PHY454 Problem Set 1

Friday, February 3rd, 2023 Jace Alloway - 1006940802

Problem 1

In the first problem, we determine the pressure in the core of a planet by applying the expression for hydrostatic balance, given by $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}=-\rho g$. In the case of a planet with radius R and uniform density ρ , with variable gravity as a function of depth (or radius), our expression becomes $\frac{\partial P}{\partial r}=-\rho g(r)$.

One may recall that the expression for gravitational strength in the presence of a uniform mass distribution in a planet, as a function of the radius, is $g(r)=\frac{Gm(r)}{r^2}$. For a uniform density ρ , the mass distribution is directly proportional to r^3 , due to the volume of the enclosed mass inside a sphere: $m(r)=\frac{4\pi}{3}\rho r^3$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}G\rho^2 r,$$

which is the equation we wish to solve. We can do this by integrating both sides from the center of the planet (r=0) to its radius (r=R):

$$\int_0^R \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} dr = -\frac{4\pi}{3} G \rho^2 \int_0^R r dr$$

$$\implies P(R) - P(0) = -\frac{2\pi}{3} G \rho^2 r^2 \Big|_0^R$$

$$\implies P(0) = \frac{2\pi}{3} G \rho^2 R^2 - P(R),$$

which is thus the expression for the pressure at the center of the planet.

In the case that we assume P(R)=0 (no atmosphere), then we just obtain that $P(0)=\frac{2\pi}{3}G\rho^2R^2$. For earth, however, the pressure at sea level exerted by the atmosphere is $1013.25\,\mathrm{mb}$, which is equivalent to $P(R_\mathrm{earth})=101325\,\mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$ by a simple unit conversion. Furthermore, assuming earth's density is uniformly $\rho=5500\,\mathrm{kgm}^{-3}$ with $R=6378100\,\mathrm{m}^2$, we obtain the pressure inside the core of earth:

$$\begin{split} P_{\text{center}} &= \frac{2\pi}{3} (6.67 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{kg}^{-1} \, \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-2}) (5500 \, \text{kgm}^{-3})^2 (6378100 \, \text{m})^2 \\ &= 1.719065699 \times 10^{11} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-2} \text{kg}^2 \text{m}^{-6} \text{m}^2 \\ &\approx 1.719 \times 10^{11} \, \text{kg} \, \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2} \\ &= 1.719 \times 10^{11} \, \text{N} \, \text{m}^{-2}, \end{split}$$

as desired.

(a) In this problem, we evaluate calculus identities using index notation. For the sake of clarity, instead of using $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,x_2,x_3)$ as the vector, I will use $\mathbf{v}=(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ to avoid confusion with spatial coordinates (x_1,x_2,x_3) . I am doing this to generalize cases that vector components may depend on spatial coordinates. The Einstein summation convention is assumed.

(i)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_i} \cdot \mathbf{e_j}$$

$$= \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \delta_{ij}$$

$$= \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i}$$

$$= \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_3}$$

(ii)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{v} = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_3} \right) \, \mathbf{e_1}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_1} \right) \, \mathbf{e_2}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} \right) \, \mathbf{e_3}$$

(iii)

$$\nabla \mathbf{v} = \nabla \otimes \mathbf{v}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_i} \otimes \mathbf{e_j}$$

$$= \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_i} \mathbf{e_j}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} v_1 & \partial_{x_1} v_2 & \partial_{x_1} v_3 \\ \partial_{x_2} v_1 & \partial_{x_2} v_2 & \partial_{x_2} v_3 \\ \partial_{x_3} v_1 & \partial_{x_3} v_2 & \partial_{x_3} v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(iv)

$$\nabla(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \nabla(v_i v_j \, \mathbf{e_i} \cdot \mathbf{e_j})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} v_i v_j \delta_{ij} \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} v_i + \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} v_i\right) \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$=2(\nabla \mathbf{v})\cdot \mathbf{v}$$

(v)

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \mathbf{e_i} \cdot \mathbf{e_j}\right) \mathbf{v}$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \delta_{ij} v_k \mathbf{e_k}$$
$$= \frac{\partial^2 v_k}{\partial x_i^2} \mathbf{e_k}$$

where it is assumed to sum over i as well, since $\partial x_i^2 = \partial x_i \partial x_i$ is repeated over i. This expression is equivalent to

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = (\nabla^2 v_1, \, \nabla^2 v_2, \, \nabla^2 v_3).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) &= \nabla \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} \right) - \nabla \times \left(\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_j} - \left[\epsilon_{\ell m n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \left(\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_k} \cdot \mathbf{e_m} \right) \, \mathbf{e_n} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_j} - \left[\epsilon_{n\ell m} \epsilon_{mij} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_\ell \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_n} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_j} - \left[(\delta_{ni} \delta_{\ell j} - \delta_{nj} \delta_{\ell i}) \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_\ell \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_n} \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_j} - \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_i} + \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial^2 x_i} \, \mathbf{e_j} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v_k}{\partial x_j^2} \, \mathbf{e_k} \end{split}$$

by index changes. Therefore

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}).$$

- (b) Now consider the square magnitude of the position vector $r^2 = x_j^2$, where $r = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$.
 - (i) First note that $\frac{\partial r^2}{\partial x_i} = 2r\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i}$ and $\frac{\partial r^2}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial x_j^2}{\partial x_i} = 2x_j\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i}$ by the product rule. Therefore $r\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = x_j\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial x_i}$. Multiplying through by the derivative $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}$ then yields

$$r \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = x_j$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_j} = \frac{x_j}{r},$$

which is what I wanted to show.

