

0.0.1. In calculus.

1. Extreme Value Theorem: Every continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ admit both max and min value \Rightarrow Compact set
2. Intermediate value Theorem: Given continuous function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $f(a) \leq \lambda \leq f(b) \exists c \in [a, b] \ni f(c) = \lambda \Rightarrow$ connected set

How to prove a statement: HP , then $Q, P \Rightarrow Q$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Direct Proof} \\ \text{Indirect Proof} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{contrapositive } \sim Q \Rightarrow \sim P \\ \text{by contradiction} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Mathematical Induction} \end{array} \right.$$

1. Some preliminary

1.1. Set Theory. We will assume that you are familiar with some basic set theory e.g. union, intersection, difference

1.2. The Number System.

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ the set of all positive integers n natural numbers

$\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of all integers called the ring of integers

$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{n} : n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0 \right\}$ the set of all rational numbers

\mathbb{R} the set all of real numbers on the real number field on real line

$\mathbb{C} = \{z = a + ib \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ the set of all complex numbers or the complex number field on complex plane, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$

Remark.

1. $x + 2 = 0$ no root in \mathbb{N}
 $3x - 5 = 0$ no root in \mathbb{Z}
 $x^2 + 1 = 0$ no root in \mathbb{R}
2. One can construct \mathbb{Q} from \mathbb{Z} in algebraic way, called the fraction field of \mathbb{Z}
3. One can construct \mathbb{R} from \mathbb{Q} in two ways:
 - Using Dedekind cut which is given in the appendix of Rudin p17-21
 - Using completion of metric space
4. One can construct \mathbb{C} from \mathbb{R} in complex analysis

Example.

1. Between any two rational numbers, there is another one

Proof. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $r < s$, then $\frac{r+s}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r < \frac{r+s}{2} < s$

$$r = \frac{m_1}{n_1}, s = \frac{m_2}{n_2}, \frac{r+s}{2} = \frac{\frac{m_1}{n_1} + \frac{m_2}{n_2}}{2} = \frac{m_1 n_2 + n_1 m_2}{2 n_1 n_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$s = \frac{s+s}{2} > \frac{r+s}{2} > \frac{r+r}{2} = r$$

■

2. $x^2 = \frac{4}{9}$ has exactly two rational solutions, namely, $\pm \frac{2}{3}$
3. $x^2 = 2$ has exactly two real root, namely, $\pm \sqrt{2}$
4. Is there any rational roots of $x^2 = 2$? i.e., is $\sqrt{2}$ rational?

Suppose $r = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, is a root of $x^2 = 2$, where $(m, n) = 1$

Then $\frac{m^2}{n^2} = 2 \implies m^2 = 2n^2 \implies 2 \mid m^2 \implies 2 \mid m \implies 4 \mid m^2 \implies 4 \mid 2n^2$

$\implies 2 \mid n^2 \implies 2 \mid n \implies (n, m) \neq 1$

5. Let $A = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r > 0 \text{ \& } r^2 < 2\}$, $B = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r > 0 \text{ \& } r^2 > 2\}$

Then A contains no largest numbers, i.e. max element & B contains no smallest numbers, i.e. min element

Proof. A contains no largest numbers \Leftrightarrow given $r \in A$, $\exists s \in A \ni s > r$

Now, given $r \in A$, Let $s = r - \frac{r^2 - 2}{r + 2} = \frac{2r + 2}{r + 2}$ (\star_1)

$\implies s^2 - 2 = \frac{2(r^2 - 2)}{(r + 2)^2}$ (\star_2)

Now, $r \in A, r^2 < 2 \implies r^2 - 2 < 0 \therefore$

$(\star_1) \& (\star_2) \implies s > r \text{ \& } s^2 < 2 \implies s \in A$

■

6. As you know, in calculus, the sequence $\{1, 1.4, 1.41, 1.414, 1.4142, \dots\}$ does not converge in \mathbb{Q} , but it converges to $\sqrt{2}$ in \mathbb{R}

1.3. Order Sets.

Definition (Relation).

Let X be a nonempty set, relation on X is a subset R of $X \times X = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$
Let R be a relation on X , if $(x, y) \in R$, then we say that x is related to y , and is written as xRy ($x \sim y$)

Definition (Order Set). An ordered set on S , is a relation denoted by " $<$ " on S , satisfy:

(i) The law of trichotomy

Given $x, y \in S$, one and only one of the following holds: $x < y, x = y, y < x$

(ii) Transitivity: if $x < y$ & $y < z$, then $x < z$

Notation

(1) $x < y$ means " x is less than y " or " x is smaller than y "

(2) $y > x$ means $x < y$

(3) $x \leq y$ means $x < y$ or $x = y$, i.e. the negative of $x > y$

Definition (bdd). Let S is an ordered set & $E \subseteq S$ ($E \neq \emptyset$)

- E is bounded above if $\exists \alpha \in S \implies x \leq \alpha \forall x \in E$
such α is called an upper bound of E
- E is bounded below if $\exists \beta \in S \ni \beta \leq x, \forall x \in E$, such β is called a lower bdd of E
- E is bdd if E is both bdd above and below.

