

# FALL 2024 MATH 325 LECTURE NOTES AND WORKSHEETS

## CONTENTS

1. Monday, August 26, 2024	§1.1	1
2. Wednesday, August 27, 2024	§1.4 & 1.5	5
3. Friday, August 29, 2024	§1.4 & 1.5	8
4. Wednesday, September 3, 2024	§1.8	11
5. Friday, September 5, 2024	§1.8	14
6. Monday, September 9, 2024	§1.9	17
7. Wednesday, September 11, 2024	§1.9	21
8. Friday, September 13, 2024	§1.7 & 1.9	23
9. Monday, September 16, 2024	§2.1	26
10. Wednesday, September 18, 2024	§2.1	29
Index		30

### 1. MONDAY, AUGUST 26, 2024 §1.1

What is a number? Certainly the things used to count sheep, money, etc. are numbers:  $1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We will call these the *natural numbers* and write  $\mathbb{N}$  for the set of all natural numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

Since we like to keep track of debts too, we'll allow negatives and 0, which gives us the *integers*:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}.$$

(The symbol  $\mathbb{Z}$  is used since the German word for number is *zahlen*.)

Fractions should count as numbers also, so that we can talk about eating one and two-thirds of a pizza last night. We define a *rational number* to be a number expressible as a quotient of two integers:  $\frac{m}{n}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \neq 0$ . For example

$$\frac{5}{3}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{2019}{2020}$$

are rational numbers. Of course, we often talk about numbers such as “two and a fourth”, but that the same as  $\frac{9}{4}$ . Every integer is a rational number just by taking 1 for the denominator; for example,  $7 = \frac{7}{1}$ . The set of all rational numbers is written as  $\mathbb{Q}$  (for “quotient”).

You might not have thought about it before, but an expression of the form  $\frac{m}{n}$  is really an “equivalence class”: the two numbers  $\frac{m}{n}$  and  $\frac{a}{b}$  are deemed equal if  $mb = na$ . For example  $\frac{6}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$  because  $6 \cdot 3 = 9 \cdot 2$ .

We'll talk more about decimals later on, but recall for now that a decimal that terminates is just another way of representing a rational number. For example, 1.9881 is equal to  $\frac{19881}{10000}$ . Less obvious is the fact that a decimal that repeats also represents a rational number: For example,  $1.333\dots$  is rational (it's equal to  $\frac{4}{3}$ ) and so is  $23.91278278278\dots$ . We'll see why this is true later in the semester.

Are these all the numbers there are? Maybe no one in this class would answer “yes”, but the ancient Greeks believed for a time that every number was rational. Let's convince ourselves, as the Greeks did eventually, that there must be numbers that are not rational. Imagine a square of side length 1. By the Pythagorean Theorem, the length of its diagonal, call this number  $c$ , must satisfy

$$c^2 = 1^2 + 1^2 = 2.$$

That is, there must be a some number whose square is 2 since certainly the length of the diagonal in such a square is representable as a number. Right? Now, let's convince ourselves that there is no *rational number* with this property. In fact, I'll make this a theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There is no rational number whose square is 2.*

**Preproof Discussion 1.** *Before launching a formal proof, let's philosophize about how one shows something does not exist. To show something does not exist, one proves that its existence is not possible. For example, I know that there must not be large clump of plutonium sewn into the mattress of my bed. I know this since, if such a clump existed, I'd be dead by now, and yet here I am, alive and well!*

*More generally and formally, one way to prove the falsity of a statement  $P$  is to argue that if we assume  $P$  to be true then we can deduce from that assumption something that is known to be false. If you can do this, then you have proven  $P$  is false. In symbols: If one can prove*

$$P \implies \text{Contradiction}$$

*then the statement  $P$  must in fact be false.*

*In the case at hand, letting  $P$  be the statement “there is a rational number whose square is 2”, the Theorem is asserting that  $P$  is false. We will prove this by assuming  $P$  is true and deriving an impossibility.*

