Implementation of the Terminal Subsystem and Job Control in the Mimiker Operating System

(Implementacja podsystemu terminali i kontroli zadań w systemie operacyjnym Mimiker)

Jakub Piecuch

Praca magisterska

Promotorzy: Krystian Bacławski Piotr Witkowski

Uniwersytet Wrocławski Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki Instytut Informatyki

11 maja 2021

Abstract

English Abstract

Polish Abstract

Contents

1	ion	7			
	1.1	What is a terminal?			
		1.1.1	Early-day terminals	7	
		1.1.2	Terminals in a modern context	8	
	1.2	What	is POSIX?	9	
	1.3	Jobs		9	
	1.4	Introd	uction to the Mimiker kernel	9	
		1.4.1	Synchronization primitives	9	
2	Job	Contr	rol	11	
	2.1	Proces	ss groups and sessions	11	
		2.1.1	POSIX process groups and sessions	11	
		2.1.2	Process groups in the Mimiker kernel	14	
		2.1.3	Sessions in the Mimiker kernel	20	
	2.2 Job Control Signals			21	
		2.2.1	POSIX signals	21	
		2.2.2	Signals used for job control	23	
		2.2.3	Signals in the Mimiker kernel	24	
3	The	Term	inal Subsystem	33	
	3.1	The te	erminal layer	33	
		3.1.1	POSIX terminals	34	
		3.1.2	Terminals in the Mimiker kernel	39	

6 CONTENTS

	3.2	The U	JART terminal driver	53
		3.2.1	General design	53
		3.2.2	Data structures	54
		3.2.3	UART terminal driver implementation	55
	3.3	Pseud	oterminals	60
		3.3.1	POSIX pseudoterminals	60
		3.3.2	Pseudoterminals in the Mimiker kernel	61
4	Imp	olemen	tation Challenges	65
	4.1	Interr	uptible sleep and stop signals	65
	4.2	Refine	ement of locking rules	69
5	Cor	nclusio	n	73
Bi	ibliog	graphy	·	75

Chapter 1

Introduction

XXX nie jestem jeszcze pewien jak powinno wyglądać wprowadzenie. Zabiorę się za nie jako ostatnie. To co jest teraz napisane prawdopodobnie wyrzucę, ale zostawiam do wglądu.

POMYSŁ NA WPROWADZENIE:

- Przedstawienie kontroli zadań w powłoce (motywacja).
- Jakie rzeczy są potrzebne żeby to wszystko działało? Sygnały, grupy procesów itp.
- W Mimikerze to nie działało, a teraz działa, i o tym jest ta praca.

1.1 What is a terminal?

1.1.1 Early-day terminals

In the early days of computing, a computer had a single operator. Users would submit their programs to the operator, usually on punched cards. When the user's turn came, the operator would load the program into the machine, and collect the output of the program in the form of punched cards. The whole process usually took hours. If the program contained an error, the user would know about it no sooner than when the operator loads it into the machine. Clearly, a more interactive way of submitting programs for execution was needed.

Time-sharing systems were the solution to this problem. A time-sharing system allows users to interact with the machine using a terminal. It gives the user an illusion of exclusive posession of the machine's resources. In reality, the operating system provides a fraction of the machine's computing resources to each user. Multics[24] is an early example of a time-sharing operating system.

In general, a terminal device is any device that allows its user to interact with a computing system, such as a mainframe. It allows the user to input commands, data, or even whole programs, and to examine the system's output. A terminal could communicate with the system remotely, or be directly attached to it.

In the beginning, terminals were electromechanical teletypewriters (teletypes, or TTYs for short), initially used in telegraphy. A teletype sends data typed on the keyboard to the computer, and prints the response on a piece of paper.

In the 1970, video terminals came into widespread use. A video terminal displays output on a screen instead of printing it. Most models provide a set of special escape codes: sequences of characters that, when sent to the terminal, cause some action, e.g. clearing the screen. One of the most common features is a cursor that can be controlled using escape codes. This made tasks such as editing text much more enjoyable. The DEC VT100 series of video terminals was extremely successful, and is the basis of a de facto standard for terminal escape codes.

1.1.2 Terminals in a modern context

Nowadays, most people use their computer via a graphical user interface, or GUI. There is no dedicated device that handles interaction between the system and the user: input can be provided and output can be displayed by multiple devices. For instance, user input can be provided by a combination of a keyboard and mouse, and output can be displayed on a pair of monitors. Consequently, input and output handling in GUI programs is considerably more complex compared to programs written for character-based terminals. In addition, some people simply prefer to use a text-based, non-graphical interface due to its efficiency. For these reasons, it is useful to provide a means to run programs that use a terminal-based interface. This is accomplished using a terminal emulator.

A terminal emulator is a GUI program that emulates a terminal device, usually one that is compatible with the VT100 series of video terminals. The display of the emulated terminal is presented in the emulator's GUI window. The emulator translates the user's keystrokes into characters and feeds them as input to the application running inside the emulator. Output from the application is displayed in the GUI window in the same way it would be displayed on a real video terminal's screen. xterm is an example of a terminal emulator.

Another area where terminal-based interfaces are still used is communication over limited bandwidth connections, such as a Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter (UART). Many development boards use a serial connection as the primary way of communication with the user.

To summarize, even though 1970s-style video terminals are no longer used, character-based computer interfaces that are compatible with those terminals remain

an important part, or even the basis of interaction between a user and a computer system.

1.2 What is POSIX?

POSIX [20] is a set of standards specifying an operating system interface. Its primary goal is to make it easy to write portable libraries and applications that work across different operating systems, although they usually need to be compiled for each operating system separately.

Among other things, it defines an Application Programming Interface (API) for programs written in the C programming language that allows them to interact with the system and use its services. Every POSIX-compliant operating system must provide an implementation of this API.

The Mimiker operating system implements the POSIX API, but the implementation is far from complete. This allows us to use existing programs using the POSIX API like shells, command line utilities and text editors.

1.3 Jobs

A job consists of one or more processes. The processes are usually connected in a pipeline, with each one accepting input from the previous one in the chain, and feeding output to the next one.

Jobs are purely a shell concept: they are implemented entirely in the shell, and the operating system has no knowledge of them. However, there is a close correspondence between shell jobs and process groups, which are implemented by the operating system. The shell puts different jobs in different process groups.

1.4 Introduction to the Mimiker kernel

TODO

1.4.1 Synchronization primitives

TODO

Chapter 2

Job Control

Support for job control on POSIX-compliant systems is realised by several concepts:

- *Process groups*, which allow for grouping processes that are part of a single job, together with facilities to send a signal to every process in a process group at once;
- Sessions, which connect all the processes that are run by a user between logging in and logging out of the system;
- Job control signals like SIGSTOP and SIGCONT, which allow for stopping and continuing individual processes;
- Background and foreground process groups, which determine the processes that are allowed to receive user input and write output to the terminal.

We will now describe each of these concepts in detail, with the exception of background and foreground process groups, which will be described in the next chapter. For each concept, we will first bring up the relevant parts of the POSIX specification, after which we will lay out its implementation in the Mimiker operating system.

2.1 Process groups and sessions

2.1.1 POSIX process groups and sessions

POSIX process groups

Process groups are central to job control. They relate processes performing a common task, such as a shell pipeline. Process groups are identified by a unique *process* group ID, or PGID for short.

Every process belongs to exactly one process group. When a new process is created using fork()[14], it joins the process group of its parent. A process can change its own process group, or that of one of its children. This is done using the setpgid()[15] function. It is used by the shell to set the process group of all processes in a job.

There is a correspondence between process IDs and process groups IDs: every newly created process group's ID is equal to the process ID of its first inhabitant, also called the *process group leader*. The leader process is not special in any way, other than the fact that its PID is equal to the PGID of the group it is in.

Process groups are useful from a job control perspective, since certain POSIX functions like waitpid()[22] and kill()[3] can operate on entire process groups. For instance, waitpid() allows the caller to wait for a status change of any child process in the specified process group.

POSIX sessions

The concept of a session is fairly intuitive. A new session starts when a user logs into the system. Initially, the user's shell is the only process in the session. All jobs (and therefore process groups) created by the shell belong to the same session. Every process group must belong to exactly one session. Session are collections of process groups, much in the same way as process groups are collections of processes. A notable difference is that a process group cannot change its session during its lifetime, while a process can change its process group. Like processes and process groups, sessions have numeric identifiers called session IDs, or SIDs.

A session may have an associated terminal device. That terminal device is called the session's *controlling terminal*. They will be explained in detail in the next chapter.

Processes are not confined to their session: they can separate from it by creating their own session using the setsid()[9] function. It creates a new session, initially containing just the calling process. All sessions are created in this way. Creating a new session necessarily means also creating a new process group: if it didn't, we could have two processes in the same process group, but in different sessions. The SID of the newly created session is equal to the PID of the creating process.

The process that creates a new session is called the *session leader*. Usually, the session leader a is shell, or some other program "in charge" of running and controlling all other programs in the session. It is supposed to be the last process in its session to exit. If a session leader exits while its session contains other processes, every process in the session will receive a SIGHUP signal, whose default effect is to kill the receiving process. Processes can ignore this signal, so it is possible for a session to outlive its leader.

Some programs are supposed to run indefinitely and without user intervention. A good example are *daemons*: programs that run in the background, e.g. providing services to other programs. A user may launch a daemon process from the shell. If the shell process exits, the daemon should continue to run. The daemon can become independent from the shell by creating its own session. When the shell exits, the daemon will not be notified in any way, since it will be in a different session. Independence from the shell should not be confused with independence from the user: the user may open a shell in another session and send a signal to the daemon process, e.g. SIGKILL.

Figure 2.1 illustrates a typical grouping of processes into process groups and sessions. Arrows indicate parent-child relationships. It can be seen that sh, sshd and init are session leaders. The sshd process is a daemon that was started by init. The shell (sh) has two active jobs, which occupy process groups 4 and 6. The two jobs were spawned using the following shell command:

cat /etc/passwd | grep user & echo hello

This runs the pipeline cat /etc/passwd | grep user as a background job, and starts the job echo hello without waiting for the background job to finish.




Figure 2.1: A typical process hierarchy.

Orphaned process groups

As we have just explained, processes belonging to the same shell job are put inside the same process group. The shell is responsible for managing jobs, which means keeping track of changes in their state (a job can become stopped when the user's inputs a special character), as well as manipulating them according to the user's commands. However, when a shell exits, perhaps due to a bug, there might no longer be a process managing these jobs. Specifically, there might no longer be a process that can continue stopped jobs. Those jobs are doomed to being stopped forever!

This is where the concept of *orphaned process groups* comes into play. A process group is orphaned when none of the processes in the group have a parent that is in the same session, but in a different process group.

Consider the example of a shell: all jobs are in a different process group, but in the same session as the shell. Therefore, as long the shell is alive, the process groups of the jobs are not orphaned. However, when the shell process terminates, all children of the shell are *reparented*, i.e. some other process becomes their parent, usually the *init* process with PID 1. The new parent is usually in a different session, so after reparenting the process groups of the jobs are orphaned.

To solve the problem of jobs being stopped forever, when a process group becomes orphaned, if the process group contains at least one stopped process, then every process in the group is sent a SIGHUP signal followed by a SIGCONT signal followed by SIGHUP. The SIGCONT signal will resume stopped processes, and the SIGHUP signal notifies the processes that they are now orphaned (it will also most likely kill the processes, as that is the signal's default action).

Furthermore, processes in orphaned process groups cannot be stopped by *terminal stop signals*, i.e. SIGTSTP, SIGTTOU and SIGTTIN. These signal are explained in section 2.2.2.

2.1.2 Process groups in the Mimiker kernel

We will now describe the implementation of process groups in the Mimiker kernel. First, we lay out the data structures used. After that, we will go over how processes enter and exit process groups.

Data structures

pgrp_t

The pgrp_t structure represents a single process group.

```
typedef struct pgrp {
  mtx_t pg_lock;
  TAILQ_ENTRY(pgrp) pg_hash;
  TAILQ_HEAD(, proc) pg_members;
  session_t *pg_session;
  int pg_jobc;
  pgid_t pg_id;
} pgrp_t;
```

Listing 1: include/sys/proc.h: definition of pgrp_t.

The pg_lock mutex synchronizes concurrent accesses to the list of members. The pg_hash field is a list entry used to link the structure into the global hashtable used to lookup process groups by PGID.

All processes that are members of the process group are on the pg_members list. The list allows easy access, e.g. when a signal needs to be sent to all members of the group.

pg_session is a pointer to the session_t structure representing the session that this process group is a part of. Every process group is a part of some session.

The pg_jobc field is a counter that tracks how many processes qualify the group for job control. We say that a process qualifies the group for job control if and only if its parent is in a different process group and in the same session. This way, when pg_jobc drops to zero, we know that the process group has become orphaned.

The pg_id field is simply the numeric ID of the process group.

```
proc_t::p_pgrp
```

The p_pgrp field of the process descriptor structure is a pointer to the group that the process is a member of.

