

HW 4

1. a) $y_i | \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta^n e^{-\theta}}{n!} \cdot \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \cdot \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} u = \frac{\theta^{-n} e^{-\theta} \epsilon_{y_i}}{\pi y_i!}$$

$$\ln(p(y|\theta)) = n \ln(\theta) + (-\theta) \ln(e) - \ln(n!) + a \ln(b) - \ln(\Gamma(a))$$

$$+ (a-1) \ln(\theta) + (-b\theta) \ln(e) = -n\theta + \epsilon_{y_i} \frac{1}{\theta} - \epsilon \ln(y_i)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\dots \right] = \frac{n}{\theta} - 1 + \frac{a-1}{\theta} - b = -n + \frac{1}{\theta} \epsilon_{y_i}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \left[\dots \right] = -n\theta^{-2} + (a-1)(-1)\theta^{-2} = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \epsilon_{y_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow -E[\dots] = n E[\theta]^{-2} + (a-1) E[\theta]^{-2} = \frac{n\epsilon}{\theta^2} + \frac{a-1}{\cancel{E[\theta]^2}} \rightarrow f(\lambda) \propto \frac{\sqrt{a-1} \lambda}{\theta^2} \quad \boxed{\frac{1}{\theta}}$$

b) $y_i | \theta \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta) \rightarrow p(y|\theta) = \theta^n \cdot e^{-\theta} \epsilon_{y_i}$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln(p(y|\theta)) = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} (\ln(\theta) - \theta \bar{y}) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow -E[\dots] = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow f(\theta) \propto \boxed{\frac{1}{\theta}}$$

c) $y_i | \theta \sim \text{Normal}(\theta, s^2)$ known. $p(y|\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^2}} \right)^n e^{\frac{-1}{2s^2} \epsilon_{y_i} (\bar{y} - \theta)^2}$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(p(y|\theta)) = n \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s^2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2s^2} (\ln(e)) \epsilon_{y_i} (\bar{y} - \theta)^2$$

$$= n \ln(\dots) - \frac{1}{2s^2} \epsilon_{y_i} (\bar{y} - \theta)^2 = n \ln(-) - \frac{1}{2s^2} n (\bar{y} - \theta)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln(\dots) = \left(\frac{-1}{2s^2} \right) n \bar{y}^2 - 2\theta \bar{y} \left(\frac{-n}{2s^2} \right) + \theta^2 \left(\frac{-n}{2s^2} \right) \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} = \frac{-n}{s^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow -E[\dots] = -E\left[\frac{u}{s^2}\right] \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{-u}{s^2}} \stackrel{(?)}{=} \boxed{\frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}s^2} e^{-\frac{(y-m)^2}{2s^2}}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}s^2}\right) + \left(-\frac{(y-m)^2}{2s^2}\right) \text{line}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} = \sqrt{2\pi}s^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (2\pi s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}; 2\pi s^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} = \sqrt{2\pi} \cdot (-\pi) s^{-2} \cdot 2\pi$$

$$\Rightarrow -E[\dots] = -\pi E[\sigma] \}^{-2} = -\pi m^{-2}$$

$$\Sigma(\theta) = \boxed{\frac{1}{m}}$$

2. a and b \rightarrow improper Gamma(0, 0)

c \rightarrow no because it doesn't integrate to a finite value

d \rightarrow $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \rightarrow$ proper because the integral has a finite range

$$3. a) G = \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} = e^\phi \Rightarrow \theta = e^\phi - e^\phi \theta \Rightarrow \theta(1+e^\phi) = e^\phi \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_\theta(\phi) \cdot \text{PDF} = \left(\frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left| \frac{d}{d\phi} \frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi} \right|$$

$$\frac{\frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}}{(1+e^\phi)^2} \Rightarrow f_\theta(\phi) = \left(\frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(e^\phi)^n e^{n\phi}}{(1+e^\phi)^n}$$

$$b) f(\phi | \theta) = \left(\frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{e^\phi}{1+e^\phi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{(e^\phi)^n e^{n\phi}}{(1+e^\phi)^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(y/t) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln\left(\frac{e^\phi}{1+\phi}\right) + \left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{e^\phi}{1+\phi}\right) + \ln \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ln \phi = 0) &= \frac{-n}{2} \phi \ln e - \frac{-n}{2} \ln(1-\phi) + \left(\frac{-n}{2}\right) \frac{\ln 1}{\phi \ln e - \ln(1+\phi)} + \ln \\
 &= \frac{-n}{2} \phi + \cancel{\frac{n}{2}} n \ln \phi + n \phi \ln e - 2n \ln(\phi+1) \\
 &= \frac{-n}{2} \phi + n \ln \phi + n \phi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln(p(y|\theta)) = -n\phi^{-2} \Rightarrow I(\theta) = [\phi^{-1}]$$

c) I have a feeling that I should have gotten \propto instead of \propto' . But aside from that, the fact that \propto survives tells me that, yes, Jeffreys Prior is invariant of parameterization, as we already knew.

