ALMA Correlator Study WP2.4: Corner-turn platform

Jack Hickish

July 2016

1 Introduction

The ALMA Correlator Study assumes an upgraded ALMA correlator will be of an FX architecture, widely employed for digital correlators in radio astronomy. Hence, the correlator will require a *corner-turn*, or data transpose, between the F and X stages. Such a transpose allows data processing to be parallelised on a per-antenna basis in the F-stage and a per-frequency basis in the X stage.

In this document we consider the physical hardware used to implement the corner-turn, possible candidates being

- Ethernet switches
- Custom back-plane
- Custom switching system

We begin by detailing the top-level specifications which determine the requirements of the corner-turning system. Specifications are taken from Rupen et al.

2 Interconnect Specifications

The specifications relevant to the corner-turning system discussed in this document are:

Rupen spec.	Description	Value	Symbol
2	Number of antennas	up to 80	N
5	BBC Bandwidth	$14~\mathrm{GHz}$	B
4	Number of BBCs per polarization	1	n
7	Cross-correlation input bitwidth	4+4 bit	w

We make the following further assumptions:

1. The number of polarizations processed, p, is 2.

2. Correlators for each BBC are effectively independent. That is, the complete correlator may be constructed from n distinct correlators.

Considering a correlator for a single BBC, we may consider the inputs of the corner turner to be pN F-Engines. Each F-Engine generates data at a rate $B \times w$ bits/s. For the assumed ALMA correlator, this is 112 Gb/s.

In the interests of simplicity and platform agnosticism we consider the pN corner-turner inputs to be physically separate. However, each of these 112 Gb/s streams may be split over parallel interfaces in order to be practically feasible. For example, a 112Gb/s stream may be implemented using 3 parallel 40 Gb/s Ethernet interfaces, or via 12 10 Gb/s serial links.

Note that assuming that all pN correlator inputs are physically separate increases the complexity of the corner turner, but minimally constraints the F-Engines. In practice it may be possible to combine multiple antennas into a single F-processor, reducing the number of inputs to the corner-turner (with a corresponding increase in bandwidth of each input).

The output data-rate of the corner-turner is the same as the input, i.e., $pN \times 112$ Gb/s.

3 F-Engine interface

In this document we assume an F-Engine processes data from a single BBC for a single polarization of a single antenna. The total ALMA correlator has $N_f = pN$ F-Engines. We assume nothing about the F-Engine interface except that it is capable of outputting a total of 112 Gb/s over f independent links. Where f>1, we assume that the mulitple outputs contain sub-bands of the total processed bandwidth. In essence, the downstream corner-turner and correlator is then f clones of a smaller correlator processing a bandwidth $\frac{B}{f}$.

4 X-Engine interface

We assume that a complete correlator system comprises N_x X-Engines, each processing a subset of the total correlator bandwidth. The number of X-Engines is determined by the computational performance of a single unit, and need not be related to the number of F-Engines, pN. There is no assumed requirement on the X-engine interface, other than a single X-Engine is capable of sinking its fraction of the total system bandwidth: $\frac{pNBw}{N_x}$. This may be achieved via a single wide-band link, or via x multiple parallel links.

Where the connection between the N_f F-Engines and N_x X-Engines is not direct (because, for example, it is mediated by an Ethernet switch) there is no requirement that the protocol of the F- and X-Engine interfaces should be the same.

5 Ethernet Switch

An Ethernet switch has a variety of attractive attributes:

- No hardware NRE.
- Industry-standard interface, widely supported by commodity hardware (FPGA boards, CPU/GPU platforms).
- Extremely tolerant to changes in F- and X-Engine implementations or changes to number of antennas.
- Trivially supports hardware testing via CPU-driven test-vector injection.
- May supports conversion between protocols 10/40/100 GbE.

Though the available Ethernet technology at the time of deployment of a new correlator is uncertain, we can demonstrate the feasibility of an Ethernet corner-turn solution based on 2016 technology and assume that future solutions will be cheaper and denser.

We hypothesize the following system:

- $N_f = pN = 160$ F-Engines
- F-Engine output protocol is 100 GbE, with f=2 independent interfaces, each carrying $\frac{112}{2}=56$ Gb/s.
- $N_x = 256$ X-Engines, each processing 89.6 Gb/s, over a single 100 GbE link.

Such a system requires, for each of the two BBCs, two duplicates of a corner-turner with 112 F-Engine inputs, and 128 X-Engine outputs. Thus, the corner-turner is a switch with at least $128+112=240\ 100\ \text{GbE}$ ports. Such switches are available off-the-shelf today. For example, the Arista 7508R with up to 288 100 GbE ports.

Alternatively, one can construct a larger switch from smaller modules, which can have more predictable performance for the all-to-all corner-turn systems required by correlators. In this case, the same system can be achieved with 8 individual 64-port 100 GbE switches, such as the 7260CX-64.

For other interfacing standards, such as 40 GbE using F-Engines with f=3 or f=4 output ports, similar systems can be constructed using 40 GbE switches.