4. 4 Hizgs Mechanism

Gauge theories do not satisfy all of the axioms supposed in Goldstone's theorem; depending on the choice of gauge, one of the axions must be violated. Take QED as an example, quantize in leven 2 says - negative norm states; quantize in radication says -> no hi. but no negative norm states.

Scaler electrodynamics

$$d = -\frac{1}{4} f_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu} \phi)^* (D^{\mu} \phi) - V(\phi^* \phi)$$

Grange intermience

$$\phi(x) \mapsto e^{i\alpha(x)} \phi(x)$$

$$O_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + ig A_{\mu}$$

$$A_{\mu}(x) \longmapsto A_{\mu}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \alpha(x)$$

Take the scalar potential

Consider $\mu^2 < 0$, minima $|\phi_0|^2 = -\frac{\mu^2}{2\lambda} = \frac{V^2}{2}$ where expand around real ϕ_0

φ(x) = e i θ(x)/v (v+η)/52 where 0,η ∈ R, ν > 0

Substitute into L, for small fluctuation 4 (x) = 1/2 (V+ y + i0)

$$V(4^*4) = \lambda \left(|4|^2 - \frac{V^2}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(V^2 + \eta^2 + \delta^2 + 2V\eta - V^2 \right)^2$$
 up to const

$$d = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} \eta \, \partial^{m} \eta + 2 \mu^{2} \eta^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \theta \, \partial^{m} \theta - \frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} V A_{\mu} \, \partial^{m} \theta \\ + \frac{2^{2} V^{2}}{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_{int} \left[\begin{array}{c} t_{2ims} & with \\ > 2 & fields \end{array} \right]$$

Appear to have mass for 17 and Am but not 0. Strange AmdMO term. To see what's going on, transform to unitary gauge.

$$A_{r} \rightarrow A_{r} + \frac{1}{5v} d_{r} \delta(x) \quad \text{where } \alpha(x) = -\frac{1}{v} \delta(x)$$

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-i\delta/v} \phi = \frac{1}{5} (v+\eta)$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{n} \gamma}{\partial \mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \nu} + \frac{2 \mu^{2} \gamma^{2}}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g^{2} v^{2}}{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_{$$

Groldstone mode

B has been "eaten"

to be come the

longitudina 1 policionisatu

of An

4.5 Non-abelian theories

Review

In the SM, fermions line in fundament or trivial reps.

Covernat derivatives (Pm) ij = dm bij + is(taAma);

[Dµ, Dv] = is ta Fanv, Fpr = dr Ava - dvAja - 5 Fabe Ap Av The sange part of d is ds = -d Far Fanv = -d Tr (Fpr Fnv) Next chapter, will discuss EW theory Su(2) L × U(1) x → U(1) EM

5. Electroweck theory

We will make choices to construct a theory that is capable of describing experimental data

s.1. EW gange theory

· Gauge symmety is SU(2) 2 × U(1) y

· Complex sicher (Hisss) field: doublet (fundamentel) rep of SU(2) and hypercharge Y= 1 under a sange transformation

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow e^{ix^{\alpha}(x) 7^{\alpha}} e^{i\beta(x) \frac{1}{2}} \phi(x)$$
 where $7^{\alpha} = \frac{6^{\alpha}}{2}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$)