

## THE MAIN THEOREM OF SYLOW THEORY

**RECALL:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime number. Write  $|G| = p^e m$  with  $e \geq 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ .

- A  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a subgroup of order  $p^k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ .
- A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a subgroup of order  $p^e$ .
- We write  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  for the set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . We often write  $n_p$  for  $\#\text{Syl}_p(G)$ .

**MAIN THEOREM OF SYLOW THEORY:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime number. Write  $|G| = p^e m$  with  $e \geq 0$  and  $p \nmid m$ .

- (1) There exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .
- (2) Every Sylow subgroup is conjugate. Moreover, for any  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  and any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ , there is some  $g \in G$  such that  $Q \leq gPg^{-1}$ .
- (3) The number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is congruent to 1 modulo  $p$ .
- (4) The number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  divides  $m$ .

**LEMMA:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $Q$  be any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $Q \cap N_G(P) = Q \cap P$ .

- (1)** Let  $p < q$  be distinct primes and  $G$  be a group of order  $pq$ . Use the Sylow Theorem to show that  $G$  is not simple.

By parts (3) and (4) of the Sylow Theorem, the number of  $q$ -Sylow subgroups divides  $p$  and is congruent to 1 modulo  $q$ , meaning of the form  $1 + qk$ . The only divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ , but  $p < q$  implies  $p$  is not congruent to 1 modulo  $q$ . This means there is only one  $q$ -Sylow. This must then be a normal subgroup of order  $q$ , a proper normal subgroup.

- (2)** Consider  $G = S_4$ .

- (a)** Show<sup>1</sup> that  $G$  has a subgroup isomorphic to  $D_4$ , the symmetry group of the square.

We know from before that  $D_4$  acts on the four vertices  $V$  of the square, and this action is faithful. The corresponding permutation representation is an injective homomorphism  $\rho : D_4 \rightarrow \text{Perm}(V)$ ; after labelling the vertices, we can identify  $\text{Perm}(V) \cong S_4$ . The image of  $D_4$  in  $S_4$  is the isomorphic copy of  $D_4$ .

- (b)** Show that  $S_4$  has exactly three subgroups isomorphic to  $D_4$ , that these three are conjugate, and that any subgroup of  $S_4$  of order 8 is isomorphic to  $D_4$ .

Consider the 2-Sylows of  $S_4$ . By the Sylow Theorem, the number of these is congruent to 1 modulo 2 and divides 3, so there are either 1 or 3. We claim that no subgroup of order 8 is normal. Indeed, a normal subgroup is a disjoint union of conjugacy classes including  $\{e\}$ , and the nonidentity conjugacy classes of  $S_4$  have size 3, 6, 6, 8; one cannot express 8 as 1 plus a sum of these. This shows the claim. Therefore, there cannot be a unique 2-Sylow (which would necessarily be normal), so there are three. Since any subgroup of order 8 is a 2-Sylow, and these are all conjugate, they are all isomorphic.

- (c)** Describe the subgroups of order 3 of  $S_4$ .

<sup>1</sup>Hint:  $D_4$  acts on the vertices of a square.

Without using the Sylow Theorem we already know that any group of order three is isomorphic to  $C_3$ , and that there are eight elements of order 3 in  $S_4$ . Each subgroup of order 3 has two elements of order 3 plus the identity. Thus there are four subgroups of order three, each isomorphic to  $C_3$ . Note that the Sylow theorem gives the two possibilities 1 or 4 for the number of 3-Sylows.

- (3) Proof of part (1) of Sylow's Theorem: Fix  $p$ . We will argue by induction on  $n$  that every group of  $n$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

(a) Write  $n = p^e m$ . Address the case  $e = 0$ . Henceforth assume  $e > 0$ , so  $p \mid n$ .

If  $p \nmid n$ , the identity is a  $p$ -Sylow.

- (b) Case 1: Assume that  $p$  divides  $|Z(G)|$ . Explain why there is some  $N \trianglelefteq G$  with  $|N| = p$ .

There is an element  $g$  of order  $p$  in the center by Cauchy. Any subgroup of the center is normal, so  $N = \langle g \rangle$  works.

- (c) Apply the induction hypothesis to  $G/N$ . How can you use this to find a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup in  $G$ ?

The order of  $G/N$  is  $p^{e-1}m < n$ . By induction, there is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G/N$ . This has order  $p^{e-1}$  and the index is  $m$ . By the Lattice Isomorphism theorem, there is a subgroup of index  $m$  in  $G$ , which has order  $p^e$ , so a  $p$ -Sylow.

- (d) Case 2: Assume that  $p$  does not divide  $|Z(G)|$ . Show that there is some  $g \in G$  such that  $[G : C_G(g)]$  is *not* a multiple of  $p$  and *not* one. What does this say about  $|C_G(g)|$ ? What do you get from the induction hypothesis?

Consider the class equation. Since the order of  $G$  is a multiple of  $p$ , and the order of the center is not, there is a nontrivial conjugacy class of size not a multiple of  $p$ . Thus there is some  $g \in G$  with  $[G : C_G(g)]$  not a multiple of  $p$ . This means that the order of  $C_G(g)$  is  $p^e u$  with  $u \mid m$  and  $u \neq m$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $C_G(g)$  has a  $p$ -Sylow, which is a subgroup  $H \leq C_G(g)$  with  $|H| = p^e$ . This  $H$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ .

- (4) Proof of parts (2) and (3) of Sylow's Theorem: Fix a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_P$  be the set of conjugates of  $P$ , namely  $\{gPg^{-1} \mid g \in G\} \subseteq \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . We need to show that (2)  $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \mathcal{S}_P$  and that (3)  $\#\text{Syl}_p(G) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

- (a) Let  $Q$  be any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $Q$  act on  $\mathcal{S}_P$  by conjugation. Use the Lemma to show that for any  $P_i \in \mathcal{S}_P$ ,  $\text{Stab}_Q(P_i) = Q \cap P_i$ .
- (b) Show that  $|\mathcal{S}_P| = \sum_{i=1}^s [Q : Q \cap P_i]$  where  $P_i$  ranges through a set of representatives of distinct orbits for the action of  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{S}_P$ .
- (c) Take  $Q = P$  and WLOG  $P_1 = P$ . Deduce that  $|\mathcal{S}_P| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .
- (d) To show (2) by contradiction, suppose that  $Q$  is not contained in any conjugate of  $P$ . Observe that  $Q \cap P_i \subsetneq Q$  for all  $i$ . Revisit the equation in part (b) and the conclusion of part (c) to obtain a contradiction.
- (e) Deduce part (3) from part (c) and part (2).