*This is known as a proof by contradiction.*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume there were a rational number  $q$  such that  $q^2 = 2$ . By definition of “rational number”, we know that  $q$  can be written as  $\frac{m}{n}$  for some integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $n \neq 0$ . Moreover, we may assume that we have written  $q$  is reduced form so that  $m$  and  $n$  have no prime factors in common. In particular, we may assume that not both of  $m$  and  $n$  are even. (If they were both even, then we could simplify the fraction by factoring out common factors of 2's.) Since  $q^2 = 2$ ,  $\frac{m^2}{n^2} = 2$  and hence  $m^2 = 2n^2$ . In particular, this shows  $m^2$  is even and, since the square of an odd number is odd, it must be that  $m$  itself is even. So,  $m = 2a$  for some integer  $a$ . But then  $(2a)^2 = 2n^2$  and hence  $4a^2 = 2n^2$  whence  $2a^2 = n^2$ . For the same reason as before, this implies that  $n$  must be even. But this contradicts the fact that  $m$  and  $n$  are not both even.

We have reached a contradiction, and so the assumption that there is a rational number  $q$  such that  $q^2 = 2$  must be false.  $\square$

Thus, if we would like to have a number corresponding to the length of the diagonal of a square with side length one, it must be a number that is real but not rational. Let's record the common name for such a number.

**Definition 1.2.** A real number is *irrational* if it is not rational.

A version of the previous proof was known even to the ancient Greeks.

Our first major mathematical goal in the class is to make a formal definition of the real numbers. Before we do this, let's record some basic properties of the rational numbers. I'll state this as a Proposition (which is something like a minor version of a Theorem), but we won't prove them; instead, we'll take it for granted to be true based on our own past experience with numbers.

For the rational numbers, we can do arithmetic  $(+, -, \times, \div)$  and we also have a notion of size  $(<, >)$ . The first seven observations below describe the arithmetic, and the last three describe the notion of size.

**Proposition 1.3** (Arithmetic and order properties of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). *The set of rational numbers form an “ordered field”. This means that the following ten properties hold:*

- (1) *There are operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  defined on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so that if  $p, q$  are in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then so are  $p + q$  and  $p \cdot q$ .*
- (2) *Each of  $+$  and  $\cdot$  is a commutative operation (i.e.,  $p + q = q + p$  and  $p \cdot q = q \cdot p$  hold for all rational numbers  $p$  and  $q$ ).*
- (3) *Each of  $+$  and  $\cdot$  is an associative operation (i.e.,  $(p + q) + r = p + (q + r)$  and  $(p \cdot q) \cdot r = p \cdot (q \cdot r)$  hold for all rational numbers  $p, q$ , and  $r$ ).*
- (4) *The number 0 is an identity element for addition and the number 1 is an identity element for multiplication. This means that  $0 + q = q$  and  $1 \cdot q = q$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .*
- (5) *The distributive law holds:  $p \cdot (q + r) = p \cdot q + p \cdot r$  for all  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ .*
- (6) *Every number has an additive inverse: For any  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there is a number  $-p$  satisfying  $p + (-p) = 0$ .*
- (7) *Every nonzero number has a multiplicative inverse: For any  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $p \neq 0$ , there is a number  $p^{-1}$  satisfying  $p \cdot p^{-1} = 1$ .*
- (8) *There is a “total ordering”  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This means that*
  - (a) *For all  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , either  $p \leq q$  or  $q \leq p$ .*
  - (b) *If  $p \leq q$  and  $q \leq p$ , then  $p = q$ .*
  - (c) *For all  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , if  $p \leq q$  and  $q \leq r$ , then  $p \leq r$ .*
- (9) *The total ordering  $\leq$  is compatible with addition: If  $p \leq q$  then  $p + r \leq q + r$ .*
- (10) *The total ordering  $\leq$  is compatible with multiplication by non-negative numbers: If  $p \leq q$  and  $r \geq 0$  then  $pr \leq qr$ .*

Which of the properties from Proposition 1.3 does  $\mathbb{N}$  satisfy?