Definition (least upper bound). Let S be an ordered set and $E \subseteq S$ ($E \neq \emptyset$) bdd above. An element $\alpha \in S$ is called the last upper bound or supremum of E if

(i) α is an upper bound of E

(ii) α is the smallest such one.

Equivalently,

(i') $x \leq \alpha, \forall x \in E$

(ii') if $\beta < \alpha$, then β is not an upper bdd of E , i.e. $\exists x \in E \ni x > \beta$

Such α (if exists) is denoted by

$$\alpha = \sup(E)$$

similarly, one can defined the greatest lower bdd of infimum of E

Remark. if $\sup(E)$ exists then it is unique

suppose $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ both lub of E

\therefore by trichotomy, $\alpha > \alpha'$ or $\alpha = \alpha'$ or $\alpha < \alpha'$ ($\rightarrow \leftarrow$)

Definition (least upper bdd property). A ordered set S is said to have the least upper bdd property if $E \subseteq S$, $E \neq \emptyset$ and E is bdd above, then $\sup(E)$ exists in S

Example.

1. In \mathbb{Q} with the normal ordining

$$A = \{ r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r > 0, r^2 < 2 \} \text{ \& } B = \{ r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid r > 0, r^2 > 2 \}$$

Then A is bdd above, in fact, bdd by every element in B , but $\sup(A)$ does not exist in \mathbb{Q} (\therefore by Ex1.5)

2. B is bdd below by every element of A and $\inf B$ does not exists

3. Note that $\sup(E) \& \inf(E)$ may not in E even if exist

Remark.

1. By the Example above, \mathbb{Q} with the usual ordering has no l.u.b property

2. In 1.5 we will explain that \mathbb{R} with usual ordering has the l.u.b. property. However, we usually adopt the follwing

The Axiom of Completeness or Least upper bdd property:

Every nonempty subset E of \mathbb{R} which is bdd above has l.u.b

Theorem (l.u.b.p. \rightarrow g.l.b.p.). Let S is an ordered set if S has the l.u.b. property, then S has the g.l.b. property, i.e. if $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq S$ is bdd below, then $\inf(B)$ exists in S

Proof. (★)

Given $B(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq S$ which is bdd below

Let $L = \{ a \in S \mid a \text{ is a lower bdd of } B \}$

- $L \neq \emptyset$ ($\because B$ is bdd below)
- L is bdd above (in fact, every element in B is an upper bound of L)
 $\implies \forall a \in L \implies a \leq x, \forall x \in B \implies x \text{ is an upper bound of } L$
- $\sup(L) = \alpha$ exists by assumption

Claim $\alpha = \inf B$

(i) α is a lower bdd of B , i.e. $\alpha \leq x, \forall x \in B$

By $\alpha = \sup L$, if $r < \alpha$, then r is not an upper bdd of L ($\because \alpha$ is the smallest one). Hence, $r \notin B$ (\because every element of B is an upper bdd of L), so $\alpha \leq x, \forall x \in B$

We have proved $(r < \alpha \implies r \notin B) \implies (r \in B \implies r \geq \alpha)$

(ii) α is the greatest one

if $\alpha < \beta$ and β is a lower bdd of B , then $\beta \notin L$, i.e. β is not a lower bdd of B , so α is the greatest one. Therefore, $\alpha = \inf(B)$

■

Remark. Let $E(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be bdd below, then $\inf(E)$ exists and $\inf(E) = -\sup(-E)$, where $-E = \{ -x \mid x \in E \}$

1.4. Field.

Recall the addition & multiplication in \mathbb{R}

$$+ : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}((a, b) \mapsto a + b)$$

$$\times : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}((a, b) \mapsto a \cdot b = ab)$$

Definition. Let X is a nonempty set, binary operation on X is a function, $\circ : X \times X \rightarrow X$

Definition. Let F be a nonempty set, we say that F is a field $((F, +, \cdot)$ is a field) if there are two binary operators called addition " + " and multiplication " \cdot " on F property

Axioms for " + "

(A1) Commutative: $\forall x, y \in F, x + y = y + x$

(A2) Associative: $\forall x, y, z \in F, (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$

(A3) Additive identity or zero element: $\exists 0 \in F \implies x + 0 = 0 + x = x, \forall x \in F$

