

Complexity Classes

Non-deterministic polynomial time: NP

- $\text{coNP} = \{L \mid \bar{L} \in \text{NP}\}$
- $L \in \text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$ is equivalent to $L \in \text{NP}$ and $L \in \text{coNP}$

Polynomial time hierarchy: PH $= \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Sigma_k^p$

- $\Delta_0^p = \Sigma_0^p = \Pi_0^p = \text{P}$
- $\Delta_{k+1}^p = \text{P}^{\Sigma_k^p}, \quad k \geq 0$
- $\Sigma_{k+1}^p = \text{NP}^{\Sigma_k^p}, \quad k \geq 0$
- $\Pi_{k+1}^p = \text{coNP}^{\Sigma_k^p}, \quad k \geq 0$

Alternating Turing machine: AL, AP

Parallel computation:

- $\text{NC} = \text{PT}/\text{WK}(\log^k n, n^k)$
- $\text{NC}_j = \text{PT}/\text{WK}(\log^j n, n^k)$
- Efficient: NC_1, NC_2

Randomised computation:

- Monte Carlo: **RP**
- Las Vegas: $\text{ZPP} = \text{RP} \cap \text{coRP}$
- Majority: **PP**
- Efficient: **BPP**

Interactive proofs: IP

Counting complexity: #P

Parameterised complexity:

- Fixed-parameter tractable: **FTP**
- Problems in **XP** have polynomial-time solutions for every constant value of parameter k

Class inclusions:

- $\text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PH} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXP}$
- $\text{NC}_1 \subseteq \text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{NC}_2 \subseteq \text{NC} \subseteq \text{P}$
- $\text{AL} = \text{P}, \text{AP} = \text{PSPACE}, \text{APSPACE} = \text{EXP}$
- $\text{P} \subseteq \text{ZPP} \subseteq \text{RP} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PP}$
- $\text{RP} \subseteq \text{BPP} \subseteq \text{PP}$
- $\text{NP} \subseteq \text{\#P} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$
- $\text{PH} = \text{P}^{\text{\#P}} = \text{P}^{\text{PP}}$ (Toda's theorem)
- $\text{IP} = \text{PSPACE}$
- $\text{FTP} \subseteq \text{XP}$

Logspace

For input of length n , the total number of possible configurations for **logspace** decider is $c^{O(\log n)} = n^{O(1)}$ where c is constant. Therefore, $\text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{P}$.

NL-completeness

Reachability: Given graph $G = (V, E)$ and vertices $s, t \in V$. Is there a path from s to t in G ?

Reachability is NL-complete.

- 1) **Reachability is in NL:** Start from vertex s and nondeterministically walk to every other reachable vertex. Return *yes/no* whether vertex t is reached.
- 2) **Reachability is NL-hard:** Consider the computation state graph of any other **NL** algorithm. Such algorithm will accept only if there is nondeterministic path from start to accepting state.

2SAT is NL-complete

Polynomial Time

Circuit value and hornsat are **P**-complete. They are least likely to be in **NC**, that is, efficiently solvable by parallel algorithms.

Oracle Turing Machines

An **oracle Turing machine** $M^?$

- Query string y , query state $q^?$, answer states q_{yes}, q_{no} .
- From the query state $q^?$ the machine moves to q_{yes} or to q_{no} , depending on whether $y \in A$ holds or not, where A is the oracle set.
- A query is performed in one step.
- Computation of $M^?$ with oracle A on input x is $M^A(x)$.

Relativised complexity class: C^A where C is complexity class and A is an oracle.

Example: There exists oracle A for which $\text{P}^A = \text{NP}^A$.

Proof: Let A be a **PSPACE**-complete. Then $\text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{P}^A \subseteq \text{NP}^A \subseteq \text{NPSpace} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}$.

Padding

Padding $x; 1^d$ of string x .

Padding argument: If $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ the $\text{EXP} = \text{NEXP}$.

Let $N = c^{n^{O(1)}}$ and c be a constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NEXP}(n) &= \text{NTIME}(c^{n^{O(1)}}) = \text{NTIME}(N) \subseteq \text{NP}(N) \\ &\subseteq \text{P}(N) = \text{TIME}(N^{O(1)}) = \text{TIME}(c^{n^{O(1)}}) = \text{EXP}(n). \end{aligned}$$

NP

A relation $R \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ is **polynomially decidable** if there is a deterministic TM deciding the language $\{x; y \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ in polynomial time.

