Prop 21

i) Lasso solutions exist

i) X Px is unique

Proof

i) Provided 1 >0

inf 
$$Q_{\lambda}(\beta)$$
  $\geq \frac{1}{2n} \|Y\|_{2}^{2} = Q_{\lambda}(0)$   
 $\beta: \lambda \|\beta\|_{1} > \frac{1}{2n} \|Y\|_{2}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow \inf_{\beta: \lambda \|\beta\|_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2n} \|Y\|_{2}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow \inf_{\beta: \lambda \|\beta\|_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2n} \|Y\|_{2}^{2}$   
 $\Rightarrow \inf_{\beta: \lambda \|\beta\|_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2n} \|Y\|_{2}^{2}$ 

But at (\*) we are minimorning the che for Qx over a closed bounded set, so a uninimizer must except.

u) Fix  $\lambda \geq 0$  and suppose  $\hat{\beta}^{(1)}$  and  $\hat{\beta}^{(2)}$  on two Lerro solutions with  $Q_{\lambda}(\hat{\beta}^{(1)}) = Q_{\lambda}(\hat{\beta}^{(2)}) = c^*$ .

By street conversely 11. 1/2,

 $\|\frac{1}{2}(Y-X\hat{\beta}^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2}(Y-X\hat{\beta}^{(2)})\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|Y-X\hat{\beta}^{(1)}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|Y-X\hat{\beta}^{(2)}\|_{2}^{2}$  with equality iff  $X\hat{\beta}^{(1)} = X\hat{\beta}^{(2)}$ .

 $c^* \leq Q_{\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\beta}^{(2)} \right)$ 

 $\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \| y - \hat{x} \hat{\beta}^{(1)} \|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \| y - \hat{x} \hat{\beta}^{(2)} \|_{2}^{2} \right) + \lambda \| \frac{1}{2} \hat{\beta}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\beta}^{(2)} \|_{2}^{2}$  (\*)

 $\leq \frac{1}{2} Q_{\lambda}(\hat{\beta}^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{\lambda}(\hat{\beta}^{(2)}) = c^*$ 

Therefore, we want how equality at (t), so  $\times \hat{\beta}^{(1)} = \times \hat{\beta}^{(2)}$ .  $\square$ 

Define the agricorvelation set Êx to be the set of variables k 1.t.

 $\frac{1}{n} | \times_{k}^{T} ( y - \times_{\hat{S}_{\lambda}^{L}}) | = \lambda$ 

This is well-defined as it only depends on the (unique) fetted vals. By the KKT conditions, Ex contains the set of non-zeroes of all Lamo rodations (at ).

If ronk 
$$(Xe_{\lambda}) = |\hat{E}_{\lambda}|$$
, then the Losso solar is unique?

$$Xe_{\lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{e}_{\lambda}^{(2)} - \hat{e}_{\lambda}^{(2)}) = 7 \hat{e}_{\lambda}^{(2)} = \hat{e}_{\lambda}^{(2)} = ) \hat{\beta}^{(2)} = \hat{\beta}^{(2)}$$

$$2.2.5 \text{ Variable relation}$$

Noincless linear model  $Y = X_{\beta}^{(2)}$ 

$$5 = \{k : p_{k}^{(2)} \neq 0\} = \{1, ..., e\}$$

$$N = \{1, ..., p_{k}^{(2)} \}$$

Assume varia  $(Xs) = s$ .

Thus  $14$ 

Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\Delta = X_{\beta}^{-1} X_{\beta}^{-1} (X_{\beta}^{-1} X_{\beta}^{-1} X_{\beta}^{-1}$ 

Then II Allow & I as Il sign low & I

λ h x λ x x ( h x x x x ) - 1 agu ( s s ) = λ û ν

Now (i). Try  $(\hat{\beta}_{5}, \hat{\beta}_{N}) = (\beta_{5}^{\circ} - \lambda(\frac{1}{N}X_{5}^{T}X_{5})^{-1}sgn(\beta_{5}^{\circ}), 0)$   $(\hat{\nu}_{5}, \hat{\nu}_{N}) = (sgn(\beta_{5}^{\circ}), \Delta)$ Only need to check  $sgn(\hat{\beta}_{5}) = sgn(\beta_{5}^{\circ})$ , but they follows from (\*).

## 2.2.6 Prediction estimation

Now consider  $Y = X\beta^0 + \xi - 1\bar{z}$  where  $\xi$ ; are independent mean-zero sub-boundar with parameter  $\sigma$ .

Let S, s, N be defined as before

Define  $\phi^2 = inf$   $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p : \|\beta_N\|_1 \le 3\|\beta_S\|_1$   $\|\beta_S\|_1 \ne 0$   $\frac{1}{5}\|\beta_S\|_1^2$ 

where compatibility factor  $\phi \ge 0$ . The compatibility condition is that  $\phi > 0$ .