Changing process groups

Listing 2 shows kernel code implementing the POSIX setpgid() function. p is the process that is performing the system call, target is the PID of the process whose process group is to be changed, and pgid is the PGID of the process group to which the target process is to be moved.

```
int pgrp_enter(proc_t *p, pid_t target, pgid_t pgid) {
SCOPED_MTX_LOCK(all_proc_mtx);
   proc_t *targetp = proc_find_raw(target);
② if (targetp == NULL || !proc_is_alive(targetp) ||
        (targetp != p && targetp->p_parent != p))
     return ESRCH;
f if (targetp == targetp->p_pgrp->pg_session->s_leader)
     return EPERM;
   if (targetp->p_pgrp->pg_session != p->p_pgrp->pg_session)
     return EPERM;
   pgrp_t *pg = pgrp_lookup(pgid);
   /* Create new group if one does not exist. */
f if (pg == NULL) {
     /* New pqrp can only be created with PGID = PID of target process. */
     if (pgid != target)
       return EPERM;
     pg = pgrp_create(pgid);
     pg->pg_session = p->p_pgrp->pg_session;
     session_hold(pg->pg_session);
6 } else if (pg->pg_session != p->p_pgrp->pg_session) {
     /* Target process group must be in the same session
      * as the calling process. */
     return EPERM;
   }
  return _pgrp_enter(targetp, pg);
```

Listing 2: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of pgrp_enter().

First, we **①** acquire the all_proc_mtx, which synchronizes accesses to process-tree structures, such as process groups and sessions. Next, lines **②**, **③** and **④** respectively perform the following checks:

- The target process must exist, be alive (i.e. executing normally or stopped), and it must either be a child of the calling process, or be the calling process itself;
- The target process must not be a session leader;
- The target process must be in the same session as the calling process.

We then **6** check whether the target process group exists. If it doesn't, it is created, but only if the requested PGID matches the PID of the target process. At **6** we perform yet another permission check. Finally, we call <code>_pgrp_enter()</code> to perform the actual work of changing the process group of the target process.

Now, let's see how a process group switch actually happens.

```
static int _pgrp_enter(proc_t *p, pgrp_t *target) {
   pgrp_t *old_pgrp = p->p_pgrp;
   if (old_pgrp == target)
     return 0;
   pgrp_jobc_enter(p, target);
   pgrp_jobc_leave(p, old_pgrp);
   WITH_MTX_LOCK(&old_pgrp->pg_lock) {
     WITH_MTX_LOCK(&target->pg_lock) {
       WITH_PROC_LOCK(p) {
4
         TAILQ_REMOVE(&old_pgrp->pg_members, p, p_pglist);
         TAILQ_INSERT_HEAD(&target->pg_members, p, p_pglist);
         p->p_pgrp = target;
       }
     }
   }
   if (TAILQ_EMPTY(&old_pgrp->pg_members))
     pgrp_remove(old_pgrp);
   return 0;
 }
```

Listing 3: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of _pgrp_enter().

We first **0** check whether we need to change groups at all. If we do, we **2** adjust the pg_jobc counters of the old group, target group, as well as the process groups of all children of the process. We will examine these functions in a minute.

The process group switch must appear atomic. For this reason, it is necessary to hold all_proc_mtx, both the old group and target group's lock, and the process lock of the target process. We acquire the necessary locks at ③. all_proc_mtx is not acquired, since it is already held by the caller of _pgrp_enter().

When acquiring two locks of the same type (in this case process group locks), one has to be very careful not to cause a deadlock. The usual way to ensure safety from deadlocks is to establish an ordering on the locks, and whenever multiple locks need to be acquired, make sure all required locks are acquired according to that ordering. However, in this case deadlock can be avoided without specifying an order on process group locks, by enforcing the following rule:

In order to acquire multiple process group locks, a thread must already hold the all_proc_mtx lock.

With this rule in force, it is impossible for two threads to concurrently attempt to acquire multiple process group locks, since all_proc_mtx will act as a serializer between them. The rule is a natural fit in this particular situation for two reasons:

- _pgrp_enter() is the only place in the whole kernel where there is a need to acquire multiple process group locks;
- The all_proc_mtx lock is already held everywhere _pgrp_enter() is called. Therefore, virtually no code changes had to be made in order to accommodate this rule.

At ②, we are finally ready to make the switch. We remove the process from the old group's list of members, add it to the target group's list, and change the p_pgrp pointer.

At the end, we **6** check whether the process was the last remaining process in the old group. If so, the process group is removed.

Orphaned process groups

The pg_jobc counters need to be adjusted whenever a process leaves or enters a process group. However, it is not sufficient to adjust only the counter of the process group being entered or left. When a process leaves a process group, the children of the process may no longer qualify their process group for job control.

Figure 2.2 illustrates a scenario in which a call to setpgid() causes the process group of a child of the calling process to become orphaned. For this reason, it is necessary to check whether the children still qualify their process groups for job control whenever leaving or entering a process group.




Figure 2.2: A parent's change of process group causes a child's process group to become orphaned.

The accounting associated with pg_jobc is split into two functions: pgrp_jobc_enter() and pgrp_jobc_leave().

```
static void pgrp_jobc_enter(proc_t *p, pgrp_t *pg) {
   assert(mtx_owned(all_proc_mtx));

if (same_session_p(p->p_parent->p_pgrp, pg))
    pg->pg_jobc++;

proc_t *child;

TAILQ_FOREACH (child, CHILDREN(p), p_child)
   if (same_session_p(child->p_pgrp, pg))
        child->p_pgrp->pg_jobc++;
}
```

Listing 4: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of pgrp_jobc_enter().

p is the process entering the process group pg. The same_session_p() function returns true if and only if the two groups are different, but in the same session.

At **①**, we check whether **p** will qualify the process group **pg** for job control after entering it. If so, the **pg_jobc** counter is incremented.

Next, at ② we do the same for the children of p: we check whether they will qualify their process groups after p enters pg. If so, the group's pg_jobc is incremented.

The pgrp_jobc_leave() function has a very similar structure, except now we call pgrp_maybe_orphan() instead of incrementing pg_jobc. The pgrp_maybe_orphan() function decrements the process group's pg_jobc, and if it reaches zero, sends the appropriate signals.

```
static void pgrp_jobc_leave(proc_t *p, pgrp_t *pg) {
   assert(mtx_owned(all_proc_mtx));

if (same_session_p(p->p_parent->p_pgrp, pg))
   pgrp_maybe_orphan(pg);

proc_t *child;

TAILQ_FOREACH (child, CHILDREN(p), p_child)
   if (same_session_p(child->p_pgrp, pg))
      pgrp_maybe_orphan(child->p_pgrp);
}
```

Listing 5: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of pgrp_jobc_leave().

2.1.3 Sessions in the Mimiker kernel

We will now examine the implementation of sessions in the Mimiker kernel. After looking at the session data structure, we will see how new sessions are created.

Data structures

The session_t data structure represents a single session. Listing 6 presents its complete definition.

```
typedef struct session {
  TAILQ_ENTRY(session) s_hash;
  proc_t *s_leader;
  int s_count;
  sid_t s_sid;
  tty_t *s_tty;
  char s_login[LOGIN_NAME_MAX];
} session_t;
```

Listing 6: include/sys/proc.h: definition of session_t.

The s_hash field is used to link the structure into the hashtable used to look up session structures by their session ID (SID).

The s_leader field points to the process that is the session leader, i.e. the process that created the session by calling the setsid() function. Once the session leader terminates, the s_leader field of the session is set to NULL.

The s_count field counts the number of process groups belonging to the session. Whenever a new process group joins the session, the session_hold() function increments the s_count field (see listing 2 for an example of its usage). When a process group is removed, the pgrp_remove() function, which can be seen used in listing 5 decrements the session's s_count field. Once the value of the field reaches zero, the session is removed from the kernel and the memory occupied by the structure is reclaimed.

The s_sid field holds the numeric ID of the session. It is equal to the PID of the process that created the session.

The s_tty field is a pointer to the session's controlling terminal. For interactive sessions (i.e. sessions created by a user logging into the system and launching a shell), the controlling terminal is the device that provides input to the shell and spawned jobs, and receives their output. Not every session has a controlling terminal: daemon processes are usually placed in sessions without a controlling terminal. If a session has no controlling terminal, the value of its s_tty field is set to NULL.

Creating a session

User processes can create new sessions using the setsid() function from the C library. That function directly calls the setsid() system call, which is implemented by the sys_setsid() function in the kernel. That function, in turn, calls session_enter() to do the work. Listing 7 presents the source code of session_enter().

```
int session_enter(proc_t *p) {

    SCOPED_MTX_LOCK(&all_proc_mtx);

    pgid_t pgid = p->p_pid;
    pgrp_t *pg = pgrp_lookup(pgid);

    if (pg)
        return EPERM;

    pg = pgrp_create(pgid);
    pg->pg_session = session_create(p);

    return _pgrp_enter(p, pg);
}
```

Listing 7: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of session_enter().

First, we **①** acquire the all_proc_mtx lock, which is required to perform things such as process group lookups and creating new sessions and process groups.

At ②, we ensure that there doesn't already exist a process group with the same PGID as the PID of the calling process. The process group that is created as a result of creating a new session has a PGID equal to the PID of the calling process, and if a group with such a PGID already exists, we can't create the group we need, since PGIDs must be unique.

Once we have ensured that we can create the group, we do so at **3**. Then, we create a new session with p as the session leader and link it to the newly created group (the session created by session_create() has a s_count of 1, so there's no need to adjust it). Finally, we **3** change the process group of the calling process to the one we just created.

2.2 Job Control Signals

2.2.1 POSIX signals

Signals are used to notify processes of various events. These events can occur synchronously or asynchronously with respect to the process receiving the signal.

POSIX signal semantics are (intentionally) very similar to those found in the original UNIX operating system [23, Section 7.2].

A *synchronous signal* is sent as a direct consequence of some (usually erroneous) action being performed by the receiving process. For instance, a process is sent a SIGSEGV signal upon trying to access an invalid memory location.

An asynchronous signal is sent independently of the actions of the receiving process, and may be received at any time. For example, whenever a process terminates, the system sends a SIGCHLD signal to its parent.

Signals can be sent by the operating system in response to certain events (e.g. process termination), or by other processes, using the kill() function [3]. A process can send a signal to itself using the raise() function. As a shorthand, we shall say that a signal is sent to a process group when it's sent to every process that is a member of the group.

The type of signal (SIGSEGV, SIGCHLD, etc.) is determined by the $signal\ number$. In fact, SIGSEGV and others are $C\ preprocessor\ macros$ that expand to unique signal numbers.

Processes can take different actions in response to signals with different numbers. Every process has its own set of *signal actions* associated with every signal number. The action associated with a signal is also called its *disposition*. There are three possible actions that can be taken in response to a signal:

- 1. Take the default action for that signal number.
 - Every signal number has an associated default action. For instance, the default action of the SIGSEGV signal is to immediately terminate the receiving process.
- 2. Ignore the signal.
- 3. Invoke a signal handler routine.

The handler routine is run in the context of the process that receiving process. After the handler finishes execution, the process is resumed.

The mapping of signal numbers to signal actions is controlled using the sigaction() function [10]. Not every signal can have its action modified: SIGKILL and SIGSTOP cannot have their actions changed from the default one, which is to terminate or stop the receiving process, respectively.

The *delivery* of a signal occurs when the target (i.e. receiving) process takes the action associated with the signal. A signal may be delivered long after it is initially sent, or it may not be delivered at all, even if it isn't ignored. This is because a process may *block* a set of signals from being delivered. A blocked signal cannot be delivered until it is unblocked. Contrary to signals that are ignored, blocked signals await delivery instead of being discarded.

The *signal mask* determines the set of blocked signals for a thread. It can be examined and modified using the sigprocmask() function [11]. Unsurprisingly, the SIGKILL and SIGSTOP signals cannot be blocked from being delivered.

2.2.2 Signals used for job control

The following signals are most commonly used to control jobs on POSIX-compliant operating systems:

• SIGINT

Sent by the operating system in response to the special character VINTR being received on the terminal. Its purpose is to signal interruption by the user. A process that receives this signal is usually expected to terminate shortly. The default action associated with this signal is to terminate the receiving process.

• SIGQUIT

Similar to SIGINT, except it is sent in response to the VQUIT character, and the default action additionally generates a core dump of the receiving process.

• SIGTTOU

Sent by the operating system whenever a background job attempts to write to the terminal, provided the TOSTOP terminal flag is set (more on terminal flags later). The default action associated with this signal is to stop the receiving process.

• SIGTTIN

Sent by the operating system whenever a background job attempts to read from the terminal. Background jobs may not read from the terminal, regardless of the terminal settings. The default action associated with this signal is to stop the receiving process.

• SIGTSTP

Sent by the operating system in response to the special character VSUSP being received on the terminal. Its purpose is to stop the foreground job. Well-behaving processes should not ignore this signal. Many programs need to do some cleanup before stopping: in that case, they register a handler that does the necessary cleanup, after which it performs raise(SIGSTOP). The default action associated with this signal is to stop the receiving process.

• SIGSTOP

This signal is not sent by the operating system. It unconditionally stops the receiving process. It cannot be blocked or ignored.

• SIGCONT

This is the only signal that can resume a stopped process (apart from SIGKILL, which resumes it only to immediately terminate it). It is usually sent by the shell, e.g. when a background job that was stopped by a SIGTTIN signal is brought into the foreground.

• SIGCHLD

Sent by the operating system in response to the termination of a process. The signal is sent to the parent of the terminating process. Shells use this signal to update their data on currently running jobs.

