# Cross-Site Request Forgery

Andy Podgurski

EECS Dept.

Case Western Reserve University

#### Sources

- Cross-Site Request Forgeries: Exploitation and Prevention by W. Zeller and E. W. Felten, 2008
- ☐ Browser Protection Against Cross-site Request Forgery by W. Maes et al, Workshop on Secure Execution of Untrusted Code, 2009

# Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) Attacks

- These occur when a malicious web site causes a user's browser to perform an unwanted action on a trusted site
- ☐ XSS defenses do not protect against CSRFs

## Example: Web-Based Email Site

- ☐ Site uses *implicit authentication* of users
- One page contains form allowing user to create and send an email
  - http://example.com/compose

# Example cont. (2)

```
<form
action="http://example.com/send_email.htm"
method="GET">
Recipient's Email address: <input
type="text" name="to">
Subject: <input type="text" name="subject">
Message: <textarea name="msg"></textarea>
<input type="submit" value="Send Email">
</form>
```

## Example cont. (3)

When user clicks "Send Email", the data he entered is sent to http://example.com/send\_email.htm as a GET request, e.g.,

```
http://example.com/send_email.htm?to=bob%
40example.com&subject=hello&msg=What%27s+the+
status+of+that+proposal%3F 3
```

## Example cont. (4)

- ☐ The page **send\_mail.htm** sends an email from the user to the recipient
- It doesn't verify that the data originated from the form on compose.htm
  - If the user typed the URL above into his browser, example.com would still send an email
- This makes a CSRF attack possible

## Example cont. (5)

- □ A CSRF attack occurs if an attacker gets the user to send a request to send\_email.htm that causes example.com to send an email with data the attacker chose
- Assume the user visits a site controlled by the attacker
- The attacker needs to forge a cross-site request from his site to example.com
- HTML provides many ways to make such requests

## Example cont. (6)

- The <img> tag will cause the brower to load whatever URI is set as the src attribute
- The attacker can create a page with:

```
<img src="http://example.com/send_email.htm?
to=mallory%40example.com&subject=Hi&msg=My+
email+address+has+been+stolen">
```

## Example cont. (7)

- □ When the user visits the page, a request will be sent to send\_email.htm
- It will then send an email to Mallory from the user
- CSRF attacks are successful when an attacker can cause a user's browser to perform an unwanted action on another site
- ☐ They are typically as powerful as the user

# Same-Origin Policy Doesn't Help

- The same-origin policy was designed to prevent an attacker from accessing data on a 3rd-party site
- It does not prevent requests from being sent
- Hence, it doesn't protect against CSRF attacks

#### Authentication & CSRF

- CSRF attacks often exploit authentication mechanisms of sites
- Web authentication ensures that a request came from a certain user's browser
- It does not ensure that the user actually made or authorized the request

## Example Attack

- $\square$  Alice logs on to a target site T
- T gives Alice's browser a cookie with a session ID sid and records that Alice is logged into session sid
- ☐ Alice visits a malicious site M
- Content supplied by M contains JavaScript or an image tag
- It causes Alice's browser to send an HTTP request to T
- □ Alice's browser "helpfully" appends sid
- T performs the requested operation on Alice's account

#### Authentication cont.

- Most web authentication mechanisms suffer from the same problem
  - HTTP BasicAuth
  - Client-side SSL certificates
  - IP-addressed-based authenticatioin
- Whenever authentication happens implicitly there is a CSRF risk

#### **CSRF Attack Vectors**

- For attack to work, the user must
  - Be logged-in to the target site
  - Visit the attacker's site or a site that attacker partially controls
- If the server is vulnerable and accepts GET requests, CSRF attacks are possible without use of JavaScript
- If the server accepts only POST requests, JavaScript is required to send a POST from the attacker's site to the target site

#### CSRF vs. XSS

- An XSS attack occurs when an attacker injects malicious JavaScript into a site for the purpose of targeting other users of the site
- CSRF does not require JavaScript
- With CSRF, malicious code is located on 3<sup>rd</sup> party sites
- □ Filtering user input will not prevent malicious code from running on 3<sup>rd</sup> party sites
- Protection from XSS does not protect against CSRF
- ☐ If a site is vulnerable to XSS, it is also vulnerable to CSRF

# Example: NYTimes.com Vulnerability

- Can be used to determine member's email address
- Exploits the "Email This" feature, which sends a email like this:

This page was sent to you by: [USER'S EMAIL ADDRESS]

Message from sender: Thought you'd be interested in this.

NATIONAL DESK Researchers Find Way to Steal Encrypted Data By JOHN MARKOFF

A computer security research group has developed a way to steal encrypted information from computer hard disks.

### NYTimes.com cont. (2)

- Attacker causes logged-in user's browser to send a request to the "Email This" page
- This page does not protect against CSRF attacks
- It will send an email to an address chosen by the attacker
- If the attacker changes the recipient address to his own email address, he'll receive an email from NYTimes.com containing the user's email addres

## NYTimes.com cont. (3)

Each Times article contains a link to the "Email This" page, which contains form, with hidden variables, e.g.,

### NYTimes.com cont. (4)

- The attacker can convert the form into a GET request that can be used in an <img> tag
- The attacker make the URL the src attribute of an <img> tag
- ☐ If a logged-in user visits any page containing this tag, the browser will load the "Email This" page with the attacker's parameters
- This will cause the site to send an email to the attacker containing the user's email address

#### Server-Side Protection

- Allow GET requests to only retrieve data, not modify any data on the server
- Require all POSTs to include a pseudorandom cookie
  - In a valid request, the form value and the cookie should be the same
  - When an attacker submits a form on behalf of the user, he can only modify values of the form
  - He can't read data sent from the server or modify cookie values
  - The attacker will be unable to submit a valid form unless he can guess the cookie value
- Use a pseudorandom value that is independent of the user's account

#### Client Side Protection

- Zeller & Felten developed a Firefox extension that intercepts every web request and decides if it should be allowed
  - Any non-POST is allowed
  - If the requesting site and target fall under same-origin policy, the request is allowed
  - If the requesting site can make a request to the target using Adobe's cross-domain policy, the request is allowed