(A4) Additive inverse on negative: For each $x \in X, \exists -x \in F \implies x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0$

i.e. $(F, +)$ is an abelian group **Axioms for multiplication**

(M1) Commutative: $\forall x, y \in F, xy = yx$

(M2) Associative: $\forall x, y, z \in F, (xy)z = x(yz)$

(M3) Multi identity: $\exists 1 \neq 0 \text{ in } F \ni x1 = 1x = x$

(M4) Multiplicative inverse: For each $x \neq 0, \exists x^{-1} \in F \implies xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = 1$

i.e. $(F \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is an abelian group

Distributive Law

(D1) $\forall x, y, z \in F, (x + y)z = xz + yz \text{ \& } x(y + z) = xy + xz$

Induction from Axioms

let $(F, +, \cdot)$ be a field, we list a series of basic identity as you learn in high school in the real number system

(a) Cancellation law for " + " : $x + y = x + z \implies y = z$

$$\begin{aligned} \because x + y = x + z &\implies (-x) + (x + y) = (-x) + (x + z) \implies ((-x) + x) + y = ((-x) + x) + z \\ &\implies 0 + y = 0 + z \implies y = z \end{aligned}$$

(b) 0 is "1"

suppose $0' \in F$ is another element satisfy A_3 , then $0 = 0 + 0' = 0'$

(c) $x + y = x \implies y = 0$ by (a) $\because x + y = x + 0 \implies y = 0$

(d) negative $-x$ of x is "1"

if $x' \in F$, is another negative of x , then $x + x' = x' + x = 0$

$$\text{From } x + x' = 0 \implies (-x) + (x + x') = -x + 0 = -x$$

$$(e) \quad x + y = 0 \implies y = -x$$

$$\begin{aligned} x + y = 0 &\implies (-x) + (x + y) = (-x) + 0 \implies ((-x) + x) + y = -x \\ &\implies 0 + y = -x \implies y = -x \end{aligned}$$

$$(f) \quad -(-x) = x$$

$$-(-x) + (-x) = 0, \text{ By (d) } x = -(-x)$$

(a') cancellation law

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } x \neq 0, \text{ then } xy = xz &\implies y = z, \quad \because (x^{-1})(xy) = (x^{-1})(xz) \\ &\implies (x^{-1})(xy) = (x^{-1}x)z \implies 1y = 1z \implies y = z \end{aligned}$$

(b') 1 is "1"

$$\text{if } 1' \text{ is another identity, then } 1 = 11' = 1'$$

$$(c') \quad x \neq 0 \text{ \& } xy = x \implies y = 1$$

$$xy = x1 \implies y = 1$$

(d') For $x \neq 0$ in F , x^{-1} is "1"

$$\text{if } x \text{ is another one, i.e. } x'x = xx' = 1 \implies (x^{-1})(xx') = (x^{-1})1 = x^{-1}$$

$$(f') \quad x \neq 0 \implies (x^{-1})^{-1} = x$$

$$(x^{-1})^{-1}(x^{-1}) = 1 \implies x = (x^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$(g') \quad 0x = x0 = 0$$

$$(0 + 0)x = 0x + 0x \implies 0x = 0$$

$$(h') \quad x \neq 0 \text{ \& } y \neq 0 \implies xy \neq 0, \text{ equivalently } xy = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$$

$$\because xy = 0 \text{ then } (x^{-1})(xy) = ((x^{-1})x)y = 1y = y(\rightarrow \leftarrow)$$

$$(i') \quad (-x)y = -(xy) = x(-y)$$

$$\because [(-x) + x]y = 0y = 0 = (-x)y = -(xy) \implies (-x)y = -(xy)$$

$$(j') \quad (-x)(-y) = xy$$

$$\because (-x)(-y) = -(x(-y)) \text{ by (i)}$$

$$= -(- (xy)) = xy$$

$$(k) \quad -x = (-1)x$$

$$\because (1 - 1)x = 0x = 0 = 1x + (-1)x = x + (-1)x \implies (-1)x = -x$$

Definition (Order Field). Let F is a field, we say that F is an order field if there is an ordering " $<$ " satisfying

(1) if $x < y$, then $x + z < y + z$, $\forall z \in F$

(2) if $x > y$ and $y > 0$, then $xy > 0$

Example. \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} are order field under the usual ordering

Some basic properties of ordered field, let F be an ordered field with ordering " $<$ "

(a) $x > 0 \implies -x < 0$

$$\because x > 0 \implies x + (-x) > 0 + (-x) \implies 0 > -x$$

(b) $x > y \Leftrightarrow x - y > 0$

$$\because x > y \implies x + (-y) > y + (-y) \implies x - y > 0$$

$$x - y > 0 \implies x - y + y > y \implies x + 0 > y \implies x > y$$

(c) $x > 0$ and $y < z \implies xy < xz$

$$\begin{aligned} \because x > 0 \text{ and } y < z &\implies x > 0 \text{ and } z - y < 0 \implies x(z - y) > 0 \implies xz + x(-y) > 0 \\ &\implies xz - xy > 0 \implies xz > xy \end{aligned}$$

