1.7 Large-scale hund muchines

When n is large forming based matrix KERNXU and inswiting K+AI (inthe core of KRR) may be too computationally intensive. Furthermore, the fitted agreer an function is a num over n terms

The evaluating f(x) at a new obs x would typically negetial O(n) computations. One approach to tackle there difficulties is to develop a random map $\partial:X\to\mathbb{R}^b$ (b small) s.t. $\mathbb{E} \hat{\phi}(x)^T \hat{\phi}(x') = k(x,x') \ \forall \ x,x' \in X$.

to uncount the quality of the approximation, we can use $x \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} (\hat{\phi}_1(x), \dots, \hat{\phi}_L(x))$

iid and $\hat{\phi}$, $(x) = \hat{\phi}(x) \ \forall x \in X$

Let $\overline{\Phi}$ be the matrix with ith row $\overline{\psi}(\widehat{\phi}_i(x_i),...,\widehat{\phi}_k(x_i))$. When approximate KRR with bund be, can unstand preform a 'regular' vidge regression on $\overline{\Phi}$.

$$\hat{\theta} = \left(\underbrace{\Phi^{T}\Phi + \lambda I}\right)^{-1}\Phi^{T}Y \qquad \mathcal{O}(n LLb)^{2} + (Lb)^{3}$$

$$Lb \times Lb \text{ matrix}$$

To predict at a new x, compute

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}(\hat{\phi}_{i}(x), \dots, \hat{\phi}_{L}(x))\hat{\theta} \qquad O(Lb)$$

Rachami & Recht (2007) propose a method for shift-invariant bunds, i.e. busuels $k(x,x') = h(x-x') \ \forall \ x, \ x' \in X = \mathbb{R}^p$, some $h: \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$

Theorem 8 (Bochman's Hum)

Let h: RPx RP -> R be a continuos bound. Then k is shift-invariant off

$$\mathcal{M}k(x,x') = c E e^{i(x-x')TW} = c E cos((x-x')^TW)$$

lden: Find $\hat{\varphi}$ (depending on W) 1, t. $\hat{\varphi}(x)$ $\hat{\varphi}(x') = (\alpha x(x-x')^T W)$ (b=1)

Let $u \sim U[-\pi, \pi]$ and take $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then E cos(x+u) cos(y+u) = E(cosx cosu-rinx rinu)(cosy cosu - riny rinu) Now $u \stackrel{d}{=} - u$, ∞ $E \stackrel{cos}{=} u \sin u = E cos(-u) \sin(-u) = -E cos(u) \sin(u) = 0$ $\cos^2 u + \sin^2 u = 1$, $E \cos^2 u = E \sin^2 u = \frac{1}{2}$ 2 E ces(x+u) cos(y+u) = cesx cosy + rinx riny = ces(x-y) briven a shift invariant le and associated distribution F, let WarF and u~VETT, TI independently. Set $\phi(x) = \sqrt{2}C \cos(w^Tx + u)$ Then $\not\in \hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\varphi}(x') = 2c \not\in \left[\not\in \left[\cos(w^Tx + u) \cos(w^Tx' + u) \right] \right]$ = $2c \in \omega(W(x-x')) = L(x,x')$ For example, take $k(x,x') = \exp\left(\frac{||x-x'||_2^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$. Note if $W \sim N_p(0,\sigma^2 I)$, then $E e^{itW} = e^{-||t||_2^2/(2\sigma^2)}, \text{ so we can take } \hat{\varphi}(x) = \sqrt{2} \cos(W^T x + u)$ Chapter 2 The Lasso and beyond 2.1 Model relection Consider the linear model Y = XBO+E, EE=0, Var (E) = 02 I. Let $S = \{k : (3k \neq 0)\}$ and s = |S|. In high-dim settings this is after reason to believe $s \ll p$. First consider the low-dim setting where X has full col rank. The MSP E OLS: 1 E ||XB° - XB° LS ||2 = 1 E (B° LS - B°) X TX (B° LS - B°) = = = +{ (ô ou - -) (ô ou - -) T X T X 5 $= \frac{1}{h} tr \left(\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X\right)$ = 520

If we could find 5 and perform OLS just on Xs (mbmostrix of X permed from Hore coll of X indexed by s), we could achieve on MSPE of $\frac{20}{10}$ $\frac{5^26}{10}$.

Best subsets (an order regioning Y on Xm for every M \subseteq S1, --, P $\stackrel{?}{=}$ (M $\stackrel{?}{=}$ $\stackrel{?}{=}$). Proposed for pick the best model via CV. In familiar for p > 50.

Torward whether 1. Start by fithing the intrucept only model. 2. Add to the current model, the size exceeds some M. predictor that the PSS by largest amount.