

Through a Quantum Lens: Beyond Borders and into the Complex Forces Shaping Social Reality of the Balkans and Eurasia

John T. Carrola, Sean A. Guillory, Glenn Borsky

Introduction:

Borders can be simply lines on a map, clear territorial delineations separating nations and cultures.

To understand how borders go beyond superficial and tangible demarcations, we first look at the dynamics of what is physically manifest in borders. The paper "*Border regions across the globe (2023)*,"¹ categorizes borders into distinct typologies (frontier, landmark, checkpoint, barrier, fortified) based on physical infrastructure and degree of border control. Each typology represents a distinct mode of border interaction and infrastructure.

For example, the Russia-Ukraine border can be categorized as a "fortified" border due to the heavy militarization, fences, checkpoints, and sophisticated surveillance infrastructure along the border after the conflict in 2014². In contrast, the "Open Balkans" initiative, born in 2019 out of frustration over delays in European Union membership for Serbia, Albania and North Macedonia, is more of a "landmark" border with minimal controls and infrastructure. These nations signed agreements to open their national borders, reflecting greater political and economic integration³.

Neighboring and adjacent border nations also influence interactions, as differences in economic development, political regimes, and social factors shape cross-border flows. For instance, the economic disparity between Moldova (poorer) and Romania (wealthier) shows this condition affects development and trade across their border, with historical evidence of Moldova's dependencies as a passive foreign trade partner with Romania^{1,4}.

Border cities and settlements experience direct effects from proximity to borders. The divided city of Mitrovica along the Kosovo and Serbia border has seen a spiral of slower development and investment due to its tense situation driven by its border location⁵. Differing national regulations also can complicate cross-border links between settlements and municipalities⁶.

However, the forces that shape borders go far beyond these superficial demarcations and physical infrastructure. Borders represent complex interplays of identity, language, policy, economics, and culture. Understanding borders requires going beyond superficial lines on a map and examining the multidimensional human constructs defining social reality.

This discussion presents a new perspective for analyzing border complexity inspired by principles of quantum mechanics. Just as quantum physics revealed the fuzzy, probabilistic fabric of reality under Nature's determinism⁷, this quantum viewpoint exposes the hidden dynamics and relationships governing amorphous borders.

The Quantum Border Perspective:

Modern borders are complex multidimensional constructs, shaped by diverse political, social, cultural, and economic forces⁸.

Borders and boundaries research has identified various perspectives for studying borders, each providing valuable but incomplete insights⁹.

Seeing at the border views borders as fixed dividing lines on a map, delineating territorial control and state geopolitics⁹. However, this limited view overlooks the variance of lived experiences within borders and misses their dynamic nature. It assumes a state of constancy rather than ongoing underlying processes.

Seeing across the border focuses on analyzing cross-border flows and connections of people, goods, and information, viewing borders as porous and permeable⁹. But this perspective tends to assume a "borderless world", ignoring how borders regulate and filter these flows, overlooking how crossing borders can make them more tangible through patchwork regulations and different experiences^{10,11}.

Seeing into the border explores the blurred identity spaces of borderlands where cultures and identities intermix⁹. However, it risks missing internal tensions within these areas and oversimplifying them as homogenous "third spaces", rather than complex marginalized spaces with their own dynamics.

Seeing like a border recognizes borders as multidimensional objects and contextualizes them using critical and cultural lenses to highlight their social construction. Yet it overlooks the actual functions and impacts of borders. Failing to consider that borders can have both material and symbolic existence, dividing with psychological effects^{9,12}.

No single perspective fully captures the complexity of modern borders across their multiple dimensions. To understand borders in their full complexity requires integrating insights across multiple perspectives, as each viewpoint reveals different facets of border dynamics⁹.

Category theory provides a mathematical abstraction for modeling complex systems in terms of objects, morphisms, and rules of composition^{13,14,15,16,17,18}. This framework translates naturally to formally integrating the border perspectives.

The four key perspectives on borders⁹ align closely with category theory concepts^{14,15,16,18}:

- **Seeing like a border** recognizes borders as multidimensional objects. In category theory, objects are entities that can be transformed or related.
 - Dominant and minority languages become significant objects acting as identity borders.
- **Seeing across borders** focuses on the interactions and influences between border objects over time. These are akin to morphisms in category theory, which translate or connect objects.
 - Identity object factors like policies, capital and economic partnerships act as morphisms.
- **Seeing into borders** examines borderlands as spaces where identity objects mix and intersect. Category theory represents multiplicity through coproducts, and borderlands exhibiting language blending or economic mindset are coproducts of identity states.
 - The simultaneous existence of dominant and minority languages within the same borders, represent a superposition of identity states.

