

# Sales Engineer - Penetration Testing Technical Guide

---



## Technical Fundamentals

### Vulnerability Prevalence

- **94%** apps have broken access control (A01:2021)<sup>[1]</sup>
- **75%** mobile apps contain vulnerabilities<sup>[2]</sup>
- **95%** organizations have API security issues<sup>[3]</sup>
- **10-15** exploitable findings from 100-200 scan results<sup>[4]</sup>

### OWASP Top 10 Quick Reference

Category	Business Impact	Our Solution
<b>Broken Access Control</b>	Data breach, privilege escalation	IAM, Zero Trust
<b>Cryptographic Failures</b>	Data exposure, compliance fail	Encryption, HSM
<b>Injection</b>	Database compromise	WAF, Input validation
<b>Insecure Design</b>	Unfixable by implementation	Secure SDLC
<b>Misconfiguration</b>	Cloud exposure, breach	CSPM, Hardening



## Testing Methodologies

### OWASP WSTG<sup>[5]</sup>

- PCI DSS 4.0 requirement
- Application focus
- Industry standard

### NIST SP 800-115<sup>[6,7]</sup>

- Federal/regulated required
- 4 phases: Plan→Discover→Attack→Report
- Audit-ready format

## PTES<sup>[8]</sup>

- 7 comprehensive phases
- Professional grade
- Vendor-agnostic



## Testing Types - Technical Details

### Web Application Testing

- **Scope:** OWASP Top 10, business logic, authentication
- **Tools:** Burp Suite (£399/yr), OWASP ZAP (free)
- **Duration:** 7-10 days active testing
- **Output:** 10+ manual findings typical

### Mobile Testing

- **iOS:** Secure Enclave, sandboxing, sideloading risks<sup>[9]</sup>
- **Android:** Fragmentation, 3rd party stores<sup>[10]</sup>
- **Tools:** MobSF, Frida, Charles Proxy
- **Focus:** API security, data storage, platform-specific

### Network Testing

- **External:** Perimeter, exposed services
- **Internal:** Lateral movement, segmentation
- **Tools:** Nmap, Metasploit, Nessus
- **Timing:** 1-2 weeks per environment

### API Testing

- **OWASP API Top 10:** BOLA, authentication, rate limiting<sup>[11]</sup>
- **Tools:** Postman, Burp, custom scripts
- **Issues:** 10x more data exposed than web breaches<sup>[12]</sup>



## Technical Approach Comparison

Approach	Info Provided	Coverage	Authenticity	Cost
----------	---------------	----------	--------------	------

Approach	Info Provided	Coverage	Authenticity	Cost
Black Box	None	Limited	Maximum	High
Gray Box	User access	Balanced	Good	Medium
White Box	Everything	Maximum	Limited	Low/scan

**Key:** 66% prefer Gray Box for balance<sup>[13]</sup>



## Tool Categories & Leaders

### Commercial (28% organizations use none)<sup>[14]</sup>

- **Burp Suite Pro:** Web app gold standard
- **Nessus:** 60K+ vuln signatures
- **Metasploit Pro:** 2,300+ exploits
- **Checkmarx/Veracode:** SAST integration

### Open Source (72% rely on these)<sup>[14]</sup>

- **OWASP ZAP:** Free Burp alternative
- **Nmap:** 100% of network tests
- **SQLMap:** Database testing
- **MobSF:** Mobile all-in-one

### PTaaS Trends

- Market: £118M→£301B by 2029<sup>[15,16]</sup>
- Benefits: 31% cost reduction, 96% higher ROI<sup>[16]</sup>
- Limits: No business logic, needs manual supplement



## Quality Indicators

### High-Quality Test Markers

- ✓ Manual validation (not just scan)
- ✓ Business logic testing
- ✓ Exploitation evidence (PoC)

- ✓ CVSS + business context
- ✓ Detailed remediation steps
- ✓ Compliance mapping

## Red Flags

- ✗ Scanner output only
- ✗ No manual findings
- ✗ Generic recommendations
- ✗ Missing evidence/PoC
- ✗ No retest included

## Finding-to-Solution Mapping

Finding Type	Technical Detail	Our Solution
SQL Injection	DB query manipulation	WAF rules, parameterized queries
XSS	Script injection	CSP headers, output encoding
Broken Auth	Session/password issues	MFA, SSO, session management
API Abuse	Rate limiting, BOLA	API Gateway, throttling
Misconfig	Default settings, exposure	CSPM, hardening guides

## Scoping Checklist

- ☐ Number of applications/APIs/IPs
- ☐ Authentication requirements
- ☐ Testing window constraints
- ☐ Production vs staging
- ☐ Data sensitivity levels
- ☐ Compliance requirements
- ☐ Previous findings to verify

## Advanced Topics

### Business Logic Examples

- Price manipulation (negative quantities)
- Workflow bypass (skip payment)
- Race conditions (double-spend)
- Authorization flaws (IDOR)<sup>[17,18]</sup>

### Purple Teaming Value

- 30-40% detection improvement<sup>[19]</sup>
- Real-time blue/red collaboration
- 150-200% Year 1 ROI<sup>[19]</sup>
- MITRE ATT&CK aligned

### Certifications That Matter

- **OSCP**: Gold standard, hands-on<sup>[20]</sup>
- **GWAPT**: Web app specialist
- **GPEN**: Network focus
- **CEH**: Entry level, DoD approved

## Technical Differentiators

1. Manual validation beyond scanning
2. Business logic focus
3. Chained exploit demonstration
4. Platform-specific expertise
5. Remediation verification included

## Demo Talking Points

- Show scan vs manual finding comparison
- Explain false positive reduction
- Demo exploitation path (safely)
- Map findings to compliance
- Calculate specific customer ROI

---

## References

1. [OWASP Top Ten Project](#)
2. [Build38 - Mobile App Security Statistics 2024](#)
3. [Salt Security - API Breaches 2024](#)
4. Industry analysis of vulnerability scanning vs. penetration testing
5. [OWASP Web Security Testing Guide](#)
6. [NIST SP 800-115](#)
7. [RSI Security - NIST Penetration Testing](#)
8. [Penetration Testing Execution Standard](#)
9. [McAfee - iOS vs Android Security](#)
10. [Promon - Android vs iOS Security](#)
11. [OWASP API Security Top 10 2023](#)
12. [Salt Security - Gartner Market Guide](#)
13. [TestDevLab - Box Testing Types](#)
14. [Astra Security - Penetration Testing Statistics](#)
15. [Markets and Markets - PTaaS Market](#)
16. [BreachLock - CISO's Guide to PTaaS](#)
17. [Qwint AI - Business Logic Testing](#)
18. [OWASP - Business Logic Vulnerability](#)
19. Industry reports on purple teaming effectiveness
20. Offensive Security certification requirements