

1.4.2 Reproducing kernel Hilbert space

Theorem 5 For every kernel k , \exists a feature map ϕ taking values in some inner product space \mathcal{H} ,
s.t. $k(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof Take \mathcal{H} to be the vector space of functions of the form

$$f(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i) \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Define feature map: $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$
 $x \mapsto k(\cdot, x)$

Define an inner product between f and $g(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j k(\cdot, x_j)$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \beta_j k(x_i, x_j)$$

This is well-defined. Indeed

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j f(x_j)$$

The first equality shows that the inner product does not depend on the particular representation of g and the second equality shows the same for f .
 \therefore inner-product is well-defined \checkmark .

Observe that $\langle k(\cdot, x), f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x_i, x) = f(x) \quad (*)$

In particular, $\langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle = \langle k(\cdot, x), k(\cdot, x') \rangle = k(x, x') \quad \checkmark$

It remains to show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product.

Symmetry \checkmark

Linearity \checkmark

Note $\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_j \geq 0$ (as k is positive definite) $\checkmark \quad (+)$

We want to show that $\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

From $(*)$ have $f(x)^2 = \langle k(\cdot, x), f \rangle^2$

\Uparrow If we could use C-S inequality on the RHS, we'd have

$$f(x)^2 \leq \langle k(\cdot, x), k(\cdot, x) \rangle \langle f, f \rangle$$

Idea: show that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a kernel and use the C-S inequality for kernels

Given, $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{H}$ and coeffs $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{R}$, have

$$\sum_{i,j} \gamma_i \langle f_i, f_j \rangle \gamma_j \stackrel{(*)}{=} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i f_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j f_j \right\rangle \geq 0$$

Thus, we can use the C-S inequality for kernels (Prop 2) to show $\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = 0 \forall x$. \square

Functional analysis facts

Let $(\mathcal{B}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space.

The inner product induces a norm: for $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 := \langle f, f \rangle$.

A Cauchy sequence $(f_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $\|f_m - f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

A space where every Cauchy sequence converges to a limit in the space is called complete. A complete inner product space is a Hilbert space.

A subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ is closed if $\forall (f_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in V$ with $f_m \rightarrow f \in \mathcal{B}$, have $f \in V$.

Fact If V is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space \mathcal{B} then each $f \in \mathcal{B}$ may be decomposed as $f = u + v$ where $u \in V$, and

$$v \in V^{\perp} := \{w \in \mathcal{B} : \langle w, u \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in V\}.$$

Consider space \mathcal{X} from theorem 5 and let $(f_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} .

Then $f_m(x) - f_n(x) = \langle k(\cdot, x), f_m - f_n \rangle$ so by C-S inequality

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \sqrt{k(x, x)} \|f_m - f_n\|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

Thus $(f_n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$, so we may define $f^*(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$.

One can show that by adding all such f^* to \mathcal{X} we can complete \mathcal{X} , i.e. make it a Hilbert space.

In fact, \mathcal{X} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

Def 2 A Hilbert space \mathcal{B} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) if

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \quad \exists k_x \in \mathcal{B} : f(x) = \langle k_x, f \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{B}.$$

The function $k: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$(x, x') \mapsto \langle k_x, k_{x'} \rangle$ is known as the reproducing kernel of \mathcal{B} .

Note that $\langle k_x, k_{x'} \rangle = k_{x'}(x)$, so $k(\cdot, x') = \langle k_{x'}(\cdot), \cdot \rangle = k_{x'}(\cdot)$.

After adding pointwise limits to \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H} is an RKHS with k as the reproducing kernel.

In fact, \mathcal{H} is the unique RKHS with k as its reproducing kernel.