- **Seeing at borders** looks at how borders consolidate meaning and control. Category theory uses colimits to show how components compose into a whole.
 - English proficiency and trade can act as colimits representing consolidation mechanics of language use and economic objectives.
- Procedural operads reshape the system of border relationships over time through policy changes, capturing **seeing like a border**.

Mapping the four distinct border perspectives onto formal category theory abstractions provides a unified, multi-dimensional view of borders akin to a quantum superposition of states^{19,20,21}. This framework enables unraveling the complex dynamics shaping amorphous borders.

For example, the category theory lens formalizes unexpected links between language (morphisms) and international trade levels (colimits) likely overlooked with isolated perspectives^{8,9} and relates language demographics (objects) to conflict events (morphisms), uncovering hidden tensions.

By abstracting perspectives through category theory, we gain insights into the forces defining border realities. This quantum-inspired approach offers leverage over evolving sociolinguistic spaces.

Ethnic tensions and nationalist policies in the Balkan/Eurasian region, exemplify these complexities^{22,23,24}. Applying a superposition lens and integrating multiple border perspectives, would provide comprehensive insights into the forces at play in this intricate region.

Integrating Perspectives:

Through mapping border perspectives onto category theory abstractions, we create a dynamic, composite view of borders. This formal integration uncovers hidden dynamics and tensions, providing an emergent viewpoint into the abstract forces shaping social reality.

This table categorizes and maps key variables into respective category-theoretic abstractions and border perspectives, summarizing the context for analyzing Balkan/Eurasian data.

Variable Name	Variable Description	Category Theory Structure	Border Perspective
Nation	Name of Balkan/Eurasian nation	Object Attribute	Seeing like a border
Region	Balkan or Eurasia region	Object Attribute	Seeing like a border
Conflict Label	Categorizes potential for unrest, representing interactions and tensions,	Colimit (Observed specific configuration)	Seeing at the border
4 Key Conflict Evidence Events	Evidence supporting conflict label based on key events.	Morphism (Interaction)	Seeing across the border
Primary Language	Captures the primary linguistic identities acting as border Objects	Object	Seeing like a border
Minority Languages	Captures the minority linguistic identities acting as border Objects	Object	Seeing like a border
No. of spoken languages	Number of languages shows language diversity	Coproduct (Set of possible configurations)	Seeing into the border
4 Key Language Policies	Language promotion/limiting policies, relate to education, culture, economics, and media	Morphism (Interaction)	Seeing across the border

Exports % GDP	Economic partnership/exchange	Morphism (Interaction)	Morphism (Interaction)
Imports % GDP	Economic partnership/exchange	Morphism (Interaction)	Morphism (Interaction)
Export/Import Ratio	Potential for trade exchange	Coproduct (Set of possible configurations)	Seeing into the border
Adjacent Countries	Connected neighboring countries	Object	Seeing like a border
English Proficiency	Consolidates ability for international communication	Colimit (Observed specific configuration)	Seeing at the border
English Proficiency Rating	Communication mindset proxy	Colimit (Observed specific configuration)	Seeing at the border

Data was collected for the following Balkan and Eurasian countries:

Ukraine	Armenia	Azerbaijan	Moldova	Georgia	Montenegro	Kosovo	Serbia	Bulgaria	Romania	Croatia
---------	---------	------------	---------	---------	------------	--------	--------	----------	---------	---------

Analysis mapped the category theory abstractions and border perspectives into 'paired' perspectives describing procedural mechanisms (operads) impacting the Balkan/Eurasian language borders.

We identified three key groupings, representing significant principles related to managing and measuring linguistic superpositions and dissonances. These form the derived 'superposition' of paired perspective surfaces implemented in our proposed framework:

Derived 'superposition' of paired perspective surfaces:

A. Suppression Limiting Diversity

- **Paired perspective surfaces:**

- Contrasting primary language percentages with policy inclusion ratings for minority languages, can expose dissonance from assimilating policies despite widely spoken minority languages, indicating rising tension risk.
- Linking language policy restrictiveness with language diversity could reveal policies breeding resentment despite multilingual populations, signaling policy vs demographic dissonances.
- Comparing language diversity with English proficiency, can reveal isolationism from the dissonance between high internal diversity and low English skills.

