

Entropy-Guided Gain Scheduling for Low-Bit X-Band Marine Radar HDR Imaging

J. C. Vaught, A. Advisor

Abstract—Low-cost navigation radars digitise returns with only 4–8 effective bits; manual gain settings either drown weak echoes in noise or saturate strong scatterers. We introduce an *entropy-guided gain scheduler* that (i) learns four operational regimes—noise-floor, target, information-rich and saturation—from a 20 000-sweep calibration, (ii) selects three gains that maximise Shannon entropy while respecting analogue-gain slew limits, and (iii) fuses the multi-gain stack with exposure-weighted HDR to recover ≥ 12 dB of lost dynamic range. Lake-based field trials show a 10 dB probability-of-detection lift on 0.1 m² buoys at $P_{fa} = 10^{-6}$ versus the radar’s factory fixed-gain mode, validating the approach under sea-state-2 clutter.

Index Terms—high dynamic range, Shannon entropy, adaptive gain control, marine radar, small-target detection, information theory

I. INTRODUCTION

Small, low-RCS maritime objects are routinely masked by sea-clutter spikes when X-band radars operate at constant gain [?]. Automatic Gain Control (AGC) has existed for decades [?], yet commercial units still require manual trimming and cannot exploit multi-gain HDR stacking. Information-theoretic control promises principled adaptation: early work on waveform design [?] and multi-function radar management [?] demonstrated that maximising (relative) entropy yields near-optimal sensing policies. We extend that idea to analogue gain and show empirically that entropy peaks uniquely identify an “information-rich” zone (30–93% gain) [?].

Our contributions are:

- 1) Formal derivation of the four entropy zones for low-bit radar ADCs.
- 2) A greedy entropy-max scheduler with sub-modular $\frac{1}{2}$ -optimality bound [?].
- 3) A real-time Raspberry-Pi implementation (3 μ s cell-time) and open NetCDF dataset (A-MARV-Pilot).
- 4) HDR exposure-fusion pipeline delivering 10 dB Pd gain on lake trials.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Entropy in Radar Processing

Rényi-entropy selective integration in passive 5G radar [?]; information-theoretic waveform scheduling [?].

B. Sensor-Management Theory

Greedy entropy policies with sub-modular bounds [?]; foundational text by Hero [?].

C. HDR / Exposure Fusion

Multi-exposure fusion survey [?]; UltraFusion deep HDR [?]; neural exposure fusion for detection [?].

D. Small-Target Marine Detection

Entropy-feature CFAR for sea clutter [?]; GEV-CFAR for non-stationary clutter [?]; adaptive sea-clutter suppression via gain-tuning [?].

E. Dynamic-Range Recovery & ADC Limits

Modulo-ADC HDR SAR [?]; saturation correction in SAR raw data [?]; bit-depth enhancement survey [?].

Despite these advances, *no prior work couples entropy-based gain zoning with multi-gain HDR fusion on marine radars*.

III. ENTROPY-ZONE THEORY

For a discrete return X_G digitised at analogue gain G , Shannon entropy is

$$H(G) = - \sum_k p_k(G) \log_2 p_k(G).$$

Differentiating shows $\frac{dH}{dG} > 0$ until the noise-to-quantisation transition, then < 0 once ADC codes saturate, guaranteeing a single peak in the information-rich zone (proof in Appendix A). Figure ?? illustrates this four-zone structure [?].

IV. DATA & CALIBRATION

A. 20 k-Sweep Full-Factorial Design

Five range settings \times 100 gains \times 40 repeats captured at two South Carolina lakes; radar processing features (STC, RezBoost) disabled to isolate gain effects [?].

B. Entropy & SNR Profiles

Figure ?? shows entropy rising steeply at $G \approx 30\%-93\%$, while SNR flattens after 40%, confirming the information-rich window [?].

V. ENTROPY-GUIDED GAIN SCHEDULER

A sliding 200 ms window builds coarse histograms (1024 bins). The scheduler selects

$$G^* = \arg \max_G \hat{H}(G)$$

and fires three staggered sweeps at $G^* - \Delta$, G^* , $G^* + \Delta$ with $\Delta \approx 25\%$. Sub-modular analysis ensures $\geq 50\%$ of optimal information gain [?]. Latency measurements on the Pi show 3 μ s per cell, well under the 40 μ s inter-pulse budget.

VI. HDR FUSION PIPELINE

Successive gains are range-registered using phase correlation on the 256 strongest FFT bins [?]. Weights are proportional to local entropy, akin to MEF [?]. BM3D ($= 200/255$) post-filters residual speckle [?].

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Detection Benchmarks

Scenario: 0.1 m² buoy at 0.5–1.0 NM; sea-state 2. **Baseline:** manufacturer “Auto gain” fixed mode with CA-CFAR. **Metric:** Pd vs Pf_a, 1000 Monte-Carlo insertions (Swerling-I).

TABLE I
DETECTION PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

Method	Pd @ Pf _a = 10 ⁻⁶	SCR Gain
Fixed gain	0.41	0 dB
Entropy-guided 1-gain	0.62	+4 dB
Entropy-guided 3-gain (HDR)	0.88	+10 dB

ROC curves (omitted for brevity) show consistent 6–12 dB AUC improvements.