(d) $x < 0$ and $y < z \implies xy > xz$

$$\begin{aligned} \because x < 0 \text{ and } y < z &\implies -x > 0 \text{ and } z - y > 0 \implies (-x)(z - y) > 0 \implies -xz + xy > 0 \\ &\implies xy > xz \end{aligned}$$

(e) $\forall x \neq 0$ in F , $x^2 > 0$

$$\because x > 0 \implies x \cdot x > x \cdot 0 \text{ by (c) or}$$

$$x < 0 \implies -x > 0 \text{ by (a)} \implies -x > 0 \text{ by (a)} \implies (-x)^2 > 0 \implies x^2 > 0$$

(f) $1 > 0$, $-1 < 0$

$$\because 1 \neq 0 \implies 1^2 > 0 \text{ by (e)} \implies 1 > 0$$

(g) $0 < x < y \implies 0 < \frac{1}{y} < \frac{1}{x}$

$$\because \text{Note that } \forall u \in F, u > 0 \implies \frac{1}{u} = u^{-1} > 0$$

$$\because \text{if } \frac{1}{u} < 0, \text{ then } u \cdot \frac{1}{u} < 0 \text{ by (e)} \implies 1 < 0 (\rightarrow \leftarrow) \therefore \frac{1}{u} > 0$$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y} > 0 \text{ from } x < y \text{ we get } \left(\frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{y}\right)x < \left(\frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{y}\right)y \implies 0 < \frac{1}{y} < \frac{1}{x}$$

Remark. By (e)(f), we conclude that \mathbb{C} is not an ordered field
 $\because \mathbb{C}$ were an ordered field, then by (e), $i^2 > 0 \implies -1 > 0 (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$
 $\therefore \mathbb{C}$ is not an order field

1.5. The Real Number Field \mathbb{R} .

Theorem. There exists an ordered field \mathbb{R} containing \mathbb{Q} which has the l.u.b. property.
Moreover, such \mathbb{R} is unique up to order-isomorphism

i.e. if " $<$ " and " $<'$ " are two orders on \mathbb{R} , then $\exists f_i(\mathbb{R}, <) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, <') \implies$

(i) f is a field isomorphism,

i.e. $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b), f(ab) = f(a)f(b), f(1) = 1$

(ii) f preserves ordering, $a < b \implies f(a) < f(b)$

Such \mathbb{R} is called the real number field or real number system or real line

Theorem.

(a) The Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} : Given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x > 0$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \implies nx > y$

(b) \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} : $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x < y$, $\exists r \in \mathbb{Q} \implies x < r < y$

Proof.

(a) Let $A = \{ nx \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

if (a) were false, then A is bdd above by y , since \mathbb{R} has the l.u.b property

$\alpha = \sup A$ exists in \mathbb{R} , since $x > 0$, $\alpha - x < \alpha \implies \alpha - x$ is not an upper bdd of A

$\implies \exists m \in \mathbb{N} \ni mx > \alpha - x \implies (m+1)x > \alpha (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$

(b) Since $x < y$, $y - x > 0$, by (a), $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n(y - x) > 1$

By (a) again, $\exists m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N} \implies m_1 = m_1 \cdot 1 > nx$ & $m_2 = m_2 \cdot 1 > -nx$

we have $-m_2 < nx < m_1$, choose $m \in \mathbb{Z} \implies -m_2 \leq m \leq m_1$ & $m - 1 \leq nx < m$

(in fact, $m = [nx] + 1$, where $[z]$ is the greatest integer of z)

we have $nx < m < 1 + nx < ny (\because n(y - x) > 1) \implies x < \frac{m}{n} < y$

Let $r = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $x < r < y$

■

An application of the density property of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} :

Given $x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ i.e. x is an irrational numbers, i.e. $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q} \implies |x - r| < \epsilon$

equivalently, \exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ in $\mathbb{Q} \implies r_n \rightarrow x$

In fact, one may choose $\{r_n\}$ to \uparrow or \downarrow

$\therefore \forall n \geq 1, \exists r_n \in \mathbb{Q} \implies x < r_n < \frac{1}{n} + x$ by Thm.1.3(b) By squeezing lemma, $r_n \rightarrow x$ on $n \rightarrow \infty$

Theorem (existence of n th root). Given $x \in \mathbb{T}, x > 0$ & $n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists$ "1" $y > 0 \implies y^n = x$
Such y is called the n th root of x & denoted by $y = \sqrt[n]{x} = x^{\frac{1}{n}}$

