

## Math 325. Exam #2

(1) Definitions/Theorem statements

(a) State the definition of *the limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $a$* .

The limit of  $f(x)$  as  $x$  approaches  $a$  is  $L$  provided:  
for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  
if  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , then  $f(x)$  is defined and  
 $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$ .

(b) State the *Extreme Value Theorem*.

If  $f$  is continuous on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ ,  
then there exist  $r, s \in [a, b]$  such that  
 $f(r) \leq f(x) \leq f(s)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

(c) State the *Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem*.

Every sequence has a monotone subsequence.

(2) Determine if each of the following statements is TRUE or FALSE, and justify your choice with a short argument or a counterexample.

(a) There is some  $t \in [-1, 1]$  such that  $t^4 + 5t = -1$ .

True

Since  $f(x) = x^4 + 5x$  is a polynomial,  
and  $f(-1) = -4 \leq -1 \leq 6 = f(1)$ ,  
The Intermediate value theorem  
ensures such a value of  $t$ .

(b) Every sequence has a convergent subsequence.

False

Every subsequence of  $\{n\}_{n=1}^\infty$   
diverges, since any subsequence  
is not bounded above.

(c) If  $f$  and  $g$  are functions such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} f(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} g(x)$  both exist, then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  exists.

FALSE

Let  $f(x) = 1$  and  $g(x) = x - 5$ .

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} f(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} g(x) = 0$ ,

but  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 5} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  does not exist.

(d) If  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{2k} = 9$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{2k-1} = 9$ .

TRUE

Since  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, it is convergent,  
so every subsequence must converge to  
the same value.

(e) If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 3$ , then the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 0, where  $a_n = \frac{f(1/n)}{n}$ .

TRUE

Since  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 0  
(and no value is 0), it follows that

$\{f(\frac{1}{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 3.

Then we can consider  $\frac{f(\frac{1}{n})}{n}$

as  $f(\frac{1}{n}) \cdot \frac{1}{n}$ , and since

$\{f(\frac{1}{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 3 &  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges  
to 0, the sequence converges to  
the product, 0.

(3) Proofs.

(a) Prove that the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x - 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \\ x & \text{if } x < 1 \end{cases}$$

is continuous at the point  $x = 1$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $\delta = \epsilon/2$ .

Let  $x$  be a real number such that  
 $|x - 1| < \delta$ .

If  $x \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(1)| &= |(2x - 1) - 1| = |2x - 2| \\ &= 2|x - 1| < 2\delta = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x < 1$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(1)| = |x - 1| < \delta = \epsilon/2 < \epsilon.$$

Thus, for all such  $x$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(1)| < \epsilon.$$

This shows that  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 1$ .  $\square$

- (b) Assume  $f$  is a function whose domain is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ , let  $a$  be any real number, and assume that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} f(x) = L$  for some real number  $L$ . Prove that<sup>1</sup> if  $f(x) \leq a$  for all  $x$ , then  $L \leq a$ .

By way of contradiction, suppose that  $L > a$ . Taking  $\varepsilon = L - a$ , which is positive by assumption, there  $\exists$  some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$|f(x) - L| < L - a$  for all

$x$  such that  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ .

Thus, for such  $x$ ,

$$f(x) - L > -(L - a) = a - L,$$

so  $f(x) > a$ , which contradicts our hypothesis. we conclude that  $L \leq a$ .



---

<sup>1</sup>Hint: I recommend a proof by contradiction.

**Bonus:** TRUE or FALSE: There is a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \text{there is a subsequence of } \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ that converges to } x\} = [0, 7].$$

TRUE

Recall that there is a sequence of rational numbers  $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in which every rational number occurs infinitely many times. Let  $\{q_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be the subsequence of  $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  obtained by skipping all terms that are not in the interval  $[0, 7]$ . Call this sequence  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

Let  $\{r_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a convergent subsequence of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Since  $0 \leq r_{n_k} \leq 7$  for all  $k$ , we must have  $0 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_k} \leq 7$ .

On the other hand, let  $a \in [0, 7]$ .  
Since 0 occurs in  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  infinitely many times, there is a constant subsequence  $\{r_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , which converges to 0.  
If  $a \in (0, 7]$ , note that there is a sequence of rational numbers  $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  that converges to  $a$ .

Passing to a subsequence, we can assume it consists of positive numbers (ie, let  $\epsilon = a$ , and take the subsequence  $\{v_{N+n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , with  $N$  as in definition of convergence).

This sequence is now a subsequence of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , so  $a$  is a limit of a subsequence of  $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . □