

Problem Set 4

D Keck

March 18, 2018

Objectives

- Implement linear regressions solvers: library, direct (normal equations), and gradient descent
- Understand and verify all output from library solver
- Compare predictions to neural network solver
- Feature selection for linear regression

Due April 4

Requirements

- Problem sets are done in groups of 3 (21 groups)
- Each group member must be skilled with the language chosen at the start of problem set
- Each student must do approximately $\frac{1}{3}$ of the development
- The deliverable is single PDF or HTML that meets the standard of a reproducible research report with professional quality communication. Deployable code will not be a deliverable for any problem set.
- All code must be displayed
- Identify and explain each answer. Don't just print a number.
- Numeric output should be easy to read e.g., not 10 decimal places
- Graphics must be easy to read i.e., titles, axis labels, legends, colors, etc.
- The rows and columns of tables and the columns of matrices and data frames must be labeled
- These problem statements provide less detailed guidance, but you are expected to follow the data science process, especially exploratory data analysis and good communication of process and results.
- A maximum of 7 points will be awarded for minimalist correct answers. All 9 points will be awarded for nice explorations and descriptions that include correct answers.

Predict the Quality of Portuguese White Wines

Data Source (<http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Wine+Quality>)

P. Cortez, A. Cerdeira, F. Almeida, T. Matos and J. Reis.

Modeling wine preferences by data mining from physicochemical properties.

In Decision Support Systems, Elsevier, 47(4):547-553, 2009.

Relavant Article (https://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/11/dining/tasting-portuguese-white-wines.html?_r=0)

You may use another data set from UCI or Kaggle if you prefer, but you must follow each step in this assignment

Question 1

- Download *winequality-white.csv*
- How many observations?
- How many explanatory and response variables?
- Is there any missing data?

Question 2

- Describe the structure and range of the data (suggest `str()` and `summary()`)
- Plot histograms of each feature and response (suggest specifying the number of rows and columns for this plot)
- Comment on the correlation of the features (suggest `corrplot()` and `pairs()`)
- Scale the explanatory variables (suggest looking at the histograms to choose between normal and uniform scaling)

Question 3

- Split the data into a training and test set. Put 25% of the data in the test set. (Suggest using `sample.split()` in `caTools`)
- What is the baseline *wine quality* prediction accuracy on the training set?
- Develop an `lm()` object using all of the explanatory variables
- Print the model information using `summary()`
- Print the model information criterion using `AIC()`, `extractAIC()`, and `logLik()`
- Predict the wine quality using the test set and compare the accuracy to the actual quality. Comment.
- Print the parameter estimates and their 95% confidence intervals in a single table. (Suggest using `confint()`, and `cbind()`)

Question 4

Roll your own code to compute model parameters, $\hat{\theta}$ as well as the model information from the library solver

- First create the \mathbf{X} matrix and the \mathbf{y} vector for the training data (Remember to insert a column of 1's in the \mathbf{X} matrix)
- Compute the parameter values (the coefficient estimates in the `lm()` object)
- Print the parameters from the `lm()` model and from your normal solver side by side. Comment. (suggest using `head()`)
- Print the test set quality from the `lm()` model and from your normal solver side by side. Comment. (suggest using `head()`)
- Print the rmse error between the predicted and actual test qualities

Question 5

- Now compute the parameters using the gradient descent solver using the same \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{y}
- First, write a function to compute the scalar value of the cost function $J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$
- Clearly display your learning rate, α and your convergence criterion
- Print the estimated parameters from the `lm()` model, your normal solver, and your gradient descent solver side by side. Comment.
- Predict the wine quality using the gradient descent parameter using the test set and compare to the actual quality in the test set

Question 6

- Compare accuracies on the test set to those of a neural net model. Comment.
- Describe your final neural net model.

Question 7

Now re-compute all of the information from your `lm()` model using your *normal equation* model

- Compute error residuals, \mathbf{e} , and plot the histogram of residuals
- Print the `summary()` of the error vector, \mathbf{e} , and compare to `lm()` model output. Comment
- Plot histogram of residual errors to check approximate normality. If the errors were not nearly normal what might be the problem?
- Most residual errors are less than $|1|$, what does that mean ?
- Compute the residual standard error and the degrees freedom for the residual error

Question 8

Continue Question 7

- Create and print a table similar to that in `lm()` output for your theta values for estimates, $\hat{\theta}$, compute standard error, T values, and P values.

Question 9

Continue Question 7

- Compute R^2
- Compute R^2_{ADJ}
- Compute AIC
- Compute the F statistic for the model
- Compute degrees of freedom 1 and 2 for the f diistribution
- Compute the P value for the F, overall model, statistic

Question 10

- Reduce the number of explanatory variables in your `lm()` model one by one to find the best model using the AIC criterion (tradeoff between maximum likelihood and number of parameters). (suggest using `step(lm(),...)`)
- Increase the number explanatory variables from the intercept alone in your `lm()` model one by one to find the best model using the AIC criterion
- Note that `step(lm())` uses `extractAIC()` not `AIC()`