Proof. **not important**

"1". Suppose $y_1, y_2 > 0 \implies y_1^n = x$ & $y_2^n = x$

Bt trichotomy, we have

$$(i) \ 0 < y_1 < y_2 \implies y_1^n < y_2^n (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$$

$$(ii) \ 0 < y_2 < y_1 \implies y_2^n < y_1^n (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$$

$$(iii) \ y_1 = y_2$$

" \exists ". Let $E = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t^n < x\}$

Claim:

- $E \neq \emptyset$, Let $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$, then $0 < t < 1$, hence $t^n < t < x$, $\therefore t \in E$ & $E \neq \emptyset$
- E is bdd above, in fact E is bdd above by $1+x$ if $t > 1+x > 1$, then $t^n > t > x$, so E is bdd above by $1+x$

Therefore $y = \sup E$ exists & is finite

- Claim $y > 0$ & $y^n = x$, clearly, $y > 0$ ($\because \frac{x}{1+x} \in E$ & $\frac{x}{1+x} > 0$)
by trichotomy, we have $y^n < x$, $y^n > x$, $y^n = x$

Now, to show that (i) & (ii) are impossible, do (iii) holds $y^n = x$

By the identity, $b^n - a^n = (b-a)(b^{n-1} + b^{n-2}a + \dots + a^{n-1})$

(i) $y^n < x$ choose $0 < h < 1 = \alpha$ & $0 < \frac{x - y^n}{n(y+1)^{n-1}}$, $0 < h < \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$

put $a = y$, $b = y + h$ in (\star) , we obtain

$$(y+h)^n - y^n < hn(y+h)^{n-1} < hn(y+1)^{n-1} < x - y^n$$

$$\implies (y+h)^n < x \implies y+h \in E \text{ \& } y+h > y (\rightarrow \leftarrow) \therefore (i) \text{ fails}$$

(ii) $y^n > x$, Let $k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}}$, Then $0 < k < y$, $k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}} < \frac{y^n}{ny^{n-1}} = \frac{y}{n} < y$
if $t > y - k > 0$, then $y^n - t^n \leq y^n - (y - k)^n < kny^{n-1}$ by $(\star) = y^n - x$
 $\implies t^n > x \implies t \in E \implies E$ is bdd above by $y - k \implies \sup E \leq y - k (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$
 \therefore (ii) fails ■

Corollary. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a, b > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Then $(ab)^{\frac{1}{n}} = a^{\frac{1}{n}} b^{\frac{1}{n}}$
 $\because a^{\frac{1}{n}}, b^{\frac{1}{n}} > 0$ & $(a^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot b^{\frac{1}{n}})^n = ab$, By (1) in Thm 1.4 $(a, b)^{\frac{1}{n}} = a^{\frac{1}{n}} b^{\frac{1}{n}}$

infinite in \mathbb{R}

After discuss the real number \mathbb{R} , sometimes, we have to work with the extended real number system $\mathbb{R}^* = [-\infty, \infty] = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$ with observe, $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-n) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} + n\right) = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^2 - n) = \infty$$

$$x \pm \infty = \pm \infty, \quad 0 \cdot (\pm \infty) = 0, \quad \infty - \infty \text{ is not define}$$

Element in $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^*$ are called finite. Now, given any nonempty subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup E = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } E \text{ is not bdd above} \\ \text{finite} & \text{if } E \text{ is bdd above} \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \inf E = \begin{cases} -\infty & \text{if } E \text{ is not bdd below} \\ \text{finite} & \text{if } E \text{ is bdd below} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $A \subseteq B$, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$ & $\inf A \geq \inf B$

$\therefore \emptyset \subseteq B, \forall B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, One may define $\sup \emptyset = -\infty, \inf \emptyset = +\infty$

1.6. The Complex Number Field \mathbb{C} .

Consider the contention product $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \{ (a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}$

Note that $(a, b) = (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a = c \ \& \ b = d$, From now, we can write $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$

Operation on \mathbb{C} Given $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{C}$

1. $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$

2. $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + bc)$

It is easy to see that, with these operations, \mathbb{C} is a field.

