| SerialExperimentsOlga | a |
|-----------------------|---|
| | _ |
| Olga Yakobsor | n |
| | |

February 4, 2022 Version: My First Draft



Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Statistics Institute of Informatics



Bachelor's Thesis

SerialExperimentsOlga

Olga Yakobson

1. Reviewer Prof. Dr. Eyke Hüllermeier

Institute of Informatics

LMU Munich

2. Reviewer John Doe

Institute of Informatics

LMU Munich

Supervisors Jane Doe and John Smith

February 4, 2022



Olga Yakobson

SerialExperimentsOlga

Bachelor's Thesis, February 4, 2022

Reviewers: Prof. Dr. Eyke Hüllermeier and John Doe

Supervisors: Jane Doe and John Smith

LMU Munich

Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Statistics Institute of Informatics Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning (AIML) Akademiestraße 7 80799 Munich

Abstract

Abstract (different language)

Acknowledgement

Contents

| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
|----|--|----|
| | 1.1. Motivation | 1 |
| | 1.1.1. Weisfeiler-Lehman and the graph Isomorphism test | 1 |
| | 1.2. Research Questions | 1 |
| | 1.3. Structure | 1 |
| 2. | Related Work | 3 |
| | 2.1. Intro to GNN | 3 |
| | 2.2. Different GNN architectures and 1WL-Test | 2 |
| | 2.3. Regularization techniques | 4 |
| | 2.4. typical problems in GNN | 5 |
| | 2.5. Regularization | 5 |
| | 2.6. Conclusion | 5 |
| 3. | System | 7 |
| | 3.1. System Section 1 | 7 |
| | 3.2. System Section 2 | 7 |
| | 3.3. System Section 3 | 8 |
| | 3.4. Conclusion | 8 |
| 4. | Concepts: This text is here to test a very long title, to simulate the | |
| | line break behavior, to show that an extremely long tilte also works | g |
| | 4.1. Concepts Section 1 | ç |
| | 4.2. Concepts Section 2 | ç |
| | 4.3. Concepts Section 3 | ç |
| | 4.4. Conclusion | ç |
| 5. | Conclusion | 11 |
| | 5.1. System Section 1 | 11 |
| | 5.2. System Section 2 | 11 |
| | 5.3. Future Work | 11 |
| Α. | Example Appendix | 13 |
| | A.1. Appendix Costion 1 | 10 |

| A.2. Appendix Section 2 | 13 |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Bibliography | 15 |
| List of Figures | 17 |
| List of Tables | 19 |

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Graphs are pretty useful for modelling real world systems as they are able to model entities and thier relationships.

Examples can be found across various domains such as Natural sciences (atoms + chemical bonds)

Graph Neural networks are a highly scalable class of models that can "learn" on graph structured data

TEST

1.1.1 Weisfeiler-Lehman and the graph Isomorphism test

There exist a variety of different and powerful graph neural network (GNN)

1.2 Research Questions

1.3 Structure

Related Work

99 Speech 100

— Olga Yakobson (Ph.Neutral)

2.1 Intro to GNN

GNN acess features of the neighbouring nodes using a mechanism called message passing. Message passing embedds into every node information about it's neighbourgood. GNNs can be classified by the corresponding message passing machanism e.g convolutional or attention.

More generally the message passing mechanism uses two functions, AGGREGATE and COMBINE [Xu+19]. The AGGREGATE function (max, sum...)

$$a_v^k = AGGREGATE^k(\{h_u^{(k-1)}: u \in \mathcal{N}_{(v)}\})$$
 , $h_v^{(k)} = COMBINE^{(k)}(h_v^{(k-1)}, a_v^{(k)})$

The UPDATE function then combines the each node uses the information from its neighbors to update its embeddings, thus a natural extension is to use the information from the neighbors of its neighbors (or second-hop neighbors) to increase its receptive field and become more aware of the graph structure. This is what makes the second layer of our GNN model.

Therefore by stacking k layers togheter, we can reach the k-hop neighbourhood. The combine function then combines the representation of the node with Various types of GNN have been used for a variety of machine learning tasks. Such tasks include

- 1. Link prediction:
- 2. Vertex classification & regression:

3. Graph classification & regression:

GNNs in particular graph convolutional networks (GCNs) tend to suffer from two main obstacles: overfitting and obersmoothing Over-fitting: weakens the generalization ability on small dataset

Over-smoothing impedes model training by isolating output representations from the input features with the increase in network depth.

To address these issues a variety of regularization techniques have been developed.