(ii) Now, using the expression from part (i) and from part (a-v), we obtain that

$$\nabla^{2}r = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}r$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{r}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} + x_{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{r}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_{i}}{r^{2}}\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_{i}}{r^{2}}\frac{x_{i}}{r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_{i}^{2}}{r^{3}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} - \frac{r^{2}}{r^{3}}$$

$$= 0.$$

(a) Consider a symmetric tensor **B** and a vector **a**. Suppose that $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$ (that is, **B** is symmetric). Then, the dot product between **a** and **B** is

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{B} = a_i B_{ij}$$

$$= a_i B_{ji}$$

$$= B_{ji} a_i$$

$$= \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a},$$

which is what I wanted to show. In the case where **B** is not symmetric $(B_{ij} \neq B_{ji})$, then $a_i B_{ij} \neq a_i B_{ji}$, which proves that this relation holds only if **B** is symmetric.

(b) Now suppose that **B** is antisymmetric (that is, $B_{ij} = -B_{ji}$). Then, for two dot products with **a**,

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a} = a_i B_{ij} a_j$$
$$= a_j B_{ji} a_i$$
$$= -a_i B_{ij} a_j,$$

which must be zero, since for any number y = -y, then y = 0. This primarily holds because the diagonals of an antisymmetric tensor are zero. Therefore $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$, as desired.

For these problems, I will just show my steps for completion. Many proofs of the following identities do not call for much justification.

(a)

$$\begin{split} \nabla \times (\nabla f) &= \nabla \times \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_i} \right) \\ &= \epsilon_{\ell m n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_i} \cdot \mathbf{e_m} \right) \, \mathbf{e_n} \\ &= \epsilon_{\ell m n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\ell \partial x_m} \, \mathbf{e_n} \\ &= \epsilon_{\ell m n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_m \partial x_\ell} \, \mathbf{e_n} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

By Clairaut's theorem, assuming f is a \mathbb{C}^2 function. The order of derivation indices may be exchanged which, when summed over, superpose to zero.

(b)

$$\nabla \times (f\mathbf{v}) = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f v_j \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= e_{ijk} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} v_j + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} f \right) \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= e_{ijk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_k} + \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} f \, \mathbf{e_k}$$

$$= e_{ijk} (\nabla f)_i v_j \, \mathbf{e_k} + f \left(\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \, \mathbf{e_k} \right)$$

$$= -e_{jik} (\nabla f)_i v_j \, \mathbf{e_k} + f (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})$$

$$= f (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla f).$$

as desired.

(c)

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) = \nabla \times (\epsilon_{ijk} u_i v_j \, \mathbf{e_k})$$

$$= \epsilon_{\ell m n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} \, \mathbf{e_n} \, \epsilon_{ijm} u_i v_j$$

$$= \epsilon_{n\ell m} \epsilon_{mij} \frac{\partial u_i v_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} \, \mathbf{e_n}$$

$$= (\delta_{ni} \delta_{\ell j} - \delta_{nj} \delta_{\ell i}) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} v_j + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} u_i \right) \, \mathbf{e_n}$$

$$= \left[\delta_{ni} \delta_{\ell j} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} v_j + \delta_{ni} \delta_{\ell j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} u_i - \delta_{nj} \delta_{\ell i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} v_j - \delta_{nj} \delta_{\ell i} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} u_i \right] \, \mathbf{e_n}$$

$$= \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_i} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} u_i \, \mathbf{e_i} - \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} v_j \, \mathbf{e_j} - \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} u_i \, \mathbf{e_j}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left(v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) u_i \, \mathbf{e_i} + \left(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} \right) u_i \, \mathbf{e_i} - \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \right) v_j \, \mathbf{e_j} - \left(u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) v_j \, \mathbf{e_i} \\ &= \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{u} + \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \mathbf{u} - \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) \mathbf{v} - \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}, \end{split}$$

which is what I wanted to show.

In this last problem, we consider the two-dimensional flow governed by the equations given by $(u, v) = (U_0, at)$ for $U_0, a > 0$.

(a) To determine the streamlines, we must first determine the streamfunction ψ for this flow. The streamfunction $\psi(x,y)$ should recover the equations of the flow, provided

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.$$

Solving for ψ from these relations yields streamlines, given for values of constant ψ . We have that

$$U_0 = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \implies \psi(x,y) = U_0 y + f(x), \qquad at = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \implies \psi(x,y) = -atx + g(y).$$

Quick comparison of the above to relations provides that $\psi(x,y)=-xat+Uy$. The streamlines are then explicitly given by C=-xat+Uy, hence $y(x)=\frac{at}{U_0}x+C$ are the streamlines for this flow.

One may determine visual parametric trajectories for the streamlines of this flow, given by $(x(t),y(t))=\left(t,\frac{at^2}{U_0}+C\right)$ in two dimensions.

(b) Different from the streamline, the pathline is the trajectory of a fluid element from an initial point and time. To determine the pathline at $\mathbf{x}_0 = (0,1)$ at time t=0, we may integrate over the flow, thus 'following' the fluid element along its path. From the initial conditions, we have that

$$\int_{x_0}^x dx' = \int_0^t U_0 dt'$$

$$\implies x - x_0 = U_0 t$$

$$\implies x = U_0 t$$

for the *x* component, and

$$\int_{y_0}^{y} dy' = \int_{0}^{t} at' dt'$$

$$\implies y - y_0 = \frac{1}{2}at^2$$

$$\implies y(t) = \frac{1}{2}at^2 + 1$$

for the y component. With $t=\frac{x}{U_0}$, the explicit equation for the pathline becomes $y(x)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{a}{U_0^2}x^2+1$. This may be plotting and visualized in two-dimensional space of the flow:

8

