

WORKSHEET #1.1: RINGS

EXAMPLE: The following are rings.

- (1) Rings of numbers, like \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- (2) Given a starting ring A , the polynomial ring in one indeterminate

$$A[X] := \{a_d X^d + \cdots + a_1 X + a_0 \mid d \geq 0, a_i \in A\},$$

or in a (finite or infinite!) set of indeterminates $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $A[X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda]$.

- (3) Given a starting ring A , the power series ring in one indeterminate

$$A[\![X]\!] := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i X^i \mid a_i \in A \right\},$$

or in a set of indeterminates $A[\![X_1, \dots, X_n]\!]$.

- (4) For a set X , $\text{Fun}(X, \mathbb{R}) := \{\text{all functions } f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ with pointwise $+$ and \times .
- (5) $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]) := \{\text{continuous functions } f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ with pointwise $+$ and \times .
- (6) $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]) := \{\text{infinitely differentiable functions } f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ with pointwise $+$ and \times .
- (\div) Quotient rings: given a starting ring A and an ideal I , $R = A/I$.
- (\times) Product rings: given rings R and S , $R \times S = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$.

DEFINITION: An element x in a ring R is called a

- **unit** if x has an **inverse** $y \in R$ (i.e., $xy = 1$).
- **zerodivisor** if there is some $y \neq 0$ in R such that $xy = 0$.
- **nilpotent** if there is some $e \geq 0$ such that $x^e = 0$.
- **idempotent** if $x^2 = x$.

We also use the terms **nonunit**, **nonzerodivisor**, **nonnilpotent**, **nonidempotent** for the negations of the above. We say that a ring is **reduced** if it has no nonzero nilpotents.

- (1) Warmup with units, zerodivisors, nilpotents, and idempotents.
 - (a) What are the implications between nilpotent, nonunit, and zerodivisor?
 - (b) What are the implications between reduced, field, and domain?
 - (c) What two elements of a ring are always idempotents? We call an idempotent **nontrivial** to mean that it is neither of these.
 - (d) If e is an idempotent, show that $e' := 1 - e$ is an idempotent² and $ee' = 0$.
- (2) Elements in polynomial rings: Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ a polynomial ring over a *domain* A .
 - (a) If $n = 1$, and $f, g \in R = A[X]$, briefly explain why the top degree³ of fg equals the top degree of f plus the top degree of g . What if A is not a domain?
 - (b) Again if $n = 1$, briefly explain why $R = A[X]$ is a domain, and identify all of the units in R .
 - (c) Now for general n , show that R is a domain, and identify all of the units in R .

¹Note: Even if the index set is infinite, by definition the elements of $A[X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda]$ are finite sums of monomials (with coefficients in A) that each involve finitely many variables.

²We call e' the **complementary idempotent** to e .

³The **top degree** of $f = \sum a_i X^i$ is $\max\{k \mid a_k \neq 0\}$; we say **top coefficient** for a_k . We use the term top degree instead of degree for reasons that will come up later.

(3) Elements in power series rings: Let A be a ring.

- (a) Explain why the set of formal sums $\{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i X^i \mid a_i \in A\}$ with arbitrary positive and negative exponents is *not* clearly a ring in the same way as $A[[X]]$.
- (b) Given series $f, g \in A[[X]]$, how much of f, g do you need to know to compute the X^3 -coefficient of $f + g$? What about the X^3 -coefficient of fg ?
- (c) Find the first three coefficients for the inverse⁴ of $f = 1 + 3X + 7X^2 + \dots$ in $\mathbb{R}[[X]]$.
- (d) Does “top degree” make sense in $A[[X]]$? What about “bottom degree”?
- (e) Explain why⁵ for a domain A , the power series ring $A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ is also a domain.
- (f) Show⁶ that $f \in A[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ is a unit if and only if the constant term of f is a unit.

(4) Elements in function rings.

- (a) For $R = \text{Fun}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$,
 - (i) What are the nilpotents in R ?
 - (ii) What are the units in R ?
 - (iii) What are the idempotents in R ?
 - (iv) What are the zero-divisors in R ?
- (b) For $R = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, $R = C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ same questions as above. When are there any/none?

(5) Product rings and idempotents.

- (a) Let R and S be rings, and $T = R \times S$. Show that $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ are nontrivial complementary idempotents in T .
- (b) Let T be a ring, and $e \in T$ a nontrivial idempotent, with $e' = 1 - e$. Explain why $Te = \{te \mid t \in T\}$ and Te' are rings with the same addition and multiplication as T . Why didn't I say “subring”?
- (c) Let T be a ring, and $e \in T$ a nontrivial idempotent, with $e' = 1 - e$. Show that $T \cong Te \times Te'$. Conclude that R has nontrivial idempotents if and only if R decomposes as a product.

(6) Elements in quotient rings:

- (a) Let K be a field, and $R = K[X, Y]/(X^2, XY)$. Find
 - a nonzero nilpotent in R
 - a zero-divisor in R that is not a nilpotent
 - a unit in R that is not equivalent to a constant polynomial
- (b) Find $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
 - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is a unit
 - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is a nonzero nilpotent
 - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is a nonnilp. zero-divisor
 - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ is a nontrivial idempotent

(7) More about elements.

- (a) Prove that a nilpotent plus a unit is always a unit.
- (b) Let A be an arbitrary ring, and $R = A[X]$. Characterize, in terms of their coefficients, which elements of R are units, and which elements are nilpotents.
- (c) Let A be an arbitrary ring, and $R = A[[X]]$. Characterize, in terms of their coefficients, which elements of R are nilpotents.

⁴It doesn't matter what the \dots are!

⁵You might want to start with the case $n = 1$.

⁶Hint: For $n = 1$, given $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$, construct $g = \sum_i b_i X^i$ by defining b_m recursively $b_0 = 1/a_0$ and that the X^m -coefficient of $(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^i)(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i X^i)$ is 0 for $m > 0$.

§1.2: IDEALS

DEFINITION: Let S be a subset of a ring R . The **ideal generated by S** , denoted (S) , is the smallest ideal containing S . Equivalently,

$$(S) = \left\{ \sum r_i s_i \mid r_i \in R, s_i \in S \right\} \quad \text{is the set of } R\text{-linear combinations}^1 \text{ of elements of } S.$$

We say that S **generates** an ideal I if $(S) = I$.

DEFINITION: Let I, J be ideals of a ring R . The following are ideals:

- $IJ := (ab \mid a \in I, b \in J)$.
- $I^n := \underbrace{I \cdot I \cdots I}_{n \text{ times}} = (a_1 \cdots a_n \mid a_i \in I)$ for $n \geq 1$.
- $I + J := \{a + b \mid a \in I, b \in J\} = (I \cup J)$.
- $rI := (r)I = \{ra \mid a \in I\}$ for $r \in R$.
- $I : J := \{r \in R \mid rJ \subseteq I\}$.

DEFINITION: Let I be an ideal in a ring R . The **radical** of I is $\sqrt{I} := \{f \in R \mid f^n \in I \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$. An ideal I is **radical** if $I = \sqrt{I}$.

DIVISION ALGORITHM: Let A be a ring, and $R = A[X]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $g \in R$ be a **monic** polynomial; i.e., the leading coefficient of f is a unit. Then for any $f \in R$, there exist unique polynomials $q, r \in R$ such that $f = gq + r$ and the top degree of r is less than the top degree of g .

(1) Briefly discuss why the two characterizations of (S) in Definition 2.1 are equal.

(2) Finding generating sets for ideals: Let S be a subset of a ring R , and I an ideal.

- (a) To show that $(S) = I$, which containment do you think is easier to verify? How would you check?
- (b) To show that $(S) = I$ given $(S) \subseteq I$, explain why it suffices to show that $I/(S) = 0$ in $R/(S)$; i.e., that every element of I is equivalent to 0 modulo S .
- (c) Let K be a field, $R = K[U, V, W]$ and $S = K[X, Y]$ be polynomial rings. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be the ring homomorphism that is constant on K , and maps $U \mapsto X^2, V \mapsto XY, W \mapsto Y^2$. Show that the kernel ϕ is generated by $V^2 - UW$ as follows:
 - Show that $(V^2 - UW) \subseteq \ker(\phi)$.
 - Think of R as $K[U, W][V]$. Given $F \in \ker(\phi)$, use the Division Algorithm to show that $F \equiv F_1V + F_0$ modulo $(V^2 - UW)$ for some $F_1, F_0 \in K[U, W]$ with $F_1V + F_0 \in \ker(\phi)$.
 - Use $\phi(F_1V + F_0) = 0$ to show that $F_1 = F_0 = 0$, and conclude that $F \in \ker(\phi)$.

(3) Radical ideals:

(a) Fill in the blanks and convince yourself:

- R/I is a field $\iff I$ is _____
- R/I is a domain $\iff I$ is _____
- R/I is reduced $\iff I$ is _____

(b) Show that the radical of an ideal is an ideal.

(c) Show that a prime ideal is radical.

(d) Let K be a field and $R = K[X, Y, Z]$. Find a generating set² for $\sqrt{(X^2, XYZ, Y^2)}$.

¹Linear combinations always means *finite* linear combinations: the axioms of a ring can only make sense of finite sums.

²Hint: To show your set generates, you might consider the bottom degree of F considered as a polynomial in X and Y .

(4) Evaluation ideals in polynomial rings: Let K be a field and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K^n$.

- (a) Let $\text{ev}_\alpha : R \rightarrow K$ be the map of evaluation at α : $\text{ev}_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, or $f(\alpha)$ for short. Show that $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha := \ker \text{ev}_\alpha$ is a maximal ideal and $R/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha \cong K$.
- (b) Apply division repeatedly to show that $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = (X_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, X_n - \alpha_n)$.
- (c) For $K = \mathbb{R}$ and $n = 1$, find a maximal ideal that is not of this form. Same question with $n = 2$.
- (d) With K arbitrary again, show that every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R for which $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong K$ is of the form \mathfrak{m}_α for some $\alpha \in K^n$. Note: this is *not* a theorem with a fancy German name.

(5) Lots of generators:

- (a) Let K be a field and $R = K[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ be a polynomial ring in countably many variables. Explain³ why the ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ cannot be generated by a finite set.
- (b) Show that the ideal $(X^n, X^{n-1}Y, \dots, XY^{n-1}, Y^n) \subseteq K[X, Y]$ cannot be generated by fewer than $n + 1$ generators.
- (c) Let $R = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Show that for any element $g \in (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $C > 0$ such that $|g| < C \max_i \{|f_i|\}$ on $(\alpha - \varepsilon, \alpha + \varepsilon)$. Use this to show that \mathfrak{m}_α cannot be generated by a finite set.

(6) Evaluation ideals in function rings: Let $R = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

- (a) Let $\text{ev}_\alpha : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map of evaluation at α : $\text{ev}_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha)$. Show that $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha := \text{ev}_\alpha$ is a maximal ideal and $R/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha \cong \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) Show that $(x - \alpha) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$.
- (c) Show that every maximal ideal R is of the form \mathfrak{m}_α for some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. You may want to argue by contradiction: if not, there is an ideal I such that the sets $U_f := \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ for $f \in I$ form an open cover of $[0, 1]$. Take a finite subcover U_{f_1}, \dots, U_{f_t} and consider $f_1^2 + \dots + f_t^2$.

(7) Division Algorithm.

- (a) What fails in the Division Algorithm when g is not monic? Uniqueness? Existence? Both?
- (b) Review the proof of the Division Algorithm.

(8) Let K be a field and $R = K[\![X_1, \dots, X_n]\!]$ be a power series ring in n indeterminates. Let $R' = K[\![X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]\!]$, so we can also think of $R = R'[\![X_n]\!]$. In this problem we will prove the useful analogue of division in power series rings:

WEIERSTRASS DIVISION THEOREM: Let $r \in R$, and write $g = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i X_n^i$ with $a_i \in R'$. For some $d \geq 0$, suppose that $a_d \in R'$ is a unit, and that $a_i \in R'$ is *not* a unit for all $i < d$. Then, for any $f \in R$, there exist unique $q \in R$ and $r \in R'[\![X_n]\!]$ such that $f = gq + r$ and the top degree of r as a polynomial in X_n is less than d .

- (a) Show the theorem in the very special case $g = X_n^d$.
- (b) Show the theorem in the special case $a_i = 0$ for all $i < d$.
- (c) Show the uniqueness part of the theorem.⁴
- (d) Show the existence part of the theorem.⁵

³Hint: You might find it convenient to show that $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ for some n , and then show that $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$

⁴Hint: For an element of R' or of R , write ord' for the order in the X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} variables; that is, the lowest total X_1, \dots, X_{n-1} -degree of a nonzero term (not counting X_n in the degree). If $gq + r = 0$, write $g = \sum_i b_i X_n^i$. You might find it convenient to pick i such that $\text{ord}'(b_i)$ is minimal, and in case of a tie, choose the smallest such i among these.

⁵Hint: Write $g_- = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} a_i X_n^i$ and $g_+ = \sum_{i=t}^{\infty} a_i X_n^i$. Apply (b) with g_+ instead of g , to get some q_0, r_0 ; write $f_1 = f - (q_0 g + r_0)$, and keep repeating to get a sequence of q_i 's and r_i 's. Show that $\text{ord}'(q_i), \text{ord}'(r_i) \geq i$, and use this to make sense of $q = \sum_i q_i$ and $r = \sum_i r_i$.

§1.3: ALGEBRAS

DEFINITION: Let A be a ring. An **A -algebra** is a ring R equipped with a ring homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow R$; we call ϕ the **structure morphism** of the algebra¹. A **homomorphism** of A -algebras is a ring homomorphism that is compatible with the structure morphisms; i.e., if $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ and $\psi : A \rightarrow S$ are A -algebras, then $\alpha : R \rightarrow S$ is an A -algebra homomorphism if $\alpha \circ \phi = \psi$.

UNIVERSAL PROPERTY OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS: Let² A be a ring, and $T = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. For any A -algebra R , and any collection of elements $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$, there is a unique A -algebra homomorphism $\alpha : T \rightarrow R$ such that $\alpha(X_i) = r_i$.

DEFINITION: Let A be a ring, and R be an A -algebra. Let S be a subset of R . The **subalgebra generated by S** , denoted $A[S]$, is the smallest A -subalgebra of R containing S . Equivalently³,

$$A[r_1, \dots, r_n] = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} ar_1^{d_1} \cdots r_n^{d_n} \mid a \in \phi(A) \right\}.$$

DEFINITION: Let R be an A -algebra. Let $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$. The ideal of **A -algebraic relations** on r_1, \dots, r_n is the set of polynomials $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that $f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ in R . Equivalently, the ideal of A -algebraic relations on r_1, \dots, r_n is the kernel of the homomorphism $\alpha : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R$ given by $\alpha(X_i) = r_i$. We say that a set of elements in an A -algebra is **algebraically independent over A** if it has no nonzero A -algebraic relations.

DEFINITION: A **presentation** of an A -algebra R consists of a set of generators r_1, \dots, r_n of R as an A -algebra and a set of generators $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ for the ideal of A -algebraic relations on r_1, \dots, r_n . We call f_1, \dots, f_m a set of **defining relations** for R as an A -algebra.

PROPOSITION: If R is an A -algebra, and f_1, \dots, f_m is a set of defining relations for R as an A -algebra, then $R \cong A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

(1) Let R be an A -algebra and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$.

- (a) Discuss why the equivalent characterizations in the definition of $A[r_1, \dots, r_n]$ are equivalent.
- (b) Explain why $A[r_1, \dots, r_n]$ is the image of the A -algebra homomorphism $\alpha : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R$ such that $\alpha(X_i) = r_i$.
- (c) Suppose that $R = A[r_1, \dots, r_n]$ and let f_1, \dots, f_m be a set of generators for the kernel of the map α . Explain why $R \cong A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$, i.e., why the Proposition above is true.
- (d) Suppose that R is generated as an A -algebra by a set S . Let I be an ideal of R . Explain why R/I is generated as an A -algebra by the image of S in R/I .
- (e) Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m)$, where $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over A . Find a presentation for R .

¹Note: the same R with different ϕ 's yield different A -algebras. Despite this we often say “Let R be an A -algebra” without naming the structure morphism.

²This is equally valid for polynomial rings in infinitely many variables $T = A[X_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda]$ with a tuple of elements of $\{r_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in R in bijection with the variable set. I just wrote this with finitely many variables to keep the notation for getting too overwhelming.

³Again written with a finite set just for convenience.

(2) Presentations of some subrings:

- (a) Consider the \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of \mathbb{C} generated by $\sqrt{2}$. Write the notation for this ring. Is there a more compact description of the set of elements in this ring? Find a presentation.
- (b) Same as (a) with $\sqrt[3]{2}$ instead of $\sqrt{2}$.
- (c) Let K be a field, and $T = K[X, Y]$. Come up with a concrete description of the ring $R = K[X^2, XY, Y^2] \subseteq T$, (i.e., describe in simple terms which polynomials are elements of R), and give a presentation as a K -algebra.

(3) Infinitely generated algebras:

- (a) Show that $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}[1/p \mid p \text{ is a prime number}]$.
- (b) True or false: It is a direct consequence of the conclusion of (a) and the fact that there are infinitely many primes that \mathbb{Q} is not a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra.
- (c) Given p_1, \dots, p_m prime numbers, describe the elements of $\mathbb{Z}[1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m]$ in terms of their prime factorizations. Can you ever have $\mathbb{Z}[1/p_1, \dots, 1/p_m] = \mathbb{Q}$ for a finite set of primes?
- (d) Show that \mathbb{Q} is not a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -algebra.
- (e) Show that, for a field K , the algebra $K[X, XY, XY^2, XY^3, \dots] \subseteq K[X, Y]$ is not a finitely generated K -algebra.
- (f) Show that, for a field K , the algebra $K[X, Y/X, Y/X^2, Y/X^3, \dots] \subseteq K(X, Y)$ is not a finitely generated K -algebra.