2.2.3 Signals in the Mimiker kernel

In this subsection we describe the implementation of signals in the Mimiker kernel. First, we lay out the data structures used, after which we go through how exactly signals are sent and delivered in the kernel. Lastly, we examine how processes are stopped in response to the delivery of a stop signal.

Signal data structures

We will now describe the various data types and structures that are critical to the implementation of signals in the Mimiker kernel.

```
sigaction_t
```

The sigaction_t data type describes the disposition of a signal.

```
typedef void (*sig_t)(int); /* type of signal function */

typedef struct sigaction {
  union {
    sig_t sa_handler;
    void (*sa_sigaction)(int, siginfo_t *, void *);
  };
  sigset_t sa_mask;
  int sa_flags;
} sigaction_t;
```

Listing 8: include/sys/signal.h: definition of sigaction_t.

The sa_handler and sa_sigaction fields are simply pointers to a signal handler function. Processes can register either a handler of type sig_t, which takes only the signal number as an argument, or a handler that takes two additional arguments:

- A pointer to a structure of type siginfo_t, which contains more information about the signal (e.g. in the case of SIGCHLD, the PID of the child process);
- A pointer that can be cast to a pointer to a structure of type ucontext_t, which holds the processor context of the thread that received the signal at the time of the signal's delivery.

The sa_handler field can also have the special value SIG_DFL or SIG_IGN, which respectively mean that the signal has the default disposition or is ignored.

The sa_mask field is the set of signals that are blocked during the execution of the handler function. After the handler finishes, the signal mask is restored to its previous state.

The sa_flags field is a set of flags that modify the behaviour of the signal in various ways. At the time of writing, the only flag supported in the Mimiker kernel is SA_RESTART, which causes system calls that are interrupted by a signal handler to be automatically restarted, transparently to the process that issued the system call.

proc_t::p_sigactions

The p_sigactions field of the proc_t (i.e. process descriptor) structure is an array of structures of type sigaction_t. For each signal number signo, the disposition of that signal for the process is stored in p_sigactions[signo].

thread_t::td_sigmask

As opposed to the signal disposition, which is shared by all the threads of a process, every thread has its own set of blocked signals. This set is stored in the td_sigmask field of the thread_t structure, which describes a single thread of execution. The field is just a bit vector, with each bit corresponding to a signal number. If a bit is set, signals with the corresponding number are blocked from being delivered.

thread_t::td_sigpend

The td_sigpend field of the thread_t structure represents pending signals, i.e. signals that have been sent to the thread and are waiting to be delivered. It is a structure of type sigpend_t, which consists of a bit vector of pending signal numbers, as well as a list of ksiginfo_t structures which carry additional information about signals.

Sending a signal

We will now walk through the code that does the actual work of sending a signal to a process. Sending signals in the Mimiker kernel is accomplished using the sig_kill() function. Listing 9 contains slightly simplified code of the function.

```
void sig_kill(proc_t *p, ksiginfo_t *ksi) {
assert(mtx_owned(&p->p_lock));
   signo_t sig = ksi->ksi_signo;
   thread_t *td = p->p_thread;
   bool ignored = sig_ignored(p->p_sigactions, sig);
② if ((ignored && !sigprop_cont(sig)) || sig_ignore_ttystop(p, sig))
     return;
    /* If sending a stop or continue signal,
    * remove pending signals with the opposite effect. */
  if (defact_stop(sig)) {
     sigpend_get(&td->td_sigpend, SIGCONT, NULL);
   } else if (sigprop_cont(sig)) {
     sigpend_delete_set(&td->td_sigpend, &stopmask);
     if (p->p_state == PS_STOPPED)
       proc_continue(p);
     if (ignored)
       return;
   sigpend_put(&td->td_sigpend, ksiginfo_copy(ksi));
  if (__sigismember(&td->td_sigmask, sig))
     return;
   WITH_SPIN_LOCK (td->td_lock) {
     td->td_flags |= TDF_NEEDSIGCHK;
     if (td_is_interruptible(td)) {
       spin_unlock(td->td_lock);
       sleepq_abort(td); /* Locks & unlocks td_lock */
       spin_lock(td->td_lock);
     }
   }
 }
```

Listing 9: sys/kern/signal.c: definition of sig_kill().

The function accepts two parameters. The first is a pointer to a proc_t structure, which represents the process that the signal will be sent to. The second is a pointer to a ksiginfo_t structure, which describes the signal to be sent. Most importantly, it contains the signal number.

The assertion at **①** ensures that the function's caller has acquired the necessary lock. Processes' and threads' signal data structures are globally shared (i.e. many threads of execution can access them), so access to them must be synchronized using locks.

We then **2** quickly filter out ignored signals, with an exception for signals that can continue stopped processes, as some processing needs to be done even if they are ignored. Furthermore, terminal stop signals (SIGTSTP, SIGTTOU and SIGTTIN) cannot stop a process which is in an orphaned process group: this case is detected by the sig_ignore_ttystop() function.

In **3**, we check whether the signal being sent is a stop or continue signal. If it is, we remove all signals that are already pending which have the opposite effect. Additionally, for continue signals **4** we check whether the target process is stopped. If it is, we wake it up and notify its parent.

Once control reaches **6**, we are certain that the signal isn't ignored, so it should be queued for delivery to the target process. The **signed_put** function inserts the signal into the set of pending signals for the target process's thread.

The final step is notifying the thread that it needs to process its pending signals. This step is skipped if **6** the signal is blocked from being delivered. The TDF_NEEDSIGCHK flag lets the thread know that it should check for pending signals at the nearest opportunity. If the thread is *sleeping interruptibly* (e.g. blocked inside a read() call on a terminal device, awaiting user input), it is awakened so that it can receive the signal.

Signal delivery

After a signal is sent, it remains in the pending set, waiting to be delivered. Signals are delivered to a process whenever control transfers from the kernel to that process, i.e. on transitions from the kernel to userspace. For instance, pending signals are delivered before returning from a system call.

Signal delivery can be divided into two steps: checking for a pending signal, and *posting* a signal found to be pending. Posting a signal means setting up the execution context of the process, so that the signal handler is the first thing that is executed after returning control to the process.

Signals with special effects (i.e. stopping or killing a process) are not posted, but are instead handled as part of checking for a pending signal. This is an implementation choice that simplifies handling of certain edge cases.

Pending signals are not checked at all if the current thread does not have the TDF_NEEDSIGCHK flag set. This flag is set in sig_kill(), see listing 9. This saves us from unnecessarily checking for pending signals every time we return to userspace.

We will not describe how signals are posted, as most of the details are architecture-specific, and we are primarily concerned with job control signals, which are not posted at all (unless they have registered handlers, in which case they don't behave like job control signals). Instead, we will focus on the sig_check() function, which checks for pending signals and handles job control signals. Listing 10 contains the source code of the function.

```
int sig_check(thread_t *td, ksiginfo_t *out) {
   proc_t *p = td->td_proc;
   signo_t sig;
   assert(mtx_owned(&p->p_lock));
   while ((sig = sig_pending(td))) {
     sigpend_get(&td->td_sigpend, sig, out);
4
     if (sig_should_stop(p->p_sigactions, sig)) {
       if (!sig_ignore_ttystop(p, sig))
          proc_stop(sig);
       continue;
     }
0
     if (sig_should_kill(p->p_sigactions, sig))
       sig_exit(td, sig);
0
     return sig;
   WITH_SPIN_LOCK (td->td_lock)
     td->td_flags &= ~TDF_NEEDSIGCHK;
0
   return 0;
```

Listing 10: sys/kern/signal.c: definition of sig_check().

This function manipulates signal state, which is protected by the process's lock, hence the assertion at $\mathbf{0}$.

The function first ② extracts a pending signal that is not currently blocked using the sig_pending() function. It returns just a signal number, so in the next step ③ we remove the signal from the set of pending signals.

We then check **4** if the signal should stop the receiving process. Notice that after stopping the process with proc_stop(), control goes back to **2**.

Next, we Θ handle signals that should kill the process. The $sig_exit()$ function never returns.

If the pending signal is neither a stop nor a kill signal, **6** the signal number

is returned. Additional information about the signal is passed to the caller via the out output parameter. The signal is then passed to sig_post() to arrange for the handler to be called.

If no signal needs to be posted, the function ② clears the thread's TDF_NEEDSIGCHK flag, since there is no need to check for pending signals anymore. A return value of 0 ③ indicates to the caller that no signal needs to be posted.

Stopping and continuing processes

One of the job control features that were implemented from scratch as part of the implementation effort described in this thesis is support for stopping and continuing processes by means of the SIGSTOP and SIGCONT signals.

A process is always in one of several states, denoted by the value of the p_state field in the process descriptor. When a process is executing normally, its state is PS_NORMAL. When a process is stopped, its state is PS_STOPPED. All the threads of a stopped process are also stopped and unable to run until the process is continued.

The proc_stop() function stops the current process in response to a stop signal. Its source code is listed in listing 11.

```
void proc_stop(signo_t sig) {
   thread_t *td = thread_self();
   proc_t *p = td->td_proc;
1 assert(mtx_owned(&p->p_lock));
   assert(p->p_state == PS_NORMAL);
p->p_state = PS_STOPPED;
   p->p_stopsig = sig;
   p->p_flags |= PF_STATE_CHANGED;
   WITH_PROC_LOCK(p->p_parent) {
     proc_wakeup_parent(p->p_parent);
     sig_child(p, CLD_STOPPED);
• WITH_SPIN_LOCK (td->td_lock) { td->td_flags |= TDF_STOPPING; }
   proc_unlock(p);
   /* We're holding no locks here, so our process can be continued before we
    * actually stop the thread. This is why we need the TDF_STOPPING flag. */
   spin_lock(td->td_lock);
6 if (td->td_flags & TDF_STOPPING) {
     td->td_flags &= ~TDF_STOPPING;
     td->td_state = TDS_STOPPED;
     sched_switch(); /* Releases td_lock. */
   } else {
     spin_unlock(td->td_lock);
   proc_lock(p);
   return;
```

Listing 11: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of proc_stop().

This function manipulates process state, hence the assertion at **①**. The next assertion simply makes sure that the process is in the expected state. Next, at **②** the process state is set to PS_STOPPED.

At ③, we set up information that is used by the wait4() system call. It is used by a process to wait for one of its children to change state. As the reader might have already guessed, stopping counts as a state change. The call to proc_wakeup_parent() at ④ notifies the parent process of the status change. In the next line, a SIGCHLD signal is sent to the parent.

The rest of the function attempts to stop the process's thread. This task is fairly simple, due to the fact that all processes in the Mimiker kernel are single-threaded. Still, it is not as simple as one might like, due to some technicalities around locking.

Specifically, we are not allowed to hold the thread's spinlock (td->td_lock) while releasing a mutex. Furthermore, we must release the process's lock before stopping the thread in sched_switch(), and the thread's spinlock must be held

when calling sched_switch(). These constraints require us to briefly hold no locks at all. During this window of time, another process might continue the process we are trying to stop, in which case we should not stop the thread.

The solution is to add the TDF_STOPPING thread flag, which signals that the thread is about to stop, but hasn't stopped yet. It is set ⑤ while still holding the process's lock. If the process is continued before the thread is stopped, the TDF_STOPPING flag is cleared. The stopping thread examines ⑥ the flag before changing its state. Thanks to this, the thread will stop only if the process is still stopped.

After setting the thread state to TDS_STOPPED, the call **7** to sched_switch() hands over control to the scheduler, which will select another thread to run. The stopped thread will not be selected by the scheduler to run until it is continued.

Let us now see how stopped processes are woken up. Continuing a process is simpler than stopping one, as can be seen by looking at listing 12.

```
void proc_continue(proc_t *p) {
    thread_t *td = p->p_thread;

① assert(mtx_owned(&p->p_lock));
    assert(p->p_state == PS_STOPPED);

② p->p_state = PS_NORMAL;

③ p->p_flags |= PF_STATE_CHANGED;
    WITH_PROC_LOCK(p->p_parent) {
        proc_wakeup_parent(p->p_parent);
    }

④ WITH_SPIN_LOCK (td->td_lock) { thread_continue(td); }
}
```

Listing 12: sys/kern/proc.c: definition of proc_continue().

The assertion at **0** should come as no surprise at this point, as we are modifying the process's state. The next assertion ensures that we only attempt to continue processes that are actually stopped.

We then ② restore the process's p_state to PS_NORMAL. Next, we ③ notify the parent of the state change. Note that, in contrast to proc_stop(), we don't send a SIGCHLD signal to the parent process. This is in line with the POSIX specification.

Lastly, we 4 wake up the thread of the process we are continuing. The thread_continue() function is very simple, and is presented in listing 13.

```
void thread_continue(thread_t *td) {

① if (td->td_flags & TDF_STOPPING) {
    td->td_flags &= ~TDF_STOPPING;
    } else {

② assert(td_is_stopped(td));

③ sched_wakeup(td, 0);
    }
}
```

Listing 13: sys/kern/thread.c: definition of thread_continue().

An important thing to notice is that even if a process's state indicates that it is stopped (i.e. p_state == PS_STOPPED), its thread is not guaranteed to also be stopped (i.e. td_state == TDS_STOPPED). The call to proc_continue() can occur at the time in proc_stop() where we are not holding any locks.

