

## CONTENTS

1. August 23, 2021	1
--------------------	---

### 1. AUGUST 23, 2021

What is a number? Certainly the things used to count sheep, money, etc. are numbers:  $1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We will call these the *natural numbers* and write  $\mathbb{N}$  for the set of all natural numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

Since we like to keep track of debts too, we'll allow negatives and 0, which gives us the *integers*:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

(The symbol  $\mathbb{Z}$  is used since the German word for number is *zahlen*.)

Fractions should count as numbers also, so that we can talk about eating one and two-thirds of a pizza last night. We define a *rational number* to be a number expressible as a quotient of two integers:  $\frac{m}{n}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq 0$ . For example

$$\frac{5}{3}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{2019}{2020}$$

are rational numbers. Of course, we often talk about numbers such as “two and a fourth”, but that the same as  $\frac{9}{4}$ . Every integer is a rational number just by taking 1 for the denominator; for example,  $7 = \frac{7}{1}$ . The set of all rational numbers is written as  $\mathbb{Q}$  (for “quotient”).

You might not have thought about it before, but an expression of the form  $\frac{m}{n}$  is really an “equivalence class”: the two numbers  $\frac{m}{n}$  and  $\frac{a}{b}$  are deemed equal if  $mb = na$ . For example  $\frac{6}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$  because  $6 \cdot 3 = 9 \cdot 2$ .

We'll talk more about decimals later on, but recall for now that a decimal that terminates is just another way of representing a rational number. For example, 1.9881 is equal to  $\frac{19881}{10000}$ . Less obvious is the fact that a decimal that repeats also represents a rational number: For example,  $1.333\dots$  is rational (it's equal to  $\frac{4}{3}$ ) and so is  $23.91278278278\dots$ . We'll see why this is true later in the semester.

Are these all the numbers there are? Maybe no one in this class would answer “yes”, but the ancient Greeks believed for a time that every number was rational. Let's convince ourselves, as the Greeks did eventually, that there must be numbers that are not rational. Imagine a square of side length 1. By the Pythagorean Theorem, the length of

its diagonal, call this number  $c$ , must satisfy

$$c^2 = 1^2 + 1^2 = 2.$$

That is, there must be a some number whose square is 2 since certainly the length of the diagonal in such a square is representable as a number. Now, let's convince ourselves that there is no *rational number* with this property. In fact, I'll make this a theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is no rational number whose square is 2.*

**Preproof Discussion 1.** *Before launching a formal proof, let's philosophize about how one shows something does not exist. To show something does not exist, one proves that its existence is not possible. For example, I know that there must not be large clump of plutonium sewn into the mattress of my bed. I know this since, if such a clump existed, I'd be dead by now, and yet here I am, alive and well!*

*More generally and formally, one way to prove the falsity of a statement  $P$  is to argue that if we assume  $P$  to be true then we can deduce from that assumption something that is known to be false. If you can do this, then you have proven  $P$  is false. In symbols: If one can prove*

$$P \implies \text{Contradiction}$$

*then the statement  $P$  must in fact be false.*

*In the case at hand, letting  $P$  be the statement "there is a rational number whose square is 2", the Theorem is asserting that  $P$  is false. We will prove this by assuming  $P$  is true and deriving an impossibility.*

*This is known as a proof by contradiction. (Some mathematicians would actually not consider this to be a proof by contradiction. For some, a proof by contradiction refers to when the truth of a statement  $P$  is established by assuming the statement "not  $P$ " and deducing from that a falsity.)*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume there were a rational number  $q$  such that  $q^2 = 2$ . By definition of "rational number", we know that  $q$  can be written as  $\frac{m}{n}$  for some integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $n \neq 0$ . Moreover, we may assume that we have written  $q$  in reduced form so that  $m$  and  $n$  have no prime factors in common. In particular, we may assume that not both of  $m$  and  $n$  are even. (If they were both even, then we could simplify the fraction by factoring out common factors of 2's.) Since  $q^2 = 2$ ,  $\frac{m^2}{n^2} = 2$  and hence  $m^2 = 2n^2$ . In particular, this shows  $m^2$  is even and, since the square of an odd number is odd, it must be that  $m$  itself is even. So,  $m = 2a$  for some integer  $a$ . But then  $(2a)^2 = 2n^2$  and hence  $4a^2 = 2n^2$  whence  $2a^2 = n^2$ . For the same

reason as before, this implies that  $n$  must be even. But this contradicts the fact that  $m$  and  $n$  are not both even.

We have reached a contradiction, and so the assumption that there is a rational number  $q$  such that  $q^2 = 2$  must be false.  $\square$

A version of the previous proof was known even to the ancient Greeks.