B. Tensions from Conflicting Priorities

- **Paired perspective surfaces:**

- Contrasting primary language percentages with export/import ratios, can indicate identity dissonances between isolationist language policy agendas and economic needs for openness.
- Relating minority language speakers to shared adjacent languages can surface suppressed opportunities for cooperation due to dominant language policies, potentially destabilizing the region.
- Connecting language promotion policies with export/import ratios. Counterintuitive links could reveal how economic forces shape domestic language agendas indirectly.

- Contrasting English proficiency with trade levels. Low English skills despite high trade, can reveal reliance on border languages for economic exchange, potentially due to rigid language policies.

C. Early Warning Signs of Polarization

- **Paired perspective surfaces:**

- Comparing language diversity counts with trade levels. High diversity with low trade, can signal unrealized exchange potential from multilingualism and the impact of isolationist policies limiting diversity.
- Relating minority language demographics with conflict events evidence, may uncover tensions from suppressing minority languages and provide insight into sources of instability.

Uncovering Hidden Relationships:

We implemented the quantum border superposition framework to analyze language border scenarios in the Balkans and Eurasia. The methodology operationalizes category theory, border perspectives, and geopolitical realities into an applied perspective with concrete variables and measures.

Variables were selected based on category theory structures and border viewpoints to fit conceptual scenarios within derived superpositions of paired perspectives. This quantum-inspired lens uncovered unconventional relationships and dissonances between border factors imperceptible through isolated perspectives.

The analysis required matching country data against complementary scenario pairings to reveal subtle dynamics. Fitting variables to scenarios uncovered hidden tensions stemming from linguistic policies and demographics.

While a full analysis is beyond this paper's scope, we present the methodology and select examples to demonstrate the framework's capabilities in revealing non-intuitive insights. Synthesizing concepts from category theory and border studies provides an implementable quantum perspective for exposing complex forces shaping sociolinguistic borders. The following is our example analysis set:

A. Suppression Limiting Diversity

Paired perspective surface: " *Linking language policy restrictiveness.* "

Armenia, based on its '4 Key Language Policies', seems to have preferential policies that emphasize the Armenian language^{25,26,27,28}. Despite this, there is a relatively high number of languages spoken: 10 in total²⁹. This indicates a dissonance between language policy and linguistic diversity. Other possible dissonances between policy and demographics may exist.

Tensions from Conflicting Priorities

Paired perspective surface: " *Contrasting English proficiency with trade levels* "

Azerbaijan's English proficiency is Very Low³⁰ but has a high export/import ratio of 156.00³¹, suggesting substantial trade activity. The low English proficiency hints at a dependence on minority or border languages for trade. Azerbaijan's language policies^{32,33,34,35,36}, suggest a focus

on promoting the Azerbaijani language and traces of Russian soft power influences, further indicating reliance on minority languages for economic exchanges due to language policies.

C. Early Warning Signs of Polarization

Paired perspective surface: *Comparing language diversity counts with trade levels.*"

In the case of Georgia, there is significant language diversity with Georgian as the primary language out of 14 others including Azerbaijani and Russian³⁷. Despite this diversity, the export/import ratio is (66.80)³¹ and may suggest limited opportunities due to isolationist policies.

Having this multi-faceted approach to understanding borders and the role language plays in shaping and defining these initial findings, provides a proof of concept to uncover significant data driven insights about the Balkan/Eurasian region:

1. The multiplicity of languages and identities represents a natural superposition. Policies limiting diversity collapse this superposition into narrower rigid boundaries, decreasing flexibility.
2. Dissonances between policies, incentives, and linguistic realities create tensions. Adopting a superposition perspective enables balancing competing priorities.
3. Tracking polarization, economic gaps, and demographic mismatches provides early warning of rising dissonances. Managing superpositions appears more viable than enforcing solitary states.

A New Lens into Operationalizing and Weaponizing the Cognitive Domain:

The quantum border perspective offers a view into the cognitive domain underlying cultural spaces. Languages were used as tangible proxies, shaped by media and policies (morphisms) influencing collective consciousness.

Implementing the superposition methodology revealed non-intuitive insights: policies limiting diversity decrease flexibility by collapsing natural superpositions of languages and identities. Adopting this perspective enables balancing competing priorities.