For this reason, we first **①** check the TDF_STOPPING flag. If it is set, the thread has not stopped yet, and all we need to do is clear the flag. If the flag is not set, then we know that the thread is stopped, hence the assertion at **②**. We then **③** call sched_wakeup() to make the thread runnable again.

The primary way of controlling a computer over a terminal connection is using a shell. A shell is a program that executes commands typed by the user. The purpose of most commands is to run a specified program with a given set of arguments. For example, the command 1s -1 instructs the shell to find a program named 1s and run it with a single argument -1. The executed program will then run to completion. It may accept further input from the user and write output. The shell waits for the program to finish, after which it is ready to accept more commands from the user.

This example presented a very simple use case. The user may want to execute *jobs* that consist of a pipeline of programs, with programs in the middle of the pipeline accepting input from the previous one and feeding output to the next one. Such jobs may run for a long time, so the user should be able to run any job in the background, without making the shell wait for it to finish.

Job control is a general feature of the system that allows the user to control running jobs. A job may be suspended and resumed, terminated, a background job may be brought into the foreground and vice versa. Job control usually requires support from the operating system, and it's up to the shell to group related programs into jobs.

Chapter 3

The Terminal Subsystem

The implementation of the terminal subsystem in the Mimiker kernel consists of three primary components:

- The device-independent terminal layer;
- The UART terminal driver;
- The *pseudoterminal* subsystem, which includes another terminal driver.

In this chapter, we will describe each component in detail. Before describing the implementation, we will present relevant parts of the POSIX specification.

This chapter uses concepts such as *terminals*, *terminal devices*, and *terminal drivers*. Their meanings are as follows:

- A terminal does **not** refer to a hardware device like a teletype. Instead, it is an abstract representation of such a device in the kernel, not tied to a specific hardware model. In fact, it may not even be "backed" by any actual hardware device, thanks to pseudoterminals.
- A terminal device is the hardware device that can be represented by an abstract terminal. A UART is an example of a terminal device.
- A terminal driver acts as the "glue" between the terminal layer (which is device-independent) and a specific hardware device.

3.1 The terminal layer

The terminal layer provides a layer of processing between processes and the terminal device. This processing might seem unnecessary at first, but its usefulness very quickly becomes apparent.

For example, on UNIX-like systems a long-running command can be interrupted by pressing the Control-C combination of keys on the keyboard. If there was no processing done on incoming characters by the operating system, the process in question would simply receive the character as input, and it would be up to the process to respond to it. The process would need to be prepared to receive such characters at any time. This is clearly an inconvenience, especially for simple programs.

With the processing done by the operating system, the behavior is uniform and requires less effort from writers of userspace programs. The interruption is delivered as a signal, for which a handler can be easily installed.

The preceding example illustrates just one useful feature of terminals. The POSIX specification includes the concept of terminals, and describes how incoming and outgoing characters should be processed by the terminal subsystem. We will now present the most important aspects of this specification.

A very detailed description of the terminal interfaces available in several UNIX-like operating systems, which goes well beyond POSIX, is presented in [26, Chapter 18]. For a Linux-specific discussion, see [25, Chapter 62].

3.1.1 POSIX terminals

On the surface, a terminal is no different than a standard character device. To interact with it, a process can open the corresponding device file using open() and then perform input and output using read() and write() respectively. The terminal interface specified by POSIX is much richer than that. Terminals play a significant role in job control, and therefore are connected to the concepts of process groups and sessions. We will now examine the most important aspects of the terminal specification [2] found in POSIX and see how terminals tie into job control.

Terminal input and output

Data coming in from the hardware terminal device is processed according to the terminal mode and flags, which will be described in a subsequent section. After processing, it is buffered in the *input queue*. Processes calling read() on a terminal file descriptor receive data from the input queue.

When a process writes data to a terminal file descriptor using write(), after processing, the data may be written directly to the underlying terminal device, or it may be buffered by the OS in the terminal's *output queue* and output asynchronously relative to the write() call. Most operating systems choose the latter approach, and the same choice is made in the Mimiker kernel.

Processes performing output are not the only source of characters for the terminal's output queue: if the ECHO terminal flag is set, characters arriving from the

hardware device are automatically copied (i.e. "echoed") to the output queue. This is very useful, e.g. in the case of a shell, where the user should see the command they are typing. The high-level flow of data between processes and terminal devices is shown in figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1: A high-level overview of terminal data flow. The clouds in the terminal driver symbolize two things: first, the terminal layer is not concerned with how the driver processes the characters it receives from the hardware or from the terminal layer. Second, different drivers may process characters differently.

Controlling terminals

A terminal may be designated as the controlling terminal of a session. A session may have at most one controlling terminal, and a terminal may be the controlling terminal of at most one session. A process can always access its controlling terminal (if it has one) by opening the /dev/tty device file. We define the controlling terminal of a process to be simply the controlling terminal of that process's session.

When a session is created, it has no controlling terminal. The session leader is responsible for setting and changing the controlling terminal device, although the way in which this is done is not specified in the standard.

When the leader of a session terminates, the controlling terminal (if any) is dissociated from the session. Any processes left in the session can, but don't need to, have their access to the controlling terminal revoked (see [2, Section 11.1.3]). If a controlling terminal disappears from the system (e.g. due to the terminal device no longer being available), the leader of the associated session is sent a SIGHUP signal. Note that the signal is not necessarily fatal, as it can be caught or ignored by any process.

Foreground process groups

Access to the controlling terminal of processes can be controlled using foreground and background process groups.

A process group may be designated as the foreground process group of its session's controlling terminal. All other process groups in the session are background process groups. The foreground process group of a terminal can be set using the tcsetpgrp()[13] function. Any process can set the foreground process group of its controlling terminal, although some restrictions apply to processes from background process groups. A controlling terminal does not need to have a foreground process group at all times.

Processes in the foreground process group are allowed to read() and write() to their controlling terminal. When a process in a background process group attempts to read() or write() to its controlling terminal, every process in its process group is sent a SIGTTIN or SIGTTOU signal respectively. The default effect of both signals is to stop the target process. In the case of write(), the signal is sent only if the TOSTOP terminal flag is set. If it is not set, background processes can write to their controlling terminal without restrictions. The exact semantics are a bit more nuanced, see [2, Section 11.1.4].

As a concrete example, when a shell is accepting input from the user, its process is necessarily in the foreground process group. When the shell starts a job, the job is usually put in the foreground, so that the user can interact with it. The shell waits for the foreground job to complete, and then makes its own process group the foreground process group, so that it can accept the next command.

The user may make the job run in the background by appending & to the command. In that case, the shell remains in the foreground process group and does not wait for the job to complete. As explained earlier, a background job will be stopped if it tries to accept input from the user.

A background job can be put in the foreground using the fg shell command. The command simply sets the controlling terminal's foreground process group to the process group of the target job, continues the target job if it was stopped, and waits for the new foreground job's termination.

Figure 3.2 presents the process hierarchy from figure 2.1, but now it includes the concepts of controlling terminals and foreground process groups.

Terminal modes and flags

Command line applications can be roughly divided into two groups, depending on how they consume user input:




Figure 3.2: Proces hierarchy from figure 2.1 with controlling terminals and fore-ground process groups included. Note that sessions 1 and 2 do not have a controlling terminal. The terminal /dev/uart is the controlling terminal of session 3. Process group 6 is the controlling terminal's foreground process group. Once process 6 terminates, the shell (PID 3) will set the foreground process group to its own process group (PGID 3).

- One line at a time (line-oriented);
- One character at a time (character-oriented).

A shell belongs to the first group, because it accepts a whole command at a time. Most notably, the user may edit the command before submitting it to the program by pressing the return key (labelled "Enter" on most keyboards).

A text editor like vi belongs to the second group. Each key performs an action within the editor, such as moving the cursor or inserting text at the position of the cursor.

In more complex applications, line-oriented input is usually accomplished using a library such as GNU Readline [19]. However, POSIX mandates that basic line-editing functionality is provided by the operating system itself. Applications may choose whether they want to use this functionality by choosing the *terminal mode*. Two terminal modes are distinguished: *canonical* and *non-canonical*, the former providing basic line editing. The terminal mode has no influence over other aspects of input processing, such as sending signals in response to certain control characters.

In canonical mode, typed characters are appended to the *line buffer*. Characters may be erased from the line buffer by typing the special characters VERASE (which erases the character at the end of the buffer) or VKILL (which erases the whole

buffer). On most systems, the VERASE character corresponds to the Backspace key on the keyboard, while VKILL corresponds to Control-U. These special characters are processed by the operating system and are not forwarded to userspace. Once the user types a *line-terminating* character (e.g. '\n', bound to the Return key on most keyboards), the contents of the line buffer are appended to the input queue and become available as input to userspace programs.

In non-canonical mode there is no line buffer, and every non-special character typed by the user is immediately available to userspace programs. The VERASE, VKILL, and line-terminating characters are no longer processed in a special way by the OS and appear as input on the terminal.

The terminal mode controls only part of the processing that is performed on characters coming in. There are many terminal flags that can enable additional character processing on input, as well as output. We will now examine the data structure that houses almost all terminal settings that can be changed by userspace programs.

The termios structure

For each terminal, almost all of its settings, including the terminal mode, are stored in a termios structure associated with that terminal. The only exception is the terminal window size, which isn't a member of the termios structure and is stored separately. Application code may examine and modify the contents of this structure using the tcgetattr()[16] and tcsetattr()[17] functions respectively.

The termios structure must contain the following fields:

- c_iflag: contains flags that control basic terminal input handling. Some notable flags are:
 - ICRNL: map the *carriage return* (CR, '\r') character to the *new line* (NL, '\n') character on input.
 - BRKINT: send a SIGINT signal to the foreground process group upon detecting a break condition.
 - IGNBRK: ignore hardware break conditions.
 - INPCK: enable input parity checking.
 - IGNPAR: ignore characters with parity errors.
- c_oflag: contains flags that control terminal output processing. Some notable flags are:
 - OPOST: enable output processing.
 - ONLCR: map NL to CR-NL sequence on output.

- OCRNL: map CR to NL on output.
- ONOCR: do not output CR characters if the cursor is at column 0.
- c_cflag: contains flags that control terminal hardware parameters. Some notable flags are:
 - CREAD: enable hardware receiver.
 - CSIZE: number of bits transmitted or received per byte (from 5 to 8).
- c_lflag: contains flags that control various additional functions. Some notable flags are:
 - ECHO: enable character echoing. This flag is cleared e.g. when the user is typing their password.
 - ICANON: enable canonical mode.
 - ISIG: send signals in response to certain control characters being received.
 - TOSTOP: send SIGTTOU if a background process tries to write to the terminal.
- c_cc: array defining control character codes. A control character can be disabled by setting its code to _POSIX_VDISABLE. Important control characters include:
 - VERASE: erase the character at the end of the line.
 - VKILL: erase the whole line.
 - VEOF: marks the end of input to a program.
 - VINTR: if the ISIG flag is set, send SIGINT to the foreground process group.
 - VSUSP: if the ISIG flag is set, send SIGTSTP (terminal stop signal) to the foreground process group.

The current values of terminal flags and control characters can be examined from the shell using the stty program [12], available on most UNIX-like operating systems, including Linux. To list the current values of all terminal flags and control characters for the shell's controlling terminal, use the command:

For the full specification of the termios structure, see [18].

3.1.2 Terminals in the Mimiker kernel

We will now describe the implementation of the terminal layer in the Mimiker kernel.

Overall design

The terminal layer provides the abstraction of a terminal to userspace processes, as well as to the rest of the kernel. It contains code that is independent of the underlying hardware terminal device. In the subsystem hierarchy, it sits below the generic file handling layer and above terminal drivers.

The layer is responsible for character processing, as well as buffering them in the input and output queues. It includes a mechanism to notify the hardware terminal driver when new characters arrive in the output queue, as well as when space becomes available in the input queue.

Data structures

tty_t

The tty_t structure encapsulates the hardware-independent state associated with a single terminal.

```
typedef enum {
 TF_WAIT_OUT_LOWAT = 0x1,
 TF_WAIT_DRAIN_OUT = 0x2,
 TF_OUT_BUSY = 0x4,
 TF_IN_HIWAT = 0x8,
 TF_DRIVER_DETACHED = 0x10
} tty_flags_t;
typedef struct tty {
 mtx_t t_lock;
 tty_flags_t t_flags;
 ringbuf_t t_inq;
 condvar_t t_incv;
 ringbuf_t t_outq;
 condvar_t t_outcv;
 linebuf_t t_line;
 size_t t_column;
 size_t t_rocol, t_rocount;
 condvar_t t_serialize_cv;
 ttyops_t t_ops;
 struct termios t_termios;
 struct winsize t_winsize;
 pgrp_t *t_pgrp;
 session_t *t_session;
 vnode_t *t_vnode;
 uint32_t t_opencount;
 void *t_data;
} tty_t;
```

Listing 14: include/sys/tty.h: definition of tty_t.

The t_lock mutex synchronizes access to the structure. t_flags may contain the following flags:

- TF_WAIT_OUT_LOWAT: a thread is waiting for space to become available in the output queue;
- TF_WAIT_DRAIN_OUT: a thread is waiting for the output queue to become empty;
- TF_OUT_BUSY: a thread is currently writing to this terminal. This flag is used to serialize write() calls on the same terminal.
- TF_IN_HIWAT: the *input high watermark* has been reached. The watermark is reached when the terminal driver attempts to insert a character into the input queue while it is already full. Once space becomes available in the input queue, the hardware terminal driver will be notified.