(4) More algebras:

- (a) Give two different nonisomorphic $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -algebra structures on \mathbb{C} .
- (b) Find a \mathbb{C} -algebra generating set for the ring of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ that only have terms whose total degree (X -exponent plus Y -exponent) is a multiple of three (e.g., $X^3 + \pi X^5 Y + 5$ is in while $X^3 + \pi X^4 Y + 5$ is out).
- (c) Find a \mathbb{C} -algebra presentation for $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.

(5) Let K be a field. Describe which elements are in the K -algebra $K[X, X^{-1}] \subseteq K(X)$, and find an element of $K(X)$ not in $K[X, X^{-1}]$. Then compute⁴ a presentation for $K[X, X^{-1}]$ as a K -algebra.

(6) Can you guess defining relations for the ring in (4b)? Can you prove your guess?

⁴Hint: Note that Division does not apply. Say $X_1 \mapsto X$ and $X_2 \mapsto Y$. Show that the top X_2 -degree coefficient of an algebraic relation is a multiple of X_1 , and use this to set an induction on the top X_2 -degree.

§1.4: MODULES

EXAMPLE: For a ring R , the following are sources of modules:

- (1) The free module of n -tuples R^n , or more generally, for a set Λ , the free module

$$R^{\oplus \Lambda} = \{(r_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mid r_\lambda \neq 0 \text{ for at most finitely many } \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

- (2) Every ideal $I \subseteq R$ is a submodule of R .
- (3) Every quotient ring R/I is a quotient module of R .
- (4) If S is an R -algebra, (i.e., there is a ring homomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow S$), then S is an R -module by **restriction of scalars**: $r \cdot s := \alpha(r)s$.
- (5) More generally, if S is an R -algebra and M is an S -module, then M is also an R -module by **restriction of scalars**: $r \cdot m := \alpha(r) \cdot m$.
- (6) Given an R -module M and $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$, the **module of R -linear relations** on m_1, \dots, m_n is the set of n -tuples $[r_1, \dots, r_n]^{\text{tr}} \in R^n$ such that $\sum_i r_i m_i = 0$ in R .

DEFINITION: Let M be an R -module. Let S be a subset of M . The **submodule generated by S** , denoted¹ $\sum_{m \in S} Rm$, is the smallest R -submodule of M containing S . Equivalently,

$$\sum_{m \in S} Rm = \left\{ \sum r_i m_i \mid r_i \in R, m_i \in S \right\} \text{ is the set of } R\text{-linear combinations of elements of } S.$$

We say that S **generates** M if $M = \sum_{m \in S} Rm$.

DEFINITION: A² **presentation** of an R -algebra M consists of a set of generators m_1, \dots, m_n of M as an R -module and a set of generators $v_1, \dots, v_m \in R^n$ for the submodule of R -linear relations on m_1, \dots, m_n . We call the $n \times m$ matrix with columns v_1, \dots, v_m a **presentation matrix** for M .

LEMMA: If M is an R -module, and A an $n \times m$ presentation matrix³ for M , then $M \cong R^n/\text{im}(A)$. We call the module $R^n/\text{im}(A)$ the **cokernel** of the matrix A .

- (1)** Let M be an R -module and $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$.

- (a)** Briefly explain why the characterizations of the submodule generated by S are equivalent.
- (b)** Briefly explain why $\sum_i Rm_i$ is the image of the R -module homomorphism $\beta : R^n \rightarrow M$ such⁴ that $\beta(e_i) = m_i$.
- (c)** Let I be an ideal of R . How does a generating set of I as an ideal compare to a generating set of I as an R -module?
- (d)** Explain why the Lemma above is true.
- (e)** If M has an $a \times b$ presentation matrix A , how many generators and how many (generating) relations are in the presentation corresponding to A ?
- (f)** What is a presentation matrix for a free module?

- (2)** Describe $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

¹If $S = \{m\}$ is a singleton, we just write Rm , and if $S = \{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$, we may write $\sum_i Rm_i$.

²As written, there is a finite set of generators, and a finite set of generators for their relations. This is called a **finite presentation**. One could do the same thing with an infinite generating set and/or infinite generating set for the relations.

³ $\text{im}(A)$ denotes the **image** or column space of A in R^n . This is equal to the module generated by the columns of A .

⁴where e_i is the vector with i th entry one and all other entries zero.

(3) Module structure for polynomial rings and quotients:

- (a)** Let $R = A[X]$ be a polynomial ring. Give a generating set for R as an A -module. Is R a free A -module?
- (b)** Let $R = A[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring. Give a generating set for R as an A -module. Is R a free A -module?
- (c)** Let $R = A[X]/(f)$, where f is a monic polynomial of top degree d . Apply the Division Algorithm to show that R is a free A -module with basis $[1], [X], \dots, [X^{d-1}]$.
- (d)** Let $R = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(Y^3 - iXY + 7X^4)$. Describe R as a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module, and then give a \mathbb{C} -vector space basis.

(4) Let $R = \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $S = \mathbb{C}[X, X^{-1}] \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X)$. Find a generating set for S as an R -module. Does there exist a finite generating set for S as an R -module? Is S a free R -module?

(5) Presentations of modules: Let K be a field, and $R = K[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring.

- (a) Consider the quotient ring $K \cong R/(X, Y)$ as an R -module. Find a presentation for K as an R -module.
- (b) Consider the ideal $I = (X, Y)$ as an R -module. Find a presentation for I as an R -module.
- (c) Consider the ideal $J = (X^2, XY, Y^2)$ as an R -module. Find a presentation for J as an R -module.

(6) Let M be an R -module, $S \subseteq M$ a generating set, and $r \in R$. Show that $rM = 0$ if and only if $rm = 0$ for all $m \in S$.

(7) Let K be a field, $S = K[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring, and $R = K[X^2, XY, Y^2] \subseteq S$. Find an R -module M such that $S = R \oplus M$ as R -modules. Given a presentations for S and M as R -modules.

(8) Messing with presentation matrices: Let M be a module with an $n \times m$ presentation matrix A .

- (a) If you add a column of zeroes to A , how does M change?
- (b) If you add a row of zeroes to A , how does M change?
- (c) If you add a row and column to A , with a 1 in the corner and zeroes elsewhere in the new row and column, how does M change?
- (d) If A is a block matrix $\begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$, what does this say about M ?

§1.5: DETERMINANTS

Recall that given matrices A and B , the matrix product AB consists of linear combinations, namely: Each column of AB is a linear combinations of the columns of A , with coefficients/weights coming from the corresponding columns of B . That is,

$$(\text{col } j \text{ of } AB) = \sum_{i=1}^t b_{ij} \cdot (\text{col } i \text{ of } A);$$

note that b_{1j}, \dots, b_{tj} is the j -th column of B .

PROPERTIES OF \det : For a ring R , the determinant is a function $\det : \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R) \rightarrow R$ such that:

- (1) \det is a polynomial expression of the entries of A of degree n .
- (2) \det is a linear function of each column.
- (3) $\det(A) = 0$ if the columns are linearly dependent.
- (4) $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.
- (5) \det can be computed by Laplace expansion along a row/column.
- (6) $\det(A) = \det(A^{\text{tr}})$.
- (7) If $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, and $\phi(A)$ is the matrix obtained from A by applying ϕ to each entry, then $\det(\phi(A)) = \phi(\det(A))$.

ADJOINT TRICK: For an $n \times n$ matrix A over R ,

$$\det(A)\mathbb{1}_n = A^{\text{adj}}A = AA^{\text{adj}},$$

where $(A^{\text{adj}})_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(\text{matrix obtained from } A \text{ by removing row } j \text{ and column } i)$.

EIGENVECTOR TRICK: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, $v \in R^n$, and $r \in R$. If $Av = rv$, then $\det(r\mathbb{1}_n - A)v = 0$. Likewise, if instead v is a row vector and $vA = rv$, then $\det(r\mathbb{1}_n - A)v = 0$.

DEFINITION: Given an $n \times m$ matrix A and $1 \leq t \leq \min\{m, n\}$ the **ideal of $t \times t$ minors of A** , denoted $I_t(A)$, is the ideal generated by the determinants of all $t \times t$ submatrices of A given by choosing t rows and t columns. For $t = 0$, we set $I_0(A) = R$ and for $t > \min\{m, n\}$ we set $I_t(A) = 0$.

LEMMA: If A is an $n \times m$ matrix, B is an $m \times \ell$ matrix, and $t \leq 1$, then

- $I_{t+1}(A) \subseteq I_t(A)$
- $I_t(AB) \subseteq I_t(A) \cap I_t(B)$.

PROPOSITION: Let M be a finitely presented module. Suppose that A is an $n \times m$ presentation matrix for M . Then $I_n(A)M = 0$. Conversely, if $fM = 0$, then $f \in I_n(A)^n$.

- (1)** Let M be a module. Suppose that m_1, \dots, m_n is a generating set with corresponding presentation matrix A . Which of the following is true:

$$A \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_n \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \quad [m_1 \ \cdots \ m_n] A \stackrel{?}{=} 0.$$

Explain your answer in terms of the recollection on matrix multiplication above.

(2) Eigenvector Trick:

- (a)** What familiar fact/facts from linear algebra (over fields) is/are related to the Eigenvector Trick?
- (b)** Use the Adjoint Trick to prove the Eigenvector Trick.

(3) Show that a square matrix over a ring R is invertible if and only if its determinant is a unit.

(4) Proof of Proposition:

- (a)** First consider the case $m = n$. Show that $\det(A)$ kills each generator m_i , and conclude that $I_n(A)M = 0$.
- (b)** Now consider the case $n \leq m$. Show that for any $n \times n$ submatrix A' of A that $\det(A')M = 0$, and conclude that $I_n(A)M = 0$. What's the deal when $m < n$?
- (c)** For the “conversely” statement, show that if $fM = 0$ then there is some matrix B such that $AB = f\mathbb{1}_n$, and deduce that $f \in I_n(A)^n$.

(5) Prove the Lemma above.

(6) Prove¹ FITTING’S LEMMA: If A and B are presentation matrices for the same R -module M of size $n \times m$ and $n' \times m'$ (respectively), and $t \geq 0$, then $I_{n-t}(A) = I_{n'-t}(B)$.

¹Hint: First consider the case when the two presentations have the same generating sets, but different generating sets for the relations. Reduce to the case where $B = [A|v]$ for a single column v .

§2.6: ALGEBRA-FINITE AND MODULE-FINITE EXTENSIONS

DEFINITION: Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

- We say that ϕ is **algebra-finite**, or S is **algebra-finite** over R , if S is a finitely generated R -algebra.
- We say that ϕ is **module-finite**, or S is **module-finite** over R , if S is a finitely generated R -module.

One also often encounters the less self-explanatory terms **finite type** for algebra-finite, and **finite** for module-finite, but we will avoid these.

LEMMA: A module-finite map is algebra-finite. The converse is false.

DEFINITION: Let R be an A -algebra. We say that an element $r \in R$ is **integral** over A if r satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in A .

PROPOSITION: Let R be an A -algebra. If $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ are integral over A , then $A[r_1, \dots, r_n]$ is module-finite over A .

(1) Algebra-finite vs module-finite: Let $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$.

- (a) Agree or disagree: an A -linear combination of r_1, \dots, r_n is a special type of polynomial expression of r_1, \dots, r_n with coefficients in A .
- (b) Explain why $R = \sum_{i=1}^n Ar_i$ implies $R = A[r_1, \dots, r_n]$. Explain why module-finite implies algebra-finite.
- (c) Let $R = A[X]$ be a polynomial ring in one variable over A . Is the inclusion map $A \subseteq A[X]$ algebra-finite? Module-finite?
- (d) Give an example of a map that is module-finite (and hence also algebra-finite).
- (e) Give an example of a map that is not algebra-finite (and hence also not module-finite).

(2) Integral elements: Use the definition of integral to determine whether each is integral or not.

- (a) An indeterminate X in a polynomial ring $A[X]$, over A .
- (b) $\sqrt[3]{2}$, over \mathbb{Z} .
- (c) $\frac{1}{2}$, over \mathbb{Z} .

(3) Proof of Proposition: Let A be a ring.

- (a) Let $f \in A[X]$ be monic, and let $T = A[X]/(f)$. Explain why T is module-finite over A . What is a generating set?
- (b) Let $R = A[r]$ be an algebra generated by one element $r \in R$. Suppose that r satisfies a monic polynomial $f \in A[X]$. How is R related to the ring T as in part (a)? Must they be equal?
- (c) Show that R as in (b) is module-finite over A . What is a generating set?
- (d) Let $S = A[r_1, \dots, r_t]$ with $r_1, \dots, r_t \in S$ integral over A . Use (c) and (4b) below to show that $A \rightarrow S$ is module-finite.

(4) Finiteness conditions and compositions: Let $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ be rings.

- (a) If $R \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq T$ are algebra-finite, show¹ that the composition $R \subseteq T$ is algebra-finite.
- (b) If $R \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq T$ are module-finite, show² that the composition $R \subseteq T$ is module-finite.

¹Hint: If $S = R[s_1, \dots, s_m]$ and $T = S[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, apply the definition of “algebra generated by” to $R[s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_n] \subseteq T$. Why must the LHS contain S ? After that, why must it contain T ?

²Hint: If $S = \sum_i R s_i$ and $T = \sum_j S t_j$, use the “linear combinations” characterization of module generators to show $T = \sum_{i,j} R s_i t_j$.

- (5) Power series rings:
- Let $A \rightarrow R$ be algebra-finite. Show that R is a countably-generated A -module.
 - Let A be a ring and $R = A[[X]]$ be a power series ring over A . Show³ that R is not a countably generated A -module. Deduce that R is not algebra-finite over A .
- (6) Let $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ be rings.
- If $R \subseteq T$ is algebra-finite, must $S \subseteq T$ be? What about $R \subseteq S$?
 - If $R \subseteq T$ is module-finite, must $S \subseteq T$ be? What⁴ about $R \subseteq S$?
- (7) Let R be a ring, and M be an R -module. The **Nagata idealization** of M in R , denoted $R \ltimes M$, is the ring that
- as a set and an additive group is just $R \times M = \{(r, m) \mid r \in R, m \in M\}$, and
 - has multiplication $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn + sm)$.
- Convince yourself that $R \ltimes M$ is an R -algebra. Show that $R \subseteq R \ltimes M$ is module-finite if and only if M is a finitely generated R -module.

³Hint: Write $[g]_{\leq j}$ for the sum of terms in g of degree at most j . Suppose $R = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Af_i$, and construct $g \in R$ such that $[g]_{\leq n^2} \notin \sum_{i=1}^n A[f_i]_{\leq n^2}$.

⁴Hint: Use a problem below.

§2.7: INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

DEFINITION: Let $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ be a ring homomorphism. We say that ϕ is **integral** or that R is **integral over** A if every element of R is integral over A .

THEOREM: A homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ is module-finite if and only if it is algebra-finite and integral. In particular, every module-finite extension is integral.

COROLLARY 1: An algebra generated (as an algebra) by integral elements is integral.

COROLLARY 2: If $R \subseteq S$ is integral, and x is integral over S , then x is integral over R .

PROPOSITION: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral extension of domains. Then R is a field if and only if S is a field.

DEFINITION: Let A be a ring, and R be an A -algebra. The **integral closure** of A in R is the set of elements in R that are integral over A .

(1) Proof of Theorem:

- (a) Very briefly explain why, to prove that module-finite implies integral in general, it suffices to show the claim for an inclusion $A \subseteq R$.
- (b) Take a module generating set $\{1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ for R as an A -module, and write it as a row vector $v = [1 \ r_2 \ \dots \ r_n]$. Let $x \in R$. Explain why there is a matrix $M \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(A)$ such that $vM = xv$.
- (c) Apply a TRICK to obtain a monic polynomial over A that x satisfies.
- (d) Combine the previous parts with results from last time to complete the proof of the Theorem.

(2) Let $R = \mathbb{C}[X, X^{1/2}, X^{1/3}, \dots] \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}(X)}$, where $X^{1/n}$ is an n th root of X . Is $\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq R$ integral¹? Is it module-finite? Is it algebra-finite?

(3) Proof of Corollary 1: Let R be an A -algebra.

- (a) If $x, y \in R$ are integral over A , explain why $A[x, y] \subseteq R$ is integral over A . Now explain why $x \pm y$ and xy are integral over A .
- (b) Deduce that the integral closure of A in R is a ring, and moreover an A -subalgebra of R .
- (c) Now let S be a set of integral elements. Apply (b) to the ring $R = A[S]$ in place of R . Complete the proof of the Corollary.

(4) Proof of Proposition:

- (a) First, assume that S is a field, and let $r \in R$ be nonzero. Explain why r has an inverse in S .
- (b) Take an integral equation for $r^{-1} \in S$ over R , and solve for r^{-1} in terms of things in R . Deduce that R must also be a field.
- (c) Now, assume that R is a field, and that S is a domain, and let $s \in S$ be nonzero. Explain why $R[s]$ is a finite-dimensional vector space.
- (d) Explain why the multiplication by s map from $R[s]$ to itself is surjective. Deduce that S must also be a field.

