Preprocessor, Take 2

/JOR

Why do people use preprocessing

- Adjusting external names for C interoperability
 - Largely obviated by C interop features in the language
- Platform/OS specific code
- Debug or other variants of code
- A crude way of implementing templates

What do people use today?

- C preprocessor (cpp) syntax
- Implementations vary
 - cpp with a "Fortran mode" switch
 - fpp Fortran-aware separate preprocessor
 - Implemented directly in the compiler

Didn't we do this already?

• Conditional Compilation ("CoCo"), optional Part 3 of Fortran 2003

```
?? IF (DEVELOPING) THEN
?? ! The following output statement was used when
?? ! developing the code
WRITE(UNIT=*,FMT=*) 'The value of A is', A
?? END IF
```

- Universally ignored
- Withdrawn as of Fortran 2008

Let's try this again

- Goals for 202Y
 - Define cpp-style preprocessor in the standard
 - Ideally, most existing uses of preprocessing will "just work", or need minimal changes
 - Feature will not be optional, but implementations are encouraged to offer an option to "do it the old way"
 - "Minimum Viable Product" don't try to do everything

Fundamental features

cpp directives and features:

- __LINE__ and __FILE__
- #line
- #ifdef and #ifndef
- #define and #undef
- #if
- #include
- #error
- ##operator (token concatenation without spaces)
- #operator (creates character literal from token)
- Macro expansion

- Are tokens case-sensitive?
 - If F00 is defined as a macro, is foo replaced?
 - All existing implementations are case-sensitive
 - Many users explicitly use uppercase for macros and don't expect them to be case-insensitive
 - JOR recommends yes, tokens are case-sensitive

- Are tokens in character literals replaced?
 - No tested implementations do this
 - JOR recommends no, they are not
- What about in Hollerith if processor supports them?
 - Majority of implementations do not do this
 - JOR recommends same behavior as with character literals

- Recognition of tokens for macro replacement is not performed for:
 - letter-spec-list in IMPLICIT
 - Continuation character in column 6 of fixed-form source

- Are tokens in OpenMP and other directives replaced?
 - All tested implementations do this
 - JOR recommends that directives are treated like statements

- Is // processed as concatenation or a C comment?
 - No tested implementations treat // as a comment
 - JOR recommends that // is concatenation

- Do INCLUDE files get preprocessed?
 - No tested implementations do this by default
 - But, if preprocessing is standard it should apply to INCLUDE files
 - JOR recommends that preprocessing applies to INCLUDE files
 - Standard should recommend processors provide an option to control this
 - Should also add a way to indicate it in source (see later)

- Is! the C "not" operator or a Fortran comment delimiter?
 - Tested implementations treat ! as "not" in #if and #elif expressions, as a source character elsewhere, not a comment introducer in a preprocessor directive
 - JOR recommends to follow existing practice

- Are multiline comments bracketed by /* */ supported?
 - Some (all?) tested implementations do this
 - Large body of code examined contains no uses of this feature
 - JOR is uncertain

- What happens if macro expansion extends source line past standard limit (72/10,000)?
 - Most tested implementations generate continuation lines
 - JOR recommends that preprocessing generate continuation lines when appropriate

- Should lines that look like comments but are recognized as directives by the processor, such as OpenMP directives, be preprocessed?
- JOR recommends that the standard add a note saying that such lines are treated as if they were statements, including generating appropriate continuation

- In fixed-form source, are spaces embedded in tokens significant?
 - For example, are FOO and FO O treated the same?
 - No tested implementation ignores embedded spaces
 - JOR recommends that spaces in tokens are significant

What else might be useful?

- GET_PROCEDURE_NAME() intrinsic for the current program unit (often requested, not really preprocessor)
- #pragma directive to supply options [no]freeform? [no]include?
- What else?

Questions and comments?