• TF_DRIVER_DETACHED: the hardware terminal driver detached from this terminal, usually because the terminal device is no longer available. Any further I/O on this terminal should return an error.

t_inq is a ring buffer storing input coming from the hardware terminal driver. A ring buffer is a data structure implementing a FIFO queue with a bounded size. They are a popular in OS kernels thanks to their simplicity and efficiency. read() calls on the terminal file descriptor receive characters from this buffer. t_incv is a condition variable used to wait for input to become available in t_inq.

t_outq is a ring buffer storing characters that should be written out by the underlying driver. The characters can come from processes writing to the terminal, or from the input processing stage, where characters can be echoed. The terminal driver reads characters from this buffer and takes care of transmitting them. t_outcv is a condition variable used to wait for space to become available in the output queue or for the queue to become empty.

t_line stores the contents of the line the user is typing in canonical mode. The type linebuf_t is a structure containing a buffer containing the line's contents, the current length of the line, and the maximum capacity of the buffer. The line's contents can be edited by the user. Once the user submits the line, its contents are copied into t_inq. The contents of t_inq cannot be changed. In non-canonical mode, t_line is not used.

t_column keeps track of the position of the terminal cursor. It is needed to support the ONOCR terminal flag, which forbids sending the carriage return character when the cursor is at column 0.

The t_rocol and t_rocount fields are needed due to the fact that the echoed line being typed in by the user can be interleaved with output from processes, and we only want to let the user erase the characters they typed, not ones output by processes. The two fields keep track of the longest prefix of the line that is not interrupted by output from processes.

The t_serialize_cv condition variable is used by processes calling write() to wait for their turn to access the terminal.

t_ops is a structure containing implementations of terminal driver operations. There are currently four operations that a driver can supply, but only one is required:

```
typedef void (*t_notify_out_t)(struct tty *);
typedef void (*t_notify_in_t)(struct tty *);
typedef void (*t_notify_active_t)(struct tty *);
typedef void (*t_notify_inactive_t)(struct tty *);

typedef struct {
   t_notify_out_t t_notify_out;
   t_notify_in_t t_notify_in;
   t_notify_active_t t_notify_active;
   t_notify_inactive_t t_notify_inactive;
} ttyops_t;
```

Listing 15: include/sys/tty.h: definition of ttyops_t.

The t_notify_out function notifies the driver that new data has appeared in the terminal structure's output queue (i.e. t_outq). The driver should ensure that data from the queue is written out to the terminal device as soon as possible. It is the only required function.

The t_notify_in function notifies the driver that space has become available in the input queue (i.e. t_inq).

The t_notify_active function is called when the number of open file descriptors for the terminal increases from 0 to 1. Predictably, t_notify_inactive is called when the number of open file descriptors drops from 1 to 0.

The terminal configuration described in the previous section is stored in the t_termios field. The terminal window size is stored separately in the t_winsize field. The t_pgrp field points to the foreground process group, if any, while t_session points to the session controlled by this terminal.

t_vnode points to the filesystem node representing the terminal. It is used to implement the /dev/tty device file, which refers to different terminals for processes in different sessions. The t_opencount field keeps track of the number of open file descriptors for the terminal.

t_data is an opaque pointer for the underlying terminal driver to store its private data.

Lifecycle of a terminal

When a terminal driver attaches to a device, it is responsible for creating its corresponding terminal in the kernel. This involves allocating a new tty_t structure, setting device-specific fields in that structure (e.g. t_ops) and creating a special device file referring to the terminal in the /dev directory of the filesystem.

The tty_makedev() function takes care of creating the special file. When cre-

ating the file, the function supplies tty_fileops to the file-handling layer as the implementation of file operations. This way, read() and write() (and other) calls on the file are directed to the terminal layer, which may in turn call the terminal driver via t_ops.

Once a terminal is created and visible in the file system, processes can open and perform ${\rm I/O}$ on it.

The process of deleting a terminal begins when the terminal driver detects that the underlying device is no longer available (e.g. due to a modem disconnect). The driver then *detaches* itself from the in-kernel terminal structure. After that happens, processes are no longer able open or perform I/O on the terminal. Once the last open file descriptor referencing the terminal is closed, the terminal structure's memory is reclaimed.

Terminal input

Processes read data from a terminal by invoking read() on an open terminal file descriptor. The generic file-handling layer in the kernel then uses the fo_read function pointer in the structure representing the open file to call the appropriate function for that particular type of file. In the case of files representing terminals, the fo_read function pointer is set to the tty_read() function.

The tty_read() function does very little besides calling tty_do_read(), which does the actual work of reading characters from the input queue. Its very slightly simplified source code is presented in listing 16.

```
static int tty_do_read(file_t *f, uio_t *uio) {
   tty_t *tty = f->f_data;
   int error = 0;
   uint8_t c;
   WITH_MTX_LOCK (&tty->t_lock) {
0
     if (tty_detached(tty))
       return ENXIO;
     while (true) {
0
       if ((error = tty_check_background(tty, SIGTTIN)))
          return error;
0
       if (tty->t_inq.count > 0)
          break;
       if ((error = tty_wait(tty, &tty->t_incv)))
4
          return error;
     }
     if (tty->t_lflag & ICANON) {
        /* In canonical mode, read as many characters as possible,
         * but no more than one line. */
       while (ringbuf_getb(&tty->t_inq, &c)) {
0
          if (CCEQ(tty->t_cc[VEOF], c))
            break;
          if ((error = uiomove(&c, 1, uio)))
            break;
0
         if (uio->uio_resid == 0)
           break;
          /* Check for end of line. */
          if (tty_is_break(tty, c))
            break;
       }
     } else {
0
       ringbuf_getb(&tty->t_inq, &c);
       error = uiomove(&c, 1, uio);
0
     tty_check_in_lowat(tty);
   return error;
 }
```

Listing 16: kern/tty.c: simplified definition of tty_do_read().

The f parameter points to a file_t structure representing an open file. Since the file represents a terminal, the f_data field contains a pointer to the associated terminal's tty_t structure. The uio_t structure describes the destination or source buffer (or buffers) of an I/O operation in the kernel. The uio_resid field contains the number of remaining bytes to be processed. Its design in the Mimiker kernel (see file include/sys/uio.h) was inspired by the struct uio structure found in kernels from the BSD family, see e.g. [21].

After acquiring the terminal's mutex, we first $\mathbf{0}$ check whether the driver has detached from this terminal. If it has, we cannot do any more I/O on it, so we return an error.

The next step is to check whether we are currently allowed to read from the terminal. As previously mentioned, processes in background process groups are usually not allowed to read from their controlling terminal. The ② tty_check_background() function determines whether the calling process can perform I/O on the given terminal. The SIGTTIN argument specifies that we are trying to read from the terminal. If the function determines that we are not allowed to continue with the operation, it will return an error code. It may also send the specified signal (SIGTTIN in this case) to every process in the calling process's process group.

Next, we **3** check whether the input queue contains any characters. The read() system call is blocking (at least by default), so if no data is available, the calling thread is put to sleep awaiting data. This is why, if the input queue is empty, we go on to **4** call tty_wait() to wait on the t_incv condition variable.

Once the tty_wait() function returns 0, we must repeat the checks starting from ②, since we may no longer be allowed to read from the terminal, e.g. because our process group was moved to the background. Repeating the check at ③ is not necessary, since tty_wait() does it for us. The loop continues until we find that there are characters in the input queue.

After breaking out of the loop, we need to decide how to read the characters. In canonical mode, at most one line can be read in a single read() call. The VEOF character behaves like a line terminator, but it is special in that it doesn't get passed to userspace. This is why we check for it at ⑤, before we copy the character read from the input queue to the user buffer. After copying the character, we ⑥ check whether we have filled the user buffer or ⑥ whether the character was an ordinary line terminator. In both cases, we break out of the read loop.

In non-canonical mode, ② exactly one character is read and returned to userspace. This behaviour is very different from that specified in POSIX (see [2, Section 11.1.7]), where the semantics of read() in non-canonical mode depend on the values of the VMIN and VTIME parameters stored in the t_cc array. The POSIX semantics are considerably more difficult to implement and provide little to no benefit, since no applications that have been ported to Mimiker actually use them.

Finally, **9** the tty_check_in_lowat() function will notify the driver if the input high watermark had been previously reached and the number of characters in

the input queue has dropped below a certain threshold.

We have covered how characters find their way from the terminal input queue to the buffer supplied by the reading user process. The question that remains is: How are characters written into the input queue?

It is the responsibility of the terminal driver to pass characters arriving at the hardware device to the terminal layer. The driver does this by invoking the tty_input() function on the terminal structure, supplying the new character. The function takes care of all input processing and putting characters into the input queue.

Due to the number of terminal flags affecting input processing, the source code of the tty_input() function is quite large. For this reason, we will only take a look at the function's control flow, with many details omitted for the sake of readability.

```
void tty_input(tty_t *tty, uint8_t c) {
  handle IGNCR, ICRNL and INLCR flags;
  if (ISIG flag is set) {
    /* Signal processing */
   if (c is VINTR or VSUSP or VQUIT) {
      send the appropriate signal to the foreground process group;
      return;
   }
  }
  if (ICANON flag is set) {
   /* Line editing */
    if (c is VERASE or VKILL) {
      erase the approriate characters from the line;
      return;
    }
    insert c into the line buffer;
    if (c is a line terminator) {
      append the line buffer to the input queue;
      notify threads waiting on t_incv;
    }
  } else {
    insert c into the input queue;
   notify threads waiting on t_incv;
  }
  if (ECHO flag is set) {
    echo c;
```

Listing 17: kern/tty.c: simplified control flow of tty_input().

The t_incv condition variable is used by reading threads to wait for data in the input queue. Other than that, the listing is fairly self-explanatory, so we won't go into detail describing every step.

Terminal output

Processes write data to a terminal by invoking write() on an open terminal file descriptor. The kernel in turn calls tty_write() via the fo_write function pointer to carry out the operation.

```
static int tty_write(file_t *f, uio_t *uio) {
 tty_t *tty = f->f_data;
 int error = 0;
 WITH_MTX_LOCK (&tty->t_lock) {
   if (tty_detached(tty))
     return ENXIO;
   if (tty->t_lflag & TOSTOP) {
      if ((error = tty_check_background(tty, SIGTTOU)))
        return error;
   }
   while (tty->t_flags & TF_OUT_BUSY)
      if ((error = tty_wait(tty, &tty->t_serialize_cv)))
       return error;
   tty->t_flags |= TF_OUT_BUSY;
   error = tty_do_write(tty, uio);
   tty->t_flags &= ~TF_OUT_BUSY;
   cv_signal(&tty->t_serialize_cv);
 }
 return error;
}
```

Listing 18: kern/tty.c: simplified definition of tty_write().

The function's primary role is to ① check whether we are allowed to perform the operation using tty_check_background() and to serialize calls to tty_do_write() by ② waiting until the TF_OUT_BUSY flag is cleared.

The tty_do_write() function is responsible for putting each character in the supplied buffer into the output queue and notifying the driver.

```
static int tty_do_write(tty_t *tty, uio_t *uio) {
    uint8_t c;
    int error = 0;

    while (uio->uio_resid > 0) {
        if ((error = uiomove(&c, 1, uio)))
            break;
        if ((error = tty_output_sleep(tty, c)))
            break;
        tty->t_rocount = 0;
    }

    tty_notify_out(tty);
    return error;
}
```

Listing 19: kern/tty.c: simplified definition of tty_do_write().

The function **1** reads consecutive characters from the buffer supplied by the process and **2** puts them into the output queue one at a time using tty_output_sleep(). At the end, it **3** notifies the terminal driver of new data using tty_notify_out().

The tty_output_sleep() function puts a single character into the output queue, sleeping if there is no space available.

```
static int tty_output_sleep(tty_t *tty, uint8_t c) {
   int error;

   while (!tty_output(tty, c)) {
      tty_notify_out(tty);
      /* tty_notify_out() can synchronously write characters to the device,
      * so it may have written enough characters for us not to need to sleep. */

   if (tty->t_outq.count < TTY_OUT_LOW_WATER)
      continue;

   tty->t_flags |= TF_WAIT_OUT_LOWAT;
   if ((error = tty_wait(tty, &tty->t_outcv)))
      return error;
   }
   return 0;
}
```

Listing 20: kern/tty.c: definition of tty_output_sleep().

The function repeatedly **1** attempts to insert the character into the output queue using tty_output(), which will return false if there is no space left in the queue. If that happens, we wait until the number of characters in the output queue drops below the *output low watermark*. Before going to sleep, we **2** notify the terminal driver to make sure that it will eventually transfer some characters from

the output queue, allowing us to proceed. The driver may transfer the characters synchronously, i.e. directly in the tty_notify_out() function, which is why we must 3 check whether the low watermark has already been reached. If it hasn't, we 4 set the TF_WAIT_OUT_LOWAT flag to indicate that there is a thread waiting for space in the output queue, and finally we 5 go to sleep on the t_outcv condition variable. The variable will be signalled by the driver after it makes enough space available in the output queue.

