

In Lucas theorem, we had

$$\prod_{i=0}^k \sum_{n_i=0}^{p-1} \binom{m_i}{n_i} x^{n_i p^i} = \sum_{n=0}^m \left(\prod_{i=0}^k \binom{m_i}{n_i} \right) x^n$$

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^k m_i p^i \text{ fixed } (0 \leq m_i < p)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n_0, \dots, n_k) \\ 0 \leq n_i < p \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{bij}} \left\{ 0 \leq n < p^{k+1} \right\}$$

$$(n_0, \dots, n_k) \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^k n_i p^i$$

$$\sum_{\substack{(n_0, \dots, n_k) \\ 0 \leq n_i < p}} \binom{m_0}{n_0} \cdots \binom{m_k}{n_k} x^{n_0 p^0} \cdots x^{n_k p^k}$$

$$= \sum_{(n_0, \dots, n_k)} \left(\prod_{i=0}^k \binom{m_i}{n_i} \right) x^{\left(\sum_{i=0}^k n_i p^i \right)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{p^{k+1}-1} \left(\prod_{i=0}^k \binom{m_i}{n_i} \right) x^n$$

If $n > m$, then $n_i > m_i$

for some i , else if $m_i \leq n_i$

all i , then $m = \sum_i m_i p^i \leq \sum_i n_i p^i = n$.

So if $n > m$, $\prod_{i=0}^k \frac{m_i}{n_i}$ is

a product where at least one term is zero, so it is zero.

Last time, we saw that

for $R = \frac{k[x,y]}{(xy)}$, k field of zero,

$\text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R|K})$ is not Noetherian.

Today, with same R, k ,

will see $D_{R|K}$ is left Noeth.

and right Noeth.

From earlier, we have

$$((x) :_{D_{K[X]K}} (x)) = K \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i > 0 \\ j \geq 0}} X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} j$$

$$\left[((xy) :_{D_{K[X]K}} (xy)) = \right]$$

$$K \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i > 0 \\ j \geq 0}} X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} j \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i > 0 \\ j \geq 0}} Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} j \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i > 0 \\ j > 0 \\ a, b}} X^i Y^j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^a \partial y^b}$$

$$\simeq ((x) :_{D_{K[X]K}} (x)) \otimes_K ((y) :_{D_{K[Y]K}} (y)).$$

As $D_{R|K}$ is a quotient ring of $(xy) : (xy)$, it suffices to show that $(xy) : (xy)$ is left/right Noetherian.

LEM: $((x):(x))$ is right Noetherian.

PF: Call $A := (x):(x)$, which is a subring of $D := D_{K[x]}/I$.

Note that $D = A \oplus \bigoplus_{i>0} K\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^i$,

as K -vector spaces. Let $J \subseteq A$ be a right ideal; want to see that J is fin. gen.

Since D is right Noetherian,

there are finitely many elements

$f = f_1, \dots, f_n \in J$ s.t. $(f)D = JD$.

If $(f)A = J$, we are done.

If $(f)A \neq J$, pick $\beta \in J \setminus (f)A$.

Since $\beta \in J \subseteq JD = (f)D$, can write

$$\beta = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \cdots + \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \right)^r$$

with $\alpha \in (\underline{f})A$, $\gamma_i \in (\underline{f}) \cdot k$

Claim: $\gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \in (\underline{f}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \cap J$. no i here for each i .

pf of claim. Just need to see that each is in J . Will do a trick.

$$\sum_{i=1}^r i \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^{i-1} - x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^i \right]$$

$$\gamma_1 + \sum_{i=2}^r i \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^{i-1} = - \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \underbrace{x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^i}_{\in J} + \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^i \right) x}_{\in J}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^r i \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^{i-1} \in J$$

$$\text{Repeat: } \sum_{i=2}^r i(i-1) \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{i-2} = \sum_{i=2}^r i \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{i-2} x - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{i-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=3}^r i(i-1) \gamma_i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{i-2} \in J \quad (\text{last sum times } x)$$

⋮

$$r! \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \in J$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \in J.$$

$$\text{Then } \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^r = \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} x - x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^r$$

$$= \underbrace{-\gamma_r x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{r+1}}_{\in J} + \underbrace{\gamma_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^r}_{\in A} \in J$$

$$\text{Thus, } \beta - \gamma_r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^r = \alpha + \gamma_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \dots + \gamma_{r-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{r-1} \in J.$$

By same argument (decreasing induction on ~~this~~ i), get
that each $\gamma_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \in J$. I claim ✓

Then, the claim implies that J
is generated by (f) and
the fin. dim. vector space
 $J \cap (f)_{\partial x}^{\infty} \cdot K$. Put together,
get finite generating set for J . ✓

If T is a noncommutative
ring, then we can a
poly ring over T with
commuting variables $T[\underline{x}]$.

If T is an algebra over a field K , then $T[x] \cong T \otimes_K K[x]$.

Exercise: If T is left/right Noetherian, then $T[x]$ is left/right Noetherian.

[Hint: Usual proof of Hilbert Basis theorem.]

Theorem [Ering]: $((xy):(xy))$ is right Noetherian. Hence, $D_{\frac{K[x,y]}{(xy)}/K}$ is right Noetherian.

pf (sketch): Let $S = ((y):_{D_{\frac{K[x,y]}{(xy)}}} (y))$. Then $((xy):(xy)) \cong S \otimes_K ((x):(x))$.

Call this ring A . Note that A is a subring of $D = S \otimes_K D_{K[x]K}$.

Proceed similarly to the previous lemma...

* Need to see that D is right Noetherian: filter D by $F^i = S \otimes_K D_{K[x]^i K}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \rightsquigarrow \text{gr } F^i &\cong S \otimes_K \text{gr } D_{K[x]^i K} \\ &\cong S[z_1, z_2] \text{ poly ring over } \mathfrak{s} \end{aligned}$$

\rightsquigarrow right Noeth by exercise

$\rightsquigarrow D$ is right Noeth.

Then, some computational trick shows that

$$J = (\underline{f})A + (\underline{f})\overline{\alpha} (S \otimes I) \cap J \underbrace{A}_{\text{submodule of f.g. right } A\text{-module}} = \underline{f} \cdot g$$

submodule of f.g. right A -module \Rightarrow f.g.

$\Rightarrow T$ is f.g.



We also want to see left Noetherian.

We'll use opposite rings to see this.

Def: The opposite ring of a noncommutative ring T is the ring T^{op} , which as additive groups is identical to T , and has multiplication " \otimes "

$$r \otimes s = sr.$$

\nwarrow *T-multiplication.*

will use the convention that \otimes means "op" multiplication and usual multiplication notation means usual T-multiplication.

There is a natural bijection between left T -modules and right T^{op} -modules:

if M is a left T -module, then it is a right T^{op} -module by

$$m \square t := \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{left } T\text{-action}}}{t \cdot m} \quad \begin{matrix} m \in M \\ t \in T^{\text{op}} (= T) \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{on } M \\ \text{sets} \end{matrix}$$

since

$$m \square (t * s) = m \square (st)$$

$$= (st) \cdot m = s \cdot (t \cdot m)$$

$$= (m \square t) \square s \quad \checkmark$$

In particular,

left ideals of T \longleftrightarrow right ideals of T^{op}

~~so~~ $T \beta$ left Noether
 $\iff T^{\text{op}} \beta$ right Noether.

Note also, $(T^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = T$ as rings.