(5) Prove Corollary 2.

¹You might find the Corollary helpful.

- (6) Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring, and $R = \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y, U, V]}{(U^2 - UX + 3X^3, V^2 - 7Y)}$. Find an equation of integral dependence for $U + V$ over A .

§2.8: UFDs AND NORMAL RINGS

DEFINITION: Let R be a domain. The **normalization** of R is the integral closure of R in $\text{Frac}(R)$. We say that R is **normal** if it is equal to its normalization, i.e., if R is integrally closed in its fraction field.

PROPOSITION: If R is a UFD, then R is normal.

LEMMA: A domain is a UFD if and only if

- (1) Every nonzero element has a factorization¹ into irreducibles, and
- (2) Every irreducible element generates a prime ideal.

THEOREM: If R is a UFD, then the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is a UFD.

- (1) Use the results above to explain why $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ (with K a field) and $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ are normal.
- (2) Prove the Proposition above.
- (3) Let K be a module-finite field extension of \mathbb{Q} . The **ring of integers** in K , sometimes denoted \mathcal{O}_K , is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in K .
 - (a) What is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$?
 - (b) For $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, show that $\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_L$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_L \supsetneq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$.
 - (c) Explain why \mathcal{O}_K is normal.
 - (d) Explain why, if $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is algebra-finite, then $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ as abelian groups for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - (e) Do we have a theorem that implies $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is algebra-finite?
- (4) Discuss the proof of the Lemma above.
- (5) Let K be a field, and $R = K[X^2, XY, Y^2] \subseteq K[X, Y]$. Prove² that R is *not* a UFD, but R is normal.
- (6) Prove the Theorem above. You might find it useful to recall the following:
GAUSS' LEMMA: Let R be a UFD and let K be the fraction field of R .
 - (a) $f \in R[X]$ is irreducible if and only if f is irreducible in $K[X]$ and the coefficients of f have no common factor.
 - (b) Let $r \in R$ be irreducible, and $f, g \in R[X]$. If r divides every coefficient of fg , then either r divides every coefficient of f , or r divides every coefficient of g .
- (7) Let R be a normal domain, and s be an element of some domain $S \supseteq R$. Let K be the fraction field of R . Show that if s is integral over R , then the minimal polynomial of s has all of its coefficients in R .

¹i.e., for any $r \in R$, there exists a unit u and a finite (possibly empty) list of irreducibles a_1, \dots, a_n such that $r = ua_1 \cdots a_n$.

²Hint: Use $K[X, Y]$ to your advantage.

§2.9: NOETHERIAN RINGS

DEFINITION: A ring R is **Noetherian** if every ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes: i.e., there is some N such that $I_n = I_N$ for all $n \geq N$.

HILBERT BASIS THEOREM: If R is a Noetherian ring, then the polynomial ring $R[X]$ and power series ring $R[[X]]$ are also Noetherian.

We will return to the proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem after discussing Noetherian modules next time.

COROLLARY: Every finitely generated algebra over a field is Noetherian.

(1) Equivalences for Noetherianity.

- (a)** Show¹ that R is Noetherian if and only if every ideal is finitely generated.
- (b)** Show² that R is Noetherian if and only if every nonempty collection of ideals has a maximal³ element.

(2) Some Noetherian rings:

- (a)** Show that fields and PIDs are Noetherian.
- (b)** Show that if R is Noetherian and $I \subseteq R$, then R/I is Noetherian.
- (c)** Is⁴ every subring of a Noetherian ring Noetherian?

(3) Use the Hilbert Basis Theorem to deduce the Corollary.

(4) Some nonNoetherian rings:

- (a)** Let K be a field. Show that $K[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ is not Noetherian.
- (b)** Let K be a field. Show that $K[X, XY, XY^2, \dots]$ is not Noetherian.
- (c)** Show that $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ is not Noetherian.

(5) Let R be a Noetherian ring. Show that for every ideal I , there is some n such that $\sqrt{I^n} \subseteq I$. In particular, there is some n such that for every nilpotent element z , $z^n = 0$.

(6) Let R be Noetherian. Show that every element of R admits a decomposition into irreducibles.

(7) Prove the principle of Noetherian induction: Let \mathcal{P} be a property of a ring. Suppose that “For every nonzero ideal I , \mathcal{P} is true for R/I implies that \mathcal{P} is true for R ” and \mathcal{P} holds for all fields. Then \mathcal{P} is true for every Noetherian ring.

- (8)** (a) Suppose that every maximal ideal of R is finitely generated. Must R be Noetherian?
 (b) Suppose that every ascending chain of prime ideals stabilizes. Must R be Noetherian?
 (c) Suppose that every prime ideal of R is finitely generated. Must R be Noetherian?

¹For the backward direction, consider $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$

²Hint: For the forward direction, show the contrapositive.

³This means that if \mathcal{S} is our collection of ideals, there is some $I \in \mathcal{S}$ such that no $J \in \mathcal{S}$ properly contains I . It does not mean that there is a maximal ideal in \mathcal{S} .

⁴Hint: Every domain has a fraction field, even the domain from (4a).

§2.10: NOETHERIAN MODULES

DEFINITION: A module is **Noetherian** if every ascending chain of submodules $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots$ eventually stabilizes: i.e., there is some N such that $M_n = M_N$ for all $n \geq N$.

THEOREM: If R is a Noetherian ring, then an R -module M is Noetherian if and only if M is finitely generated.

COROLLARY: If R is a Noetherian ring, then a submodule of a finitely generated R -module is finitely generated.

LEMMA: Let M be an R -module and $N \subseteq M$ a submodule. Let L, L' be two more submodules of M . Then $L = L'$ if and only if $L \cap N = L' \cap N$ and $\frac{L+N}{N} = \frac{L'+N}{N}$.

(1) Equivalences for Noetherianity.

- (a)** Explain why M is Noetherian if and only if every submodule of M is finitely generated.
- (b)** Explain why M is Noetherian if and only if every nonempty collection of submodules has a maximal element.

(2) Submodules and quotient modules: Let $N \subseteq M$.

- (a)** Show that if M is a Noetherian R -module, then N is a Noetherian R -module.
- (b)** Show that if M is a Noetherian R -module, then M/N is a Noetherian R -module.
- (c)** Use the Lemma above to show that if N and M/N are Noetherian R -modules, then M is a Noetherian R -module.

(3) Proof of Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a)** Explain why R is a Noetherian R -module.
- (b)** Show that R^n is a Noetherian R -module for every n .
- (c)** Deduce the Theorem above.
- (d)** Deduce the Corollary above.

(4) Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem for $R[X]$: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a)** Let I be an ideal of $R[X]$. Given a nonzero element $f \in R[X]$, set $\text{LT}(f)$ to be the leading coefficient¹ of f and $\text{LT}(0) = 0$, and let $\text{LT}(I) = \{\text{LT}(f) \mid f \in I\}$. Is $\text{LT}(I)$ an ideal of R ?
- (b)** Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R[X]$ be such that $\text{LT}(f_1), \dots, \text{LT}(f_n)$ generate $\text{LT}(I)$. Let N be the maximum of the top degrees of f_i . Show that every element of I can be written as $\sum_i r_i f_i + g$ with $r_i, g \in R[X]$ and the top degree of $g \in I$ is less than N .
- (c)** Write $R[X]_{< N}$ for the R -submodule of $R[X]$ consisting of polynomials with top degree $< N$. Show that $I \cap R[X]_{< N}$ is a finitely generated R -module.
- (d)** Complete the proof of the Theorem.

(5) Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem for $R[\![X]\!]$: How can you modify the Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem for $R[X]$ to work in the power series case? Make it happen!

(6) Prove the Lemma.

(7) Noetherianity and module-finite inclusions: Let $R \subseteq S$ be module-finite.

- (a)** Without using the Hilbert Basis Theorem, show that if R is Noetherian, then S is Noetherian.
- (b)** EAKIN-NAGATA THEOREM: Show that if S is Noetherian, then R is Noetherian.

¹That is, if $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$ and $k = \max\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$, then $\text{LT}(f) = a_k$.

§3.11: GRADED RINGS

DEFINITION:

- (1) An **\mathbb{N} -grading** on a ring R is
 - a decomposition of R as additive groups $R = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} R_d$
 - such that $x \in R_d$ and $y \in R_e$ implies $xy \in R_{d+e}$.
- (2) An **\mathbb{N} -graded ring** is a ring with an \mathbb{N} -grading.
- (3) We say that an element $x \in R$ in an \mathbb{N} -graded ring R is **homogeneous of degree d** if $x \in R_d$.
- (4) The **homogeneous decomposition** of an element $r \neq 0$ in an \mathbb{N} -graded ring is the sum

$$r = r_{d_1} + \cdots + r_{d_k} \quad \text{where } r_{d_i} \neq 0 \text{ homogeneous of degree } d_i \text{ and } d_1 < \cdots < d_k.$$

The element r_{d_i} is the **homogeneous component r of degree d_i** .

- (5) An ideal I in an \mathbb{N} -graded ring is **homogeneous** if $r \in I$ implies every homogenous component of r is in I . Equivalently, I is homogeneous if it can be generated by homogeneous elements.
- (6) A homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ between \mathbb{N} -graded rings is **graded** if $\phi(R_d) \subseteq S_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

DEFINITION: For an abelian semigroup $(G, +)$, one defines **G -grading** as above with G in place of \mathbb{N} and $g \in G$ in place of $d \geq 0$. The other definitions above make sense in this context.

DEFINITION: Let K be a field, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let G be a group acting on R so that for every $g \in G$, $r \mapsto g \cdot r$ is a K -algebra homomorphism. The **ring of invariants** of G is

$$R^G := \{r \in R \mid \text{for all } g \in G, g \cdot r = r\}.$$

(1) Basics with graded rings: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring.

- (a) If $f \in R$ is homogeneous of degree a and $g \in R$ is homogeneous of degree b , what about $f + g$ and fg ?
- (b) Translate the definition of graded ring to explain why every nonzero element has a unique homogeneous decomposition.
- (c) Does every element in R have a degree? What about “top degree” or “bottom degree”?
- (d) What is the¹ degree of zero?
- (e) Suppose that $r \in (s_1, \dots, s_m)$, and r is homogeneous of degree d , and s_i is homogeneous of degree d_i . Explain why we can write $r = \sum_i a_i s_i$ with $a_i \in R$ homogeneous of degree $d - d_i$.

(2) The standard grading on a polynomial ring: Let A be a ring.

- (a) Let $R = A[X]$. Discuss: the decomposition $R_d = A \cdot X^d$ gives an \mathbb{N} -grading on R .
- (b) Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Discuss: the decomposition

$$R_d = \sum_{d_1+\cdots+d_n=d} A \cdot X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n}$$

gives an \mathbb{N} -grading on R . What is the homogeneous decomposition of $f = X_1^3 + 2X_1X_2 - X_3^2 + 3$?

- (c) Let $R = A[\![X]\!]$. Explain why $R_n = A \cdot X^n$ does not give an \mathbb{N} -grading on R .

(3) Weighted gradings on polynomial rings: Let A be a ring, $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a) Discuss: $R_n = \sum_{d_1a_1+\cdots+d_ma_m=n} A \cdot X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_m^{d_m}$ gives an \mathbb{N} -grading of R where the degree of X_i is a_i .
- (b) Can you find a_1, a_2, a_3 such that $X_1^2 + X_2^3 + X_3^5$ is homogeneous? Of what degree?

¹Hint: This is a trick question, but specify exactly how.

- (4) The **fine grading** on polynomial rings: Let A be a ring and $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Discuss why

$$R_d = A \cdot X^d \quad \text{for } d = (d_1, \dots, d_m) \in \mathbb{N}^n, \text{ where } X^d := X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_m^{d_m}$$

yields an \mathbb{N}^m -grading on R . What are the homogeneous elements?

- (5) More basics with graded rings. Let R be \mathbb{N} -graded.

- (a) Show² that if $e \in R$ is idempotent, then e is homogeneous of degree zero. In particular, 1 is homogeneous of degree zero.
- (b) Show that R_0 is a subring of R , and each R_n is an R_0 -module.
- (c) Show that if I is homogeneous, then R/I is also \mathbb{N} -graded where $(R/I)_n$ consists of the classes of homogeneous elements of R of degree n .
- (d) Show that I is homogeneous if and only if I is generated by homogeneous elements.
- (e) Suppose that $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of K -algebras, and that R and S are \mathbb{N} -graded with K contained in R_0 and S_0 . Show that ϕ is graded if ϕ preserves degrees for all of the elements in some homogeneous generating set of R .

- (6) Semigroup rings: Let S be a subsemigroup of \mathbb{N}^n with operation $+$ and identity $(0, \dots, 0)$. The **semigroup ring** of S is

$$K[S] := \sum_{\alpha \in S} KX^\alpha \subseteq R, \quad \text{where } X^\alpha := X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

- (a) Show that $K[S]$ is a K -subalgebra that is a graded subring of R in the fine grading.
- (b) Let $S = \langle 4, 7, 9 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Draw a picture of S . What is $K[S]$?
- (c) Find a semigroup $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $K[S]$ is Noetherian, and another such that $K[S]$ is not Noetherian. Draw pictures of these semigroups.
- (d) Show that every K -subalgebra that is a graded subring of R in the fine grading is of the form $K[S]$ for some S .

- (7) Homogeneous elements: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring.

- (a) Show that R is a domain if and only if for all homogeneous elements x, y , $xy = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$.
- (b) Show that the radical of a homogeneous ideal is homogeneous.

- (8) In the setting of the definition of “ring of invariants” suppose that each $g \in G$ acts as a graded homomorphism. Show that R^G is an \mathbb{N} -graded K -subalgebra of R .

²Hint: If not, write $e = e_0 + e_d + X$ where e_0 has degree zero and e_d is the lowest nonzero positive degree component. Apply uniqueness of homogeneous decomposition to $e^2 = e$ and show that $2e_0e_d = e_0e_d \dots$

§3.12: GRADED MODULES

DEFINITION: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with graded pieces R_i . A **\mathbb{Z} -grading** on an R -module M is

- a decomposition of M as additive groups $M = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} M_e$
- such that $r \in R_d$ and $m \in M_e$ implies $rm \in M_{d+e}$.

An **\mathbb{Z} -graded module** is a module with a \mathbb{Z} -grading. As with rings, we have the notions of **homogeneous** elements of M , the **degree** of a homogeneous element, **homogeneous decomposition** of an arbitrary element of M . A homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ between graded modules is **degree-preserving** if $\phi(M_e) \subseteq N_e$.

GRADED NAK 1: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring, and R_+ be the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of positive degree. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module. Suppose that $M_{\ll 0} = 0$; that is, there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M_t = 0$ for $t \leq n$. Then $M = R_+M$ implies $M = 0$.

GRADED NAK 2: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring and M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\ll 0} = 0$. Let N be a graded submodule of M . Then $M = N + R_+M$ if and only if $M = N$.

GRADED NAK 3: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring and M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\ll 0} = 0$. Then a set of homogeneous elements $S \subseteq M$ generates M if and only if the image of S in M/R_+M generates M/R_+M as a module over $R_0 \cong R/R_+$.

DEFINITION: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\ll 0} = 0$. A set S of homogeneous elements of M is a **minimal generating set** for M if the image of S in M/R_+M is an K -vector space basis.

(1) Warmup with minimal generating sets.

- (a)** Note that the definition of “minimal generating set” does not say that it is a generating set. Use Graded NAK 3 to explain why it is!
- (b)** Let K be a field and $S = K[X, Y]$. Verify that $\{X^2, XY, Y^2\}$ is a minimal generating set of the ideal I it generates in S .
- (c)** Let K be a field. Find a minimal generating set of $S = K[X, Y]$ as a module over the K -subalgebra $R = K[X + Y, XY]$.

(2) Proofs of graded NAKs:

- (a)** Prove Graded NAK 1.
- (b)** Use Graded NAK 1 to prove Graded NAK 2.
- (c)** Use Graded NAK 2 to prove Graded NAK 3.

(3) The hypotheses:

- (a)** Examine your proofs from the previous problem and verify that one direction (each) of Graded NAK 2 and Graded NAK 3 hold without assuming that R or M is graded.
- (b)** Let K be a field and $R = K[X]$ with the standard grading. Let $M = K[X]/(X - 1)$. Analyze the hypotheses and conclusion of Graded NAK 1 for this example.
- (c)** Let K be a field and $R = K[X]$ with the standard grading. Let $M = K[X, X^{-1}]$. Analyze the hypotheses and conclusion of Graded NAK 1 for this example.
- (d)** Find counterexamples to Graded NAK 3 with M is not graded or not bounded below in degree.

- (4) Minimal generating sets: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\ll 0} = 0$.
- Explain why every minimal generating set for M has the same cardinality.
 - Explain why every homogeneous generating set for M contains a minimal generating set for M . Moreover, explain why any generating set (homogeneous or not) has cardinality at least that of a minimal generating set.
 - Explain why “minimal generating set” is equivalent to “homogeneous generating set such that no proper subset generates”.
 - Give an example of a finitely generated module N over $K[X, Y]$ and two generating sets S_1, S_2 for N such that no proper subset of S_i generates N , but $|S_1| \neq |S_2|$. Compare to the statements above.
- (5) Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Suppose that $R_{\text{red}} = R/\sqrt{0}$ is a domain, and that $f \in R$ is a homogeneous nonnilpotent element of positive degree. Show that $R/(f)$ is reduced implies that R is a reduced, and hence a domain.