Let us now take a look at the code of the tty_output(), which takes care of output character processing and putting the characters into the output queue.

```
static bool tty_output(tty_t *tty, uint8_t c) {
   int oflag = tty->t_oflag;
   if (!(oflag & OPOST)) {
     return tty_outq_putc(tty, c);
   }
   uint8_t cb[2];
   cb[0] = c;
   int ccount = tty_process_out(tty, oflag, cb);
   int col = tty->t_column;
   if (!tty_outq_write(tty, cb, ccount))
     return false;
4 for (int i = 0; i < ccount; i++) {
     adjust the col variable based on the character class of cb[i];
   }
   tty->t_column = col;
   return true;
 }
```

Listing 21: kern/tty.c: simplified definition of tty_output().

If the OPOST flag isn't set, we skip all output processing and ① write the character directly to the output queue. Otherwise, we ② call tty_process_out to handle OCRNL, ONLCR and ONOCR terminal flags. During processing, a single character can be converted into two characters due to the ONLCR flag, which is why we use the cb buffer to store them, and ccount is the number of resulting characters after processing. If the input flag OXTABS was implemented (currently it is not), then a single character could be converted into as many as eight characters, since if the flag is set, a tab character ('\t') is converted into eight spaces.

We then **3** attempt to write the processed characters to the output queue. The call to tty_outq_write() will return false if there isn't enough space in the output queue for the characters. If that's the case, we return false to indicate failure.

If we successfully wrote the characters to the output queue, then we need to

adjust the value of the terminal's t_column field, which keeps track of the column number of the terminal cursor. Each character has a *class*, which determines how it impacts the column number. For instance, the BACKSPACE class (which contains only the backspace character '\b') decreases the column number by one, unless the column number is already zero. We therefore 4 loop over every character we have written to the output queue and adjust the column number based on its class.

Finally, we return **true** to indicate that we have successfully written the characters to the output queue.

After the characters have been written to the output queue and the terminal driver has been notified, it is up to the driver to consume characters from the queue and transmit them to the hardware device. We will examine how this is done in the case of the UART driver in section 3.2.

Controlling terminals

As seen in section 2.1.3, the controlling terminal for a session is stored in the s_tty field of session_t. When a new session is created, its s_tty field is set to NULL.

A terminal becomes the controlling terminal of a session automatically when that session's leader opens a terminal file. The association happens only when the session doesn't already have a controlling terminal, and the terminal being opened isn't associated with any session.

When the session leader process exits, the session loses its controlling terminal. This is the only way a terminal may become dissociated from its session.

Foreground process groups

The t_pgrp field in the tty_t structure points to the foreground process group associated with the terminal. Only controlling terminals (i.e. ones associated with a session) may have a foreground process group. When a terminal first becomes associated with a session, its foreground process group is set to the process group of the session leader.

The tcgetpgrp() and tcsetpgrp() functions are provided by the standard C library. Their implementations use the ioctl() system call to perform the required operation.

ioct1() is a very general, catch-all system call that is most commonly used to provide functionality that is too simple to merit a separate system call. It takes as arguments a file descriptor, an *opcode* (short for *operation code*), and a generic argument. The opcode is simply a numeric value that tells the kernel what operation to perform. The argument's interpretation depends on the specific opcode. Most opcodes are only valid for file descriptors of a certain type, e.g. ones representing

terminals. The opcodes used for getting and setting the foreground process group are TIOCGPGRP and TIOCSPGRP respectively.

When the foreground process group becomes empty, the terminal's t_pgrp field is set to NULL. Thus, a terminal does not need to have a foreground process group at all times, even if it is associated with a session.

3.2 The UART terminal driver

The terminal layer delegates the task of transmitting characters over the hardware device to a *terminal driver*. Currently, the only hardware supported by the terminal subsystem is UART, a simple serial link. In this section, we will see how the UART driver interacts with the device-independent terminal layer.

3.2.1 General design

The driver consists of two main components:

- A worker thread that handles data transfers between the driver and the terminal layer;
- An *interrupt service routine* (ISR) that responds to hardware events (e.g. a new character being received).

The driver has two internal buffers separate from the terminal layer's input and output queues: a *transmit* and *receive buffer*. The transmit buffer holds characters taken from the output queue, while the receive buffer holds characters waiting to be processed by the tty_input() function.

Actually, the driver does not need to use an intermediate transmit buffer between the terminal's output buffer and the hardware. It may simply write all data from the buffer in a single invocation of t_notify_out. In other words, the driver may output the characters synchronously. However, that would most likely require busy-waiting for the hardware to become ready to accept data, unnecessarily consuming CPU time. For this reason, an asynchronous approach is taken in this driver.

The worker thread transfers characters from the terminal structure's output queue to the transmit buffer, and passes characters from the receive buffer to the terminal layer using the tty_input() function.

The interrupt service routine takes characters from the transmit buffer and writes them to the appropriate device registers to send them over the UART link. It also receives characters directly from the hardware and puts them in the receive buffer.

A good question to ask at this point is: do we even need the worker thread? Couldn't we instead call tty_input() directly when receiving a character in the ISR and output characters to the UART directly (i.e. synchronously) in t_notify_out?

The answer is yes, we do need the worker thread. Calling tty_input() directly from the ISR would violate the kernel's synchronization rules, as tty_input() uses mutexes for synchronization, and mutexes cannot be acquired in an ISR, only spin-locks. A thread context is needed to acquire the necessary mutex before calling tty_input(). Therefore, even if we performed output synchronously, we would still need a worker thread to do the input processing.

The flow of data between the terminal layer's queues, the UART driver's buffers and the UART device is shown in figure 3.3.




Figure 3.3: Data flow between the terminal layer's queues, the UART driver's buffers and the UART device.

3.2.2 Data structures

tty_thread_t

The tty_thread_t structure represents a worker thread.

```
typedef struct tty_thread {
  thread_t *ttd_thread;
  tty_t *ttd_tty;
  condvar_t ttd_cv;
  uint8_t ttd_flags;
} tty_thread_t;
```

Listing 22: include/sys/uart_tty.h: definition of tty_thread_t.

The ttd_thread field is a pointer to the thread_t structure representing the thread. The ttd_tty field is the worker thread's assigned terminal. The ttd_cv

condition variable is used by the worker thread to wait for a signal from the ISR to do some work. The ttd_flags field is used to communicate what work needs to be done. It can contain the following flags:

- TTY_THREAD_TXRDY: The worker thread should transfer characters from the terminal layer's output queue to the transmit buffer;
- TTY_THREAD_RXRDY: The worker thread should transfer characters from the receive buffer to the terminal layer using the tty_input() function;
- TTY_THREAD_OUTQ_NONEMPTY: This flag is used as an optimization. It tells the ISR whether it should wake up the worker thread to fill the transmit buffer. If the flag is cleared, then the terminal layer's output queue is empty, so it's unnecessary to wake up the worker to fill the transmit buffer. At first, this flag might seem redundant: why not just check how many characters are in the terminal's output queue? Notice that holding the terminal's mutex is required in order to access its output queue. We need to know whether the queue is empty in the ISR, which is not allowed to acquire mutexes. Therefore, we use this flag as a workaround, as access to it requires holding the UART's u_lock spinlock, which is allowed in an ISR.

```
uart_state_t
```

The uart_state_t structure encapsulates the UART driver state associated with a single device.

```
typedef struct uart_state {
   spin_t u_lock;
   ringbuf_t u_rx_buf;
   ringbuf_t u_tx_buf;
   tty_thread_t u_ttd;
   void *u_state;
} uart_state_t;
```

Listing 23: include/sys/uart.h: definition of uart_state_t.

The u_lock spinlock protects all fields of the structure, including the u_ttd field containing the worker thread and its flags. The receive and transmit buffers are in the u_rx_buf and u_tx_buf fields respectively. The u_state field holds state specific to the UART hardware the driver is handling, such as the locations of device registers.

3.2.3 UART terminal driver implementation

Let us now examine the primary components of the UART terminal driver:

- The driver's implementation of the t_notify_out operation;
- The worker thread's main loop;
- The hardware interrupt service routine.

```
uart_tty_notify_out()
```

The uart_tty_notify_out() function is called by the terminal layer whenever new characters are inserted to the output queue. The function does very little besides calling uart_tty_fill_txbuf(), which transfers characters from the output queue to the UART's transmit buffer. Actual output is performed in the driver's ISR.

In theory, we do not need to call uart_tty_fill_txbuf() here. Instead, we could signal the worker thread to do the work for us. However, that would incur extra overhead due to synchronization and context switching. In order to avoid it, we try not to involve the worker in moving characters from the output queue to the transmit buffer.

```
static void uart_tty_fill_txbuf(device_t *dev) {
   uart_state_t *uart = dev->state;
   tty_t *tty = uart->u_ttd.ttd_tty;
   uint8_t byte;
   while (true) {
     SCOPED_SPIN_LOCK(&uart->u_lock);
0
     uart_tty_try_bypass_txbuf(dev);
0
     if (ringbuf_full(&uart->u_tx_buf) || !ringbuf_getb(&tty->t_outq, &byte)) {
❸
       if (!ringbuf_empty(&uart->u_tx_buf))
         uart_tx_enable(dev);
4
       tty_set_outq_nonempty_flag(&uart->u_ttd);
       break;
0
     tty_set_outq_nonempty_flag(&uart->u_ttd);
     ringbuf_putb(&uart->u_tx_buf, byte);
   }
Ø
   tty_getc_done(tty);
```

Listing 24: sys/kern/uart_tty.c: definition of uart_tty_fill_txbuf().

The function consists of a loop that moves characters from the tty terminal's output queue to the transmit buffer. However, before transferring any characters, we try to bypass the transmit buffer entirely, transmitting characters directly from the output queue to the hardware. If we didn't try to bypass the transmit buffer, writing a single character to the terminal would always wake up the worker thread,

which incurs extra overhead due to context switches. Such single-character writes are common, for example, when echoing characters typed by the user.

The next step is to ② check whether the transmit buffer is full or the output queue is empty. If neither condition is true, then we can ⑤ transfer a single character. Before that, we ⑤ update the TTY_THREAD_OUTQ_NONEMPTY flag. We then return to the beginning of the loop.

If either condition at ② is true, we can no longer transfer any characters. In that case, if there are characters in the transmit buffer, we ③ tell the hardware to send an interrupt whenever it's ready to accept data. That way, the ISR will be called and it will move characters from the transmit buffer to the hardware. We then ④ update the TTY_THREAD_OUTQ_NONEMPTY flag before breaking out of the loop.

The last step in the function is ② calling tty_getc_done(). In the case of terminal drivers that output characters asynchronously, the driver is responsible for waking up threads waiting for space in the terminal's output queue. This is done by calling the tty_getc_done() function after moving characters from the output queue. This function simply checks whether the number of characters in the output buffer has fallen below some threshold. If it has, it wakes up all waiters waiting on the t_outcv condition variable.

The worker thread loop

The worker thread loop is essential to the operation of the UART driver. It is the link connecting the driver's transmit and receive buffers with the terminal layer's output and input queues.

```
static void uart_tty_thread(void *arg) {
   device_t *dev = arg;
   uart_state_t *uart = dev->state;
   tty_thread_t *ttd = &uart->u_ttd;
   tty_t *tty = ttd->ttd_tty;
   uint8_t work, byte;
   while (true) {
     WITH_SPIN_LOCK (&uart->u_lock) {
        /* Sleep until there's work for us to do. */
0
       while ((work = ttd->ttd_flags & TTY_THREAD_WORK_MASK) == 0)
          cv_wait(&ttd->ttd_cv, &uart->u_lock);
       ttd->ttd_flags &= ~TTY_THREAD_WORK_MASK;
     WITH_MTX_LOCK (&tty->t_lock) {
       if (work & TTY_THREAD_RXRDY) {
0
         while (uart_getb_lock(uart, &byte))
0
            if (!tty_input(tty, byte))
              klog("dropped character %hhx", byte);
       }
       if (work & TTY_THREAD_TXRDY)
4
         uart_tty_fill_txbuf(dev);
     }
   }
 }
```

Listing 25: sys/kern/uart_tty.c: definition of uart_tty_thread().

The first step in the loop is to **①** wait until the ISR gives us a signal to do some work by setting at least one of the flags contained in TTY_THREAD_WORK_MASK, i.e. TTY_THREAD_RXRDY or TTY_THREAD_TXRDY.

Once we get a signal, we check what kind of work needs to be done. If the TTY_THREAD_RXRDY flag is set, we ② read characters from the receive buffer and ③ pass them to the terminal layer using the tty_input() function. If the TTY_THREAD_TXRDY flag is set, we ④ move characters from the terminal's output queue to the transmit buffer using the uart_tty_fill_txbuf() function.

The interrupt service routine

The UART driver's interrupt service routine responds to two kinds of hardware events:

- A character has been received ("RX Ready");
- The hardware is ready to accept a new character ("TX Ready").

