MAT224 Linear Algebra II Assignment 3

Instructions:

Please read the Assignment Policies & FAQ document for details on submission policies, collaboration rules and academic integrity, and general instructions.

- 1. Submissions are only accepted by Gradescope. Do not send anything by email. Late submissions are not accepted under any circumstance. Remember you can resubmit anytime before the deadline.
- 2. Submit solutions using only this template PDF. Your submission should be a single pdf with your full written solutions for each question. If your solution is not written using this template PDF (scanned print or digital) then your submission will not be assessed. Organize your work neatly in the space provided. Do not submit rough work.
- 3. Show your work and justify your steps on every question but do not include extraneous information. Put your final answer in the box provided, if necessary. We recommend you write draft solutions on separate pages and afterwards write your polished solutions here on this template.
- 4. You must fill out and sign the academic integrity statement below; otherwise, you will receive zero for this assignment.

Academic Integrity Statement:

Full Name: Jace Alloway		
Student number: 1006940802		
Full Name:		
Student number:		

I confirm that:

- I have read and followed the policies described in the document Assignment Policies & FAQ.
- In particular, I have read and understand the rules for collaboration, and permitted resources on assignments as described in subsection II of the the aforementioned document. I have not violated these rules while completing and writing this assignment.
- I understand the consequences of violating the University's academic integrity policies as outlined in the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. I have not violated them while completing and writing this assignment.

By signing this document, I agree that the statements above are true.

Signatures: 1)	Jose Allera	
2)		

1. Consider the basis $\alpha = 1 + x, \frac{1}{2}x, -\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to P_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the derivative transformation. That is $T(p(x)) = \frac{d}{dx}(p(x))$ for every $p(x) \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

You may use the that α is a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, and that T is a linear transformation without proof.

1(a) Determine $[x^2]_{\alpha}$.

Solution: We need a linear combination of vectors in the basis α to produce x^2 in terms of α .

Firstly, by computing $-\frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3}{2}x^2+\frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = x^2 - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Next, we need to reduce $x^2 - \frac{1}{3}$ to x^2 , so we need a linear combination of polynomials to produce $-\frac{1}{3}$. We have

$$\frac{1}{3}(1+x) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}x.$$

If we add another term in the basis $-\frac{2}{3}(\frac{1}{2}x)$, we have our linear combination of polynomials:

$$\frac{1}{3}(1+x) - \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) - \frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{1}{3}x + x^2 - \frac{1}{3} = x^2.$$

Therefore $[x^2]_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ where $\alpha = \{1 + x, \frac{1}{2}x, -\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\}$, as needed.

Solution: To determine $[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$, we need to determine what $T(1+x), T(\frac{1}{2}x)$, and what $T(-\frac{3}{2}x^2+\frac{1}{2})$ are. Computing each derivative, we see that

$$T(1+x) = \frac{d}{dx}(1+x) = 1,$$

$$T\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$T\left(-\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{d}{dx}\left(-\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right) = -3x.$$

In terms of α ,

$$1 = (1+x) - 2\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), \text{ so } [1]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(1+x) - \frac{1}{2}x\right), \text{ so } \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\\ -1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and }$$

$$-3x = -6\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right), \text{ so } [-3x]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -6\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore
$$[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

This matrix follows from the fact that computing the derivative of each polynomial in the basis α represents the transformation of the basis. Every polynomial $p(x) \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be created from a linear combination of vectors in α , which implies that T(1+x), $T(\frac{1}{2}x)$, and $T(-\frac{3}{2}x^2+\frac{1}{2})$ establish the derivatives of the basis vectors. Finding these transformations in terms of α yields $[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$

1(c) Use your answers from 1(a) and 1(b) to calculate $\frac{d}{dx}(x^2)$.

$$[x^2]_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -2\\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and that

$$[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ -2 & -1 & -6\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing the matrix multiplication $[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}[x^2]_{\alpha}$ will give $T(x^2) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^2)$. We have

$$[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}[x^2]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -2 & -1 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{3}{3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left(-\frac{2}{3} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \left(-\frac{2}{3} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -6 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have that $[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}[x^2]_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\4\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 4\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) = 2x$, and so $\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^2\right) = 2x$, as needed.

- **2.** Let V and W be vector spaces, and let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V,W)$. Let $\mathbf{v_1},\mathbf{v_2},\ldots,\mathbf{v_n}$ be a basis for V.
- **2(a)** Prove that if T is an isomorphism, then $T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis for W.

