

Licenses

The following are all packages installed under npm, visit the corresponding npmjs.com package viewer for more information

LICENSE	BSD-3-Clause	0BSD	BlueOak-1.0.0	ISC	Apache-2.0	BSD-2-Clause	MIT
Packages	react-transitions-group	tslib	jackspeak, path-scurry	canvas-confetti, react-google-autocomplete, react-rain-animation	Firebase, typescript	dotenv, esutils	react-google-maps, vis.gl, html2canvas, js-cookie, next, react, react-dom, react-image-gallery, react-hook-form, tailwind-scrollbar-hide, undici, use-places-autocomplete, testing-library, typescript-eslint, eslint, jest, jest-environment-jsdom, postcss, tailwindcss, ts-jest, ts-node

License and Copyright Analysis

Most packages use permissive licenses such as MIT, Apache 2.0, ISC, or BSD-x, which allow for copying, modification, and distribution of the packages in any way we want if we keep the corresponding licenses with those packages. This is automatically done with the “npm” package manager, and so if future developers ensure proper care in keeping the licenses of the packages, there is very little restriction on using those packages. Any modification of such packages can be considered as our own and so belong to the modifier according to copyright.

Licenses such as Apache 2.0 or BlueOak have additional requirements of adding a changelog for any substantial modification of the packages.

Even less restrictive licenses, such as 0BSD, allow future work to copy the library without having to include the license.

Additionally, certain licenses, such as Apache 2.0 and BSD-3-Clause (not BSD-2-Clause), prevent endorsement, so future development teams are not allowed to advertise using the authors/creators of code licensed under Apache 2.0 or BSD-3 for commercial or non-profit purposes. This should be taken into special consideration if future contributors would like to start a marketing campaign with the product.

As all packages/external code falls under permissive licenses, future contributors have a lot of freedom with the work, either being published for commercial use or released as open-source software under compatible licenses such as MIT or Apache 2.0. Copyleft licenses such as GPL are also compatible if future contributors want to follow the GNU route.