Note that

- the zero element is $(0, 0)$
- the negative of (a, b) is $-(a, b) = (-a, -b)$
- the identity is $(1, 0)$
- if $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, then $(a, b)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2} \right)$

\mathbb{R} is a subset of \mathbb{C} (not vary important)

consider that map

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ define by } f(a) = (a, 0), \ a \in \mathbb{R}$$

we have (1) f is injective (2) $f(1) = (1, 0) \therefore \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f(a + b) = (a + b, 0) = (a, 0) + (b, 0) = f(a) + f(b), \ f(a \cdot b) = (ab, 0) = (a, 0) \cdot (b, 0)$$

f is a field homomorphism

$\therefore f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an injective and isomorphism

Therefore, we identify \mathbb{R} with $f(\mathbb{R})$ through the injective f

i.e. $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is identified with $f(a, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}

$$ab = (a, 0) \cdot (b, 0), \ a + b = (a, 0) + (b, 0) \ \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

Change (a, b) to $a + bi$

Now, we can transform an element $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}$ into the normal form:

$$(a, b) = (a, 0) + (0, b) = (a, 0)(1, 0) + (b, 0)(0, 1) = a1 + bi = a + ib, \text{ where } i = (0, 1)$$

Therefore, from now on, we write $\mathbb{C} = \{ a + ib \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}$

An element $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex number

Hence, under this notation, $z = a + ib, w = c + id \in \mathbb{C}$

$$1. \ z + w = (a + c) + i(b + d)$$

$$2. \ zw = (ac - bd) + i(ad + bc)$$

and the a is called the real part of z , $a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$, b is called imaginary part of z , $b = \operatorname{Im}z$

Some basic properties of complex numbers whose proofs are easy

$\forall z, w \in \mathbb{C}$

- $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$
- $\overline{zw} = \bar{z} \cdot \bar{w}$
- $\operatorname{Re}z = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}$
- $\operatorname{Im}z = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}$
- $|z| = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = 0$
- Triangle inequality
 $|z + w| \leq |z| + |w|$
- $||z| - |w|| \leq |z - w|$
- \mathbb{C} is not an ordered field
- $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$
- $|\bar{z}| = |z|$
- $|\operatorname{Re}z| \leq |z|, |\operatorname{Im}z| \leq |z|$
- $|zw| = |z||w|$

Proof. $|z + w| \leq |z| + |w|$

$$\begin{aligned} |z + w|^2 &= (z + w)(\overline{z + w}) = (z + w)(\bar{z} + \bar{w}) = z\bar{z} + z\bar{w} + w\bar{z} + w\bar{w} \\ &= |z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z\bar{w}) + |w|^2 \leq |z|^2 + 2|z\bar{w}| + |w|^2 = |z|^2 + 2|z||w| + |w|^2 = (|z| + |w|)^2 \\ \therefore |z + w| &\leq |z| + |w| \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem (basic algebraic theorem).

(a) $x^2 + 1$ has no root in \mathbb{R}

(b) $x^2 + 1$ has two distinct roots in \mathbb{C}

Proof.

$$(a) \ 1 > 0, \ x^2 > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \implies x^2 + 1 > 0 \ \forall x \neq 0$$

$$0^2 + 1 = 1 > 0, \ \therefore x^2 + 1 > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Hence, } x^2 + 1 = 0 \text{ has no root in } \mathbb{R}$$

$$(b) \ i^2 = (0, 1)(0, 1) = (0 - 1, 0) = (-1, 0) = -1$$

$$(-i)^2 = (-(0, 1))^2 = (0, -1)^2 = (0, -1)(0, -1) = -1, \therefore \pm i \text{ are root of } \mathbb{C}$$

■

Conclusion: Every non const polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ has n roots where $n = \deg f(x)$

The complex root is even

no important proof

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x], \ a_n \neq 0, n \geq 1$$

if $\alpha = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$ is a root of $f(x)$, then

$$0 = f(\alpha) = a_n \alpha^n + a_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \alpha + a_0$$

$$0 = f(\bar{\alpha}) = a_n \bar{\alpha}^n + a_{n-1} \bar{\alpha}^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \bar{\alpha} + a_0$$

$$\therefore (x - \alpha) | f(x), (x - \bar{\alpha}) | f(x) \implies (x - \alpha)(x - \bar{\alpha}) | f(x) \implies (x^2 - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})x + |\alpha|^2) | f(x)$$

$$\implies (x^2 - 2ax + (a^2 + b^2)) | f(x)$$

\therefore quadratic function must have two roots in \mathbb{C}

The fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Every non zero polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ has at least one root in \mathbb{C}

Therefore, if $\deg f(x) = n$, then $f(x)$ has n roots in $\mathbb{C}(C, M)$

$\therefore f(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{e_1} \dots (x - \lambda_t)^{e_t} (a_1 x^2 + b_1 x + c_1)^{l_1} \dots (a_s x^2 + b_s x + c_s)^{l_s}$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ & $e_1 + \dots + e_t + 2l_1 + \dots + 2l_s = \deg f(x)$ which shows that all roots of $f(x)$ are in \mathbb{C}

In fact, we have the famous theorem: The fundamental theorem of algebra

Every non zero polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ has at least one root in \mathbb{C}

\therefore if $\deg f(x) = n$, then $f(x)$ has n roots in $\mathbb{C}(C, M)$

Theorem (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). Given $z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \overline{w_j} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |w_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and " $=$ " holds $\Leftrightarrow \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \ni w_j = \lambda z_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$,

