

3.2 Conditional independence graphs

Defⁿ X, Y, Z with joint density p_{XYZ} . Say X is conditionally independent of Y given Z and write $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ if

$$f_{XY \mid Z}(x, y \mid z) = f_{X \mid Z}(x \mid z) f_{Y \mid Z}(y \mid z) \quad \forall x, y, z \text{ s.t. } f_Z(z) > 0$$

or equivalently if

$$f_{X \mid Y, Z}(x \mid y, z) = f_{X \mid Z}(x \mid z).$$

Here graph G will be an undirected graph.

Reminder of notation $_{-j, l}$ in subscripts means $\{1, \dots, p\} \setminus \{j, l\}$

Let P be the distribution of $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_p)^T$.

Defⁿ Say P satisfies the pairwise Markov property w.r.t G if for any $j, l \in V$ with $j \neq l$ and $(j, l), (l, j) \notin E$, we have

$$Z_l \perp\!\!\!\perp Z_j \mid Z_{-j, l}$$

The minimal graph satisfying the pairwise Markov property is called the conditional independence graph (CIG) for P .

Defⁿ P satisfies the global Markov property w.r.t G if for any triple (A, B, S) of disjoint subsets of V s.t. S separates A from B , then

$$Z_A \perp\!\!\!\perp Z_B \mid Z_S.$$

Prop 2.9 If P has a positive density then if it satisfies the pairwise Markov property w.r.t. G then it also satisfies the global Markov property w.r.t. G .

3.3.1 Normal conditionals

Let $Z \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ with Σ p.d. (NB $\Sigma_{A, A}$ is p.d. $\forall A$)

Notation: if $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $A, B \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$

then $M_{A, B}$ will be the $|A| \times |B|$ submatrix of M

taking those rows and cols of M indexed by A and B respectively.

Prop 30 Let A and B be disjoint

$$Z_A | Z_B = z_B \sim N_{|A|}(\mu_A + \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} (z_B - \mu_B), \\ \Sigma_{A,A} - \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B,A})$$

Proof: Idea: Write $Z_A = M Z_B + (Z_A - M Z_B)$ where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{|A| \times |B|}$ is s.t.

$$Z_A - M Z_B \perp\!\!\!\perp Z_B \quad \text{i.e. s.t.}$$

$$\text{Cov}(Z_A - M Z_B, Z_B) = \Sigma_{A,B} - M \Sigma_{B,B} = 0$$

So take $M = \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1}$. Then $Z_A - M Z_B | Z_B \stackrel{d}{=} Z_A - M Z_B$.

$$\text{Now } E(Z_A - M Z_B) = \mu_A - \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \mu_B$$

$$\text{Var}(Z_A - M Z_B) = \Sigma_{A,A} + \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B,A} - 2 \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B,A} \\ = \Sigma_{A,A} - \Sigma_{A,B} \Sigma_{B,B}^{-1} \Sigma_{B,A} \quad \square$$

3.3.2 Naïve regression

Specifying $A = \{k\}$, $B = A^c$, conditioning on Z_{-k}

$$Z_k = \mu_k + Z_{-k}^T \Sigma_{-k,-k}^{-1} \Sigma_{-k,k} + \varepsilon_k$$

$$\text{where } \mu_k = \mu_k - \mu_{-k}^T \Sigma_{-k,-k}^{-1} \Sigma_{-k,k}$$

$$\varepsilon_k | Z_{-k} \sim N(0, \Sigma_{k,k} - \Sigma_{k,-k} \Sigma_{-k,-k}^{-1} \Sigma_{-k,k})$$

If j^{th} component of $\Sigma_{-k,-k}^{-1} \Sigma_{-k,k}$ is 0, then the distribution of $Z_k | Z_{-k}$ will not depend on j^{th} component of Z_{-k} , say Z_{j^*} .

$$\text{Thus } Z_k | Z_{-k} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_k | Z_{-k,j^*}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Z_k \perp\!\!\!\perp Z_{j^*} | Z_{-j^*}$$

Given $x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} Z$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{pmatrix}$, may estimate $\Sigma_{-k,-k}^{-1} \Sigma_{-k,k}$ by regressing X_k on X_{-k} with an intercept included, using the Lasso.

Neighbourhood selection (Margaritis, ... 2006) does this for each variable to obtain selected sets \hat{S}_k .

Two ways of estimating CIG.

OR rule: add an edge $(k, j), (j, k)$ if $k \in \hat{S}_j$ or $j \in \hat{S}_k$

AND rule: ... and ...

3.3.3 The precision matrix and conditional independence

Prop 31 Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ symmetric, p.d. and write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q^T \\ Q & R \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } P \text{ and } R \text{ are square.}$$

The Schur complement of R is $P - Q^T R^{-1} Q = S$

- S is p.d.

- $M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S^{-1} & -S^{-1} Q^T R^{-1} \\ -R^{-1} Q S^{-1} & R^{-1} + R^{-1} Q S^{-1} Q^T R^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

- $\det M = \det S \det R$