## Pell's equation and continued fractions

THEOREM (EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO PELL'S EQUATION): Let D be a positive integer that is not a perfect square. Then the Pell's equation  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$  has a positive solution.

THEOREM (SOLUTIONS TO PELL'S EQUATION ARE CONVERGENTS): Let D be a positive integer that is not a perfect square. For every positive solution (a, b) to the Pell's equation  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ , there is some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that the ratio  $\frac{a}{b}$  is a convergent  $C_k$  of the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{D}$ .

THEOREM (GOOD APPROXIMATIONS ARE CONVERGENTS): Let r be an irrational real number. If p,q are integers with q>0 such that  $|r-\frac{p}{q}|<\frac{1}{2q^2}$ , then there is some  $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\frac{p}{q}$  is a convergent  $C_k$  of the continued fraction of r.

- (1) Solving Pell's equation completely:
  - (a) Given the theorems above, devise a method to find the smallest positive solution to the Pell's equation  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ .
  - (b) Apply your method for D=2, D=3, D=10, and D=21. Compare your results for D=2 and D=3 to what you found last time by trial and error.
  - (c) Give a formula for all positive solutions to Pell's equation for D=10 and D=21.
    - (a) Compute the continued fraction for  $\sqrt{D}$ , and test whether  $p_k^2 Dq_k^2 = 1$  for the sequence of convergents  $C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$ . The first one that works is the smallest positive solution of Pell's equation.
    - (b) For D=2, the convergent  $C_1=\frac{3}{2}$  yields the smallest solution (3,2). For D=3, the convergent  $C_1=\frac{2}{1}$  yields the solution (2,1). For D=10, the convergent  $C_1=\frac{19}{6}$  yields the solution (19,6). For D=21, the convergent  $C_5=\frac{55}{12}$  yields the solution (55,12).

(c) For D=10, the positive solutions  $(x_k,y_k)$  are given by the coefficients of  $x_k+y_k\sqrt{10}=$  $(19+6\sqrt{10})^k$ .

For D=21, the positive solutions  $(x_k,y_k)$  are given by the coefficients of  $x_k+y_k\sqrt{21}=$  $(55+12\sqrt{21})^k$ .

(2) Prove the Theorem (Solutions to Pell's equation are convergents) using the Theorem (Good approximations are convergents).

Suppose that (a,b) is a positive solution to the Pell's equation, so  $a^2 - Db^2 = 1$ . Dividing through by  $b^2$ ,

$$\left| \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^2 - D \right) \right| < \frac{1}{b^2}.$$

Factoring the left-hand side, we get

$$\left|\frac{a}{b} - \sqrt{D}\right| \left|\frac{a}{b} + \sqrt{D}\right| < \frac{1}{b^2}, \quad \text{so} \quad \left|\frac{a}{b} - \sqrt{D}\right| < \frac{1}{b^2 \left|\frac{a}{b} + \sqrt{D}\right|}.$$

We claim that  $\frac{a}{b} + \sqrt{D} > 2$  for any solution to Pell's equation. Note that if D > 4, then  $\sqrt{D} > 2$  and this is clear. In general, D > 1, so  $\sqrt{D} > 1$ , and  $a \ge b$  implies  $\frac{a}{b} \ge 1$ , so

 $\frac{a}{h} + \sqrt{D} > 2$ . Thus, from the equations above, we have

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} - \sqrt{D} \right| < \frac{1}{2b^2}.$$

By the Theorem (Good approximations are convergents),  $\frac{a}{b}$  must be a convergent of  $\sqrt{D}$ .

- (3) Proof of Theorem (Existence of solutions to Pell's equation):
  - (a) Use Dirichlet's approximation theorem to show that there are infinitely many pairs of integers  $(x_i, y_i)$  such that  $|x_i^2 - Dy_i^2| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$ .
  - (b) Show that there is some integer m with  $0 < |m| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$  such that there are infinitely many pairs of integers  $(x_i, y_i)$  with  $x_i^2 - Dy_i^2 = m$ .
  - (c) Show that there is some integer m with  $|m| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$  and  $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that there are infinitely many pairs of integers  $(x_i, y_i)$  with

$$\begin{cases} x_i^2 - Dy_i^2 = m \\ x_i \equiv a \pmod{|m|} \\ y_i \equiv b \pmod{|m|} \end{cases}.$$

- (d) Given  $i \neq j$  and  $x_i, x_j, y_i, y_j$  as in the previous part, show that  $\frac{x_j + y_j \sqrt{D}}{x_i + y_i \sqrt{D}}$  is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}].$
- (e) Complete the proof of the Theorem.
  - (a) By Dirichlet's approximation theorem, there are infinitely many p/q such that

$$\left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{D} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2},$$

given by the convergents of the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{D}$ . Then

$$\left| \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^2 - D \right| = \left| \frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{D} \right| \left| \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D} \right| < \frac{\left| \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D} \right|}{q^2},$$

