

# HW2: Molecular Biophysics

Jake Bergquist, u6010393

November 12, 2019

## 1 Q1

**a:** First we define the three kinetic expressions in terms of the binding and unbinding constants and the substrates and products that contribute to or detract from their current concentrations. These are shown below.

$$\frac{dC_1}{dt} = R_1 L k_{f1} + C_3 k_{ul} - C_1 k_{r1} - C_1 X k_{c1}$$

$$\frac{dC_2}{dt} = R_1 X k_{c2} + C_3 k_{r2} - C_2 k_{u2} - C_2 L k_{f2}$$

$$\frac{dC_3}{dt} = C_1 X k_{c1} + C_2 L k_{f2} - C_3 k_{r2} - C_3 k_{ul}$$

Next we establish the following relationships:

$$R_1 = R_T - C_1 - C_2 - C_3$$

$$X = X_T - C_2 - C_3$$

By Substituting these relationships in for  $R_1$  and  $X$  we achieve our final results.

$$\frac{dC_1}{dt} = (R_T - C_1 - C_2 - C_3) L k_{f1} + C_3 k_{ul} - C_1 k_{r1} - C_1 (X_T - C_2 - C_3) k_{c1}$$

$$\frac{dC_2}{dt} = (R_T - C_1 - C_2 - C_3) (X_T - C_2 - C_3) k_{c2} + C_3 k_{r2} - C_2 k_{u2} - C_2 L k_{f2}$$

$$\frac{dC_3}{dt} = C_1 (X_T - C_2 - C_3) k_{c1} + C_2 L k_{f2} - C_3 k_{r2} - C_3 k_{ul}$$

**b:** First we establish the following relationships:

$$C_1 = R_1 L k_1$$

$$C_2 = R_1 X k_2$$

$$C_3 = C_1 X k_3$$

$$C_3 = C_2 L k_4$$

Then we can construct the binding function. We substitute out any terms that do not include  $R_1$ .

$$R_1 Q = R_1 + C_1 + C_2 + C_3$$

$$R_1 Q = R_1 + R_1 L k_1 + R_1 X k_2 + C_1 X k_3$$

$$R_1 Q = R_1 + R_1 L k_1 + R_1 X k_2 + R_1 L k_1 X k_3$$

$$Q = 1 + L k_1 + X k_2 + L k_1 X k_3$$

By factoring out  $R_1$  we get the binding polynomial  $Q$ . In order to obtain the mentioned analytical solution we would need to establish 6 equations to solve for the 6 unknowns. To do so we would use the 3 kinetic equations established in part a, and add one equation for the change in  $R_1$ , as well as one for  $X$  and one for  $L$ . This would give us the 6 required equations that describe the change in each species concentration over time. With this we can solve for the number of bound ligands as a function of ligand concentration.

## 2 Q2

**a:** First we use the relationship below which can be rearranged as shown:

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln(K)$$

$$K = e^{-\frac{\Delta G}{RT}}$$

Next we plug in known values and solve for K, which is unitless:

$$K = e^{-\frac{\Delta G}{RT}} = e^{-\frac{-3.9 \text{ kcal/mol}}{1.987 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kcal/molK} \times 300 \text{ K}}} = e^{6.5425} = 694.037$$

**b:** If we go around in a clockwise circle starting at U we get the following relationships for free energy changes (note that when we went against the direction of an arrow we had to take the negative of the free energy for that reaction) We then can substitute in according to the relationship shown in part A, and divide out the  $-RT$  term from each expression. This leaves us with logarithms which can be combined in order to solve for  $B_u$  as shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G_{U \rightarrow H} + \Delta G_{H \rightarrow HX} - \Delta G_{UX \rightarrow HX} - \Delta G_{U \rightarrow UX} &= 0 \\ (-RT \ln(K)) + (-RT \ln(B_h)) - (-RT \ln(K_0)) - (-RT \ln(B_u)) &= 0 \\ \ln(K) + \ln(B_h) - \ln(K_0) - \ln(B_u) &= 0 \\ \ln(K) + \ln(B_h) - \ln(K_0) &= \ln(B_u) \\ \ln(B_u) &= \ln\left(\frac{KB_h}{K_0}\right) \\ B_u &= \frac{KB_h}{K_0} = \frac{694.037 \times 10}{1} = 6940.37 \end{aligned}$$

**c:** We want an expression in the following form:

$$f(X) = \frac{U+UX}{U+UX+H+HX}$$

We also have the following relationships to work with:

$$B_u = \frac{UX}{U \cdot X}$$

$$B_h = \frac{HX}{H \cdot X}$$

$$K = \frac{H}{U}$$

$$K_0 = \frac{HX}{UX}$$

By substituting into the desired equation and such that every term has a  $U$  term, then canceling out the  $U$  term we get the desired relationship in terms of the rate constants  $B_u, k, B_h$ , and  $X$ .

