

2 Werewolves

- (a) To test if a player x is a villager, we ask the other $n - 1$ players what x 's identity is.

Claim: x is a villager if and only if at least half of the other players say x is a villager. To see this, notice that if x is a villager, at least half of the remaining players are also villagers, and so regardless of what the werewolves do at least half of the players will say x is a villager. On the other hand, if x is a werewolf, then strictly more than half of the remaining players are villagers, and so strictly less than half the players can falsely claim that x is a villager.

- (b) The divide and conquer algorithm to find a villager proceeds by splitting the group of friends into two (roughly) equal sets A and B , and recursively calling the algorithm on A and B : $x = \text{villager}(A)$ and $y = \text{villager}(B)$, and checking x or y using the procedure in part (a) and returning one who is a villager. If there is only one player, return that player.

Proof. We will prove that the algorithm returns a citizen if given a group of n people of which a majority are villagers. By strong induction on n :

Base Case:

If $n = 1$, there is only one person in the group who is a villager and the algorithm is trivially correct. Induction hypothesis The claim holds for $k < n$.

Induction step:

After partitioning the group into two groups A and B , at least one of the two groups has more villagers than werewolves. By the induction hypothesis the algorithm correctly returns a villager from that group, and so when the procedure from part (a) is invoked on x and y at least one of the two is identified as a villager. \square

Runtime Analysis. *Running time analysis* Two calls to problems of size $n/2$, and then linear time to compare the two people returned to each of the friends in the input group: $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$.

- (c) Split up the friends into pairs and if either says the other is a werewolf, discard both friends. Otherwise discard any one and keep the other friend. If n was odd, use part (a) to test whether the odd man out is a villager. If yes, you are done, else recurse on the remaining at most $n/2$ friends.

Proof. After each pass through the algorithm, villagers remain in the majority if they were in the majority before the pass. To see this, let n_1 , n_2 and n_3 be the pairs of friends with both villagers, both werewolves and one of each respectively. Then the fact that villagers are in the majority means that $n_1 > n_2$. Note that all then 3 pairs of the third kind get discarded, and one friend is retained from each of the n_1 pairs of the first kind. So we are left with at most $n_1 + n_2$ friends of whom a majority n_1 are villagers. It is straightforward to now turn this into a formal proof of correctness by strong induction on n . \square

Runtime Analysis. *In a single run of the algorithm on an input set of size n , we do $\mathcal{O}(n)$ work to check whether f_1 is a villager in the case that n is odd and to pair up the remaining friends and pruning the candidate set to at most $n/2$ people. Therefore, the runtime is given by the following recursion: $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$.*

3 the Resistance

We partition the n players into $2k$ groups, and send each group on a mission. For the missions that succeed, we remove those groups, and split the remaining groups in half to form a new set of groups. We repeat this procedure until each group has one person left, at which point we know they are a spy.

Runtime Analysis. *In the first iteration of this procedure we have $2k$ groups going on missions. After each group goes on a mission, since there are k spies, at most k of the missions fail, which means after splitting the groups that failed, we have at most $2k$ groups again. In each iteration, at least half of the players are removed from groups. So we identify the spies after $\mathcal{O}(\log(n/k))$ iterations. So the total number of missions needed is $\mathcal{O}(k \log(n/k))$.*

4 Modular Fourier Transform

- (a) 1. Show that $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are the 4th roots of unity (modulo 5).

Solution. $z = 1 : z^4 \bmod 5 \equiv 1 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$z = 2 : z^4 \bmod 5 \equiv 16 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$z = 3 : z^4 \bmod 5 \equiv 81 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$z = 4 : z^4 \bmod 5 \equiv 256 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

2. show that $1 + w + w^2 + w^3 = 0 \bmod 5$ for $w = 2$.

Solution. We calculate that $\sum_{i=1}^4 w^{i-1} = \frac{w^4-1}{w-1} = 15$, resulted in $1 + w + w^2 + w^3 = 15 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$.

- (b) Using the FFT, produce the transform of the sequence $(0, 2, 3, 0)$ modulo 5; that is, evaluate the polynomial $2x + 3x^2$ at $\{1, 2, 4, 3\}$ using the recursive FFT algorithm defined in class, but with $w = 2$ and in modulo 5 instead of with $w = i$ in the complex numbers. All calculations should be performed modulo 5.

Solution. 1. $w = 8: \{1\}$

group1: $index = 1 : 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

group2: $index = 2 : 2x \bmod 5 \equiv 2$

group3: $index = 4 : 3x^2 \bmod 5 \equiv 3$

group4: $index = 3 : 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

2. $w = 4: \{1, 4\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 0 + 1 * 3 \bmod 5 \equiv 3$

$index = 4 : 0 + 4 * 3 \bmod 5 \equiv 2$

group2:

$index = 2 : 2 + 1 * 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 2$

$index = 3 : 2 + 4 * 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 2$

3. $w = 2: \{1, 2, 4, 3\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 3 + 1 * 2 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

$index = 2 : 2 + 2 * 2 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$index = 4 : 3 + 4 * 2 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$index = 3 : 2 + 3 * 2 \bmod 5 \equiv 3$

- (c) Now perform the inverse FFT on the sequence $(0, 1, 4, 0)$, also using the recursive algorithm. Recall that the inverse FFT is the same as the forward FFT, but using w^{-1} instead of w , and with an extra multiplication by 4^{-1} for normalization.

Solution. 1. $w^{-3} = 27: \{1\}$

group1: $index = 1 : 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

group2: $index = 3 : x \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

group3: $index = 4 : 4x^2 \bmod 5 \equiv 4$

group4: $index = 2 : 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

2. $w^{-2} = 9: \{1, 4\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 0 + 1 * 4 \bmod 5 \equiv 4$

$index = 4 : 0 + 4 * 4 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

group2:

$index = 3 : 1 + 1 * 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

$index = 2 : 1 + 4 * 0 \bmod 5 \equiv 1$

3. $w^{-1} = 2^{-1} = 3: \{1, 3, 4, 2\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 4 + 1 * 1 \bmod 5 \equiv 0$

$index = 3 : 1 + 2 * 1 \bmod 5 \equiv 3$

$index = 4 : 4 + 4 * 1 \bmod 5 \equiv 3$

$index = 2 : 1 + 3 * 1 \bmod 5 \equiv 4$

4. normalization: $(0, 3, 3, 4) \Rightarrow (0, 3/4, 3/4, 1)$

- (d) Now show how to multiply the polynomials $3x + 2x^2$ and $3 - x$ using the FFT modulo 5. You may use the fact that the FFT of $(3, 4, 0, 0)$ modulo 5 is $(2, 1, 4, 0)$ without doing your own calculation.

Step 1. *exert FFT on the polynomial $3x + 2x^2$*

1. $w = 8$: $\{1\}$

group1: $index = 1 : 0 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

group2: $index = 2 : 3x \mod 5 \equiv 3$

group3: $index = 4 : 2x^2 \mod 5 \equiv 2$

group4: $index = 3 : 0 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

2. $w = 4$: $\{1, 4\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 0 + 1 * 2 \mod 5 \equiv 2$

$index = 4 : 0 + 4 * 2 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

group2:

$index = 2 : 3 + 1 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

$index = 3 : 3 + 4 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

3. $w = 2$: $\{1, 2, 4, 3\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 2 + 1 * 3 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

$index = 2 : 3 + 2 * 3 \mod 5 \equiv 4$

$index = 4 : 2 + 4 * 3 \mod 5 \equiv 4$

$index = 3 : 3 + 3 * 3 \mod 5 \equiv 2$

Step 2. *exert FFT on the polynomial $3 - x$*

1. $w = 8$: $\{1\}$

group1: $index = 1 : 3 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

group2: $index = 2 : -x \mod 5 \equiv 4$

group3: $index = 4 : 0 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

group4: $index = 3 : 0 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

2. $w = 4$: $\{1, 4\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 3 + 1 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

