Choreography and Orchestration of Services

Oxford University
Software Engineering
Programme
January 2018



Business Process Management

- Hammer & Champy [1993] "A collection of activities that takes one or more kinds of input and creates an output that is of value to the customer."
- Davenport [1992] "A structured, measured set of activities designed to produce a specific output for a particular customer or market. It implies a strong emphasis on how work is done within an organization, in contrast to a product focus's emphasis on what."




Composition

- Services provide platform- and language-independent access to software components
- But these components are isolated: they need to be assembled into service-oriented architectures
- Ideally, they should be recursively composable to form composite services in their own right
- Workflow languages for scripting or 'glue' between individual services
- BPMN, WSCI, WSFL, XLANG, BPEL. . .
- beyond mere business protocol specifications like RosettaNet, which are essentially paper specifications so can't be automated and won't scale



Removal of Dependencies (Leymann and Roller)

 DBMS provides independence from data representation; workflow provides independence





Heritage

- Enterprise application integration (EAI)
 - resolving heterogeneity, typically via asynchronous message brokers
- Workflow management systems (WfMS): automating interactions
 - origins in *office automation*: admin processes
- Production workflows: from information between people to integration of systems
 - often associated with business process reengineering: assessment, analysis, modelling, definition, implementation
- Service composition = EAI + WfMS



Motivations

- Model Business Processes
 - Understand what happens?
 - Who is responsible?
 - What is involved?
- Simulate
 - Improve and model
- Execute
 - Automate processes
 - Improve them more quickly
- Monitor
 - Get a real-time health status of processes



Orchestration vs Choreography



http://www.flickr.com/photos/herrolm/



http://www.flickr.com/photos/tasuki/



Orchestration vs Choreography

- Orchestration
 - Describes procedure
 - instructs participants globally imperative;
 centralized
 - typically deterministic: 'must'
- Choreography
 - Describes protocol
 - Constraints on interaction, but participants act locally - declarative; no 'current state'
 - Usually non-deterministic: 'may'
- Orchestra has a conductor, Ballet does not



WS-Choreography Description Language

- http://www.w3.org/TR/ws-cdl-10/
- Never got past Candidate Recommendation
- Captures the flow of messages between parties
- Temporal and logical dependencies between messages
- features sequencing rules, correlation, exception handling and transactions
- Not executable




BPMN 1.1

- Designed to allow process designers to communicate
 - Think UML
- Activities, Gateways, Events
- Control and Data Flow
- Organization modelling (Pools, Swimlanes)




BPMN Example





Amazon Simple Workflow Service







Amazon SWF

Tasks

- Maintains distributed application state
- Tracks workflow executions
- Ensures consistency of execution history
- Provides visibility into executions
- · Holds and dispatches tasks
- Provides control over task distribution
- Retains workflow execution history



Cloud

Get Activity Tasks Results



http://aws.amazon.com/swf/



Workers for Activity 1 Mobile



Workers for Activity 2 On Premises



Workers for Activity 3

Turbine

Turbine is a JavaScript workflow engine. It vastly simplifies the development, deployment, and testing of complex web applications through the use of declarative workflows that express your app's program logic in a form that is simple to read and to understand.

Why Turbine?

Turbine is the ideal solution for apps (or parts of apps) with multi-step processes involving many possible branches, subflows, or permutations. Examples include:

- Signup forms
- Login forms
- · Interactive tours
- Shopping carts
- Checkout flows
- Asset creation (i.e. upload photo -> add filter -> add caption -> tag friends -> share)
- etc.

The programming of these types of apps usually involves a tangled nightmare of conditionals, switches, callbacks, promises, and other strands of spaghetti code.

This tightly coupled code makes it almost impossible to A/B/n test different flows or variations -- any attempt to do so usually makes the problem even worse. It is also very difficult to follow the program logic to trace all the possible flows through the code.

Business Process Execution Language (BPEL)

- Standardised XML language for executable processes
- Well defined execution
 - No deadlocks
 - Graphs must be acyclic
- Tied to WSDL concepts
- No built in support for human activities (though this has been added)
- No graphical notation



The main strength of BPEL

- BPEL is a completely executable standalone language
 - PartnerLinks define places where you can call WSDL services
 - Or where other parties can call WSDL
 Services into the process
- Deployment descriptor + BPEL can be executed without any Java or other language



The main weaknesses of BPEL

- Too much like a programming language
 - Need WS-HumanTask, BPEL4People and script or Java extensions to make it useful for real processes
- No swimlanes (explained in a minute)
- No common visual notation

BPMN + BPEL

- In theory:
 - Process experts design and model in BPMN
 - Developers/Implementors implement in BPEL
- No standard bridging/mapping
 - Double the effort



BPMN 2.0

- A notation for a subset of BPEL
- Execution semantics for BPMN
- Notational support for choreography
- The best of both worlds?
- Cons:
 - Need to write external logic in another language to implement a process



CMMN

Case Management and Modelling


Notation

- A specification from OMG for modelling how to handle cases
- A more flexible approach to workflow that BPMN or BPEL
 - Certain workflows are very clear
 - Building a car
 - Others are more flexible
 - Hand building a mandolin
- Imperative vs "Causative"




CMMN example

Source: http://brsilver.com/bpmn-cmmn-compared/





Decision Modeling Notation DMN 1.1






BPMN 2.0







BPMN 2.0 Basics



BPMN Basic Constructs

Events
Activities


Gateways



Sequence Flow

Text Annotations

How you document your processes



Start Events

Start Event



- Message Start
- Timer Start (
- Conditional Start
- Signal Start









Some Intermediate Events



Basic Intermediate Event



Message Catch



Message Throw




Timer




Escalation

Some End Events





Activities




Service Task

- Call a service
 - Unlike BPEL there is no direct way of capturing the actual service
 - Typically need to write some code (e.g. Java) to capture that




Swimlanes:

partition an activity diagram into the responsibilities of different entities



Swimlanes represent different participants




Data Flow

- Transitions between activities represent control dependencies: one activity must complete before another can start
- Workflows also have data dependencies: one activity produces a result that another requires
- UML activity diagrams allow object flow as well as control flow
- Dependent data is shown as an object icon (rectangle with underlined name and type)
 - dependencies shown as dashed arrows from generating activity to object, and from object to consuming activity(s)
 - same object may occur multiple times in an activity diagram, typically in different states (shown in square brackets after object name)




Example Object Flow





Flows



Sequence flows are within a Swimlane

Message flows between swimlanes



Gateways

Exclusive Gateway




- Fork choose one path (if/else)
- Join wait for a single event
- Parallel Gateway



- Fork do both / all paths
- Join wait for all inputs




Event Gateway



An Event Gateway allows different events to trigger different actions



Complex Gateway



How much BPMN do you need?

How Much Language is Enough?
Theoretical and Practical Use of the
Business Process Management Notation
http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/
papers.cfm?abstract_id=2038665





Fig. 1. Occurrence Frequency of BPMN Constructs



BPMN Case example

Source: http://brsilver.com/bpmn-cmmn-compared/





Summary

- Process Management has a strong place in composing SOA systems
 - Externalising dependencies
 - Agility
 - Sharing with the business owners
- BPEL is still widely used, but
- BPMN 2.0 is gaining a lot of mindshare
- CMMN also has a smaller but active following
- Other approaches like Turbine are gaining traction