The ISR performs the actual transmission of characters in the transmit buffer. The hardware signals that is ready to accept a character via an interrupt. The ISR then takes one character from the transmit buffer and writes it to a hardware register. When the transmit buffer runs out of characters, the ISR wakes up a worker thread that copies characters from the terminal's output queue into the transmit buffer.

```
intr_filter_t uart_intr(void *data) {
   device_t *dev = data;
   uart_state_t *uart = dev->state;
   tty_thread_t *ttd = &uart->u_ttd;
   WITH_SPIN_LOCK (&uart->u_lock) {
0
     if (uart_rx_ready(dev)) {
0
       (void)ringbuf_putb(&uart->u_rx_buf, uart_getc(dev));
0
       ttd->ttd_flags |= TTY_THREAD_RXRDY;
       cv_signal(&ttd->ttd_cv);
     }
4
     if (uart_tx_ready(dev)) {
       uint8_t byte;
Ø
       while (uart_tx_ready(dev) && ringbuf_getb(&uart->u_tx_buf, &byte))
         uart_putc(dev, byte);
0
       if (ringbuf_empty(&uart->u_tx_buf)) {
         if (ttd->ttd_flags & TTY_THREAD_OUTQ_NONEMPTY) {
0
0
           ttd->ttd_flags |= TTY_THREAD_TXRDY;
            cv_signal(&ttd->ttd_cv);
         }
0
         uart_tx_disable(dev);
       }
     }
   }
 }
```

Listing 26: sys/kern/uart.c: definition of uart_intr().

If **1** a character has been received by the hardware, we **2** put it into the receive buffer and **3** signal the worker thread to pass the character to the terminal layer.

If **3** the hardware is ready to accept a new character, we **3** try to transmit as many characters from the transmit buffer as possible, hence the while loop. After that, if **3** we have emptied the transmit buffer, we **3** signal the worker thread to move some characters into the transmit buffer, but only if **6** the terminal's output queue is not empty. If the transmit buffer is empty, we also **9** prevent the hardware from reporting TX ready interrupts, as we can't do anything about them until the worker thread puts some data in the transmit buffer. The uart_tty_fill_txbuf() function takes care of enabling the interrupt, see listing 24.

3.3 Pseudoterminals

Apart from embedded systems and debugging, character-based communication with the user over a serial link is becoming increasingly rare. Commodity desktop systems use a *graphical* shell instead of a text-based one. However, text-based interaction with the system is still possible thanks to *terminal emulators*.

A terminal emulator is a program that provides an illusion of a terminal device to processes and the user. On the user side, the emulator displays a graphical window with contents of the emulated terminal window. On the process side, it exposes a terminal file that behaves in the same way as a normal terminal file would. Processes can open it and perform I/O on it. The terminal emulator plays the role of the hardware device: it translates the user's keystrokes into characters that are then made available as input to the processes reading from the emulated terminal. It also reads the characters output by processes and displays them in the emulated terminal window.

The OS facility which allows for the emulation of a terminal device in software is called a *pseudoterminal*. A terminal emulator is far from the only use case for pseudoterminals. Programs like sshd (an SSH server) or script (which records an interactive terminal session into a file) also use pseudoterminals as a basic component. We will now take a look at how POSIX specifies their operation.

3.3.1 POSIX pseudoterminals

A pseudoterminal consists of a pair of devices: a *master device* and a *slave device*. The slave device is exposed to processes as a normal terminal. The master device is used to emulate the terminal device exposed by the slave.

The master and slave devices are linked together: everything written to the master device is processed by the terminal layer as though it came from a hardware device, and is made available as input to processes reading from the slave device. Similarly, everything written to the slave device goes through output processing in the terminal layer and appears as input to processes reading from the master device. The flow of data between master and slave devices is shown in figure 3.4.

A new pseudoterminal can be created using the posix_openpt()[5] function. It returns an open file descriptor to the master device of a pseudoterminal. Note that the master device isn't exposed in the filesystem: initially only the process that created the pseudoterminal has access to the master device (although it can duplicate and transfer the file descriptor to another process).

Once a pseudoterminal is created, its slave device is accessible via the filesystem. The slave device files are usually inside the /dev/pts directory. The file path of the slave device corresponding to a particular master device can be retrieved using the




Figure 3.4: Data flow between the pseudoterminal master and slave devices, with the terminal layer's queues acting as intermediate buffers.

ptsname()[7] function.

The slave device is available as long as the master device file is open. Once the last master device file descriptor is closed, the slave device disappears from the filesystem, and the effect is as though the emulated terminal device was disconnected from the system. Any subsequent I/O operation on the slave device fails with an error code.

3.3.2 Pseudoterminals in the Mimiker kernel

The implementation of pseudoterminals in the Mimiker kernel is relatively simple, as most of the logic is already implemented in the terminal layer. All master device logic is implemented as a terminal driver and plugs into the terminal layer in the same way as the UART driver.

Data structures

pty_t

There is very little state associated with a pseudoterminal, as most of the state is managed by the terminal layer. The pty_t structure contains pseudoterminal-specific state.

```
typedef struct {
  atomic_int pt_number;
  condvar_t pt_incv;
  condvar_t pt_outcv;
} pty_t;
```

Listing 27: sys/kern/pty.c: definition of pty_t.

The pt_number field is a unique number identifying the pseudoterminal. The number determines the filesystem path under which the slave device is available. For instance, if pt_number is equal to 3, the slave device's path is /dev/pts/3.

The pt_incv condition variable is used by master-side readers to wait for data to become available in the slave terminal's **output** queue, while the pt_outcv condition variable is used by master-side writers to wait for space to become available in the slave terminal device's **input** queue.

Master-side input

Processes read from the master device of a pseudoterminal using the read() system call, which inside the kernel uses the fo_read function pointer to call the appropriate implementation. In the case of master device files, that function pointer points to pty_read(). Let us examine how it operates.

```
static int pty_read(file_t *f, uio_t *uio) {
   tty_t *tty = f->f_data;
   pty_t *pty = tty->t_data;
   int error;
   SCOPED_MTX_LOCK(&tty->t_lock);
   /* Wait until there is at least one byte of data. */
0
   while (ringbuf_empty(&tty->t_outq)) {
0
     if (!tty_opened(tty))
       return 0;
0
     if (cv_wait_intr(&pty->pt_incv, &tty->t_lock))
       return ERESTARTSYS;
   }
   error = ringbuf_read(&tty->t_outq, uio);
  tty_getc_done(tty);
   return error;
```

Listing 28: sys/kern/pty.c: definition of pty_read().

The function first **1** loops until there is some data in the corresponding slave terminal's output queue. If **2** the slave terminal isn't opened by any processes, we return without reading anything. Otherwise, we **3** wait on the pseudoterminal's pt_incv condition variable. If our sleep got interrupted by a signal, we return an error code of ERESTARTSYS.

The pt_incv condition variable is signaled when new data arrives in the slave terminal's output queue. Recall from Section 3.1.2 that the t_notify_out function is called when new characters are added to the output queue. This function's implementation is supplied by the driver, so in our case, the function simply wakes up all threads waiting on the pt_incv condition variable:

```
static void pty_notify_out(tty_t *tty) {
  pty_t *pty = tty->t_data;
  /* Notify PTY readers: input is available. */
  cv_broadcast(&pty->pt_incv);
}
```

Listing 29: sys/kern/pty.c: definition of pty_notify_out().

Once we get past the loop, we know that there is data available in the output queue, so we • read the data into the buffer supplied by the reading process. The interface of the terminal layer requires us to call tty_getc_done() after consuming characters from the output queue, so at • we do just that.

Master-side output

Writing to the master device is accomplished in the kernel using the pty_write() function, which hooks into the fo_write operation in the generic file-handling layer.

```
static int pty_write(file_t *f, uio_t *uio) {
   tty_t *tty = f->f_data;
   pty_t *pty = tty->t_data;
   int error = 0;
   uint8_t c;
   uiostate_t save;
   SCOPED_MTX_LOCK(&tty->t_lock);
   while (uio->uio_resid > 0) {
0
     uio_save(uio, &save);
€
     if ((error = uiomove(&c, 1, uio)))
4
      if ((error = pty_putc_sleep(tty, pty, c))) {
       uio_restore(uio, &save);
       break;
     }
   }
   return error;
```

Listing 30: sys/kern/pty.c: definition of pty_write().

The function ① loops as long as there are character left to write in the buffer supplied by the calling process. Before reading the actual character, ② the current status of the I/O operation is saved. We then ③ read the character to be written from the userspace buffer and ④ write it to the slave terminal's input queue using the pty_putc_sleep() function, which sleeps on the pt_outcv condition variable if there's no space in the input queue, and also returns an error if the slave terminal isn't opened by any processes. Once there's space in the input queue, the t_notify_in function pointer is invoked, which in our case points to pty_notify_in:

```
static void pty_notify_in(tty_t *tty) {
  pty_t *pty = tty->t_data;
  /* Notify PTY writers: there is space in the slave TTY's input queue. */
  cv_broadcast(&pty->pt_outcv);
}
```

Listing 31: sys/kern/pty.c: definition of pty_notify_in().

If the pty_putc_sleep() function fails, we must roll back the read of the character at ③, since we failed to properly consume it. To do that, we ⑤ restore the previously saved state of the I/O operation. After rolling back, we break out of the loop and return with an error code.

Chapter 4

Implementation Challenges

In order to properly implement terminal and job control support in the Mimiker kernel, many seemingly unrelated issues needed to be resolved. Some of them were unimplemented features, while others concerned more fundamental design choices in the kernel. This chapter describes a number of interesting issues that arose, and how they have been resolved.

This first issue concerns the way stop signals (e.g. SIGSTOP) interact with threads that are sleeping interruptibly. The second one arose when trying to reconcile the existing locking rules with the terminal subsystem's requirements. The last challenge described involves working around Mimiker's lack of poll()[4] and select()[6] functionality when porting the tetris program.

4.1 Interruptible sleep and stop signals

When a process performs certain I/O operations (e.g. reading from a terminal), if the data isn't immediately available, the calling thread will sleep (i.e. suspend execution in the kernel) awaiting the data. Two kinds of sleep are usually distinguished: interruptible and uninterruptible. Interruptible sleep is used in case of I/O that may take an arbitrarily long time to complete, as is the case when reading from a terminal — there is no guarantee that data will eventually arrive. Uninterruptible sleep is used when the event being awaited is expected to occur within a short time, for instance during disk I/O.

When a thread sleeping interruptibly receives a signal for which it has registered a handler, it is resumed so that it can handle the signal: the thread's sleep has been interrupted.

There are two things that can happen after a thread has its sleep interrupted by a signal, depending on the value of the handler's SA_RESTART flag, whose value is set when installing a signal handler via signation()[10]:

- If the flag is set, the interrupted system call is restarted;
- If the flag is not set, the interrupted system call returns to userspace, usually with an error code of EINTR.

When a thread receives a stop signal (e.g. SIGSTOP) with a default disposition¹, its execution should be immediately stopped. Once the thread is resumed using SIGCONT, assuming the SIGCONT signal had no registered handler, according to POSIX [1, Section 2.4.4], the thread should resume at the point it was stopped. This means that the reception of a SIGSTOP signal followed by SIGCONT should usually be imperceptible to userspace processes.

In most kernels, signals are usually handled whenever a thread returns from the kernel to userspace. The set of pending signals for the current thread is checked, and if a signal which should be delivered is found, it is delivered either by killing or stopping the thread, or arranging for the signal handler to be called. Having a single point where signals are handled is beneficial from an architectural standpoint, as it introduces the smallest possible amount of complexity, making it easier to understand.

However, what should happen when a stop signal is being sent to a thread that is sleeping interruptibly? Should it be stopped *while* it is sleeping and remain sleeping when it is resumed? Or should its sleep be interrupted, making the interrupted thread continue execution to the userspace boundary, where it will handle the stop signal itself? Note that in the second case, the system call that was interrupted must be restarted in order to maintain the illusion that execution has been resumed at the point it was stopped.

The first approach is implemented in the FreeBSD kernel. It has the advantage of being somewhat more true to the specification, as the stopped thread will continue at exactly the point it was stopped. However, there are some drawbacks to this approach:

- It introduces additional logic to handle sending a stop signal to a thread that is sleeping interruptibly;
- Signals are no longer always processed at the kernel-userspace boundary: stop signals can also be processed when a thread is going to sleep;
- A thread sleeping interruptibly can be indefinitely prevented from waking up by sending it a stop signal. This could potentially be exploited to perform denial of service attacks on certain components of the system. For instance, a thread writing to a terminal may sleep (interruptibly) on a condition variable while having exclusive access to that terminal. If a stop signal is sent to that thread while it is sleeping, it won't relinquish its exclusive access until it is

¹Note that SIGSTOP's disposition cannot be changed from the default one, see Section 2.2.1.

resumed. A malicious program could use this technique to prevent all other processes from writing to a terminal.

The second approach, used in the Linux kernel, avoids the drawbacks of the first approach:

- Sending a stop signal to a thread that is sleeping interruptibly is done in the same way as sending any other signal: if the signal isn't blocked or ignored, the receiving thread's sleep is interrupted and the signal is handled at the userspace boundary;
- Signals are processed in one spot: if a thread detects a pending stop signal when it is about to go to sleep, it won't go to sleep, and instead report to the caller that a signal needs to be handled;
- Since threads can stop only at the userspace boundary, they can't hold any exclusive kernel resource (such as exclusive access to a terminal) when stopping.

When implementing stop signals in the Mimiker kernel, a choice had to be made about which approach to choose. The second approach seems conceptually simpler and avoids the pitfalls of the first one, which is why it was chosen. Let us now get into more details about how it works.