$$h) \text{ a) } BF(H_0 : H_1) = \underbrace{P(D | H_0)}_{S_p(D | H_0) \cdot P(H_0)} d\theta$$

$$\Rightarrow P(D | H_0) = \frac{\pi}{\pi} \frac{3^{3\theta} \cdot e^{-3}}{u_f!}$$

$$P(\text{H}_A) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{4r} e^{-\theta}}{4r!} \cdot \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta} d\theta$$

$$\text{Jammed this into an integral calculator} \Rightarrow \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\sum(y_i - ra))}{(bru)^{\sum(y_i - ra)}}$$

Consider again $p(D|M_0)$ with the data:

$$p(D|H_0) = \frac{3^2 e^{-3}}{2!} \cdot \frac{3^3 e^{-3}}{3!} \cdot \frac{3^2 e^{-3}}{3!} \cdot \frac{3 e^{-3}}{1} \cdot \frac{3^4 e^{-3}}{4!}$$

$$P(D|H_1) = \frac{b^a f(b+a)}{f(a)(b+5)^{b+a}} \quad (\text{with data})$$

$$BF(H_0 \cdot L_1) = \frac{P(D(H_0))}{P(\cap H_0)} = \frac{3^3 \cdot 4^{-5}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(a) \cdot (b+5)^{13+a}}{\Gamma^a \cdot \Gamma(13+a)}$$

$$b) BF(H_0 : H_A) = \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(17)(6)^{14}}{\Gamma(14)}$$

≈ 0.00355

$$p(H_0 | y) = \frac{p(y | H_0) \cdot p(H_0)}{p(y)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &\stackrel{\text{LPP}}{=} p(y | H_A) \cdot p(H_A) + p(y | H_0) \cdot p(H_0) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(14)}{\Gamma(17)(6)^{14}} \cdot 0.5 + \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot 0.5 \\ &= \frac{13!}{6^{14}} \cdot 0.5 + \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{2! \cdot 2! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot 0.5 \\ &\approx 0.0398 \\ \Rightarrow p(H_0 | y) &= 0.5 \cdot \frac{1}{0.0398} \cdot \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \\ &\approx 0.0035 \end{aligned}$$

$$c) BF(H_0 : H_A) = \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(14)(6)^{17}}{\Gamma(14) \cdot \Gamma(17)}$$

$$= \frac{3^{13} \cdot e^{-15}}{3! \cdot 3! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot \frac{8! \cdot 6^{17}}{16!}$$

$\approx 2.175 \cdot e^{-11}$

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= p(y | H_A) \cdot p(H_A) + p(y | H_0) \cdot p(H_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(17)} \cdot 0.5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{16!}{3! \cdot 6^{17}} \cdot 0.5 + \frac{3^{11} \cdot e^{-15}}{2! \cdot 2! \cdot 2! \cdot 4!} \cdot 0.5$$

$$\approx 0.103$$

$$\Rightarrow p(H_0 | y) \approx 0.5 \cdot \frac{1}{0.103} \cdot 0.00028$$

$\boxed{\approx 0.00135}$

d) The p-values aren't so different, so I would say that what we know about Jeffreys Priors still holds (that they are objective and don't influence the probability). A caveat would be both the p-values are already low, lower than 0.01, the range where our findings would be statistically significant so differences within this range wouldn't matter any way.

$$S, \text{ or } p(y|H_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(y|H_1) &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi c}} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2c^2}} d\theta \\ &= \frac{c^{1/2}}{2\pi(1+c^2)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{1+\frac{1}{c^2}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$BF(H_1; H_0) = \frac{p(y|H_1)}{p(y|H_0)} = \frac{c^{1/2}}{2\pi(1+c^2)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{1+\frac{1}{c^2}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{1+\frac{1}{c^2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}}$$

$$= e^{\frac{c^2}{2(1+c^2)} + \frac{y^2}{2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{c^2}}}$$

$$A = \boxed{\frac{y^2(1+c^2+1)}{2(1+c^2)}} \cdot \boxed{\frac{2\pi}{1+\frac{1}{c^2}}} \rightarrow B$$

b) The BF slightly increases as y increases. This seems expected; of course it changes but it doesn't change that much (y is only a power of e and e itself is small). In context, this saying that more data shows stronger evidence against the null hypothesis, which makes sense in some cases.

c) If the variance increases and the data is constant, A slightly increases and B increases (at a faster rate). So we have: if variance increases then there is more evidence against the null hypothesis, which sounds counter-intuitive.