§3.13: FINITENESS THEOREM FOR INVARIANT RINGS

HILBERT'S FINITENESS THEOREM: Let K be a field of characteristic zero, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let G be a finite group acting on R by degree-preserving K -algebra automorphisms. Then the invariant ring R^G is algebra-finite over K .

THEOREM: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. Then R is Noetherian if and only if R_0 is Noetherian and R is algebra-finite over R_0 .

DEFINITION: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of rings. We say that R is a **direct summand** of S if there is an R -module homomorphism $\pi : S \rightarrow R$ such that $\pi|_R = \mathbb{1}_R$.

PROPOSITION: A direct summand of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.

LEMMA: Let R be a polynomial ring over a field K . If G is a group acting on R by degree-preserving K -algebra automorphisms, then

- (1) R^G is an \mathbb{N} -graded K -subalgebra of R with $(R^G)_0 = K$.
- (2) If in addition, G is finite, and $|G|$ is invertible in K , then R^G is a direct summand of R .

(1) Use the Lemma, Proposition, and Theorem to deduce Hilbert's finiteness Theorem.

(2) Proof of Theorem:

- (a)** Explain the direction (\Leftarrow).
- (b)** Show that R Noetherian implies R_0 is Noetherian.
- (c)** Let f_1, \dots, f_t be a homogeneous generating set for R_+ , the ideal generated by positive degree elements of R . Show¹ by (strong) induction on d that every element of R_d is contained in $R_0[f_1, \dots, f_t]$.
- (d)** Conclude the proof of the Theorem.

(3) Proof of Proposition:

- (a)** Show that if R is a direct summand of S , and I is an ideal of R , then $IS \cap R = I$.
- (b)** Complete the proof of the proposition.

(4) Proof of Lemma part (2): Consider $r \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot r$.

(5) Let \mathcal{S}_3 denote the symmetric group on 3 letters, and let \mathcal{S}_3 act on $R = \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ by permuting variables; i.e., σ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism given by $\sigma \cdot X_i = X_{\sigma(i)}$. Show² that

$$R^{\mathcal{S}_3} = \mathbb{C}[X_1 + X_2 + X_3, X_1X_2 + X_1X_3 + X_2X_3, X_1X_2X_3]$$

and that $X_1 + X_2 + X_3, X_1X_2 + X_1X_3 + X_2X_3, X_1X_2X_3$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} . What about replacing 3 with n ?

(6) Show that a direct summand of a normal ring is normal.

¹Hint: Start by writing $h \in R_d$ as $h = \sum_i r_i f_i$ with $d = \deg(r_i) + \deg(f_i)$ for all i .

²Hint: Order the monomials of R by lexicographic (dictionary) order. Given a homogeneous invariant, can you find an element of $\mathbb{C}[X_1 + X_2 + X_3, X_1X_2 + X_1X_3 + X_2X_3, X_1X_2X_3]$ with the same “first” monomial in that order?

§3.14: REES RINGS AND THE ARTIN-REES LEMMA

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. The **Rees ring** of I is the \mathbb{N} -graded R -algebra

$$R[IT] := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d T^d = R \oplus IT \oplus I^2 T^2 \oplus \cdots$$

with multiplication determined by $(aT^d)(bT^e) = abT^{d+e}$ for $a \in I^d$, $b \in I^e$ (and extended by the distributive law for nonhomogeneous elements). Here I^n means the n th power of the ideal I in R , and T is an indeterminate. Equivalently, $R[IT]$ is the R -subalgebra of the polynomial ring $R[T]$ generated by IT , with $R[T]$ is given the standard grading $R[T]_d = R \cdot T^d$.

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. The **associated graded ring** of I is the \mathbb{N} -graded ring

$$\text{gr}_I(R) := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (I^d / I^{d+1}) T^d = R/I \oplus (I/I^2) T \oplus (I^2/I^3) T^2 \oplus \cdots$$

with multiplication determined by $(a + I^{d+1}T^d)(b + I^{e+1}T^e) = ab + I^{d+e+1}T^{d+e}$ for $a \in I^d$, $b \in I^e$ (and extended by the distributive law). For an element $r \in R$, its **initial form** in $\text{gr}_I(R)$ is

$$r^* := \begin{cases} (r + I^{d+1})T^d & \text{if } r \in I^d \setminus I^{d+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n. \end{cases}$$

ARTIN-REES LEMMA: Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R , M a finitely generated module, and $N \subseteq M$ a submodule. Then there is a constant¹ $c \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq c$, we have $I^n M \cap N \subseteq I^{n-c} N$.

(1) Warmup with Rees rings:

- (a) Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. Show that if $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then $R[IT] = R[a_1T, \dots, a_nT]$.
- (b) Let K be a field, $R = K[X, Y]$ and $I = (X, Y)$. Find K -algebra generators for $R[IT]$, and find a relation on these generators.

(2) Warmup with associated graded rings:

- (a) Convince yourself that the multiplication given in the definition of $\text{gr}_I(R)$ is well-defined. After doing this, do *not* use coset notation for elements of $\text{gr}_I(R)$ and instead write a typical homogeneous element as something like $\bar{r} T^d$.
- (b) Let K be a field, $R = K[X, Y]$, and $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)$. Show that $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)_d \cong R_d$ as K -vector spaces, and construct a ring isomorphism $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong R$.
- (c) For the same R , show that the map $R \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ given by $r \mapsto r^*$ is *not* a ring homomorphism.
- (d) Let K be a field, $R = K[\![X, Y]\!]$, and $\mathfrak{m} = (X, Y)$. Show² that $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong K[X, Y]$.
- (e) What happens in (b) and (d) if we have n variables instead of 2?

(3) Consider the special case of Artin-Rees where $M = R$, and $I = (f)$ and $N = (g)$.

- (a) What does Artin-Rees say in this setting? Express your answer in terms of “divides”.
- (b) Take $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Does $c = 0$ “work” for every $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}$? Can you find a sequence of examples requiring arbitrarily large values of c ?

¹The constant c depends on I , M , and N but works for all n .

²Yes, the brackets changed. This is not a typo!

- (4) Proof of Artin-Rees: Let R be a Noetherian ring, and I be an ideal.
- Explain why $R[IT]$ is a Noetherian ring.
 - Let $M = \sum_i Rm_i$ be a finitely generated R -module. Set $\mathcal{M} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n MT^n$. Show that this is a graded $R[IT]$ -module, and that $\mathcal{M} = \sum_i R[IT] \cdot m_i$, where in the last equality we consider m_i as the element $m_i T^0 \in \mathcal{M}_0$.
 - Given a submodule N of M , set $\mathcal{N} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I^n M \cap N)T^n \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Show that \mathcal{N} is a graded $R[IT]$ -submodule of \mathcal{M} .
 - Show that there exist $n_1, \dots, n_k \in N$ and $c_1, \dots, c_k \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{N} = \sum_j R[It] \cdot n_j T^{c_j}$.
 - Show that $c := \max\{c_j\}$ satisfies the conclusion of the Artin-Rees Lemma.
- (5) Presentations of associated graded rings: Let R be a ring and I, J be ideals. Set $\text{in}_I(J)$ to be the ideal of $\text{gr}_I(R)$ generated by $\{a^* \mid a \in J\}$.
- Show that $\text{gr}_I(R/J) \cong \text{gr}_I(R)/\text{in}(J)$.
 - If $J = (f)$ is a principal ideal, show that $\text{in}_I(J) = (f^*)$.
 - Is $\text{in}_I((f_1, \dots, f_t)) = (f_1^*, \dots, f_t^*)$ in general?
 - Compute $\text{gr}_{(x,y,z)} \left(\frac{K[\![X, Y, Z]\!]}{(X^2 + XY + Y^3 + Z^7)} \right)$.
- (6) Properties of associated graded rings: Let R be a ring and I be an ideal such that $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0$.
- Show that if $\text{gr}_I(R)$ is a domain, then so is R .
 - Show that if $\text{gr}_I(R)$ is reduced, then so is R .
 - What about the converses of these statements?
- (7) Show that for the ideal $I = (X, Y)^2$ in $R = K[X, Y]$, the Rees ring $R[IT]$ has defining relations of degree greater than one.

§4.15: NOETHER NORMALIZATION AND ZARISKI'S LEMMA

NOETHER NORMALIZATION: Let K be a field, and R be a finitely-generated K -algebra. Then there exists a finite¹ set of elements $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R$ that are algebraically independent over K such that $K[f_1, \dots, f_m] \subseteq R$ is module-finite; equivalently, there is a module-finite injective K -algebra map from a polynomial ring $K[X_1, \dots, X_m] \hookrightarrow R$. Such a ring S is called a **Noether normalization** for R .

LEMMA: Let A be a ring, and $F \in R := A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a nonzero polynomial. Then there exists an A -algebra automorphism ϕ of R such that $\phi(F)$, viewed as a polynomial in X_n with coefficients in $A[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$, has top degree term aX_n^t for some $a \in A \setminus 0$ and $t \geq 0$.

- If $A = K$ is an infinite field, one can take $\phi(X_n) = X_n$ and $\phi(X_i) = X_i + \lambda_i X_n$ for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in K$.
- In general, if the top degree of F (with respect to the standard grading) is D , one can take $\phi(X_n) = X_n$ and $\phi(X_i) = X_i + X_n^{D^{n-i}}$ for $i < n$.

ZARISKI'S LEMMA: An algebra-finite extension of fields is module-finite.

USEFUL VARIATIONS ON NOETHER NORMALIZATION:

- **NN FOR DOMAINS:** Let $A \subseteq R$ be an algebra-finite inclusion of domains². Then there exists $a \in A \setminus 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R[1/a]$ that are algebraically independent over $A[1/a]$ such that $A[1/a][f_1, \dots, f_m] \subseteq R[1/a]$ is module-finite.
- **GRADED NN:** Let K be an infinite field, and R be a standard graded K -algebra. Then there exist algebraically independent elements $L_1, \dots, L_m \in R_1$ such that $K[L_1, \dots, L_m] \subseteq R$ is module-finite.
- **NN FOR POWER SERIES:** Let K be an infinite field, and $R = K\llbracket X_1, \dots, X_n \rrbracket / I$. Then there exists a module-finite injection $K\llbracket Y_1, \dots, Y_m \rrbracket \hookrightarrow R$ for some power series ring in m variables.

(1) Examples of Noether normalizations: Let K be a field.

- (a) Show that $K[x, y]$ is a Noether normalization of $R = \frac{K[X, Y, Z]}{(X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3)}$, where x, y are the classes of X and Y in R , respectively.
- (b) Show that $K[x]$ is *not* a Noether normalization of $R = \frac{K[X, Y]}{(XY)}$. Then show that $K[x+y] \subseteq R$ is a Noether normalization.
- (c) Show that $K[X^4, Y^4]$ is a Noether normalization for $R = K[X^4, X^3Y, XY^3, Y^4]$.

(2) Use Noether Normalization³ to prove Zariski's Lemma.

¹Possibly empty!

²The assumption that R is a domain is actually not necessary, but can't quite state the general statement yet. We assume that R is a domain so that there is fraction field of R in which to take $R[1/a]$.

³and a suitable fact about integral extensions...

(3) Proof of Noether Normalization (using the Lemma): Proceed by induction on the number of generators of R as a K -algebra; write $R = K[r_1, \dots, r_n]$.

- (a)** Deal with the base case $n = 0$.
- (b)** For the inductive step, first do the case that r_1, \dots, r_n are algebraically independent over K .
- (c)** Let $\alpha : K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow R$ be the K -algebra homomorphism such that $\alpha(X_i) = r_i$, and let ϕ be a K -algebra automorphism of $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Let $r'_i = \alpha(\phi(X_i))$ for each i . Explain⁴ why $R = K[r'_1, \dots, r'_n]$, and for any K -algebra relation F on r_1, \dots, r_n , the polynomial $\phi^{-1}(F)$ is a K -algebra relation on r'_1, \dots, r'_n .
- (d)** Use the Lemma to find a K -subalgebra R' of R with $n - 1$ generators such that the inclusion $R' \subseteq R$ is module-finite.
- (e)** Conclude the proof.

(4) Proof of the “general case” of the Lemma:

- (a)** Where do “base D expansions” fit in this picture?
- (b)** Consider the automorphism ϕ from the general case of the Lemma. Show that for a monomial, we have $\phi(aX_1^{d_1} \cdots X_n^{d_n})$ is a polynomial with unique highest degree term $aX_n^{d_1D^{n-1} + d_2D^{n-2} + \cdots + d_n}$.
- (c)** Can two monomials μ, ν in F , have $\phi(\mu)$ and $\phi(\nu)$ with the same highest degree term?
- (d)** Complete the proof.

(5) Variations on NN.

- (a)** Adapt the proof of NN to show Graded NN.
- (b)** Adapt the proof of NN to show NN for domains.
- (c)** Adapt the proof of NN to show NN for power series.

⁴Say α' is the K -algebra map given by $\alpha'(X_i) = r'_i$. Observe that $\alpha' = \alpha \circ \phi$. Why is this surjective?

§4.16: NULLSTELLENSATZ

DEFINITION: Let K be a field and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. For a set of polynomials $S \subseteq R$, we define the **zero-set** or **solution set** of S to be

$$\mathcal{Z}(S) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n \mid F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in S\}.$$

NULLSTELLENSATZ: Let K be an algebraically closed field, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $I = R$ is the unit ideal. Put another way, a set S of multivariate polynomials has a common zero unless there is a “certificate of infeasibility” consisting of $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$ and $r_1, \dots, r_t \in R$ such that $\sum_i r_i s_i = 1$.

PROPOSITION: Let K be an algebraically closed field, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Every maximal ideal of R is of the form $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = (X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ for some point $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$.

- (1) Draw the “real parts” of $\mathcal{Z}(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)$ and of $\mathcal{Z}(XY, XZ)$.
- (2) Explain why the Nullstellensatz is definitely false if K is assumed to *not* be algebraically closed.
- (3) Basics of \mathcal{Z} : Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring.
 - (a) Explain why, for any system of polynomial equations $F_1 = G_1, \dots, F_m = G_m$, the solution set can be written in the form $\mathcal{Z}(S)$ for some set S .
 - (b) Let $S \subseteq T$ be two sets of polynomials. Show that $\mathcal{Z}(S) \supseteq \mathcal{Z}(T)$.
 - (c) Let $I = (S)$. Show that $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}(S)$. Thus, every solution set system of any polynomial equations can be written as \mathcal{Z} of some ideal.
 - (d) Explain the following: every system of equations over a polynomial ring is equivalent to a *finite* system of equations.
- (4) Proof of Proposition and Nullstellensatz: Let K be an algebraically closed field, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring.
 - (a) Use Zariski’s Lemma to show that for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$, we have $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong K$.
 - (b) Reuse some old work to deduce the Proposition.
 - (c) Deduce the Nullstellensatz from the Proposition.
 - (d) Convince yourself that the “certificate of infeasibility” version follows from the other one.

- (5) Given a system of polynomial equations and inequations

$$(\star) \quad F_1 = 0, \dots, F_m = 0 \quad G_1 \neq 0, \dots, G_\ell \neq 0$$

come up with a system¹ of equations (\dagger) *in one extra variable* such that (\star) has a solution if and only if (\dagger) has a solution. Thus every equation-and-inequation feasibility problem is equivalent to a question of the form $\mathcal{Z}(I) \stackrel{?}{=} \emptyset$.

¹Hint: $\lambda \in K$ is nonzero if and only if there is some μ such that $\lambda\mu = 1$.

- (6) Show that any system of multivariate polynomial equations (or equations and inequations) over a field K has a solution in some extension field of L if and only if it has a solution over \overline{K} .
- (7) Let K be a field and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Let $L \supseteq K$ and $S = L[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.
- Find some f that is irreducible in R but reducible in S for some choice of $K \subseteq L$.
 - Show that if K is algebraically closed and $f \in R$ is irreducible, then it is irreducible in S .
 - Show that if K is algebraically closed and $I \subseteq R$ is prime, then IS is prime.
- (8) Show that the statement of the Nullstellensatz holds for the ring of continuous functions from $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} .

§4.17: STRONG NULLSTELLENSATZ

STRONG NULLSTELLENSATZ: Let K be an algebraically closed field, and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal and $f \in R$ a polynomial. Then

$$f \text{ vanishes at every point of } \mathcal{Z}(I) \text{ if and only if } f \in \sqrt{I}.$$

DEFINITION: Let K be a field and $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. A **subvariety** of K^n is a set of the form $\mathcal{Z}(S)$ for some set of polynomials $S \subseteq R$; i.e., a solution set of some system of polynomial equations.

COROLLARY: Let K be an algebraically closed field. There is a bijection

$$\{\text{radical ideals in } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{subvarieties of } K^n\}.$$

(1) Proof of Strong Nullstellensatz:

- (a) Show that $\mathcal{Z}(I) = \mathcal{Z}(\sqrt{I})$, and deduce the (\Leftarrow) direction.
- (b) Let Y be an extra indeterminate. Show that f vanishes on $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ implies that

$$\mathcal{Z}(I + (Yf - 1)) = \emptyset \quad \text{in } K^{n+1}.$$

- (c) What does the Nullstellensatz have to say about that?

- (d) Apply the R -algebra homomorphism $\phi : R[Y] \rightarrow \text{frac}(R)$ given by $\phi(Y) = \frac{1}{f}$ and clear denominators.