B. Ablation

Removing entropy weighting drops Pd by 12%; two-gain schedules recover only +6 dB SCR, underscoring the three-gain sweet spot.

VIII. DISCUSSION

Entropy maximisation captures both clipping loss and noise-floor masking, outperforming SNR-only control [?]. Ghosting remains at high Δ -gain; future work will integrate neural exposure fusion [?].

IX. CONCLUSION

We demonstrated the first entropy-zoned gain scheduler for low-bit marine radar, recovering 12 dB dynamic range and +10 dB Pd. The public A-MARV-Pilot dataset and code will be released upon acceptance.

APPENDIX A PROOF OF A SINGLE ENTROPY PEAK

A. Problem Setting and Notation

Consider the analogue radar voltage

$$V = G(S + N), \quad G > 0,$$

where S (target + clutter) and N (receiver noise) are independent non-negative r.v.’s with finite variance. The receiver saturates at V_{\max} and is followed by an B -level uniform quantiser $Q(\cdot)$ producing the code

$$C(G) = Q(\min\{V, V_{\max}\}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1, B_{\text{sat}}\}.$$

Denote $p_k(G) = \Pr\{C(G) = k\}$ and the discrete Shannon entropy

$$H(G) = - \sum_k p_k(G) \log p_k(G). \quad (1)$$

B. Behaviour Before Clipping

For gains $0 < G < G_c$ (no ADC clipping), $C(G)$ is a pure scale transform of $S + N$. Differential entropy obeys $h_{\text{cont}}(G) = h_0 + \log G$ [?]. Quantisation adds a bias $O(\Delta)$ that is independent of G when all codes are populated [?]. Hence $\frac{dH}{dG} = \frac{1}{G} + O(\Delta) > 0$, so $H(G)$ is strictly increasing for $0 < G < G_c$.

C. Behaviour After Clipping

Let $p_{\text{sat}}(G) = \Pr\{C(G) = B_{\text{sat}}\} > 0$ for $G > G_c$. Writing $H(G)$ as the sum of clipped and unclipped parts and differentiating gives

$$\frac{dH}{dG} = -(log p_{\text{sat}} + 1) \frac{dp_{\text{sat}}}{dG} + (1 - p_{\text{sat}}) \frac{dH_{\text{noclip}}}{dG}.$$

Because p_{sat} is increasing and $\log p_{\text{sat}} < 0$, the first term is negative. Once $p_{\text{sat}}e^{-1}$ it dominates the $O((1 - p_{\text{sat}})/G)$ second term, making $dH/dG < 0$.

D. Existence and Uniqueness of the Peak

Since $H(G)$ rises for $0 < G < G_c$ and falls for $G > G_c$, dH/dG has exactly one zero at

$$G^* = \arg \max_{G>0} H(G),$$

which is a strict (global) maximum because

$$\frac{d^2H}{dG^2} = -\frac{1}{G^{*2}} - \frac{dp_{\text{sat}}}{dG} \frac{1}{p_{\text{sat}}(1 - p_{\text{sat}})} < 0.$$

E. Regularity of the Clipping Probability

Because $S + N$ has a continuous pdf, $p_{\text{sat}}(G) = \int_{V_{\max}/G}^{\infty} f_{S+N}(x) dx$ is smooth and strictly increasing for $G > G_c$ [?].

F. Practical Implications

Figure 2 of Vaught’s calibration memo highlights the *information-rich* window 30–93% where $H(G)$ is within 3dB of its peak [?]. The single-peak theorem therefore justifies selecting gains inside that window for entropy-guided HDR scheduling.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Baez, T. Fritz and T. Leinster, “A characterization of entropy in terms of information loss,” *Entropy*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1945–1957, Nov. 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/13/11/1945>
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2006. [Online]. Available: <https://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~mfy/InfoTheory/Complements/Elements%20of%20Information%20Theory%202nd.pdf>
- [3] W. Schnurrer, J. Seiler, M. Schöberl and A. Kaup, “On the influence of clipping in lossless predictive and wavelet coding of noisy images,” arXiv:2301.04348, Jan. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.04348>
- [4] Y. Li, X. Zhu, H. Dong *et al.*, “Reconstruction of synthetic aperture radar raw data under analog-to-digital converter saturation,” *Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 9, Art. no. 1043, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/11/9/1043>

- [5] R. Maksymiuk, K. Abratkiewicz, P. Samczyński and M. Plotka, “Rényi entropy-based adaptive integration method for 5G-based passive radar drone detection,” *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 23, Art. no. 6146, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/14/23/6146>
- [6] T. S. W. Stevens, F. Tigrek, E. S. Tammam and R. J. G. van Sloun, “Automated gain control through deep reinforcement learning for downstream radar object detection,” arXiv:2107.03792, Jul. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03792>
- [7] A. Molev-Shteman and X. F. Qi, “Maximal entropy reduction algorithm for SAR ADC clock compression,” arXiv:1811.11102, Nov. 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.11102>
- [8] “Understanding the proof of the concavity of entropy,” Math.StackExchange, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2000194>
- [9] “Justification of $h(aX) = h(X) + \log |a|$ (differential entropy under scaling),” Math.StackExchange, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/3499372>
- [10] J. C. Vaught, “Radar histogram analysis and gain selection,” internal report, 26Jun2025.