

3.2 Parity $\hat{P}: x^\mu \rightarrow x_P^\mu = (x^0, -x^i)$

Scalar fields (cont.)

$\hat{P}: |P\rangle \rightarrow \eta_a^* |P_P\rangle$ where η_a^* is a complex phase

So $\hat{P} a^\dagger(p) |0\rangle = \eta_a^* a^\dagger(p_P) |0\rangle$ and since $\hat{P} P = \bar{1}$ and $\hat{P} |0\rangle = |0\rangle$,

$$\hat{P} a^\dagger(p) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_a^* a^\dagger(p_P)$$

and to conserve normalisation, $\hat{P} a^\dagger(p) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_a a(p_P)$.

Similarly, $\hat{P} c^\dagger(p) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_c^* c^\dagger(p_P)$ etc.

$$\text{Then, } \hat{P} \phi(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \sum_p \left[\hat{P} a(p) \hat{P}^{-1} e^{-ip \cdot x} + \hat{P} c^\dagger(p) \hat{P}^{-1} e^{+ip \cdot x} \right]$$

$$= \sum_p \left[\eta_a a(p_P) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \eta_c^* c^\dagger(p_P) e^{+ip \cdot x} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{relabel} \\ p_P \leftrightarrow p \end{array} \right] = \sum_{p_P} \left[\eta_a a(p) e^{-ip_P \cdot x} + \eta_c^* c^\dagger(p) e^{+ip_P \cdot x} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{use} \\ p_P \cdot x = p \cdot x_P \end{array} \right] = \sum_{p_P} \left[\eta_a a(p) e^{-ip \cdot x_P} + \eta_c^* c^\dagger(p) e^{+ip \cdot x_P} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{note} \\ \sum_{p_P} = \sum_p \end{array} \right] = \sum_p \left[\dots \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{This doesn't 'look like' } \phi(x_P) \text{ unless } \eta_a = \eta_c^* \equiv \eta_P. \\ \text{Also otherwise } [\phi(x), \hat{P} \phi^\dagger(y) \hat{P}^{-1}] \text{ would not vanish for spacelike } x-y_P. \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\hat{P} \phi(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_P \phi(x_P)$ where η_P is the intrinsic parity of $\phi(x)$.

For a real scalar field, $a=c$ and so $\eta_a = \eta_c$ and so $\eta_a = \eta_P = \eta_P^*$ i.e. $\eta_P = \pm 1$.
(scalar, pseudoscalar fields).

For a complex scalar, η_P may be complex but if there is an associated conserved charge Q , η_P can be related to Q [Weinberg, sec. 2.2 and 2.3].

Vector field

← polarisation vectors ($\lambda = -1, 0, 1$)

$$V^\mu(x) = \sum_{p, \lambda} \left[\epsilon^\mu(\lambda, p) a^\lambda(p) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \epsilon^{\mu*}(\lambda, p) c^{\dagger\lambda}(p) e^{+ip \cdot x} \right]$$

note that λ doesn't change under \hat{P}

Using similar steps to above

$$\hat{P} V^\mu(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \sum_p \left[\epsilon^\mu(\lambda, p_P) a^\lambda(p) e^{-ip \cdot x_P} \eta_a + \epsilon^{\mu*}(\lambda, p_P) c^{\dagger\lambda}(p) e^{+ip \cdot x_P} \eta_c^* \right]$$

Use $\epsilon^\mu(\lambda, p_P) = -P^\mu_\nu \epsilon^\nu(\lambda, p)$ (show this using explicit form for ϵ^μ and Lorentz transform),

$$\hat{P} V^\mu(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = -P^\mu_\nu \eta_P V^\nu(x_P) \quad \text{where for some reasons on above } \eta_P = \eta_a = \eta_c^*$$

• vectors have $\eta_P = -1$

• axial vectors have $\eta_P = 1$

Dirac field

Creation/annihilation operators behave like for scalar fields, and the spin component S_z is unchanged.

$$\hat{P} b^s(p) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_b b^s(p_p), \quad \hat{P} d^{st}(p) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_d^* d^{st}(p_p)$$

Then,

$$\hat{P} \psi(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \sum_{p,s} \left[\eta_b b^s(p_p) u^s(p) e^{-ip \cdot x} + \eta_d^* d^{st}(p) v^s(p) e^{ip \cdot x} \right]$$

$$[\text{or above}] = \sum_{p,s} \left[\eta_b b^s(p) u^s(p_p) e^{-ip \cdot x_p} + \eta_d^* d^{st}(p) v^s(p_p) e^{ip \cdot x_p} \right]$$

Use $u^s(p_p) = \gamma^0 u^s(p)$ and $v^s(p_p) = -\gamma^0 v^s(p)$, verify using Lorentz boosts in a particular rep.

$$= \gamma^0 \sum_{p,s} \left[\eta_b b^s(p) u^s(p) e^{-ip \cdot x_p} - \eta_d^* d^{st}(p) v^s(p) e^{ip \cdot x_p} \right]$$

Again, require $\eta_b = -\eta_d^* = \eta_p$ so that the anti-comm. ~~vanishes~~ $\{\bar{\psi}(x), \hat{P} \psi(y) \hat{P}^{-1}\}$ vanishes for spacel. $x-y$.

Therefore,

$$\psi_p(x) \equiv \hat{P} \psi(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_p \gamma^0 \psi(x_p)$$

$$\bar{\psi}_p(x) \equiv \hat{P} \bar{\psi}(x) \hat{P}^{-1} = \eta_p^* \bar{\psi}(x_p) \gamma^0$$

$$\text{Note, } \hat{P} \psi_R \hat{P}^{-1} = \gamma^0 \psi_R \eta_p$$

• if $\psi(x)$ satisfies Dirac eq, so does $\psi_p(x)$.

We can now determine how various fermion bilinears transform.

$$\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \longrightarrow \bar{\psi}(x_p) \psi(x_p) \quad \text{scalar.}$$