I want to prove that if a linear map $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ is an isomorphism, and if $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for the domain V, then $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for the codomain W.

Proof. Assume that $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ is an isomorphism. We then have that $T : V \to W$ is invertible, that is, both injective and surjective. By [**Proposition 2.6.7**], T being an isomorphism implies that $\dim V = \dim W$. We then have that

[Injective]:
$$\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in V, \ \mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2 \implies T(\mathbf{x}_1) \neq T(\mathbf{x}_2),$$

[Surjective]:
$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in W$$
, $\exists \mathbf{x} \in V$ such that $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}$.

Since $T: V \to W$ is injective, then for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ that is unique, $T(\mathbf{x})$ is also unique. This means that there exists only one vector $T(\mathbf{x}) \in W$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in V$, where \mathbf{x} is expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \mathbf{v}_n\}$.

Since $T: V \to W$ is surjective, then for every $\mathbf{w} \in W$, there is at least one vector $\mathbf{x} \in V$ such that $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}$. This means that Im(T) = W, that is, every vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$ is being mapped to by the set of vectors $T\mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{x} \in V$ is expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \mathbf{v}_n\}$.

It then follows that because T is injective, we can conclude that since the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots \mathbf{v}_n\}$ of V is unique, then every vector in the set $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is also unique. Furthermore, since T is an isomorphism, by **Proposition 2.6.7**, the requirement for dim $V = \dim W = n$ is satisfied.

Because T is surjective, then we can conclude that the image of T generates W, and thus any vector $T\mathbf{x} \in W$ can be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ of W, that is, $\operatorname{span}\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\} = W$.

Because span $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\} = W$ and the set $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is unique, then $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for W, which is what I needed to prove.

- **2.** Let V and W be vector spaces, and let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be a basis for V.
- **2(b)** Prove that if $T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis for W, then T is an isomorphism.

To prove that the transformation $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that T is invertible. That is, both injective and surjective.

I want to prove that for any transformation $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ if $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for the domain W and if $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for the codomain W, then T is an isomorphism.

Proof. Assume that the set $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for W. This implies that every element in the set $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is unique.

Show that T is injective:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in V, \ \mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2 \implies T\mathbf{x}_1 \neq T\mathbf{x}_2.$$

Since every element $\mathbf{x} \in V$ can be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$, then the hypothesis of the definition is satisfied for two different vectors \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 where $\mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2$. Secondly, every element $\mathbf{w} \in W$, where $\mathbf{w} = T\mathbf{x}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in V$, can be expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$, which makes $T\mathbf{x} \in W$ unique for any $\mathbf{x} \in V$ since T is linear. Therefore for all vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in V, \mathbf{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{x}_2 \implies T\mathbf{x}_1 \neq T\mathbf{x}_2$, and therefore $T: V \to W$ is injective.

Show that T is surjective:

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in W, \exists \mathbf{x} \in V \text{ such that } T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}.$$

Since every element $\mathbf{w} \in W$ can be expressed as a linear combination of vectors in the set $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ because $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, T\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ is a basis for W, then every element $\mathbf{w} \in W$ is uniquely determined by some vector $T\mathbf{x} \in W$, where \mathbf{x} is expressed as a unique linear combination of vectors in the basis $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$. Therefore $T: V \to W$ is surjective.

Lastly, since dim $V = \dim W = n$, and because $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ is both injective and surjective, then by [**Proposition 2.6.7**], $T: V \to W$ is an isomorphism, which is what I needed to prove.

3. Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces, and let $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$. Prove that, for any choice of bases α for V and β for W,

$$\dim \operatorname{im} T = \operatorname{rank} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Note: It is also true that dim ker $T = \dim \operatorname{null} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ but you do not need to prove this here. We include it for completeness sake.

I want to prove that for a transformation $T \in \mathfrak{L}(V, W)$ and any bases α and β of V and W, respectively, that dim im $T = \operatorname{rank}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$.

Proof.

Suppose dim V = n and dim W = m. Let $\alpha = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\beta = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$ be bases of the vector spaces V and W, respectively. I will note that it is possible for n = m.