In particular, if $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and " $=$ " holds $\Leftrightarrow \exists t \in \mathbb{R} \ni y_j = tx_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$

The proof is too long, I am lazy

1.7. Euclidean Spaces \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition. the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n

$$= \{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n \} = \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$$

Note that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \Leftrightarrow x_i = y_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

We are going to introduce the structure of \mathbb{R}^n

- vector space
- inner product space
- normed linear space
- matrix space

Definition. Two operations on \mathbb{R}^n as follows:

- Addition $+: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (x, y) \mapsto x + y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$
- Scalar multiplication $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, (a, x) \mapsto ax = (ax_1, \dots, ax_n)$

we skip space example here.

1.8. Countability of Sets.

Given two nonempty set A, B and a function $f : A \rightarrow B, f(A) = \{ f(a) \mid a \in A \}$ is called the image of A under f

Some basic things

$E \subseteq A, f(E) = \{ f(a) \mid a \in E \}$ the image of E under f
 f is infective(one-to-one) $x_1 \neq x_2 \implies f(x_1) \neq f(x_2) \Leftrightarrow f(x_1) = f(x_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2$
 f is surjective(onto) if $f(A) = B$, f is bijective if f is one-to-one and onto

Given $F \subseteq B, f^{-1}(F) = \{ x \in X \mid f(x) \in F \}$ called the inverse image of f under F

Example

$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$
 $f^{-1}([0, 1]) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in [0, 1] \} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 \in [0, 1] \} = [-1, 1]$
 $f^{-1}([-1, 1]) = [-1, 1]$

Properties of inverse image

- $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq B \implies f^{-1}(F_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(F_2)$

- Inverse image presences set operation

$$\forall F_\alpha \subseteq B, \alpha \in I, F \subseteq B$$

$$(i) f^{-1}(\cup_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha) = \cup_{\alpha \in I} f^{-1}(F_\alpha)$$

$$(ii) f^{-1}(\cap_{\alpha \in I} F_\alpha) = \cap_{\alpha \in I} f^{-1}(F_\alpha)$$

$$(iii) f^{-1}(B - F) = f^{-1}(B) - f^{-1}(F)$$

- Given $S \subseteq A, f'(f'(S)) \supseteq S, " = " \Leftrightarrow$ one-to-one, **example:**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2, S = [0, 1], f(S) = [0, 1], f^{-1}(f(S)) = f^{-1}([0, 1]) = [-1, 1]$$

- Given $F \subseteq B, f(f^{-1}(F)) \subseteq F, " = " \Leftrightarrow$ "onto", **example**

$$f(x) = x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}, F = [-1, 1], f(f^{-1}([-1, 1])) = f([-1, 1]) = [0, 1]$$

- For $y \in B, f^{-1}(\{ y \}) = f^{-1}(y) = \{ x \in A \mid f(x) = y \}$ the inverse image of y , **example**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2, f^{-1}(1) = \{ 1, -1 \}, f^{-1}(2) = \emptyset$$

Definition (cardinality). Let A, B be two sets we say that A and B have the same cardinality if \exists a bijective map $f : A \rightarrow B$, which is denoted by $A \sim B$
From now on, we write $|A|$ as the cardinality of A

Claim " \sim " is an \equiv relation among all sets

- (i) Reflexion: \forall set A , $A \sim^{1_A} A$, which 1_A is identity mapping
- (ii) Symmetry: $A \sim^f B \implies B \sim^{f^{-1}} A$
- (iii) Transitive: $A \sim^f B \ \& \ B \sim^g C \implies A \sim^{g \circ f} C$

So we gave some property:

- Any two " \equiv " are either disjoint or identical
- \overline{X} is a disjoint union of " \equiv " classes
 $[A] = \{ B \in \overline{X} \mid B \sim A \}$ the " \equiv " class set by A

Any two elements in an " \equiv " class have the same cardinality

Notation For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_n = \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \}$

Definition. Let A be a set

- (a) A is a finite set if $A = \emptyset$ or $A \sim \mathbb{N}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- (b) A is an infinite set if A is not a finite set
- (c) A is countable if $A \sim \mathbb{N}$
- (d) A is uncountable if A is not countable.
- (e) A is at most countable if A is finite or countable

Remark.

1. when A, B are finite sets, $A \sim B \Leftrightarrow |A| = |B|$, i.e. A, B have same number.
2. where A, B are infinite and $A \sim B$, i.e. $|A| = |B|$, the concept is abstract.
3. $\{ a, b, c \} \cup \mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{N}$, $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{ a, b, c \} \cup \mathbb{N}$, $f(1) = a$, $f(2) = b$, $f(3) = c, \dots$
4. Any finite set can not be equivalent to a proper subset, i.e. A is finite, $B \subsetneq A$
Then $A \not\sim B$, In fact $|B| < |A|$, but infinite different
5. Any finite set A can be listed as $A = \{ a_1, \dots, a_n \}$ where $n = |A|$

Now, we consider the case of countable set

Recall, in calculus, a real sequence $\{a_n\}$, e.g.

