MATH 102 (SP21, Eggers)

Homework 6

Jiahong Long

20 May 2021

1. Real-valued matrix

(a) Linearly independent eigenvectors

Proof. Using the sesquilinear form of the inner product, we observe that

$$\langle \mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle + \langle i\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - i\mathbf{y} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle - i\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i^{2}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + i\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

and so the two are orthogonal and necessarily linearly independent.

(b) Linearly independent components

Proof. We begin by showing $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Observe that this statement is equivalent to stating that the eigenvector corresponding to a complex eigenvalue has a nonzero imaginary component. Suppose now towards the contrary that \mathbf{z}_1 is purely real, such that $\mathbf{y} = 0$ and

$$A\mathbf{z}_{1} = \lambda_{1}\mathbf{z}_{1}$$

$$A(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) = (a + bi)(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y})$$

$$0$$

$$A\mathbf{x} + iA\mathbf{y} = a\mathbf{x} + bi\mathbf{x} + ai\mathbf{y} = b\mathbf{y}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = a\mathbf{x} + bi\mathbf{x}$$

But since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that a *purely real transformation* applied to a *purely real vector* yields a *complex vector*, which is not possible. Then we must have $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

To show **x** and **y** are linearly independent, it suffices to follow a similar structure as the above. Towards a contradiction, we will denote, for some scalar $k \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$y = kx$$

such that

$$A\mathbf{z}_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{z}_1$$

$$A(\mathbf{x} + ki\mathbf{x}) = (a + bi)(\mathbf{x} + ki\mathbf{x})$$

$$A\mathbf{x} + kiA\mathbf{x} = (a + bi)(1 + ki)\mathbf{x}$$

$$(1 + ki)A\mathbf{x} = (a + bi)(1 + ki)\mathbf{x}$$

$$A\mathbf{x} = (a + bi)\mathbf{x}$$

$$= a\mathbf{x} + bi\mathbf{x}$$

where once again a contradiction arises as we cannot have complex vectors as the product of a purely real matrix and a purely real vector. Therefore \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} need be linearly independent.

2. Outer product matrix

(a) Zero eigenvalue

Proof. Given the outer product $A = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T$ it follows that the ith row of A for $1 \le i \le n$ is given by $x_i \mathbf{y}$ where x_i is the *i*th entry of \mathbf{x} . Since dim $\mathbb{R}^n = n$, pick any n-1 linearly independent vectors v_2, \ldots, v_n such that

$$\{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{v}_2,\mathbf{v}_3,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_n\}$$

is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Using Gram-Schmidt, we can then orthonormalise this basis to obtain the orthonormal basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_n\}$$

Then, each of $\hat{\mathbf{v}'}_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ is orthogonal to \mathbf{x} . We can therefore write

$$\hat{A\mathbf{v}'_i} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T\hat{\mathbf{v}'_i}$$

By orthogonality, we have

$$= 0\mathbf{x}$$
$$A\hat{\mathbf{v}'}_i = 0\hat{\mathbf{v}'}_i$$

Since this holds for all n-1 linearly independent vectors $\hat{\mathbf{v}'}_i$, we have found n-1 linearly independent eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, as required.

(b) Single eigenvalue

Proof. It suffices to show that $(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle, \mathbf{x})$ is an eigenpair of A. Algebraically,

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$
$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \mathbf{x}$$

and since the inner product is a scalar, it follows immediately that $\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{y}$ is an eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector \mathbf{x} of A.

(c) Diagonalisability

Proof. From part (a) it is evident that A has n linearly independent eigenvectors. Using the same notation, we may then express

$$A\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_2 & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_3 & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_2 & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_3 & \cdots & \hat{\mathbf{v}'}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Observe that from part (a), $\lambda_1 = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \ldots = \lambda_n = 0$, such that we can form a diagonal matrix Λ that is all zero except for the λ_1 entry. Then, using P to denote the eigenvector matrix, we may write

$$AP = P\Lambda$$

We know from part (a) that P has linearly independent columns, by explicit construction of an orthonormal basis. Hence, P is invertible and we may write

$$P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$$

and thus A is diagonalisable.