We define a pivot column of a matrix as a column in a matrix with a 1 in the i^{th} row (that is, the i^{th} entry of the column), for $1 \le i \le m$, and having zeros in every other entry. A column containing a pivot is defined as a linearly independent column of the matrix, which can be found by computing $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[\mathbf{x}]_{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in V$. If any other column can be created from a linear combination of the pivot columns, then the columns of the matrix are said to be linearly dependent.

Furthermore, we define the rank of a matrix by dimension of the column space of $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. That is, the number of linearly independent pivot columns in the matrix $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$.

Now, let \mathbf{t}_j be the j^{th} column of the matrix $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. From this, it follows that if \mathbf{t}_j is a pivot column, then the \mathbf{t}_j represents an element in the basis α and hence is a coordinate vector of the image of T, that is, $T(\mathbf{v}_j)$. We then have $\mathbf{t}_j = [T(\mathbf{v}_j)]_{\beta}$ because \mathbf{t}_j is a pivot column.

Any pivot column in the matrix $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ is unique because it is linearly independent, and thus together they form a basis for the image of T.

Lastly, if the rank of the matrix $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ is k, then there are k linearly independent pivot columns in the matrix $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$, which is the dimension of the column space of $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. Therefore there are k elements in the basis of the image of T, which is what I needed to prove. This proof follows from the ideas presented in [(2.3.14) Procedure 1] in the textbook.

Lastly, to justify this proof, we can examine the rank-nullity theorem for linear transformations. The theorem states that

$$\dim V = \operatorname{rank}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} + \operatorname{nullity}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \dim \operatorname{im} T + \dim \ker T,$$

since we have assumed that $\operatorname{nullity}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \dim \ker T$ without proof. Because $\dim V$ does not change for a fixed vector space V, it them follows that $\dim \operatorname{im} T = \operatorname{rank}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$.

_

4. Let $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ be similar. Use the result from question 3 to prove that

$$\operatorname{rank} A = \operatorname{rank} B$$

Note: It is also true that dim null $A = \dim \text{null } B$ but you do not need to prove this here. We include it for completeness sake.

I want to prove that for similar matrices $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, that rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B$.

Proof.

We call two matrices similar if there exists an invertible matrix $P \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A = P^{-1}BP$. To begin, by multiplying the matrix P on the left hand side of both side of the equation, we have

$$A = P^{-1}BP \implies PA = PP^{-1}BP = IBP \implies PA = BP$$

since any matrix multiplied by the identity yields itself and since any matrix multiplied by its inverse yields the identity.

To prove that rank $A = \operatorname{rank} B$, it suffices to show that since P is an invertible matrix, then rank $PA = \operatorname{rank} A$ and rank $AB = \operatorname{rank} B$.

1. Proof that rank PA = rank A.

Let $\mathbf{a} \in \text{null } A$. We then have that $A\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$. By the multiplicative associativity property of matrices, it then follows that, $PA\mathbf{a} = P(A\mathbf{a}) = P\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $\mathbf{a} \in \text{null}(PA)$. Therefore $\text{null}(A) \subseteq \text{null}(AB)$ since $\text{null}(PA) = P^{-1} \text{null } A$ by [**Proposition 6.7, 6.8**] in Quiri Li's linear transformation slides.

Now suppose $\mathbf{a} \in \text{null}(PA)$, and so $PA\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$. This implies that

$$PA\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0} \implies P(A\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{0} \implies A\mathbf{a} = P^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

By the same proposition, $\operatorname{null}(PA) \subseteq \operatorname{null}(A)$, and therefore $\operatorname{null}(A) = \operatorname{null}(PA) \implies \dim \operatorname{null}(PA) = \dim \operatorname{null}(A)$. By the rank-nullity theorem,

$$\operatorname{rank}(PA) + \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null}(PA) = \operatorname{rank} A + \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{null} A \implies \operatorname{rank}(AB) = \operatorname{rank}(A).$$

2. By the same argument, we have that $\operatorname{rank}(BP) = \operatorname{rank} B$ because $\operatorname{null} B \subseteq \operatorname{null}(BP)$ and $\operatorname{null}(BP) \subseteq \operatorname{null} B$.

Therefore since $\operatorname{rank}(PA) = \operatorname{rank} A$ and $\operatorname{rank}(BP) = \operatorname{rank} B$, and since $A = P^{-1}BP \implies PA = BP$, then

$$rank(PA) = rank A = rank B = rank(BP),$$

which is what I needed to prove.