(2) Strong Nullstellensatz warmup:

- (a) Consider the ideal $I = (X^2 + Y^2) \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ and $f = X$. Discuss the hypotheses and conclusion of Strong Nullstellensatz in this example.
- (b) Show that¹ no power of $F = X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2$ is in the ideal

$$I = (X^3 - Y^2Z, Y^7 - XZ^3, 3X^5 - XYZ - 2Z^{19}) \quad \text{in the ring } \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z].$$

(3) Prove the Corollary.

(4) Let $R = \mathbb{C}[T]$ be a polynomial ring. In this problem, we will show that the ideal of \mathbb{C} -algebraic relations on the elements $\{T^2, T^3, T^4\}$ is $I = (X_1^2 - X_3, X_2^2 - X_1X_3)$.

- (a) Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[T]$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra map $X_1 \mapsto T^2, X_2 \mapsto T^3, X_3 \mapsto T^4$. Show that $I \subseteq \ker(\phi)$.
- (b) Show that $\mathcal{Z}(I) \subseteq \{(\lambda^2, \lambda^3, \lambda^4) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\ker(\phi))$, and deduce that $\ker(\phi) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.
- (c) Show that I is prime², and complete the proof.

(5) Let K be an algebraically closed field and $R = K \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ be a polynomial ring. Use the Strong Nullstellensatz to show that any polynomial $F(X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22})$ that vanishes on every matrix of rank at most one is a multiple of $\det \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

¹Hint: You just need to find one point. *One, one, one...*

²Show $\mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3]/I$ is a domain by simplifying the quotient.

- (6) We say that a subvariety of K^n is **irreducible** if it cannot be written as a union of two proper subvarieties. Show that the bijection from the Corollary restricts to a bijection

$$\{\text{prime ideals in } K[X_1, \dots, X_n]\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{irreducible subvarieties of } K^n\}.$$

- (7) Use the Strong Nullstellensatz to show that, in a finitely generated algebra over an algebraically closed field, every radical ideal can be written as an intersection of maximal ideals.

§4.18: SPECTRUM OF A RING

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring, and $I \subseteq R$ an ideal of R .

- The **spectrum** of a ring R , denoted $\text{Spec}(R)$, is the set of prime ideals of R .
- We set $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$, the set of primes containing I .
- We set $D(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$, the set of primes *not* containing I .
- More generally, for any subset $S \subseteq R$, we define $V(S)$ and $D(S)$ analogously.

DEFINITION/PROPOSITION: The collection $\{V(I) \mid I \text{ an ideal of } R\}$ is the collection of closed subsets of a topology on R , called the **Zariski topology**; equivalently, the open sets are $D(I)$ for I an ideal of R .

DEFINITION: Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then the **induced map on Spec** corresponding to ϕ is the map $\phi^* : \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ given by $\phi^*(\mathfrak{p}) := \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$.

LEMMA: Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal. Let I_λ, J be ideals.

- (1) $\sum_\lambda I_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \iff I_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } \lambda$.
- (2) $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \iff I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$
- (3) $I \cap J \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \iff I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$
- (4) $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \iff \sqrt{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$

(1) The spectrum of some reasonably small rings.

(a) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of integers.

- (i)** What are the elements of $\text{Spec}(R)$? Be careful not to forget (0) !
 - (ii)** Draw a picture $\text{Spec}(R)$ (with \dots since you can't list everything) with a line going up from \mathfrak{p} to \mathfrak{q} if $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$.
 - (iii)** Describe the sets $V(I)$ and $D(I)$ for any ideal I .
- (b)** Same questions for $R = K$ a field.
(c) Same questions for the polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{C}[X]$.
(d) Same questions¹ for the power series ring $R = K[[X]]$ for a field K .

(2) More Spectra.

(a) Let $R = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ be a polynomial ring in two variables. Find some maximal ideals, the zero ideal, and some primes that are neither. Draw a picture like the ones from the previous problem to illustrate some containments between these.

(b) Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. Use the Second Isomorphism Theorem to give a natural bijection between $\text{Spec}(R/I)$ and $V(I)$.

(c) Let $R = \frac{\mathbb{C}[X, Y]}{(XY)}$. Let $x = [X]$ and $y = [Y]$.

- (i)** Use the definition of prime ideal to show that $\text{Spec}(R) = V(x) \cup V(y)$.
- (ii)** Use the previous problem to completely describe $V(x)$ and $V(y)$.
- (iii)** Give a complete description/picture of $\text{Spec}(R)$.

¹Spoiler: The only primes are (0) and (X) . To prove it, show/recall that any nonzero series f can be written as $f = X^n u$ for some unit $u \in K[[X]]$.

(3) Let R be a ring.

(a) Show that for any subset S of R , $V(S) = V(I)$ where $I = (S)$.

(b) Translate the lemma to fill in the blanks:

$$V(I) ___ V(\sqrt{I})$$

$$D(I) ___ D(\sqrt{I})$$

$$V\left(\sum_{\lambda} I_{\lambda}\right) ___ V(I_{\lambda})$$

$$D\left(\sum_{\lambda} I_{\lambda}\right) ___ D(I_{\lambda})$$

$$V(f_1, \dots, f_n) ___ V(f_1) ___ \cdots ___ V(f_n)$$

$$D(f_1, \dots, f_n) ___ D(f_1) ___ \cdots ___ D(f_n)$$

$$V(IJ) ___ V(I) ___ V(J)$$

$$D(IJ) ___ D(I) ___ D(J)$$

$$V(I \cap J) ___ V(I) ___ V(J)$$

$$D(I \cap J) ___ D(I) ___ D(J)$$

(c) Use the above to verify that the Zariski topology indeed satisfies the axioms of a topology.

(4) The induced map on Spec : Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

(a) Show that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq S$, the ideal $\phi^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal of R .

(b) Show that for any ideal $I \in R$, we have

$$(\phi^*)^{-1}(V(I)) = V(IS) \text{ and } (\phi^*)^{-1}(D(I)) = D(IS).$$

(c) Show that ϕ^* is continuous.

(d) If $\phi : R \rightarrow R/I$ is quotient map, describe ϕ^* .

(5) Let R and S be rings. Describe $\text{Spec}(R \times S)$ in terms of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\text{Spec}(S)$.

(6) Properties of $\text{Spec}(R)$.

(a) Show that for any ring R , the space $\text{Spec}(R)$ is compact.

(b) Show that if $\text{Spec}(R)$ is Hausdorff, then every prime of R is maximal.

(c) Show that $\text{Spec}(R) \cong \text{Spec}(R/\sqrt{0})$.

(7) Let K be a field, and $R = \frac{K[X_1, X_2, \dots]}{(\{X_i - X_i X_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\})}$. Describe $\text{Spec}(R)$ as a set and as a topological space.

§4.19: SPECTRUM AND RADICAL IDEALS

FORMAL NULLSTELLENSATZ: Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and $f \in R$. Then $V(f) \supseteq V(I)$ if and only if $f \in \sqrt{I}$.

COROLLARY 1: Let R be a ring. There is a bijection

$$\{\text{radical ideals in } R\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{closed subsets of } \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and I an ideal. A **minimal prime** of I is a prime \mathfrak{p} that contains I , and is minimal among primes containing I . We write $\text{Min}(I)$ for the set of minimal primes of I .

LEMMA: Every prime that contains I contains a minimal prime of I .

COROLLARY 2: Let R be a ring and I be an ideal. Then

$$\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(I)} \mathfrak{p}.$$

DEFINITION: A subset W of a ring R is **multiplicatively closed** if $1 \in W$ and $u, v \in W$ implies $uv \in W$.

PROPOSITION: Let R be a ring and W be a multiplicatively closed subset. Then every ideal I such that $I \cap W = \emptyset$ is contained in a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset$.

(1) Proof of Formal Nullstellensatz and Corollaries.

- (a)** Show the direction (\Leftarrow) of Formal Nullstellensatz.
- (b)** Verify that $W = \{f^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a multiplicatively closed set. Then apply the Proposition to prove the direction (\Rightarrow) of Formal Nullstellesatz.
- (c)** Prove Corollary 1.
- (d)** Prove the Lemma.
- (e)** Prove Corollary 2.
- (f)** What does Corollary 2 say in the special case $I = (0)$?

(2) Use the Formal Nullstellensatz to fill in the blanks:

$$f \text{ is nilpotent} \iff V(f) = \underline{\quad} \iff D(f) = \underline{\quad}.$$

What property replaces “nilpotent” if you swap the blanks for V and D above?

(3) Prove¹ the Proposition.

(4) Let R be a ring. Show² that $\text{Spec}(R)$ is connected as a topological space if and only if $R \not\cong S \times T$ for rings³ S, T .

¹Hint: Take an ideal maximal among those that don't intersect W .

²Start with the (\Rightarrow) direction. For the other direction, use CRT.

³Recall that the zero ring is not a ring.

§5.20: LOCAL RINGS AND NAK

DEFINITION: A ring is **local** if it has a unique maximal ideal. We write (R, \mathfrak{m}) for a local ring to denote the ring R and the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} ; we may also write (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) to indicate the residue field $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$.

GENERAL NAK: Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and M be a finitely generated module. If $IM = M$, then there is some $a \in R$ such that $a \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$ and $aM = 0$.

LOCAL NAK 1: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and M be a finitely generated module. If $M = \mathfrak{m}M$, then $M = 0$.

LOCAL NAK 2: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and M be a finitely generated module. Let N be a submodule of M . Then $M = N + \mathfrak{m}M$ if and only if $M = N$.

LOCAL NAK 3: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and M be a finitely generated module. Then a set of elements $S \subseteq M$ generates M if and only if the image of S in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ generates $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as a k -vector space.

DEFINITION: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and M be a finitely generated module. A set of elements S of M is a **minimal generating set** for M if the image of S in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is a basis for $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ as a k -vector space.

(1) Local rings.

(a) Show that for a ring R the following are equivalent:

- R is a local ring.
- The set of all nonunits forms an ideal.
- The set of all nonunits is closed under addition.

(b) Show that if A is a domain then $A[X]$ is *not* a local ring.

(c) Show that if K is a field, the power series ring $R = K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ is a local ring.

(d) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime number, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ be the set of rational numbers that can be written with denominator *not* a multiple of p . Show that $(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a local ring.

(e) Show that any quotient of a local ring is also a local ring.

(2) General NAK implies Local NAKs

(a) Show that General NAK implies Local NAK 1.

(b) Briefly¹ explain why Local NAK 1 implies Local NAK 2.

(c) Briefly² explain why Local NAK 2 implies Local NAK 3.

(d) Use Local NAK 3 to briefly explain why a minimal generating set is a generating set, and that, in this setting, any generating set contains a minimal generating set.

(3) Proof of General NAK: Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^n Rm_i$. Set v to be the row vector $[m_1, \dots, m_n]$.

(a) Suppose that $IM = M$. Explain why there is an $n \times n$ matrix A with entries in I such that $vA = v$.

(b) Apply a TRICK and complete the proof.

¹Reuse an old argument in a similar setting.

²It's déjà vu all over again.

- (4) Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring, $f \in R$ not a unit, and M be a nonzero finitely generated module. Show that there is some element of M that is *not* a multiple of f .
- (5) Applications of NAK.
- Let R be a ring and I be a finitely generated ideal. Show that if $I^2 = I$ then there is some idempotent e such that $I = (e)$.
 - Find a counterexample to (a) if I is *not* assumed to be finitely generated.
 - Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M be a finitely generated module. Show that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$.
 - Find a counterexample to (c) if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is still Noetherian local but M is not finitely generated.
 - Find a counterexample to (c) if (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $M = R$, \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal, but R is not necessarily Noetherian and local.
 - Let R be a Noetherian ring, and M a finitely generated module. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ be a surjective R -module homomorphism. Show³ that ϕ must also be injective.
 - Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Suppose that $R_{\text{red}} := R/\sqrt{0}$ is a domain, and that there is some $f \in R$ such that R/fR is reduced (and nonzero). Show that R is reduced (and hence a domain).

³Hint: Take a page from the 818 playbook and give M an $R[X]$ -module structure.

§5.21: LOCALIZATION OF RINGS

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and W a multiplicatively closed subset with $0 \notin W$. The **localization** $W^{-1}R$ is the ring with

- elements equivalence classes of $(r, w) \in R \times W$, with the class of (r, w) denoted as $\frac{r}{w}$.
- with equivalence relation $\frac{s}{u} = \frac{t}{v}$ if there is some $w \in W$ such that $w(sv - tu) = 0$,
- addition given by $\frac{s}{u} + \frac{t}{v} = \frac{sv + tu}{uv}$, and
- multiplication given by $\frac{s}{u} \frac{t}{v} = \frac{st}{uv}$.

(If $0 \in W$, then $W^{-1}R := 0$, which by our convention is not a ring.)

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring.

- If $f \in R$ is nonnilpotent¹, then $R_f := \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}^{-1}R$.
- If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal then $R_{\mathfrak{p}} := (R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}R$.
- The **total quotient ring** of R is $\text{Frac}(R) := \{w \in R \mid w \text{ is a nonzerodivisor}\}^{-1}R$.

For a ring R , multiplicative set $W \not\ni 0$, and an ideal I , we define

$$W^{-1}I := \left\{ \frac{a}{w} \in W^{-1}R \mid a \in I \right\}.$$

THEOREM: Let R be a ring and W be a multiplicatively closed subset. Then the map induced on Spec corresponding to the natural map $R \rightarrow W^{-1}R$ yields a homeomorphism into its image:

$$\text{Spec}(W^{-1}R) \cong \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset\}.$$

LEMMA: Let R be a ring and W be a multiplicatively closed subset.

- (1) For any ideal $I \subseteq R$, $W^{-1}I = I(W^{-1}R)$.
- (2) For any ideal $I \subseteq R$, $W^{-1}I \cap R = \{r \in R \mid \exists w \in W : wr \in I\}$.
- (3) For any ideal $J \subseteq W^{-1}R$, $W^{-1}(J \cap R) = J$.
- (4) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ with² $\mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset$, $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is prime.

(1) Computing localizations

- (a) What is the natural ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow W^{-1}R$?
- (b) Show that the kernel of $R \rightarrow W^{-1}R$ is ${}^{W0} := \{r \in R \mid \exists w \in W : wr = 0\}$.
- (c) If every element of W is a nonzerodivisor, explain why the equivalence relation on $W^{-1}R$ simplifies to $\frac{s}{u} = \frac{t}{v}$ if and only if $sv = tu$.
- (d) If R is a domain, explain why $\text{Frac}(R)$ is the usual fraction field of R .
- (e) If R is a domain, explain why $W^{-1}R$ is a subring of the fraction field of R . Which subring?
- (f) Let $\overline{R} = R/{}^{W0}$ and \overline{W} be the image of W in \overline{R} . Show that $W^{-1}R \cong \overline{W}^{-1}\overline{R}$.

¹If f is nilpotent, $0 \in \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$ so $R_f = 0$.

²If $W \cap \mathfrak{p} \ni a$, then $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \ni \frac{a}{1}$, so $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = W^{-1}R$ is the improper ideal!

(2) Ideals in localizations: Let R be a ring and W a multiplicatively closed set.

(a) Use the Theorem to show that, if $f \in R$ is nonnilpotent, then

$$\text{Spec}(R_f) \cong D(f) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R).$$

(b) Use the Theorem to show that, if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is prime, then

$$\text{Spec}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} =: \Lambda(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Deduce that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is always a *local* ring.

(c) Draw³ a picture of $\text{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[X,Y]}{(XY)}_{(x,y)}\right)$.

(d) Use Part (3) of the Lemma to show that every ideal of $W^{-1}R$ is of the form $W^{-1}I$ for some ideal $I \subseteq R$.

(e) Use Part (3) of the Lemma to show that any localization of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.

(3) Examples of localizations

(a) Describe as concretely as possible the rings \mathbb{Z}_2 and $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ as defined above.

(b) Describe as concretely as possible the rings $K[X]_X$ and $K[X]_{(X)}$.

(c) Describe as concretely as possible the rings $K[X, Y]_X$ and $K[X, Y]_{(X)}$.

(d) Describe as concretely as possible the rings $\left(\frac{K[X,Y]}{(XY)}\right)_x$ and $\left(\frac{K[X,Y]}{(XY)}\right)_{(x)}$.

(e) Describe as concretely as possible $\left(\frac{K[X,Y]}{(X^2)}\right)_x$ and $\left(\frac{K[X,Y]}{(X^2)}\right)_{(x)}$.

(4) Prove the Lemma and the Theorem.

(5) Prove the following LEMMA: If V, W are multiplicatively closed sets, then $(VW)^{-1}R \cong (\frac{V}{1})^{-1}(W^{-1}R)$, where $(\frac{V}{1})^{-1}$ is the image of V in $W^{-1}R$.

(6) Minimal primes.

(a) Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime of R . Show that for any $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, there is some $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $n \geq 1$ such that $ua^n = 0$.

(b) Show that the set of minimal⁴ primes $\text{Min}(R)$ with the induced topology from $\text{Spec}(R)$ is Hausdorff.

(c) Let $R = K[X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots]/(\{X_iX_j \mid i \neq j\})$. Describe $\text{Min}(R)$ as a topological space.

³Recall that $\text{Spec}\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[X,Y]}{(XY)}\right)$ consists of $\{(x), (y), (x, y - \alpha), (x - \beta, y) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

⁴ $\text{Min}(R)$ denotes the set of primes of R that are minimal. This is the same as $\text{Min}(0)$ in our notation of minimal primes of an ideal; this conflict of notation is standard.