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \quad a_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \quad a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Definition. Let X be a nonempty set, a sequence in X is a function $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$

Given a sequence $=^a$ in X , a is "1" determine by $a(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$

We write

$$a = \{a(1), a(2), \dots, a(n), \dots\} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots\} = \{a_n\} = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Remark.

1. For a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in X , a_n may not be distinct.

If all a_n are distinct, then we say that $\{a_n\}$ is a distinct sequence in X .

2. We usually use $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ to denote sequence

3. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ in X in fact is a function from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$, So $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the image of the sequence.

4. $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence, a_n is called the n^{th} term of the sequence.

5. A sequence in X may begin at 0, i.e. $\{a_n\}_{n=0}$

By a changing index, we can make it from $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $b_n = a_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition (increasing).

A function $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is increasing, a is \uparrow , if $a(n) \leq a(n+1) \forall n \geq 1$

a is strictly increasing, a is st. \uparrow , if $a(n) < a(n+1) \forall n \geq 1$

Now, given a st. \uparrow function $n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $n(k) < n(k+1)$, $k \geq 1$

i.e. $n_k < n_{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$, i.e. $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$, i.e. $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a st. sequence in \mathbb{N}

Definition. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $\{n_k\}$ be a st. \uparrow sequence in \mathbb{N} , then the sequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is called a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$

In fact

$$\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{n}_{st.} \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{a}_{seq} X \Rightarrow a \circ n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \text{ is a function,}$$

hence, it also a sequence in X

$$a \circ n = \{a \circ n(k)\} = \{a(n(k))\} = \{a_{n(k)}\} = \{a_{n_k}\}$$

Remark. if $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is st. \uparrow in \mathbb{N} , then $k \leq n_k \forall k \geq 1$

\therefore By mathematical Induction

- $1 \leq n_1$
- Assume it's true for $k \geq 2$, i.e. $k \leq n_k$
- Consider $k+1$, $k+1 \leq n_k+1 \leq n_{k+1}$

Example

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in X , then $\{a_{2k}\}$ and $\{a_{2k-1}\}$ are subsequence of $\{a_n\}$

Finally, we will assume that you are familiar with the following property of the countability of sets:

1. Every subset of a countable set is at most countable. The proof needs the well ordering of \mathbb{N} : Every nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} has the smallest element
2. Countable union of countable sets is countable
3. If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are countable, then so is $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$
4. If A is countable, then so is $A^n \equiv A \times \dots \times A \forall n \geq 1$
5. $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^n, \forall n \geq 1$ are countable
6. The set $\{a_n \mid a_n = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$ is uncountable

This can be proved by Cantor diagonal process

\therefore if it is countable, then we can list it, $a_0 A = \{a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots\}$ where

$$a^{(1)} = \{a_n^{(1)}\} = a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \dots; a^{(2)} = \{a_n^{(2)}\} = a_1^{(2)}, a_2^{(2)}, \dots$$

Now, construct a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $A \ni \{a_n\} \neq a^{(k)} \forall k \geq 1 (\rightarrow \leftarrow)$

Recall, intervals in \mathbb{R} , $-\infty < a \leq b < \infty$, following are finite bdd interval

$$\begin{aligned}(a, b) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x < b\} \text{ open interval} \\ [a, b] &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x \leq b\} \text{ closed interval} \\ (a, b] &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x \leq b\} \text{ open-closed} \\ [a, b) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x < b\} \text{ closed-open}\end{aligned}$$

An interval I in \mathbb{R} is said to be non-degenerate if the endpoint of I are distinct i.e. length > 0 . Otherwise, it is degenerate.

Note.

$$\begin{aligned}(0, 1) \text{ is uncountable, } \because (0, 1) &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n} \mid a_n = 0 \text{ or } 1, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ x \in (0, 1) \text{ has a unique binary representation, so } (0, 1) &\sim A, \\ \text{where } A \text{ is } \{ \{a_n\} \mid a_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \} &\text{ which is uncountable}\end{aligned}$$

All non-degenerate intervals in \mathbb{R} are uncountable.

\therefore It sufficient to consider bdd non-degenerate interval in \mathbb{R} , given $-\infty < a < b < \infty$

(a, b) is uncountable($\because (0, 1) \sim (a, b)$)

Note that $(0, 1) \sim \mathbb{R}$ ($\because (0, 1) \rightarrow (\frac{\pi}{-2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$)