With this quantum lens, we can trace how specific policies or partnerships cascade through the system, transforming the cognitive domain over time. Modeling operadic transformations allows steering this domain by tweaking key variables such as language exposure. This enables operationalizing interventions encoded as procedures acting on the system.

Visualizing dissonances within the cognitive topology revealed societal vulnerabilities in the Balkan/Eurasian regions. Targeted disinformation designed to amplify polarized languages and identities could exploit these fractures, sowing instability. Yet with this perspective, one can strategically leak tailored cognitive dissonance into an opponent's borderlands with cascading effects—enabling both defensive and offensive influence over the fuzzy cognitive domain underlying bordered spaces.

Beyond Borders:

The quantum perspective reveals borders not as fixed delineations, but as probabilities through the substrate of human cognition. Policy operads dynamically transform identity objects and connections, revealing complex Balkan/Eurasian border relationships and forces. This viewpoint provides computational leverage over the currents shaping society. When we see beyond borders, we can reengineer social reality.

References:

1. Taubenböck, H., C. Otto, F. Gülzau, and S. Mau. "Border Regions across the Globe: Analyzing Border Typologies, Economic and Political Disparities, and Development Dynamics." *Applied Geography* 151 (2023): 102866. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2022.102866>.
2. BBC News. 2018. "Ukraine Conflict: Russia Completes Crimea Security Fence," December 28, 2018. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-46699807>.
3. "Balkan Leaders Agree to Open Borders between Nations in 2023." 2021. AP News. July 29, 2021. <https://apnews.com/article/europe-business-global-trade-7027282f6a93c2c12015d47717dc9d78>.
4. Synovitz, Ron. 2013. "Tales from Mitrovica: Life in a Divided Kosovo Town." *Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty*, February 16, 2013, sec. Kosovo. <https://www.rferl.org/a/kosovo-mitrovica-divided-town/24903007.html>.
5. Partachi, Ioan, and Natalia Enachi. "Statistic Indicators on the Relationship between Economy and Foreign Trade of the Republic of Moldova (including with Romania) during 2003-2014." *Romanian Statistical Review Supplement* 64, no. 1 (2016): 14-20.
6. Nagy, Imre. 2020. "Cross-Border Cooperation on the External Borders of the EU and the Impact of the Received EU CBC Funds on AP Vojvodina/Serbia." *Belgeo*, no. 2 (January). <https://doi.org/10.4000/belgeo.38732>.
7. LÖFFLER, MAX . n.d. "The Quantum Revolution Questioned the Nature of Reality." *Science News*. <https://www.sciencenews.org/century/quantum-physics-theory-revolution-reality-uncertainty>.
8. Sendhardt, Bastian. "Border Types and Bordering Processes. A Theoretical Approach to the EU/Polish-Ukrainian Border as a Multi-Dimensional Phenomenon." *Borders and Border Regions in Europe. Changes, Challenges and Chances, Vol. 15.* Political Science, edited by Arnaud Lechevalier and Jan Wielgoß (2013): 21-44.
9. Gerst, Dominik, and Hannes Krämer. "The Multiplication of Border Methodology." *UniGR-Center for Border Studies* (2021): 17.
10. "Antiabortion Legislators Want to Block Patients from Crossing State Lines." 2022. *Yahoo News*. June 29, 2022. <https://www.yahoo.com/news/antiabortion-legislators-want-block-patients-225140852.html>.
11. Fottrell, Quentin. n.d. "America Split Itself into Tribes over Abortion, Climate Change and Immigration, Harvard Professors Say." *MarketWatch*. Accessed July 24, 2023. <https://www.marketwatch.com/story/moral-values-are-a-luxury-good-the-rich-people-get-the-more-likely-they-are-to-prioritize-moral-issues-when-they-vote-11651525327>.
12. Ratcliffe, Rebecca. 2023. "Studio Defends Barbie Movie after Controversial Map Prompts Vietnam Ban." *The Guardian*, July 7, 2023, sec. Film. <https://www.theguardian.com/film/2023/jul/07/studio-defends-barbie-movie-after-controversial-map-prompts-vietnam-ban>.