A relation R is **polynomially balanced** if $(x, y) \in R$ implies $|y| \leq |x|^k$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Succinct certificate: Language L is in **NP** iff there is a polynomially balanced and polynomially decidable relation R such that

$$L = \{x \mid (x, y) \in R, \exists y \in \Sigma^*\}.$$

Language L is **NP**-complete if:

- 1) $L \in \mathbf{NP} : L$ is in **NP**.
- 2) $L' \leq_L L$: Known **NP**-complete language L' has logspace reduction to L .

Polynomial-time Hierarchy

A relation $R \subseteq (\Sigma^*)^{k+1}$ is said to be **polynomially balanced** if whenever $(x, y_1, \dots, y_k) \in R$, it holds that $|y_1|, \dots, |y_k| \leq |x|^t$ for some t .

Language L is in Σ_k^P for $k \geq 1$ iff there is a polynomially balanced relation R such that the language $\{x; y \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ is in Π_{k-1}^P and

$$L = \{x \mid \exists y, (x, y) \in R\}.$$

Language L is in Π_k^P for $k \geq 1$ iff there is a polynomially balanced relation R such that the language $\{x; y \mid (x, y) \in R\}$ is in Σ_{k-1}^P and

$$L = \{x \mid \forall y, (x, y) \in R\}.$$

Language L is in Σ_k^P for $k \geq 1$ iff there is a **polynomially balanced, polynomial-time decidable** $(k+1)$ -ary relation R such that

$$L = \{x \mid \exists y_1 \forall y_2 \exists y_3 \dots Q y_k, (x, y_1, \dots, y_k) \in R\}$$

where Q is \forall if k is even and \exists if k is odd.

Language $L \in \mathbf{PH}$ iff $L \in \Sigma_k^P$ for some k .

Example: $\mathbf{PH} \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$

Proof:

- Base case: $\Sigma_0^P = \mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$
- Recursive case: $k \geq 0$
 - Assume: $\Sigma_k^P \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$
 - Then: $\Sigma_{k+1}^P = \mathbf{NP}^{\Sigma_k^P} \subseteq \mathbf{NPSPACE} \subseteq \mathbf{PSPACE}$.
Last step from Savitch's theorem. Oracle doesn't use additional space, **NP** takes at most polynomial space.

Quantified satisfiability: $QSAT_k$: Given a boolean expression ϕ with its variables partitioned into sets X_1, \dots, X_k . Is the following true?

$$\exists X_1 \forall X_2 \dots Q X_k \phi$$

where Q is \forall if k is even and \exists if k is odd.

$QSAT_k$ is Σ_k^P -complete for all $k \geq 1$.

Example: $QSAT_2 \in \Sigma_2^P$ but not in $\Sigma_1^P = \mathbf{NP}$.

In order to verify a certificate for $QSAT_2$, we need to check $2^{|X_2|}$ times boolean formulas of length $|X|$, which means it is not polynomial time verifiable and thus $QSAT_2 \notin \Sigma_1^P = \mathbf{NP}$ assuming $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$.

- $L \in \Sigma_2^P = \mathbf{NP}^{\mathbf{NP}}$: Covering radius
- $L \in \Pi_2^P = \mathbf{coNP}^{\mathbf{NP}}$: Integer expression equivalence

NP-complete problems

NP-complete problems

Boolean problems: circuit sat, sat, 3SAT, MAX2SAT, NAE-SAT

Graph cover and packing problems: Independent Set, Clique, Vertex Cover, Max Cut, Max Bisection, Bisection Width

Graph path and coloring problems: Hamilton Path, Travelling Salesperson (TSP), k -coloring

Set problems: Exact Cover by 3-Sets, Set Cover, Set Packing

Number problems: Integer programming, Knapsack

Example reductions:

- circuit sat \rightarrow sat
- sat \rightarrow 3-sat
- circuit sat \rightarrow naesat
- 3-sat \rightarrow independent set
- independent set \rightarrow clique
- independent set \rightarrow vertex cover
- naesat \rightarrow max cut
- max cut \rightarrow max bisection
- naesat \rightarrow 3-coloring