The aim is to apply GraphDropConnect (GDC) to two GNN architectures, namely GCN and graph isomorphism network (GIN)

2.2 Different GNN architectures and 1WL-Test

Graph Isomorphism Network
$$h_v^{(k)} = MLP^{(k)}((1+\epsilon^{(k)})*h_v^{(k-1)} + \sum_{u \in \mathcal{N}(v)} h_u^{(k-1)})$$

2.3 Regularization techniques

DropOut

$$H^{(l+1)} = \sigma(\Re(A)(Z^{(l)} \odot H^{(l)})W^{(l)})$$

DropEdge

$$H^{(l+1)}=\sigma(\Re(A\odot Z^{(l)})H^{(l)}W^{(l)})$$

Node Sampling

$$H^{(l+1)} = \sigma(\Re(A) diag(z^{(l)}) H^{(l)} W^{(l)})$$

Graph DropConnect

$$H^{(l+1)}[:,j] = \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{f_t} \Re(A \odot Z_{i,j}^{(l)}) H^{(l)}[:,i] W^{(l)}[i,j])$$

Graph Convolutional Network GCN as proposed by the authors [KW17] has the following layer-wise propaation rule

$$H^{(l+1)} = \sigma(\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{A}\tilde{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}H^{(l)}W^{(l)})$$

and is very broadly used for a variety of different tasks, such as for instance node Classification tasks. Despite not being as powerful as the GIN architecture, this architecture is sufficient for a lot of tasks, especially when there is no need for distinguishing different structures/ substructures of a graph and the prediction can be done with.

The authors claim, the model scales linearly in the number of graph edges and learns hidden layer representations that encode both local graph structure and features of nodes.

2.4 typical problems in GNN

Over-fitting: weakens the generalization ability on small dataset

Over-smoothing impedes model training by isolating output representations from the input features with the increase in network depth.

To address these issues a variety of regularization techniques have been developed.

2.5 Regularization

2.6 Conclusion

System 3

I would start with related work— C.Damke(PhD)

3.1 System Section 1



Fig. 3.1.: Figure example: (*a*) example part one, (*c*) example part two; (*c*) example part three

3.2 System Section 2



Fig. 3.2.: Another Figure example: (a) example part one, (c) example part two; (c) example part three

- 3.3 System Section 3
- 3.4 Conclusion

4

Concepts: This text is here to test a very long title, to simulate the line break behavior, to show that an extremely long tilte also works

- 4.1 Concepts Section 1
- 4.2 Concepts Section 2
- 4.3 Concepts Section 3
- 4.4 Conclusion

Conclusion

- 5.1 System Section 1
- 5.2 System Section 2
- 5.3 Future Work

Example Appendix

A.1 Appendix Section 1

| Alpha | Beta | Gamma |
|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |

Tab. A.1.: This is a caption text.

A.2 Appendix Section 2

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

| Alpha | Beta | Gamma |
|-------|------|-------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |

Tab. A.2.: This is a caption text.

Bibliography

- [KW17] Thomas N. Kipf and Max Welling. "Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks". In: 5th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2017, Toulon, France, April 24-26, 2017, Conference Track Proceedings. OpenReview.net, 2017 (cit. on p. 5).
- [Xu+19] Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka. "How Powerful are Graph Neural Networks?" In: *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019.* OpenReview.net, 2019 (cit. on p. 3).

List of Figures

| 3.1. | Figure example: (a) example part one, (c) example part two; (c) example | |
|------|---|---|
| | part three | 7 |
| 3.2. | Another Figure example: (a) example part one, (c) example part two; (c) | |
| | example part three | 5 |

List of Tables

| A.1. | This is a caption text. | | | • | | | | | | | | | | • | | 13 |
|------|-------------------------|--|--|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|------|----|
| A.2. | This is a caption text. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13 |

Colophon This thesis was typeset with $\text{MT}_{E}\!X \, 2_{\mathcal{E}}.$ It uses the Clean Thesis style developed by Ricardo Langner. The design of the Clean Thesis style is inspired by user guide documents from Apple Inc. Download the Clean Thesis style at http://cleanthesis.der-ric.de/.

Declaration

Ich, Olga Yakobson (Matrikel-Nr. 11591478), versichere, dass ich die Masterarbeit mit dem Thema SerialExperimentsOlga selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt habe. Die Stellen der Arbeit, die ich anderen Werken dem Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen habe, wurden in jedem Fall unter Angabe der Quellen der Entlehnung kenntlich gemacht. Das Gleiche gilt auch für Tabellen, Skizzen, Zeichnungen, bildliche Darstellungen usw. Die Bachelorarbeit habe ich nicht, auch nicht auszugsweise, für eine andere abgeschlossene Prüfung angefertigt. Auf § 63 Abs. 5 HZG wird hingewiesen. München, 1. Februar 2023

| Munich, February 4, 2022 | |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| | |
| | |
| | Olga Yakobson |