SO

$$|p^2 - Dq^2| < \frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D}.$$

Since  $q \ge 1$ , we have that  $\frac{p}{q} - \sqrt{D} < 1$ , so  $\frac{p}{q} + \sqrt{D} < 2\sqrt{D} + 1$ . For p/q as above, taking  $x_i = p$ ,  $y_i = q$ , we get infinitely many pairs of integers with  $|x_i^2 - Dy_i^2| < 2\sqrt{D} + 1.$ 

- (b) There are finitely many integers m such that  $|m|<2\sqrt{D}+1$ , so by the pigeonhole principle, there must be some m such that there are infinitely many  $(x_i, y_i)$  with  $x_i^2$  $Dy_i^2 = m$ .
- (c) Take m as in the previous part; this m is nonzero since  $\sqrt{D}$  is irrational. For each element in the sequence obtained in the previous part, it corresponds to one element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{|m|} \times \mathbb{Z}_{|m|}$  by taking the congruences

$$\begin{cases} x_i \equiv a \pmod{|m|} \\ y_i \equiv b \pmod{|m|} \end{cases}.$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{|m|} \times \mathbb{Z}_{|m|}$  is finite, by the pigeonhole principle, there must be some element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{|m|} \times \mathbb{Z}_{|m|}$  corresponding to infinitely many elements of the sequence. This gives the statement.

(d) Given  $i \neq j$  and  $x_i, x_j, y_i, y_j$  as in the previous part, note that

$$N(x_i + y_i \sqrt{D}) = N(x_i + y_i \sqrt{D}) = m.$$

We can write

$$\frac{x_j + y_j \sqrt{D}}{x_i + y_i \sqrt{D}} = \frac{1}{m} (x_j + y_j \sqrt{D})(x_i - y_i \sqrt{D}) = \frac{1}{m} ((x_i x_j - y_i y_j D) + (x_j y_i - x_i y_j) \sqrt{D}).$$

We claim that

$$x_i x_j - y_i y_j D \equiv x_j y_i - x_i y_j \equiv 0 \pmod{|m|}.$$

Indeed,

$$x_i x_j - y_i y_j D \equiv a^2 - b^2 D \equiv m \equiv 0$$
 (mod  $|m|$ )  
 $x_i y_i - x_i y_j \equiv ab - ab \equiv 0$  (mod  $|m|$ ).

This implies that the coefficients of  $(x_ix_j - y_iy_jD) + (x_jy_i - x_iy_j)\sqrt{D}$  are divisible by m, so the number above is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ .

(e) In the previous part, we have found an element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  such that  $\alpha(x_i + y_i\sqrt{D}) = x_i + y_i\sqrt{D}$  and

$$N(x_j + y_j \sqrt{D}) = N(x_i + y_i \sqrt{D}) = m \neq 0.$$

Thus, by the lemma, we much have  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . This yields the solution we seek.

(4) Prove<sup>1</sup> Theorem (Good approximations are convergents).

Suppose that p/q is not a convergent of r. If  $q=q_k$  for some k but  $p\neq p_k$ , then

$$\left|r - \frac{p}{q_k}\right| \ge \left|\left|\frac{p}{q_k} - \frac{p_k}{q_k}\right| - \left|r - \frac{p_k}{q_k}\right|\right|.$$

Since  $\left|\frac{p}{q_k} - \frac{p_k}{q_k}\right| \ge \frac{1}{q_k}$  and  $\left|r - \frac{p_k}{q_k}\right| < \frac{1}{q_k^2}$  by Dirichlet approximation Theorem, the difference above is at least  $\frac{q_k-1}{q_k^2} > \frac{1}{2q_k^2}$ , contradicting the hypotheses. Thus, we must have  $q \ne q_k$  for any k, so  $q_{k-1} < q < q_k$  for some k.

By hypothesis,

$$\left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{2q^2} < \frac{1}{2qq_{k-1}}.$$

Following the proof of Problem set #5 problem #4, by replacing k by k-1 in steps (a)–(d), we see that

$$|q_{k-1}r - p_{k-1}| \le |qr - p|.$$

Since |qr - p| < 1/2q, by hypothesis, we get

$$\left|r - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}\right| \le \frac{1}{2qq_{k-1}}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hint: If not, we can assume  $q_{k-1} < q < q_k$  for some k. In Problem set #5 problem #4, the same proof with k-1 in place of k in parts (a)–(d) shows that, under the same hypotheses,  $|qr-p| \ge |q_{k-1}r-p_{k-1}|$ . Then show that  $|\frac{p}{q} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}| < \frac{1}{qq_{k-1}}$ .

Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{p}{q} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} \right| \le \left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| + \left| r - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} \right| < \frac{1}{2qq_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{2qq_{k-1}} = \frac{1}{qq_{k-1}}.$$

Clearing denominators, this forces  $\left|\frac{p}{q}-\frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}\right|=0$ . This contradicts the assumption that p/q is not a convergent of r.