In the following discussion, a signal is considered *caught* only if its handler is executed. Therefore, if a thread is stopped using SIGSTOP and resumed using SIGCONT, assuming SIGCONT had no associated handler, then no signal was caught. According to this definition, a signal with a default disposition cannot be caught.

When a thread that is about to go to sleep inside a system call detects a pending signal, it returns a special error code that specifies under what circumstances the system call should be restarted:

- ERESTARTSYS: restart the system call if and only if no signal was caught or the caught signal's handler has the SA_RESTART flag set;
- ERESTARTNOHAND: restart the system call if and only if no signal was caught.

Consequently, if a system call returns ERESTARTSYS or ERESTARTNOHAND, and the only signals handled at the userspace boundary are SIGSTOP followed by SIGCONT (without a handler), then the system call will be restarted in the same way it would be if there were no signals to handle at all.

Linux defines two more error codes like this, but at the time of writing they are not needed to implement any system call in the Mimiker kernel, so they have not been included:

- ERESTARTNOINTR: always restart the system call;
- ERESTART_RESTARTBLOCK: like ERESTARTSYS, except when restarting, the interrupted system call is replaced with restart_syscall()[8], which adjusts time-related parameters (e.g. timeout duration in the case of poll()) before restarting the original system call.

These error codes may be imported into Mimiker in the future, as more functionality finds its way into the kernel.

To illustrate exactly how the Linux approach works when adapted for the Mimiker kernel, let's trace what happens in Mimiker when a thread inside of a write() system call on a terminal attempts to sleep interruptibly while having a pending SIGSTOP signal:

- 1. In tty_do_write() the thread calls tty_output_sleep(), which finds out the terminal output queue full and calls tty_wait() to sleep on the condition variable t_outcv.
- 2. The cv_wait_intr() function, used to sleep on the condition variable, calls sleepq_wait_timed(), which is the main kernel function used to go to sleep.
- 3. The sleepq_wait_timed() function checks the current thread's TDF_NEEDSIGCHK flag, which indicates that there is a pending signal. If the flag is set, the function immediately returns EINTR.
- 4. The EINTR error code is propagated to tty_wait(), which converts it to ERESTARTSYS.
- 5. The ERESTARTSYS error code is propagated through the call stack, during which the exclusive terminal access gained in tty_write() is relinquished.
- 6. Before returning to userspace, in on_user_exc_leave(), the sig_check() function checks for pending signals. Signals that should stop or kill the receiving process are handled immediately inside sig_check(). In our case the only pending signal is SIGSTOP, so this is where the thread is stopped.
- 7. After being resumed via SIGCONT, sig_check() checks for pending signals once again. The return value from sig_check() indicates whether there are any pending signals with a registered handler. Let's assume that no new signals apart from SIGCONT arrived while the thread was stopped, and that the SIGCONT has no registered handler, so the return value from sig_check() indicates that no signals need to be caught.
- 8. The return value from sig_check(), along with the system call return value (ERESTARTSYS in our case), is passed to set_syscall_retval(). This function handles the special return codes and arranges for the system call to be restarted

if needed. According to the semantics of ERESTARTSYS, since no signal is to be caught, the system call is arranged to be restarted by appropriately modifying the userspace thread's context.

9. Upon return from the kernel to userspace, the write() system call is immediately restarted.

4.2 Refinement of locking rules

As explained in section ?? (TODO: write the chapter we're referring to here), locking primitives such as mutexes are used to synchronize concurrent accesses to members of data structures that are shared between threads. When using these locking primitives, care must be taken to prevent *deadlocks*. A deadlock occurs when a group of two or more threads is stuck, with each thread in the group waiting for a resource that is held by another thread in the group.

The simplest example of a deadlock is when two threads each attempt to acquire two mutexes: mtx_a and mtx_b, with thread 1 acquiring the mutexes in a different order than thread 2. Listing 32 shows example code for the threads.

Thread 1 Thread 2

```
void thread1(void) {
    mtx_lock(mtx_a);
    mtx_lock(mtx_b);
    mtx_lock(mtx_a);
    /* ... */
    mtx_unlock(mtx_b);
    mtx_unlock(mtx_a);
    mtx_unlock(mtx_b);
    mtx_unlock(mtx_b);
    mtx_unlock(mtx_b);
}
```

Listing 32: Example code of two threads acquiring mutexes in different orders.

Consider the following sequence of events:

- 1. Thread 1 acquires mtx_a;
- 2. Thread 2 acquires mtx_b;
- 3. Thread 1 attempts to acquire mtx_b and blocks, since it is already held by thread 2;
- 4. Thread 2 attempts to acquire mtx_a and blocks, since it is already held by thread 1.

Clearly, neither thread can proceed, since each thread is waiting for a lock that is held by the other thread. Hence, we have a deadlock.

One of the most common ways to prevent such deadlocks is to impose an *ordering* on the locks and require that locks are always acquired according to that ordering. For instance, if we imposed an ordering that placed mtx_a before mtx_b, it would be illegal to acquire mtx_a while holding mtx_b. If a thread wanted to acquire both mutexes, it would need to acquire mtx_a first and then mtx_b. Thus, the two threads from the example would acquire the two mutexes in the same ordering, preventing a deadlock from happening.

The Mimiker kernel tries to avoid deadlocks using this method. Whenever multiple locks are acquired, they are acquired according to an informally defined ordering. During the integration of the terminal subsystem with other subsystems of the kernel (e.g. signal handling), the per-terminal t_lock embedded in the tty_t structure had to be placed somewhere in the lock ordering. This posed some problems, as the following example illustrates.

Consider what happens when a session leader terminates. If the session has a controlling terminal, and the terminal has a foreground process group, then every process in the foreground process group should receive a SIGHUP signal. In order to access a process's session structure and its controlling terminal, the all_proc_mtx mutex must be held. In order to examine a terminal's foreground process group, the terminal's t_lock mutex must be held. Therefore, all_proc_mtx must be acquired first in order to look up the controlling terminal, and then t_lock must be acquired to examine the foreground process group. This places all_proc_mtx before t_lock in the lock ordering.

After looking up the foreground process group, we might need to send a signal to each process in the group using the sig_pgkill() function. This function requires both all_proc_mtx and the target process group's pg_lock to be held by the caller. This implies that pg_lock comes after t_lock and all_proc_mtx in the ordering.

Now that we have established that all_proc_mtx < t_lock < pg_lock, let's look at what needs to happen during terminal input processing. If the ISIG terminal flag is set, we must send a signal to every process in the foreground process group in response to certain control characters. Understandably, character processing happens while holding t_lock, so that other threads can't change the terminal settings in the middle of processing. In order to send a signal to the foreground process group, we must acquire all_proc_mtx and the group's pg_lock. However, note that acquiring all_proc_mtx while holding t_lock would violate the ordering!

Several bad solutions quickly come to mind:

Require callers of tty_input() to hold all_proc_mtx.
 This is bad, because all_proc_mtx is only needed when sending a signal, which happens rarely during terminal input processing. Processing of normal characters should not bear the cost of synchronization that is needed only in exceptional cases.

 When a signal needs to be sent, drop t_lock, and then acquire all_proc_mtx, t_lock and the foreground process group's pg_lock.
 This might work, but requires some extra precautions. First of all, the terminal

device might disappear while we are not holding t_lock. Secondly, dropping t_lock would lead to headaches for callers of tty_input(), which might rely on holding t_lock for their own synchronization purposes.

A semi-reasonable solution is to delegate sending the signal to another thread. This is the approach used in the NetBSD kernel. This approach is actually *necessary* in the case of NetBSD, as the terminal layer there uses spinlocks for synchronization, not mutexes, and sending a signal requires holding a mutex. Since it is illegal to acquire a mutex while holding a spinlock, delegating the job to a thread is a necessity.

We chose not to follow NetBSD's approach and instead follow the approach found in the FreeBSD kernel, where it is possible to send a signal to a process group while holding a terminal's mutex. That's because the locking requirements of the function used to send signals in FreeBSD are less strict compared to the Mimiker kernel. We therefore relaxed the locking requirements of the sig_kill() function, so that it no longer requires all_proc_mtx to be held by the caller. This was not a trivial task. To understand why, we need to understand why sig_kill() required all_proc_mtx in the first place.

A parent may be waiting for a child process's state to change using the waitpid() function. Resuming a process using the SIGCONT signal counts as a state change. Therefore, the parent of a stopped process receiving a SIGCONT signal must be notified of the state change. This notification happens inside the sig_kill() function. The code of the wait4() system call (which implements the waitpid() POSIX function) used all_proc_mtx to synchronize with sig_kill(), so if we were to remove all_proc_mtx from sig_kill(), we would also need to adjust the wait4() system call's code.

Another complication is that in order to notify the parent, we need to access the p_parent field of the process receiving the signal. This field used to be protected by all_proc_mtx, so that requirement also needed to be changed.

The first issue was resolved by using the parent process's p_lock to synchronize the waiting thread with the notifying thread. The PF_CHILD_STATE_CHANGED flag is set in the parent process to tell it that a child process's state has changed.

The second issue was resolved by changing the locking rules around the p_parent field of proc_t. The field is now protected by two locks: the process's p_lock and all_proc_mtx. In order to read the field's value, holding either lock is sufficient. However, in order to change the value, both locks must be held. Since in sig_kill() we're only reading the value of p_parent, holding the target process's p_lock is enough.

While the two issues are now resolved, another problem arises as a result: in order to notify the parent of the target process's state change, we must acquire the parent's p_lock while holding its child's p_lock. This used to be forbidden by the locking rules. However, note that we can safely acquire the parent's mutex if we enforce the following rule:

The p_lock of the parent of a process p may be acquired while holding the p_lock of p, but not the other way around.

Since the process hierarchy forms a tree, there are no cycles, so it is impossible for a deadlock to occur. We could extend this rule to arbitrary ancestors instead of just the parent, but currently it does not bring any additional benefits.

With these adjustments in place, the sig_kill() function no longer requires all_proc_mtx to be held by the caller, and it's possible to send a signal to the foreground process group directly from within tty_input().

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Bibliography

[1] General Information.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/V2_chap02.html.

Accessed: 28/04/2021.

[2] General Terminal Interface.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/basedefs/V1_chap11.html.

Accessed: 13/04/2021.

[3] kill - send a signal to a process or a group of processes.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/kill.html.

Accessed: 29/01/2021.

[4] poll - input/output multiplexing.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/poll.html.

Accessed: 11/05/2021.

[5] posix_openpt - open a pseudo-terminal device.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/posix_openpt.html.

Accessed: 23/04/2021.

[6] pselect, select - synchronous I/O multiplexing.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/select.html.

Accessed: 11/05/2021.

[7] ptsname - get name of the slave pseudo-terminal device.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/ptsname.html.

Accessed: 23/04/2021.

76 BIBLIOGRAPHY

[8] restart_syscall - restart a system call after interruption by a stop signal. https://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man2/restart_syscall.2.html. Accessed: 11/05/2021.

[9] setsid - create session and set process group ID.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/setsid.html.

Accessed: 25/02/2021.

[10] sigaction - examine and change a signal action.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/sigaction.html.

Accessed: 29/01/2021.

[11] sigprocmask - examine and change blocked signals.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/sigprocmask.html.

Accessed: 29/01/2021.

[12] stty - set the options for a terminal.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/utilities/stty.html.

Accessed: 07/05/2021.

[13] tcsetpgrp - set the foreground process group ID.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/tcsetpgrp.html.

Accessed: 17/11/2020.

[14] fork - create a new process.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/fork.html.

Accessed: 17/11/2020.

[15] setpgid - set process group ID for job control.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/setpg id.html.

Accessed: 17/11/2020.

[16] tcgetattr - get the parameters associated with the terminal.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/tcgetattr.html.

Accessed: 17/11/2020.

[17] tcsetattr - set the parameters associated with the terminal.

https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/tcset attr.html.

Accessed: 17/11/2020.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 77

[18] termios.h - define values for termios. https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/basedefs/termio

Accessed: 23/11/2020.

s.h.html.

[19] The GNU Readline Library.

https://tiswww.case.edu/php/chet/readline/rltop.html.

Accessed: 23/11/2020.

[20] The Open Group Base Specifications Issue 7, 2018 edition. https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/. Accessed: 17/11/2020.

[21] uio, uiomove, uiomove_frombuf, uiomove_nofault - device driver I/O routines.

https://www.freebsd.org/cgi/man.cgi?query=uio&manpath=FreeBSD+12.2-RELEASE+and+Ports.

Accessed: 07/05/2021.

[22] wait, waitpid - wait for a child process to stop or terminate. https://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/functions/waitpid.html. Accessed: 25/02/2021.

[23] Maurice J. Bach. The Design of the UNIX Operating System. Prentice-Hall, Inc., USA, 1986.

- [24] F. J. Corbató and V. A. Vyssotsky. Introduction and overview of the multics system. In *Proceedings of the November 30-December 1, 1965, Fall Joint Com*puter Conference, Part I, AFIPS '65 (Fall, part I), page 185–196, New York, NY, USA, 1965. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [25] Michael Kerrisk. The Linux Programming Interface: A Linux and UNIX System Programming Handbook. No Starch Press, USA, 1st edition, 2010.
- [26] W. Richard Stevens and Stephen A. Rago. Advanced Programming in the UNIX Environment. Addison-Wesley Professional, 3rd edition, 2013.