIEWED BY: JASON OTTER	1, due 27/30	Oct 2020 (-01/-02)
Team name: Food Battle		
Team leader: Jessica Moreira		
Team members:		
Eric Chen		
Repository (print): https://github.com/		
	/ 349-Project1	
Scoring Factor	Score	Comments
Quality of Presentation (must include		Comments
equal participation by all members)	1 2 3 4(5)	
Quality of documentation for installing, configuring, and using the application	1 2 3 4 5	
Project scope and functionality	1 2 3 4 5	
Code quality	1 2 3 4 5	
Innovation and web design	1 2 3 4 5	
Teamwork	1 2 3 4 5	
Total		
General comments:		
The project is a bit rough, but the fur	nctionality is there	e and it works great. Good job.
Fill out and print this page, and sub	mit it on the da	av this project is due.