$$f(X) = \frac{U+UX}{U+UX+H+HX} = \frac{U+B_u \cdot X \cdot U}{U+B_u \cdot X \cdot U + K \cdot U + B_h \cdot H \cdot X} = \frac{U+B_u \cdot X \cdot U}{U+B_u \cdot X \cdot U + K \cdot U + B_h \cdot K \cdot U \cdot X} = \frac{1+B_u \cdot X}{1+B_u \cdot X + K + B_h \cdot K \cdot X}$$

**d:** Using the equation from part c we can plug in 1/2 for the the  $F_u(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2 &= \frac{1+B_u \cdot X}{1+B_u \cdot X + K + B_h \cdot K \cdot X} \\ 1/2 &= \frac{1+6940.37 \cdot X}{1+6940.37 \cdot X + 694.037 + 0.1 \cdot 694.037 \cdot X} \\ 1 + 6940.37 \cdot X + 694.037 + 0.1 \cdot 694.037 \cdot X &= 2(1 + 6940.37 \cdot X) \\ X(6940.37 + 0.1 \cdot 694.037 - 2 \cdot 6940.37) &= 2 - 1 - 694.37 \end{aligned}$$

$$X = 0.1009$$

### 3 Q3

**a:** This is graph 4 because we see that the X-intercept, which describes the binding constant, has not changed, but the slope and Y intercept have changed indicating a change in rate. This is indicative of a noncompetitive inhibitor, as the constant is unaffected but the maximum rate is reduced.

**b:** This is graph 2 because we see equal behavior of the two curves as would be expected with a symmetric carrier. Both reactions behave the exact same way thus the two curves should match each other.

### 4 Q4

**i:** This value for a monovalent salt solution can be looked up, and in the lecture slides it is listed as  $21.5\text{\AA}$ .

**ii:** According to the relationship  $\phi_0 = \phi(x)e^{\kappa x}$  we can solve for the surface potential.

$$\phi(3nm) = 40mV$$

$$x = 3nm = 30\text{\AA}$$

$$1/\kappa = 21.5\text{\AA}$$

$$\kappa = 0.0471/\text{\AA}$$

$$\phi_0 = 40mve^{0.047 \cdot 30\text{\AA}/\text{\AA}} = 163.84mV$$

We can then use the value of  $KT = 25.7meV$ .  $1mV = 1meV/e$  thus if we divide by  $RT$  and multiply by  $e$  we get the answer in terms of  $KT/e$  which makes the value unitless.

$$163.84mV * \frac{e}{KT} = 163.84mV * \frac{4.36 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{coulomb}}{25.7meV} = 2.77 * 10^{-18} \frac{e}{KT}$$

**iii:** The following equation is used to solve for  $\sigma$ :

$$\frac{\sigma}{e} = \frac{\kappa \phi_0 e}{4\pi L_b KT}$$

$$L_b = 7.13\text{\AA}$$

We know that we can use our answer from part ii in order to sub in the value of  $\frac{\phi_0 e}{KT} = \phi_0^*$ .

Thus when we multiply the  $e$  over we get sigma in units of coulombs per  $\text{\AA}^2$   $\sigma = \frac{\kappa \phi_0^* e}{4\pi L_b} =$

$$\frac{0.047(1/\text{\AA}) * 2.77 * 10^{-18} * 4.36 * 10^{-19} \text{coulomb}}{4 * \pi * 7.13\text{\AA}} = 6.33 * 10^{-40} \text{coulomb}/\text{\AA}^2$$

### 5 Q5

**a:** We use the following equation:

$$pK_{app}(x) = pK_a(\infty) - \frac{0.4343 e\phi(x)}{KT}$$

There is also the following relationship we can use to assess the charge around the protein according to:

$$\frac{e\phi_0(x)}{KT} = \frac{z*L_b}{a}$$

Thus we can plug this into the first equation to assess an apparent pKa for each of these residues on the protein.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aspartic Acid} &= 4 + \frac{0.4343*z*L_b}{a} = 4 - \frac{0.4343*20*7.13}{20} = 7.10 \\ \text{Lysine} &= 11 - \frac{0.4343*z*L_b}{a} = 11 - \frac{0.4343*20*7.13}{20} = 7.90 \end{aligned}$$

**b:** We use the following relationships which define the concentrations of the different ions at different locations:

$$H^+(x) = H_{bulk}^+ e^{-\frac{e\phi(x)}{KT}}$$

$$Ac^-(x) = Ac_{bulk}^- e^{+\frac{e\phi(x)}{KT}}$$

$$K_a(x) = \frac{H^+(x)*Ac^-(x)}{HAC_{bulk}} = \frac{H_{bulk}^+ e^{-\frac{e\phi(x)}{KT}} * Ac_{bulk}^- e^{+\frac{e\phi(x)}{KT}}}{HAC_{bulk}} = \frac{H_{bulk}^+ * Ac_{bulk}^-}{HAC_{bulk}}$$

This shows us that the pH does not vary in solution as the different ions are free to diffuse, as opposed to the fixed amino acids which cannot freely diffuse.