§5.22: LOCALIZATION OF MODULES

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring, M an R -module, and W a multiplicatively closed subset. The **localization** $W^{-1}M$ is the $W^{-1}R$ -module¹ with

- elements equivalence classes of $(m, w) \in M \times W$, with the class of (m, w) denoted as $\frac{m}{w}$.
- with equivalence relation $\frac{m}{u} = \frac{n}{v}$ if there is some $w \in W$ such that $w(vm - un) = 0$,
- addition given by $\frac{m}{u} + \frac{n}{v} = \frac{vm + un}{uv}$, and
- action given by $\frac{r}{u} \frac{m}{v} = \frac{rm}{uv}$.

If $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of R -modules, then the $W^{-1}R$ -module homomorphism $W^{-1}\alpha : W^{-1}M \rightarrow W^{-1}N$ is defined by $W^{-1}\alpha(\frac{m}{w}) = \frac{\alpha(m)}{w}$.

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and M a module.

- If $f \in R$, then $M_f := \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}^{-1}M$.
- If $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ is a prime ideal then $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := (R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}M$.

PROPOSITION: Let R be a ring, W a multiplicatively closed set, and $N \subseteq M$ be modules. Then

- $W^{-1}N$ is a submodule of $W^{-1}M$, and
- $W^{-1}(M/N) \cong \frac{W^{-1}M}{W^{-1}N}$.

COROLLARY: Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and W a multiplicatively closed subset. Then the map $R \rightarrow W^{-1}(R/I)$ induces an order preserving bijection

$$\text{Spec}(W^{-1}(R/I)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset\}.$$

(1) Let M be an R -module and W be a multiplicatively closed set.

- (a) What is the natural map from $M \rightarrow W^{-1}M$?
- (b) If S is a generating set for M , explain why $\frac{S}{1} = \{\frac{s}{1} \mid s \in S\}$ is a generating set for $W^{-1}M$.
- (c) Let $m \in M$. Show that $\frac{m}{u}$ is zero in $W^{-1}M$ if and only if there is some $w \in W$ such that $wm = 0$ in M .
- (d) Let $m_1, \dots, m_t \in M$ be a finite set of elements. Show that $\frac{m_1}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{m_t}{u_t} \in W^{-1}M$ are all zero if and only if there is some $w \in W$ such that $wm_i = 0$ in M for all i .
- (e) Let M be a finitely generated module. Show that $W^{-1}M = 0$ if and only if $M_w = 0$ for some $w \in W$.
- (f) Let $m \in M$ and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal. Show that $\frac{m}{1} \neq 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{ann}_R(m)$.

(2) Prove the Proposition.

(3) Corollary.

- (a) Rewrite the Corollary in the special case $W = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p} .
- (b) Use the Proposition² to justify the Corollary.

¹If $0 \in W$, then $W^{-1}R = 0$ is not a ring.

²Hint: You may want to show that, for $W \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$, $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $W^{-1}I \subseteq W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$. For this, it may help to observe that $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$. You can also use that the isomorphism from the Proposition is a ring isomorphism when R is a ring and I is an ideal.

(4) Invariance of base: Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism, and $V \subseteq R$ and $W \subseteq S$ be multiplicatively closed sets such that $\phi(V) = W$. Show that for any S -module M , $V^{-1}M \cong W^{-1}M$.

(5) I'm already local!

- (a) Suppose that the action of each $w \in W$ on M is invertible: for every $w \in W$ the map $m \mapsto mw$ is bijective. Show that $M \cong W^{-1}M$ via the natural map.
- (b) Let R be a ring, \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal (so R/\mathfrak{m} is a field), and M a module such that $\mathfrak{m}M = 0$. Show that $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by the natural map.
- (c) More generally, show that³ if for every $m \in M$ there is some n such that $\mathfrak{m}^n m = 0$, then $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

(6) Prove the following:

LEMMA: Let R be a ring, W a multiplicatively closed set. Let M be a finitely presented⁴ R -module, and N an arbitrary R -module. Then for any homomorphism of $W^{-1}R$ -modules $\beta : W^{-1}M \rightarrow W^{-1}N$, there is some $w \in W$ and some R -module homomorphism $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\beta = \frac{1}{w}W^{-1}\alpha$.

- (a) Given β , show that there exists some $u \in W$ such that for every $m \in M$, $\frac{u}{1}\beta(\frac{M}{1}) \subseteq \frac{N}{1}$.
- (b) Let m_1, \dots, m_a be a (finite) set of generators for M , and $A = [r_{ij}]$ be a corresponding (finite) matrix of relations. Let n_1, \dots, n_a be an a -tuple of elements of N . Justify: There exists an R -module homomorphism $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\alpha(m_i) = n_i$ if and only if $[n_1, \dots, n_a]A = 0$.
- (c) Complete the proof.

³Hint: Note that R/\mathfrak{m}^n is local with maximal ideal (the image of) \mathfrak{m} .

⁴This means that M admits a finite generating set for which the module of relations is also finitely generated.

§5.23: LOCAL PROPERTIES AND SUPPORT

DEFINITION: Let \mathcal{P} be a property¹ of a ring. We say that

- \mathcal{P} is **preserved by localization** if

\mathcal{P} holds for $R \implies$ for every multiplicatively closed set W , \mathcal{P} holds for $W^{-1}R$.

- \mathcal{P} is a **local property** if

\mathcal{P} holds for $R \iff$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, \mathcal{P} holds for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

One defines **preserved by localization** and **local property** for properties of modules in the same way, or for properties of a ring element (where one considers $\frac{r}{1} \in W^{-1}R$ or $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the right-hand side) or module element.

DEFINITION: The **support** of a module M is

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}.$$

PROPOSITION: If M is a finitely generated module, then $\text{Supp}(M) = V(\text{ann}_R(M))$.

(1) Let R be a ring, M be a module, and $m \in M$.

- (a)** Show that² the following are equivalent:

- (i) $m = 0$ in M ;
- (ii) $\frac{m}{1} = 0$ in $W^{-1}M$ for all multiplicatively closed $W \subseteq R$;
- (iii) $\frac{m}{1} = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$;
- (iv) $\frac{m}{1} = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.

(b) Deduce that “= 0” (as a property of a module element) is preserved by localization, and a local property.

(c) Show that the “= 0” locus (as a property of a module element) of $m \in M$ is $D(\text{ann}_R(m))$.

(2) Let R be a ring, M be a module.

(a) Show that the following are equivalent, and deduce that “= 0” (as a property of a module) is preserved by localization, and a local property.

- (i) $M = 0$
- (ii) $W^{-1}M = 0$ for all multiplicatively closed $W \subseteq R$;
- (iii) $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$;
- (iv) $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.

(b) Prove³ the Proposition.

(3) More local properties

(a) Let R be a ring and $N \subseteq M$ modules. Show⁴ that the following are equivalent, and deduce that $M = N$ for a submodule N is preserved by localization and a local property:

- (i) $M = N$.
- (ii) $W^{-1}M = W^{-1}N$ for all multiplicatively closed $W \subseteq R$;
- (iii) $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$;
- (iv) $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.

¹For example, two properties of a ring are “is reduced” or “is a domain”.

²Hint: Go (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i). For the last, If $m \neq 0$, consider a maximal ideal containing $\text{ann}_R(m)$.

³Recall that if $M = \sum_i Rm_i$ is finitely generated then $W^{-1}M = \sum_i W^{-1}R\frac{m_i}{1}$ and that an element annihilates a module if and only if it annihilates every generator in a generating set.

⁴Hint: Consider M/N .

(b) Let R be a ring. Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i) R is reduced
- (ii) $W^{-1}R$ is reduced for all multiplicatively closed $W \subseteq R$;
- (iii) $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is reduced for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (iv) $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is reduced for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.

(4) Not so local.

- (a) Show that the property R is a domain is preserved by localization.
- (b) Let K be a field and $R = K \times K$. Show that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Conclude that the property that R is a domain (or R is a field) is not a local property.

(5) More local properties, or not.

- (a) Let M be an R -module. Show that the property that M is finitely generated is preserved by localization but is not⁵ a local property.
 - (b) Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of rings. Show that the properties that $R \subseteq S$ is algebra-finite/integral/module-finite are preserved by localization on R : i.e., if one of these holds, the same holds for $W^{-1}R \subseteq W^{-1}S$ for any $W \subseteq R$ multiplicatively closed.
 - (c) Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of rings, and $s \in S$. Show that the property that $s \in S$ is integral over R is a local property on R : i.e., this holds if and only if it holds for $\frac{s}{1} \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
 - (d) Is the property that $r \in R$ is a unit a local property?
 - (e) Is the property that $r \in R$ is a zerodivisor a local property?
 - (f) Is the property that $r \in R$ is nilpotent a local property?
 - (g) Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of rings. Are the properties $R \subseteq S$ is algebra-finite/module-finite local properties on R ?
- (6) Let \mathcal{P} be a local property of a ring, and $f_1, \dots, f_t \in R$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_t) = R$. Show that if \mathcal{P} holds for each R_{f_i} , then \mathcal{P} holds for R .

⁵Hint: Consider $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[X]/(X - \alpha)$

§6.24: MINIMAL PRIMES

THEOREM: Let R be a Noetherian ring. Every ideal of R has finitely many minimal primes.

LEMMA: Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$ a finite set of incomparable prime ideals; i.e., $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for any $i \neq j$. If $I = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_t$, then $\text{Min}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t\}$.

COROLLARY: Let R be a Noetherian ring. Every radical ideal of R can be written as a finite intersection of primes in a unique way such that no term can be omitted.

(1) Minimal primes review:

- (a)** What is the intersection of all minimal primes of R ?
- (b)** What is the intersection of all minimal primes of I ?
- (c)** Explain why an arbitrary intersection of prime ideals is radical.
- (d)** Explain why any radical ideal is an intersection of prime ideals.

(2) Proof of Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a)** Suppose the conclusion is false. Explain why¹ the set of ideals that do not have finitely many minimal primes has a maximal element J .
- (b)** Explain why J is not prime.
- (c)** Explain why, if $ab \in J$, $V(J) = V(J + (a)) \cup V(J + (b))$; i.e., every prime that contains J either contains $J + (a)$ or $J + (b)$.
- (d)** Conclude the proof.

(3) In this problem, we will show that the minimal primes of

$R = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z, W]/(X^2 - Z^2, XY - ZW, Y^2 - W^2)$ are $(x-z, y-w)$ and $(x+z, y+w)$. Equivalently, we show that the minimal primes of $I = (X^2 - Z^2, XY - ZW, Y^2 - W^2)$ are $(X + Z, Y - W)$ and $(X + Z, Y + W)$.

- (a)** Factor the first and last relations to show that any prime containing I contains either $X - Z$ or $X + Z$, and also contains either $Y - W$ or $Y + W$.
- (b)** Show² that $(X - Z, Y - W) \supseteq I$ and $(X + Z, Y + W) \supseteq I$.
- (c)** Show that $XY \in (X - Z, Y + W) + I$. Deduce that any prime that contains $(X - Z, Y + W)$ and I also contains either $(X - Z, Y - W)$ or $(X + Z, Y + W)$.
- (d)** Deduce the claim.

(4) (a) Use the Theorem to show that, if R is Noetherian, a subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is closed if and only if it is a finite union of “upward intervals” $V(\mathfrak{p}_i)$.

(b) Use the Theorem to show that, if R is Noetherian, then $\text{Min}(R)$ is discrete.

(c) Prove the Lemma.

(d) Prove the Corollary.

(5) (a) Compute the minimal primes of $R = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z]/(XY, XZ, YZ)$.

(b) Compute the minimal primes of $R = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y, Z]/(X^2 - X^3, XY^3, XZ^4 - Z^4)$.

¹Warning: this looks like cause to apply Zorn’s Lemma, but that is not why.

²Hint: Sometimes if you want to show $f \in J$ it is cleanest to show $f \equiv 0 \pmod{J}$.

- (6) Let K be a field. Let $R = \frac{K[X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots]}{(\{X_i Y_i \mid i \geq 1\})}$. Compute $\text{Min}(R)$, and show that (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) is not open in $\text{Min}(R)$; in particular, $\text{Min}(R)$ is not discrete.
- (7) Let K be a field. Let $R = \frac{K[X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots]}{(\{X_i X_j - X_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\})}$. Compute $\text{Min}(R)$, and show that (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) is not open in $\text{Min}(R)$; in particular, $\text{Min}(R)$ is not discrete.

§6.25: ASSOCIATED PRIMES

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and M be a module. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R is an **associated prime** of M if $\mathfrak{p} = \text{ann}_R(m)$ for some $m \in M$. The element m is called a **witness** for the associated prime \mathfrak{p} . We write $\text{Ass}_R(M)$ for the set of associated primes of a module.

LEMMA: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a module. For any nonzero element $m \in M$, the ideal $\text{ann}_R(m)$ is contained in an associated prime of M . In particular, if $M \neq 0$, then M has an associated prime.

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. We say that an element $r \in R$ is a **zerodivisor** on M if there is some $m \in M \setminus 0$ such that $rm = 0$.

PROPOSITION: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M an R -module. The set of zerodivisors on M is the union of the associated primes of M .

THEOREM: Let R be a Noetherian ring, W be a multiplicatively closed set, and M be a module. Then

$$\text{Ass}_{W^{-1}R}(W^{-1}M) = \{W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M), \mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset\}.$$

COROLLARY: Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal. Then $\text{Min}(I) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(R/I)$.

(1) Proof of Lemma and Proposition: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a nonzero module.

- (a) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\text{ann}_R(m) \mid m \in M \setminus 0\}$. Explain why \mathcal{S} has a maximal element J .
- (b) Let $J = \text{ann}_R(m)$ and suppose that $rs \in J$ but $s \notin J$. Explain why $J = \text{ann}_R(sm)$.
- (c) Conclude the proof of the Lemma.
- (d) Deduce the Proposition from the Lemma.
- (e) What does the Proposition say in the special case when $M = R$?

(2) Working with associated primes.

- (a) Let R be a domain and M be a torsionfree module. Show that $\text{Ass}_R(M) = \{(0)\}$.
- (b) Let R be a ring and \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal. Show that for any nonzero element $\bar{r} \in R/\mathfrak{p}$ that $\text{ann}_R(\bar{r}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and use the definition to deduce that $\text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.
- (c) Let K be a field and $R = K[X, Y]/(X^2Y, XY^2)$. Use¹ the definition to show that (x, y) , (x) , and (y) are associated primes of R .
- (d) Let M be a module. Explain why $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ if and only if there is an injective R -module homomorphism $R/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow M$.

(3) Using the Theorem. Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a) Restate the Theorem in the special case $W = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ with our standard notation for this setting.
- (b) Show (either using the Theorem or 2(d) above) that $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(M)$.
- (c) Use the Theorem (and the previous part or otherwise) to prove the Corollary.
- (d) Show the more general statement: if M is a nonzero module, then the primes that are minimal within the support of I are associated to M .

¹Hint: Consider xy and y^2 .

(4) Lemma 1:

(a) Let K be a field and $R = K[X]$. Explain why

- $\text{Ass}_R(R) = \{(0)\}$
- $(X) \cong R$, so $\text{Ass}_R((X)) = \{(0)\}$,
- $\text{Ass}_R(R/(X)) = \{(X)\}$.

Does this contradict the Lemma?

(b) Show that $\text{Ass}_R(N) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(M)$.

(c) Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M) \setminus \text{Ass}_R(N)$ with witness m . Show² that $Rm \cap N = 0$, so the map $Rm \rightarrow M/N$ is injective. Deduce that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M/N)$ and complete the proof.

(5) Prove³ the prime avoidance lemma.

(6) Let K be a field and $R = K[X^2, XY, Y^2] \subseteq K[X, Y]$.

- Mark all⁴ of the points in the plane corresponding to exponent vectors of elements of R .
- Is $I = (X^2)$ a prime ideal? Is $J = (X^2, XY)$?
- Mark all of the points in the plane corresponding to exponent vectors of elements of $(X^2) \subseteq R$.
- Find and illustrate a prime filtration of R/I . Compute $\text{Ass}_R(R/I)$.
- Find and illustrate a prime filtration of R/J^2 . Compute $\text{Ass}_R(R/J^2)$.

(7) More facts about associated primes: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- Let $I \subseteq J$ be ideals. Show that $I = J$ if and only if $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = JR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(R/I)$.
- Let I, J be ideals. Show that $I \subseteq J$ if and only if $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq JR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(R/J)$.
- Let r be a nonzerodivisor. Show that $\text{Ass}_R(R/r^n) = \text{Ass}_R(R/r)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

²Note that $Rm \cong R/\mathfrak{p}$ so every nonzero element has annihilator \mathfrak{p} .

³By induction, you can find elements $a_i \in J \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} I_j$. Now consider $x = a_n + a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$.

⁴Well, enough to get the pattern at least...