The commutativity, associativity, distributive law, multiplicative identity, and all of the ordering properties are true for  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We expect everything from Proposition 1.3 to be true for the real numbers. We will build them into our definition. To define the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , we take the ten properties

listed in the Proposition to be axioms. It turns out the set of real numbers satisfies one key additional property, called the *completeness axiom*, which I cannot state yet.

**Axioms.** *The set of all real numbers, written  $\mathbb{R}$ , satisfies the following eleven properties:*

- (Axiom 1) *There are operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that if  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then so are  $x + y$  and  $x \cdot y$ .*
- (Axiom 2) *Each of  $+$  and  $\cdot$  is a commutative operation.*
- (Axiom 3) *Each of  $+$  and  $\cdot$  is an associative operation.*
- (Axiom 4) *The real number 0 is an identity element for addition and the real number 1 is an identity element for multiplication. This means that  $0 + x = x$  and  $1 \cdot x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (Axiom 5) *The distributive law holds:  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (Axiom 6) *Every real number has an additive inverse: For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is a number  $-x$  satisfying  $x + (-x) = 0$ .*
- (Axiom 7) *Every nonzero real number has a multiplicative inverse: For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \neq 0$ , there is a real number  $x^{-1}$  satisfying  $x^{-1} \cdot x = 1$ .*
- (Axiom 8) *There is a “total ordering”  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This means that*
  - (a) *For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ .*
  - (b) *If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ , then  $x \leq z$ .*
  - (c) *For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$ , then  $x \leq z$ .*
- (Axiom 9) *The total ordering  $\leq$  is compatible with addition: If  $x \leq y$  then  $x + z \leq y + z$  for all  $z$ .*
- (Axiom 10) *The total ordering  $\leq$  is compatible with multiplication by nonnegative real numbers: If  $x \leq y$  and  $z \geq 0$  then  $zx \leq zy$ .*
- (Axiom 11) *The completeness axiom holds. (I will say what this means later.)*

There are many other familiar properties that are consequences of this list of axioms. As an example we can deduce the following property:

“Cancellation of Addition”: For real numbers,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $x + y = z + y$  then  $x = z$ .

Let’s prove this carefully, using just the list of axioms: Assume that  $x + y = z + y$ . Then we can add  $-y$  (which exists by Axiom 6) to both sides to get  $(x + y) + (-y) = (z + y) + (-y)$ . This can be rewritten as  $x + (y + (-y)) = z + (y + (-y))$  (Axiom 3) and hence as  $x + 0 = z + 0$  (Axiom 6), which gives  $x = z$  (Axiom 4 and Axiom 2).

For another example, we can deduce the following fact from the axioms:

For any real number  $r$ , we have  $r \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Let’s prove this carefully: Let  $r$  be any real number. We have  $0 + 0 = 0$  (Axiom 4) and hence  $r \cdot (0 + 0) = r \cdot 0$ . But  $r \cdot (0 + 0) = r \cdot 0 + r \cdot 0$  (Axiom 5) and so  $r \cdot 0 = r \cdot 0 + r \cdot 0$ . We can rewrite this as  $0 + r \cdot 0 = r \cdot 0 + r \cdot 0$  (Axiom 4). Now apply the Cancellation of Addition property (which we previously deduced from the axioms) to obtain  $0 = r \cdot 0$ .

Here is the incredible fact: everything that we know about the real numbers follows from these axioms! In particular, all of the basic facts about arithmetic of real numbers follow from this, like “the product of two negative numbers is positive,” as well as everything you encountered in Calculus, like the Mean Value Theorem.

## INDEX

$\mathbb{N}$ , 1

$\mathbb{Q}$ , 1

$\mathbb{R}$ , 4

$\mathbb{Z}$ , 1

min, 11

$\sqrt{2}$ , 2, 17

sup, 12

Archimedean principle, 19

axiom, 3

bounded above, 12

completeness axiom, 13

converges, 26

Density of rational numbers, 19

integers, 1

irrational, 3

maximum, 11

minimum, 11

natural number, 1

proof by contradiction, 2

rational number, 1

real numbers, 4

sequence, 26

supremum, 12

upper bound, 12