13. Fong, Brendan, and David I. Spivak. "Seven sketches in compositionality: An invitation to applied category theory." arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05316 (2018).
14. "Natural Transformation in NLab." n.d. Ncatlab.org. Accessed July 24, 2023. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/natural+transformation>.
15. "Colimit in NLab." n.d. Ncatlab.org. Accessed July 24, 2023. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/colimit>.
16. "Disjoint Union in NLab." n.d. Ncatlab.org. Accessed July 24, 2023. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/disjoint+union>.
17. Vagner, Dmitry, David I. Spivak, and Eugene Lerman. "Algebras of open dynamical systems on the operad of wiring diagrams." arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.1598 (2014).
18. Yeake, Sarah . "Operad Theory of Algebra."Perspective - Volume 16, Issue 5 (2022)
19. Ball, Philip. 2018. "Quantum Physics May Be Even Spookier than You Think." Scientific American. May 21, 2018. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/quantum-physics-may-be-even-spookier-than-you-think/>.
20. Baily, Skyla . 2022. "Proposing a Definition for Quantum Superpositions of Causal Orders." AZoQuantum.com. March 8, 2022. <https://www.azoquantum.com/Article.aspx?ArticleID=301>.
21. Pinzani, Nicola, and Stefano Gogioso. "Giving operational meaning to the superposition of causal orders." arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13306 (2020).
22. Resulani, Nadina. "Ethnic Tensions in the Western Balkans and the Experience of Ethnic Minorities." (2023).
23. Bartlett, Will, Matteo Bonomi, and Milica Uvalić. "The Economic and Investment Plan for the Western Balkans: assessing the possible economic, social and environmental impact of the proposed Flagship projects." (2022).
24. "The EU and the Western Balkans: Towards a Common Future | EEAS Website." 2022. Ww.eeas.europa.eu. March 16, 2022. https://www.eeas.europa.eu/eeas/eu-and-western-balkans-towards-common-future_en.
25. "Armenia - Law on Language (No. AL-52)." 2014. Ww.ilo.org. January 1, 2014. https://www.ilo.org/dyn/natlex/natlex4.detail?p_lang=en&p_isn=83656&p_country=ARM&p_count=264.
26. "Legislation: National Assembly of RA." n.d. Ww.parliament.am. Accessed July 24, 2023. <http://www.parliament.am/legislation.php?sel=show&ID=1464&lang=eng>.
27. admin34. 2017. "Armenia Refuses Russian as Official Language -." Language Magazine. July 24, 2017. <https://www.language magazine.com/2017/07/24/armenia-refuses-russian/>.
28. "Armenia's Education Minister: 'Armenian Is and Will Remain the Only Official Language.'" 2017. Armenian National Committee of America. September 22, 2017. <https://anca.org/armenias-education-minister-armenian-is-and-will-remain-the-only-official-language/>.
29. "Translators without Borders." 2019. Translators without Borders. August 7, 2019. <https://translatorswithoutborders.org/>.

30. "EF EPI 2022 – EF English Proficiency Index – Azerbaijan." n.d. Wwww.ef.com. Accessed July 24, 2023. <https://www.ef.com/wwen/epi/regions/europe/azerbaijan/>.
31. 2022. Europa.eu. 2022. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/explore/all/all_themes.
32. Pirijev, Kamil. 2003. "Azerbaijan: Languages under Threat." Iwpr.net. November 31, 2003. <https://iwpr.net/global-voices/azerbaijan-languages-under-threat>.
33. Chalabi, Babek. 2023. "Discrimination against South Azerbaijani Turkic People in Iran." The Geopolitics. March 14, 2023. <https://thegeopolitics.com/discrimination-against-south-azerbaijani-turkic-people-in-iran/>.
34. Shiriyeve, Zaur. 2019. "5. Russian Soft Power in Azerbaijan." Chatham House – International Affairs Think Tank. March 19, 2019. <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2019/03/azerbaijans-relations-russia-closer-default-0/5-russian-soft-power-azerbaijan>.
35. Aghayev, Dayanat Sabir. "LANGUAGE SITUATION IN AZERBAIJAN". (2016)
36. Seyidov, Ilgar. "'As quiet as a mouse': Media use in Azerbaijan" Communications 45, no. s1 (2020): 893-911. <https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2020-0021>
37. "Expressions of Cultural Wealth Minority Languages in Georgia." 2016. https://www.coe.int/t/dg4/education/minlang/AboutCharter/Minority%20languages%20in%20Georgia_EN.pdf.