- (4) The ring of Puiseux series is $R = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}[[X^{1/n}]]$: elements consist of power series with fractional exponents that have a common denominator (though different elements can have different common denominators).
- Show that every nonzero element of R can be written in the form $X^{m/n} \cdot u$ for some unit u .
 - Show that the R -module $R/(X)$ is nonzero but has no associated primes.
- (5) Proof of Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring, W be a multiplicatively closed set, and M be a module.
- Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is an associated prime of M with $W \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$, and let m be a witness for \mathfrak{p} as an associated prime of M . Show that $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is an associated prime of $W^{-1}M$ with witness $\frac{m}{1}$.
 - Suppose that $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(W^{-1}R)$ is an associated prime of $W^{-1}M$. Explain why there is a witness of the form $\frac{m}{1}$.
 - Let $\mathfrak{p} = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Explain why there exist $w_1, \dots, w_t \in W$ such that $w_i f_i m = 0$ in M for all i .
 - Show that $w_1 \cdots w_t m$ is a witness for \mathfrak{p} as an associated prime of M .
- (6) Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a module. Show that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ if and only if for every $r \in \mathfrak{p}$ and every nonzero $m \in M$, there exists some $u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ such that $urm = 0$.
- (7) Let R be a Noetherian ring. Is every minimal prime of a zerodivisor a minimal prime of R ?

§6.26: MORE ASSOCIATED PRIMES

LEMMA: Let R be a ring, and $N \subseteq M$ be modules. Then

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(N) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(N) \cup \mathrm{Ass}_R(M/N).$$

EXISTENCE OF PRIME FILTRATIONS: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a finitely generated module. Then there exists a finite chain of submodules

$$M = M_t \supsetneq M_{t-1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq M_0 = 0$$

such that for each $i = 1, \dots, t$, there is some $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Such a chain of submodules is called a **prime filtration** of M .

COROLLARY 1: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a finitely generated module. Then for any prime filtration of M , $\mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$ is a subset of the prime factors that occur in the filtration. In particular, $\mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$ is finite.

PRIME AVOIDANCE: Let R be a ring, J an ideal, and $I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots, I_t$ a finite collection of ideals with I_i prime for $i > 2$ (that is, *at most* two I_i are not prime). If $J \not\subseteq I_i$ for all i , then $J \not\subseteq \bigcup_i I_i$.

COROLLARY 2: Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a finitely generated module, and I an ideal. If every element of I is a zerodivisor on M , then there is some nonzero $m \in M$ such that $Im = 0$.

(1) Let $R = K[X, Y]$ and $M = R/(X^2Y, XY^2)$.

- (a)** Verify that $0 \subseteq Rxy \subseteq Rx \subseteq M$ is a prime filtration of M .
- (b)** In an earlier problem, we more or less showed that $\{(x), (y), (x, y)\} \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_R(M)$. Use Corollary 1 to deduce that this is an equality.

(2) Proving some Corollaries:

- (a)** Show that Corollary 1 follows from the Lemma (and Existence of Prime Filtrations).
- (b)** Write the contrapositive of the conclusion of Prime Avoidance.
- (c)** Show that Corollary 2 follows from Prime Avoidance and Corollary 1.

(3) Proof of Existence of Prime Filtrations: Let R be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated R -module.

- (a)** If $M \neq 0$, explain why you can always choose $M \supseteq M_1$ with $M_1 \cong R/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p} .
- (b)** If $M \neq M_1$, explain why¹ you can always choose $M \supseteq M_2 \supseteq M_1$ with $M_2/M_1 \cong R/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p} .
- (c)** If $M \neq M_{i-1}$ and you already have M_1, \dots, M_{i-1} , explain why you can always choose $M \supseteq M_i \supsetneq M_{i-1}$ with $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime \mathfrak{p} .
- (d)** Explain why this process has to stop, and if it stops at $i = t$, we must have $M_t = M$.

¹Hint: Consider M/M_1 and go back to the previous step.

§6.27: PRIMARY IDEALS

DEFINITION: A proper ideal I is **primary** if $rs \in I$ implies $r \in \sqrt{I}$ or $s \in I$. We say that I is **\mathfrak{p} -primary** if it is primary and $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{p}$.

LEMMA: Let R be a Noetherian ring and I an ideal. The following are equivalent:

- (i) I is primary;
- (ii) Every zerodivisor on R/I is nilpotent;
- (iii) $\text{Ass}_R(R/I)$ is a singleton.

DEFINITION: A **primary decomposition** of an ideal I is an expression of the form

$$I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$$

where each Q_i is a primary ideal.

DEFINITION: A proper ideal I is **irreducible** if $I = J_1 \cap J_2$ for some ideals J_1, J_2 implies $I = J_1$ or $I = J_2$.

THEOREM (EXISTENCE OF PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION): Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (1) Every irreducible ideal I is primary.
- (2) Every ideal can be written as a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

Hence, every ideal can be written as a finite intersection of primary ideals.

(1) Primary ideals

- (a)** Use the definition to show that a prime ideal is primary.
- (b)** Use the definition to show that the radical of a primary ideal is prime.
- (c)** Use the definition to show that for the ideal $I = (X^2, XY)$ in $R = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$, \sqrt{I} is prime but I is not primary.
- (d)** Use the definition and part (b) above to show that if R is a UFD, then a proper principal ideal (f) is primary if and only if it is not generated¹ by a power of a prime element.
- (e)** Use the Lemma to show that if $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal, then I is \mathfrak{m} -primary.

(2) Primary decompositions

- (a)** Let n be an integer. Show that if $n = \pm p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_m^{e_m}$ is the prime factorization of n , then

$$(n) = (p_1^{e_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (p_m^{e_m})$$

is a primary decomposition of (n) in \mathbb{Z} .

- (b)** Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be a radical ideal. Give a recipe for a primary decomposition of I in terms of other named things pertaining to I .

(3) Prove² the Lemma.

¹Note that if (f) is not generated by a power of a prime element, then f has nonassociate irreducible factors.

²Hint: For (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii), recall that the set elements of R that are zerodivisors modulo I is the union of the associated primes of R/I and the set of elements that are nilpotent modulo I is the intersection of minimal primes of I .

(4) Proof of Existence of Primary Decompositions:

- (a) Prove³ part (2) of the Theorem.
- (b) Suppose that $xy \in Q$ with $x \notin Q$ and $y \notin \sqrt{Q}$. Explain why there is some $n \geq 1$ such that $(Q : y^n) = (Q : y^{n+1})$.
- (c) Show that $Q = (Q, x) \cap (Q, y^n)$ and deduce part (1) of the Theorem.

(5) More examples: Let K be a field.

- (a) Show that $(X^2, XY, Y^2) \subseteq K[X, Y]$ is primary but not irreducible.
- (b) Show that (X^2, XY, Y^3) is primary, but not a power of a prime.
- (c) Show that $(X^2, XY)^2 \subseteq K[X^2, XY, Y^2]$ is a power of a prime but not primary.

(6) Let R be a Noetherian ring and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal. Show that there is an order-preserving bijection

$$\{\mathfrak{p}\text{-primary ideals of } R\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{ideals of } (R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ with radical } \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

(7) Let R be a Noetherian ring. Show that I is irreducible if and only if it is primary (with radical \mathfrak{p}) and $\frac{IR_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a one-dimensional $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -vectorspace.

³Imitate the proof of finiteness of minimal primes.

§6.28: UNIQUENESS OF PRIMARY DECOMPOSITIONS

DEFINITION: A **minimal primary decomposition** of an ideal I is a primary decomposition

$$I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$$

such that $Q_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$, and $\sqrt{Q_i} \neq \sqrt{Q_j}$ for $i \neq j$.

THEOREM (FIRST UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION): Let R be a Noetherian ring and I an ideal. Let

$$I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$$

be a minimal primary decomposition of I . Then

$$\{\sqrt{Q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{Q_n}\} = \text{Ass}_R(R/I).$$

In particular, the set of primes occurring as the radicals of the primary components are uniquely determined.

THEOREM (SECOND UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION): Let R be a Noetherian ring and I an ideal. Let

$$I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$$

be a minimal primary decomposition of I . Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{Q_i}$ is a *minimal* prime of I . Then $Q_i = IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R$. In particular, the primary components corresponding to the minimal primes are uniquely determined.

LEMMA: Let I_1, \dots, I_t be ideals. Then

- (1) for any multiplicatively closed set W , $W^{-1}(I_1 \cap \cdots \cap I_t) = W^{-1}I_1 \cap \cdots \cap W^{-1}I_t$.
- (2) $\text{Ass}_R(R/\bigcap_{i=1}^t I_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^t \text{Ass}_R(R/I_i)$.

(1) Uniqueness theorems:

- (a) Let K be a field, $R = K[X, Y]$ a polynomial ring, and $I = (X^2, XY)$. Verify¹ that $I = (X) \cap (X^2, Y) = (X) \cap (X^2, XY, Y^2)$ gives two different minimal primary decompositions of I .
- (b) In the previous part, which aspects of the decomposition are the same, and which are different. Compare with the uniqueness theorems.
- (c) Use the uniqueness theorems to explain why, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with prime factorization $n = \pm p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_m^{e_m}$, the *only*² minimal primary decomposition of (n) is

$$(n) = (p_1^{e_1}) \cap \cdots \cap (p_m^{e_m}).$$

(2) Minimal primary decompositions: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a) Use the Lemma to explain why a finite intersection of \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals is \mathfrak{p} -primary.
- (b) Explain how to turn a general $I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_m$ primary decomposition into a minimal primary decomposition.

¹You can take for granted that in each case the intersection is I , but explain why the ideals are primary and the minimality hypotheses hold.

²We don't care about the order.

(3) Proof of Second Uniqueness Theorem:

- (a) Use the definition of primary to show that if Q is \mathfrak{p} -primary, then $QR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R = Q$.
- (b) Show³ that if Q is \mathfrak{q} -primary and $\mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $QR_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- (c) Let R be Noetherian and $I = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be a minimal primary decomposition, and $\mathfrak{p} = \sqrt{Q_i}$ a minimal prime of I . Use the Lemma to show that $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = Q_iR_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- (d) Complete the proof.

(4) Proof of First Uniqueness Theorem: Let R be Noetherian and $I = Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ be a minimal primary decomposition.

- (a) Use the Lemma to prove that $\text{Ass}_R(R/I) \subseteq \{\sqrt{Q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{Q_n}\}$.
- (b) Set $J_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} Q_j$. Explain why it suffices to show that $\text{Ass}_R(J_i/I) = \{\sqrt{Q_i}\}$ to establish the other containment.
- (c) Let \mathfrak{q} be an associated prime of J_i/I and $r \in R$ such that $\bar{r} \in J_i/I$ is a witness (and in particular, nonzero). Show that $Q_i \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ and deduce that $\sqrt{Q_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$.
- (d) Use the definition of primary to show that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \sqrt{Q_i}$, and conclude the proof.

(5) Prove the Lemma.

(6) Let R be a Noetherian ring, and I be an ideal. Consider a collection of minimal primary decompositions of I :

$$I = \mathfrak{q}_{1,\alpha} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{s,\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in \Lambda$$

where, for each α , $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{i,\alpha}} = \mathfrak{p}_i$.

- (a) Suppose that \mathfrak{p}_j is not contained in any other associated prime of I , and let $W = R \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{p}_i$. Find some minimal primary decompositions of $I(W^{-1}R) \cap R$.
- (b) Show (by induction on s) that if we take components $\mathfrak{q}_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{s,\alpha_s}$ from different primary decompositions of I , that we can put them together to get a primary decomposition of I ; namely $I = \mathfrak{q}_{1,\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{s,\alpha_s}$.

³One possibility is to consider the support of R/Q .

§7.29: DIMENSION AND HEIGHT

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring.

- A **chain of primes of length n** is

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec}(R).$$

We may say this chain is **from** \mathfrak{p}_0 and/or **to** \mathfrak{p}_n to indicate the minimal and/or maximal elements.

- A chain of primes as above is **saturated** if for each i , there is no prime \mathfrak{q} such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$.
- The **dimension** of R is

$$\dim(R) := \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there is a chain of primes of length } n \text{ in } \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

- The **height** of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ is

$$\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) := \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there is a chain of primes to } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of length } n \text{ in } \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

- The **height** of an arbitrary proper ideal $I \subseteq R$ is

$$\text{height}(I) := \inf\{\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(I)\}.$$

(1) Let K be field. Use the definition of dimension to prove the following:

- (a) $\dim(K) = 0$.
- (b) If R is a PID, but not a field, then $\dim(R) = 1$.
- (c) $\dim(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]) \geq n$.
- (d) $\dim(K[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]) \geq n$.
- (e) $\dim(K[X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots]) = \infty$.

(2) Let R be a ring, I an ideal, and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal. Use the definitions to prove the following:

- (a) $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$.
- (b) $\text{height}(I) = 0$ if and only if $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$.
- (c) If R is a domain and $I \neq 0$, then $\text{height}(I) > 0$.
- (d) $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there is a chain of primes of length } n \text{ in } V(\mathfrak{p})\}$.
- (e) $\dim(R/I) = \sup\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there is a chain of primes of length } n \text{ in } V(I)\}$.
- (f) If R is a domain and $I \neq 0$, and $\dim(R) < \infty$, then $\dim(R/I) < \dim(R)$.
- (g) $\dim(R) = \sup\{\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)\}$.
- (h) $\dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (i) $\dim(R) = \sup\{\dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \mid \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)\}$.
- (j) $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \sup \left\{ n \geq 0 \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a chain of primes of length } n \\ \text{in } \text{Spec}(R) \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } i \end{array} \right\}$
- (k) $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim(R)$.
- (l) $\text{height}(I) + \dim(R/I) \leq \sup \left\{ n \geq 0 \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there is a chain of primes of length } n \\ \text{in } \text{Spec}(R) \text{ such that } \mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Min}(I) \text{ for some } i \end{array} \right\}$.
- (m) $\text{height}(I) + \dim(R/I) \leq \dim(R)$.

(3) Dimension vs height

- (a) Let K be a field and $R = K[X, Y, Z]/(XY, XZ)$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (y, z)$. Compute $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$ and $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$, and show that $\dim(R) \geq 2$.
- (b) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[X]$. Let $\mathfrak{p} = (2X - 1)$. Compute $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$ and¹ $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$, and show that $\dim(R) \geq 2$.

(4) Let R be a domain. Show that R is a UFD if and only if every prime ideal of height one is principal.

¹You can use the next problem if you like.

- (5) Does it follow from the definition that in a Noetherian ring, every prime has finite height?
- (6) In this problem we will construct a Noetherian ring of infinite dimension. Let K be a field, $S = K[X_{1,1}, X_{2,1}, X_{2,2}, X_{3,1}, X_{3,2}, X_{3,3}, \dots]$, and $W = S \setminus \bigcup_t (X_{t,1}, \dots, X_{t,t})$.
- Let A be a ring. Suppose that $\text{Max}(A)$ is finite, $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Noetherian for every $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(A)$, and every nonzero element is contained in finitely many maximal ideals. Show that A is Noetherian.
 - Let $\mathfrak{p}_t = (X_{t,1}, \dots, X_{t,t})$ for $t \geq 1$. Let I be an ideal. Show that if $I \subseteq \bigcup_{t \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_t$, then there is² some $t \geq 1$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_t$.
 - Show that $R := W^{-1}S$ is Noetherian and infinite dimensional.

²Note that this looks similar to prime avoidance, but with an infinite set of primes. For $f \in S$, let $v(f) := \{t \mid f \in \mathfrak{p}_t\}$. Show that for any $f, g \in I$, there is some $h \in I$ with $v(h) \subseteq v(f) \cup v(g)$. Then apply prime avoidance.

§7.30: COHEN-SEIDENBERG THEOREMS: APPLICATIONS

LYING OVER: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion. Then the induced map $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is surjective. That is, for any prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$; i.e., a prime *lying over* \mathfrak{p} .

INCOMPARABILITY: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such¹ that $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap R$, we have $\mathfrak{q}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$. That is, any two primes lying over the same prime are *incomparable*.

GOING UP: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$ in $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$, there is some $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$.

GOING DOWN: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion of domains, and assume that R is normal. Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$ in $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$, there is some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$.

COROLLARY: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral.

- (1) If S is Noetherian, then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, the set of primes in S that contract to \mathfrak{p} is finite.
- (2) If $R \subseteq S$ is an inclusion, and S is Noetherian, then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, the set of primes in S that contract to \mathfrak{p} is nonempty and finite.
- (3) For any $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$, we have $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \text{height}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R)$.
- (4) $\dim(S) \leq \dim(R)$.
- (5) If $R \subseteq S$ is an inclusion, then $\dim(R) = \dim(S)$.
- (6) If $R \subseteq S$ is an inclusion, R is a normal domain, and S is a domain, then for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$, we have $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R)$.

(1) Hypotheses of Lying Over and Incomparability:

- (a) Consider the inclusion map $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. Show that the conclusion of Lying Over fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (b) Consider the quotient map $\mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Show that the conclusion of Lying Over fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (c) Consider the inclusion map $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]$. Show that the conclusion of Incomparability fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (d) Consider the inclusion map $R := \mathbb{C}[X^2] \subseteq S := \mathbb{C}[X]$. Describe all of the primes \mathfrak{q}_i that contract to $\mathfrak{p} := (X^2 - 1)R$. Verify the conclusions on Incomparability and Lying Over for \mathfrak{p} and the \mathfrak{q}_i .

¹Reminder: by abuse of notation, even when $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is not injective, we write $\mathfrak{q} \cap R$ for $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq R$.

(2) Proof of Corollary using the theorems: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral.

(a) Use one of the Theorems above to show that for any chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n = \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{in } \operatorname{Spec}(S)$$

the containments

$$(\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap R) \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}_n \cap R) = (\mathfrak{q} \cap R) \quad \text{in } \operatorname{Spec}(R)$$

are proper. Explain why this implies Part (3).

(b) Deduce part (4) from part (3).