3. Nonsingularity of the matrix exponential

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix. Then it has n eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). Suppose λ_i is one such eigenvalue, and let \mathbf{v}_i be an associated eigenvector such that

$$A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

Suppose we multiply the matrix exponential into the eigenvector, to obtain

$$e^{A}\mathbf{v}_{i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k} \mathbf{v}_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \lambda_{i}^{k} \mathbf{v}_{i}$$

But this is equivalent to the Maclaurin expansion of the exponential, so we have

$$e^A \mathbf{v}_i = e^{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i$$

It follows that all eigenvectors of A are eigenvectors of e^A . But the associated eigenvalues are of the form e^{λ_i} , which is never zero. Since det $e^A = \prod_{i=0}^n e^{\lambda_i}$ and $e^{\lambda_i} \neq 0$, the determinant is nonzero, and so the matrix exponential is nonsingular for any matrix A.

4. Hermitian matrix

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathbf{x}^H A \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}^H A) \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

$$= \left(A^H \mathbf{x}\right)^H \mathbf{x} \tag{2}$$

$$= (A\mathbf{x})^H \mathbf{x} \tag{3}$$

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{4}$$

Associating terms differently yields

$$\mathbf{x}^{H}A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{H}\left(A\mathbf{x}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$= \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{6}$$

$$\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x} \rangle} \tag{7}$$

Equating with (4) yields

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x} \rangle = \overline{\langle \mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{x} \rangle} \tag{8}$$

but for a quantity to be equal to its complex conjugate requires it to be purely real, as required.

5. Unitary matrix

(a) Normality

Proof. A matrix U is unitary if and only if we have

$$U^{-1} = U^H$$

but because matrices commute with their inverses, we have

$$U^H U = U U^H = I$$

so U is normal.

(b) Preservation of the norm

Proof. Let U be unitary. Then we may write, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$\langle U\mathbf{x}, U\mathbf{x} \rangle = (U\mathbf{x})^H U\mathbf{x}$$

= $\mathbf{x}^H U^H U\mathbf{x}$

Since U is unitary, the middle terms go to the identity, and we have

$$= \mathbf{x}^H \mathbf{x}$$
$$= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

as required.

(c) Eigenvalue magnitude

Proof. Take λ to be an eigenvalue of the unitary matrix U. Let \mathbf{x} be an associated eigenvector, such that

$$U\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

Taking the inner product of both sides, we obtain

$$\langle U\mathbf{x}, U\mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \lambda \mathbf{x}, \lambda \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

= $|\lambda|^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$

Per part (b), transformations encoded by unitary matrices preserve length, such that we now have

$$\langle U\mathbf{x}, U\mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$

$$1 = |\lambda|^2$$

$$|\lambda| = 1$$

as required.

6. Eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices

Proof. Given that A is Hermitian, the spectral theorem applies and it is diagonalised by a unitary matrix U that $UTU^H = A$. Furthermore, we can write

$$A = UTU^H$$
$$AU = UT$$

The *i*th column of AU is equal to the *i*th column of UT, and since T is diagonal, we may write, using \mathbf{u}_i to denote the *i*th column in U,

$$A\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i t_{ii}$$

from which it follows that the columns of U are eigenvectors of A, and the diagonal entries of T are the associated eigenvalues. Therefore, we may rewrite the diagonalisation as an eigendecomposition:

$$A = UTU^{H}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{u}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{H} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2}^{H} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n}^{H} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1} & \lambda_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{n} \mathbf{u}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{H} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2}^{H} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n}^{H} \end{bmatrix}$$

The column matrix on the right can be rewritten as a matrix sum, and distributivity of matrix multiplication applies to yield

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{H} \\ \mathbf{u}_{2}^{H} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n}^{H} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (0 + 0 + \cdots + \lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{H} + 0 + \cdots + 0)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{H}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{h} + \lambda_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{H} + \cdots + \lambda_{n} \mathbf{u}_{n} \mathbf{u}_{n}^{H}$$

so A can be expressed as a linear combination of the outer products of its eigenvectors, as required.

L