$index = 4 : 3 + 4 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 3$

group2:

$index = 2 : 4 + 1 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 4$

$index = 3 : 4 + 4 * 0 \mod 5 \equiv 4$

3. $w = 2$: $\{1, 2, 4, 3\}$

group1:

$index = 1 : 3 + 1 * 4 \mod 5 \equiv 2$

$index = 2 : 3 + 2 * 4 \mod 5 \equiv 1$

$index = 4 : 3 + 4 * 4 \mod 5 \equiv 4$

$index = 3 : 3 + 3 * 4 \mod 5 \equiv 0$

Step 3. *polynomial multiplication*

$p1(0, 4, 4, 2) * p2(2, 1, 4, 0) \mod 5 = p(0, 4, 1, 0)$

Using the fact the problem given, we attain the answer $(2, 1, 4, 0)$.

5 Patern Matching

(a)

Solution. *How many possible n -length substrings are there? at most $m - 1$. Note that we may check each substring against our reference substring g in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time by comparing each index. Therefore our total runtime is $\mathcal{O}((m - 1)n) = \mathcal{O}(mn)$.*

(b)

Solution. *Set the matching function*

$$match(i, j) = (g(i) - s(j))^2 \quad (1)$$

If $match(i, j) = 0$, then position i of g and position j of s are successfully matched, else $match(i, j) = 1$. The exact match function

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} match(i, x - n + 1 + i) \quad (2)$$

which means the end of x in s , push forward n lengths, and perform matching function operations one by one. The theoretical basis for this prove is that if $P(x) = k$, the match is in $n - k$ positions. Then define the reverse function to flip g :

$$\text{reverse}(x) = g(n - x - 1) \quad (3)$$

Let $g(x) = \text{reverse}(n - x - 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{match}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [\text{reverse}(n - i - 1) - s(x - n + 1 + i)]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{reverse}(n - i - 1)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(x - n + i + 1)^2 - 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{reverse}(n - i - 1) s(x - n + i + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{reverse}(n - i - 1) s(x - n + i + 1)$ is typical convolutional form, easily solved with FFT.

Runtime Analysis. Counting the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{reverse}(n - i - 1)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s(x - n + i + 1)^2$$

can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time, doing the multiplication

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{reverse}(n - i - 1) s(x - n + i + 1)$$

can be done in $\mathcal{O}(m \log m)$ time leveraging the FFT, and therefore final runtime: $\mathcal{O}(m \log m)$.

(c)

Solution. Construct a length $4n$ string g' from g by the following rule: replace (A) with (0, 0, 0, 1), replace (C) with (0, 0, 1, 0), replace (G) with (0, 1, 0, 0), replace (T) with (1, 0, 0, 0). Construct a length $4m$ string s' from s following the same rule. Construct $m - n$ strings of length $4m$ by initializing $4m$ zeroes, then placing g' at index 0, then 4, then 8, then 12, etc. Place these strings into a matrix as rows, sorted in increasing order by the index that we placed g' . Multiply this constructed matrix by s' . This multiplication yields a length $m - k$ vector: one index for each possible starting index of g . Accept each index which is within k of n , these are your solutions.

$$\begin{bmatrix} g' & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & g' & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & g' \end{bmatrix} [s'] = \text{answer goodness (accept high values)}$$

Proof. Note that for each row, every correct index will add 1 to the output at the location where the string started. Every incorrect index will add 0 to the output at the location where the strings started. Therefore, a row (corresponding to an index) that is correct in all but k positions will result in an output row with value $m - k$, and we accept if within bounds because this index matches our conditions. \square

Runtime Analysis. Generating the matrix can be done in $\mathcal{O}(m)$ time by shifting, doing the multiplication can be done in $\mathcal{O}(4m \log(4m)) = \mathcal{O}(m \log m)$ time leveraging the FFT. Interpreting the result can be done in $\mathcal{O}(m)$ time, and therefore final runtime: $\mathcal{O}(m \log m)$.