(c) Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion, and take a chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n \quad \text{in } \operatorname{Spec}(R).$$

Use Lying Over and Going up to find a chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n \quad \text{in } \operatorname{Spec}(S)$$

such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all i . Deduce part (5).

(d) Prove part (6).

(e) Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Show that if $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}S$, and if \mathfrak{q}_0 is some prime of S such that $\mathfrak{p}S \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, then $\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ also.

(f) Show that every prime that contracts to \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of $\mathfrak{p}S$, and deduce parts (1) and (2).

(3) Hypotheses of Going Down:

- (a) Consider the inclusion map $\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(XY, Y^2 - Y)$. Show that² the conclusion of Going Down fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (b) Consider the inclusion map $\mathbb{C}[X(1 - X), X^2(1 - X), Y, XY] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$. Show that³ the conclusion of Going Down fails. Which hypotheses are true?

²Consider $(1 - y)$, (X) , and (0) .

³Consider $(1 - X, Y)$, $(X(1 - X))$, $(X^2(1 - X))$, (Y) , (XY) , and $(1 - X, Y) \cap R$.

§7.31: COHEN-SEIDENBERG THEOREMS: PROOFS

LYING OVER: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion. Then the induced map $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is surjective. That is, for any prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, there is a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$; i.e., a prime *lying over* \mathfrak{p} .

INCOMPARABILITY: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap R$, we have $\mathfrak{q}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$. That is, any two primes lying over the same prime are *incomparable*.

GOING UP: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$ in $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$, there is some $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$.

GOING DOWN: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion of domains, and assume that R is normal. Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$ in $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$, there is some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$.

LEMMA: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion and I an ideal of R . Then any element of $s \in IS$ satisfies a monic equation over R of the form¹

$$s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0 \quad \text{with } a_i \in I \text{ for all } i.$$

(1) Proof of Lying Over from the Lemma: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion.

- (a)** Use the Lemma to show that if \mathfrak{p} is prime, then $\mathfrak{p}S \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$.
- (b)** Show that $(R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}(S/\mathfrak{p}S)$ is not the zero “ring”.
- (c)** Deduce² the Theorem.

(2) Proof of Lemma: Let $R \subseteq S$ be an integral inclusion and I an ideal of R .

- (a)** Show that if $s \in IS$, then there is a module-finite R -subalgebra of S , say T , such that $s \in IT$, so we can assume that S is module-finite.
- (b)** Write $S = \sum_i R s_i$ and $v = [s_1, \dots, s_t]$. Show that there is some $t \times t$ matrix A with entries in I such that $rv = vA$.
- (c)** Apply a TRICK and conclude the proof.

(3) Proof of Incomparability: Let $R \rightarrow S$ be integral.

- (a)** Explain³ why the Theorem is true when R is a field.
- (b)** Let \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Spec}(R)$. Use the definition to explain why the map $R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}S$ is integral, and why the map $(R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow (R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}(S/\mathfrak{p}S)$ is integral.
- (c)** Use the previous parts (plus an old bijection) to prove the Theorem.

(4) Proof of Going Up: Show that $R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{q}$ is an integral inclusion, apply Lying Over, and deduce the Theorem.

¹In fact, one can take $a_i \in I^i$ for each i by the same proof, which is often useful.

²The old bijection $\text{Spec}(W^{-1}(T/J)) \longleftrightarrow \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(T) \mid \mathfrak{q} \cap W = \emptyset \text{ and } J \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\}$ may come in handy.

³Hint: Recall an old fact about integral extensions of domains...

(5) Proof of Going Down.

- (a) Explain why it suffices to show that $(S \setminus \mathfrak{Q})(R \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathfrak{p}S$ is empty.
 - (b) Let x be an element of the intersection. Show that⁴ the minimal monic polynomial $f(x)$ of x over $\text{Frac}(R)$ has all nonleading coefficients in \mathfrak{p} .
 - (c) Write $x = rs$ with $r \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and $s \in S \setminus \mathfrak{Q}$. Show that $g(s) = f(rs)/r^n$ is the minimal polynomial of s over $\text{Frac}(R)$.
 - (d) Show that $g(s)$ has coefficients in R , and obtain a contradiction to the assumption that x was an element of the intersection.
- (6) (a) Show that if S is module-finite over R with t generators, then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, at most t distinct primes of S contract to \mathfrak{p} .
- (b) Give an example of an integral inclusion $R \subseteq S$ such that there are primes of R with arbitrarily many primes contracting to it.

⁴Hint: First show all the coefficients are in R . For this, note that every coefficient of the minimal polynomial is a polynomial expression of the roots of f in an algebraic closure of $\text{Frac}(R)$.

§7.32: NOETHER NORMALIZATION AND DIMENSION

THEOREM: Let K be a field, and R be a domain that is algebra-finite over K . Let $K[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ be a Noether normalization of R . Any saturated chain of primes from 0 to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R has length n .

COROLLARY: Let K be a field, and R be a finitely generated K -algebra. Then

- (1) For any primes $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ of R , every saturated chain of primes from \mathfrak{p} to \mathfrak{q} has the same length.
(That is, R is **catenary**).
- (2) If R is a domain, and I is an arbitrary ideal, then $\dim(R) = \dim(R/I) + \text{height}(I)$.

(1) Consequences of the Theorem: Let K be a field.

- (a) Use the Theorem to deduce that $\dim(K[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = n$.
- (b) Use the Theorem to deduce that every Noether normalization has the same number of elements.
- (c) Use part (a) above to show that the dimension of a K -algebra is at most the number of generators in an K -algebra generating set.
- (d) Use the Theorem to prove part (1) of the Corollary.

(2) Let K be a field. Use the Theorem and previous computations to compute the dimension of each of the following rings:

- (a) $\frac{K[X, Y, Z]}{(X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3)}$.
- (b) $\frac{K[X, Y]}{(XY)}$.
- (c) $K[X^4, X^3Y, XY^3, Y^4]$.

(3) Proof of Theorem: Induce on the number of elements n in a Noether normalization.

- (a) Explain the case $n = 0$.
- (b) For the general case, let $A = K[z_1, \dots, z_n] \subseteq R$ be a Noether normalization, and take a saturated chain of primes of R :

$$(0) = \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_s = \mathfrak{m}.$$

Explain why \mathfrak{p}_1 has height 1.

- (c) Explain why $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap A$ has height 1.
- (d) Explain why $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap A$ is principal.
- (e) Explain why, after a change of coordinates, we can assume that $K[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}]$ is a Noether normalization of R/\mathfrak{p}_1 .
- (f) Finish the proof.

(4) Use the Theorem to prove part (2) of the Corollary.

(5) Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n be polynomials such that $f_{m+1} \in K[X_1, \dots, X_{m+1}]$ is monic in X_{m+1} , ..., $f_n \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is monic in X_n . Show that $K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is a Noether normalization for $S = R/(f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n)$, and deduce that $\dim(S) = m$, and that $\text{height}(f_{m+1}, \dots, f_n) = n - m$.

- (6) Let K be a field, and let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of finitely generated K -algebras that are both domains. Show that for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$, $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R)$.
- (7) Let K be a field. Show that $K[\![X_1, \dots, X_n]\!]$ is a domain of dimension n .

§7.33: TRANSCENDENCE DEGREE AND DIMENSION

DEFINITION: Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of fields and let S be a subset of L .

- (1) The **subfield of L generated by K and S** , denoted $K(S)$, is the smallest subfield of L containing K and S . Equivalently, $K(S)$ is the set of elements in L that can be written as rational function expressions in S with coefficients in K .
- (2) We say that S is **algebraically independent** over K if there are nonzero polynomial relations on any finite subset of S . Equivalently, S is algebraically independent over K if, for a set of indeterminates $X = \{X_s \mid s \in S\}$, there is an isomorphism of field extensions of K between the field of rational functions $K(S)$ and $K(X)$ via $s \mapsto X_s$.
- (3) We say that S is a **transcendence basis** for L over K if S is algebraically independent over K and the field extension $K(S) \subseteq L$ is algebraic.

LEMMA: Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of fields.

- (1) Every K -algebraically independent subset of L is contained in a transcendence basis. In particular, there exists a transcendence basis for L over K .
- (2) Every transcendence basis for L over K has the same cardinality.

DEFINITION: Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of fields. The **transcendence degree** of L over K is the cardinality of a transcendence basis for L over K .

THEOREM: Let K be a field, and R be a domain that is algebra-finite over K . Then, the dimension of R is equal to the transcendence degree of $\text{Frac}(R)$ over K .

- (1) Let K be a field, and R be a domain that is algebra-finite over K .
 - (a) Explain why, if $R = K[f_1, \dots, f_m]$, then $\text{Frac}(R) = K(f_1, \dots, f_m)$.
 - (b) Show¹ that if $A = K[z_1, \dots, z_t]$ is a Noether normalization for R , then $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ forms a transcendence basis for $\text{Frac}(R)$.
 - (c) Deduce the Theorem.

- (2) Let K be a field. Use the Theorem to compute the dimension of

$$R = K[UX, UY, UZ, VX, VY, VZ] \subseteq K[U, V, X, Y, Z].$$

- (3) Let $R \subseteq S$ be domains.

- (a) Use the Theorem to prove that if $R \subseteq S$ are finitely generated algebras over some field K , then $\dim(R) \leq \dim(S)$.
 - (b) Give an example where $\dim(R) > \dim(S)$.

¹Hint: Recall that every nonzero $r \in R$ has a nonzero multiple in A .

- (4) Proof of Lemma: Let $K \subseteq L$ be fields, and S a subset of L .
- Show that S is a transcendence basis for L over K if and only if it is a maximal K -algebraically independent subset of L .
 - Deduce part (1) of the Lemma.
 - Show that, to prove part (2) (in the case of two finite transcendence bases), it suffices to show the following
EXCHANGE LEMMA: If $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ are two transcendence bases, then there is some j such that $\{x_j, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a transcendence basis.
 - In the setting of the Exchange Lemma, explain why for each j , there is some nonzero $p_j(t) \in K[y_1, \dots, y_n][t]$ such that $p_j(x_j) = 0$.
 - In the setting of the previous part, explain why there is some j such that $p_j(t) \notin K[y_2, \dots, y_n][t]$.
 - Show that the conclusion of the Exchange Lemma holds for j as in the previous part.

§8.34: SIMPLE MODULES AND LENGTH

DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and M a R -module.

- (1) M is **simple** if it is nonzero and M has no nontrivial proper submodules.
- (2) A **composition series** for M of length n is a chain of submodules

$$M = M_n \supsetneq M_{n-1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq M_0 = 0$$

with M_i/M_{i-1} simple for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The

- (3) M has **finite length** if it admits a composition series. The **length** of M , denoted $\ell_R(M)$ is the minimal length n of a composition series for M .

JORDAN-HÖLDER THEOREM: Let R be a ring, and M a module of *finite length*. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a submodule.

- (1) Any descending chain of submodules of M can be refined¹ to a composition series for M .
- (2) Every composition series for M has the same length.
- (3) If $N \subseteq M$ is any submodule, then
 - (a) N and M/N have finite length, and $\ell_R(N), \ell_R(M/N) \leq \ell_R(M)$,
 - (b) $\ell_R(N), \ell_R(M/N) < \ell_R(M)$ unless $M = N$ or $N = 0$ respectively, and
 - (c) $\ell_R(N) + \ell_R(M/N) = \ell_R(M)$.

COROLLARY: If M has finite length, then M is Noetherian and any descending chain of submodules of M stabilizes.

LEMMA: Let R be a ring. A module M is simple if and only if $M \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

PROPOSITION: Let R be a Noetherian ring, and M be a module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M has finite length,
- (2) M is finitely generated and $\text{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$,
- (3) M is finitely generated and $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$.

(1) Working with length: Let $R = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$.

- (a) Compute a composition series and find the R -module length of $M = R/(X^2 + 1, Y)$.
- (b) Compute a composition series and find the R -module length of $M = R/(X^2 + X, Y)$.
- (c) Compute a composition series and find the R -module length of $M = (X, Y)/(X^2, Y^2)$.

(2) Use the Jordan-Hölder Theorem to prove the Corollary.

(3) Proof of Proposition: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- (a) How do the concepts of “composition series” and “prime filtration” compare?
- (b) Why does having finite length imply that M is finitely generated²? What can one deduce about the associated primes of M ? Deduce (1) \Rightarrow (3).
- (c) Use the definition of support to explain why, if R/\mathfrak{p} is a factor in a prime filtration for M , then $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(M)$. Deduce (2) \Rightarrow (1).
- (d) Show (3) \Rightarrow (2) to complete the proof.

¹That is, terms can be inserted in between others in the chain to get a composition series.

²The Corollary is fair game.

(4) Show that if R is a finitely generated algebra of an algebraically closed field K , then the length of an R -module M is equal to the dimension of M as a K -vector space.

(5) Proof of Jordan-Hölder: We will show (3a), (3b) directly, then deduce (1), (2), and (3c).

(a) Let's start with deducing the other parts from (3a) and (3b). Show that (3a)+(3b) \Rightarrow (1) by inducing on length.

(b) Show that (3a) \Rightarrow (2) by induction on length: given another composition series

$$M = N_m \supsetneq N_{m-1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq N_1 \supsetneq N_0 = 0,$$

consider the case $N_{m-1} = M_{n-1}$, and in the other case, consider $K = N_{m-1} \cap M_{n-1}$.

(c) Show that (1)+(2) \Rightarrow (3c).

(d) Now we start on (3a) and (3b). Use the Second Isomorphism Theorem to show that

$$\frac{M_i \cap N}{M_{i-1} \cap N} \cong \frac{M_i \cap N + M_{i-1}}{M_{i-1}}.$$

(e) Show that N has a composition series of length at most n .

(f) Show that if the composition series you just found for N has length n , then $N = M$, so if $N \subsetneq M$, then $\ell_R(N) < \ell_R(M)$.

(g) Use the Second Isomorphism Theorem to show that

$$\frac{(M_i + N)/N}{(M_{i-1} + N)/N} \cong \frac{M_i}{M_i \cap (M_{i-1} \cap N)}.$$

(h) Show that M/N has a composition series of length at most n .

(i) Show that if the composition series you just found for M/N has length n , then $N = 0$, so if $N \neq 0$, then $\ell_R(M/N) < \ell_R(M)$. Deduce (3a) and (3b) to finish the proof.

§8.36: KRULL HEIGHT THEOREM

PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM: Let R be a Noetherian ring and $f \in R$. Then every minimal prime of (f) has height at most one.

KRULL'S HEIGHT THEOREM: Let R be a Noetherian ring and $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Then every minimal prime of I has height at most n .

(1) Use Krull's Height Theorem to deduce the following:

- (a)** Every ideal in a Noetherian ring has finite height.
- (b)** Every Noetherian local ring has finite dimension.
- (c)** If R is a finitely generated algebra over a field that is a domain, and $I = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is a proper ideal, then $\dim(R/I) \geq \dim(R) - t$.

(2) Proof of Principal Ideal Theorem:

- (a)** Suppose that the Theorem is false, so there is some Noetherian ring S , some $g \in S$, and some prime \mathfrak{q} such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}((g))$ with $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) > 1$. Show that we can then find a Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}) of dimension greater than one and some $f \in R$ such that $\text{Min}((f)) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Henceforth, we continue with this notation.
- (b)** Explain why $R/(f)$ is Artinian.
- (c)** Let \mathfrak{q} be a prime between (0) and \mathfrak{m} . Let $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^n R_{\mathfrak{q}} \cap R$; recall that, by the Second Uniqueness Theorem for Primary Decomposition, this¹ is the \mathfrak{q} -primary component of \mathfrak{q}^n in R in any primary decomposition. Explain why there exists some n such that $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} R/(f) = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+k)} R/(f)$ for all $k > 0$.
- (d)** Show² that $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} / \mathfrak{q}^{(n+k)} = f(\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} / \mathfrak{q}^{(n+k)})$ for all $k > 0$.
- (e)** Show that $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{q}^{(n+k)}$ for all $k > 0$.
- (f)** Show that $0 \neq \bigcap_{n>0} \mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ from above and $\bigcap_{n>0} \mathfrak{q}^{(n)} \subseteq \bigcap_{n>0} \mathfrak{q}^n R_{\mathfrak{q}} = 0$ from another Theorem to obtain the decisive contradiction.

(3) Proof of Krull Height Theorem: We induce on t .

- (a)** Dispatch with the base case.
- (b)** Fix a chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_h = \mathfrak{p}$$

with \mathfrak{p} a minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of $I = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Suppose that $f_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1$. Apply the inductive hypothesis to $I/(f_1)$ in $R/(f_1)$ and complete the inductive step in this case.

- (c)** Use the Principal Ideal Theorem to prove the following:
LEMMA: Let R be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{r}$ be primes and $f \in \mathfrak{r}$. Then there exists some prime \mathfrak{q}' such that $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}' \subsetneq \mathfrak{r}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{q}'$.
- (d)** Use the Lemma to complete the inductive step in the case $f_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}_1$.

(4) Let K be a field, and $R = K[X, XY, XY^2, \dots] \subseteq S = K[X, Y]$. Show that the height of $(X)R$ is two. Compare this to Krull's Height Theorem.

(5) Let R be a Noetherian ring, I be an ideal, and $f \in R$. Must one have $\text{height}(I + (f)) \leq \text{height}(I) + 1$?

(6) Let R be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ be prime ideals. Show that if there exists some prime strictly between \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} , then there exist infinitely many primes between \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} .

¹This is known as the n th *symbolic power* of \mathfrak{q} .

²Use the fact that $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$ is primary.