

## PROBLEM SET #1

(1) \* Basic rules with derivations:

- (a) Prove the generalized product rule for derivations: if  $\partial : R \rightarrow M$  is a derivation, then  $\partial(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{i \neq j} a_i) \partial(a_j)$ .
- (b) Prove the power rule for derivations: if  $\partial : R \rightarrow M$  is a derivation, then  $\partial(r^n) = nr^{n-1}\partial(r)$ .
- (c) Show that if  $R$  is a ring of characteristic  $p$ , then the subring  $R^p := \{r^p \mid r \in R\}$  is in the kernel of every derivation.

(2) \* Let  $A$  be a ring and  $S = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring.

- (a) Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded  $A$ -algebra such that  $A$  lives in degree zero. Show that there is a derivation on  $R$  such that for every homogeneous element  $f$  of degree  $d$ ,  $\partial(f) = d \cdot f$ . This derivation is called the *Euler operator* associated to the grading.

*Proof.* The rule above describes a well-defined function on  $R$ . We need to check that it is  $A$ -linear and satisfies the product rule. Let  $r = \sum_i r_i$  and  $s = \sum_i s_i$  be elements of  $R$  expressed as (finite) sums of homogeneous pieces with degree  $r_i = i$  and  $a \in A$ . Then

- $\partial(r + s) = \partial(\sum_i r_i + \sum_i s_i) = \partial(\sum_i (r_i + s_i)) = \sum_i i(r_i + s_i) = \sum_i ir_i + \sum_i is_i = \partial(r) + \partial(s)$ .
- $\partial(ar) = \partial(a \sum_i r_i) = \partial(\sum_i ar_i) = \sum_i iar_i = a \sum_i ir_i = a\partial(r)$ .
- $\partial(rs) = \partial(\sum_k \sum_{i+j=k} r_i s_j) = \sum_k k(\sum_{i+j=k} r_i s_j) = \sum_{i,j} ir_i s_j + r_i js_j = s\partial(r) + r\partial(s)$ .  $\square$

- (b) Let  $S$  be, as above,<sup>1</sup> a polynomial ring over  $A$  endowed with the  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading by the rule  $\deg(x_i) = n_i$ . Express the Euler operator of the grading as an  $S$ -linear combination of the partial derivatives.

*Proof.* Take  $\partial = \sum_i n_i x_i \frac{d}{dx_i}$ . To check that this agrees with the Euler operator, by  $A$ -linearity it suffices to check on any monomial  $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ : we get

$$\partial(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}) = \sum_i n_i a_i x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$$

and  $\sum_i n_i a_i$  is just the degree of  $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ .  $\square$

(3) Let  $A$  be a ring and  $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring.

- (a) Give an explicit formula for the Lie algebra bracket on  $\text{Der}_{R|A}(R)$ .
- (b) Does  $\text{Der}_{R|A}(R)$  have any nontrivial proper Lie ideals (i.e.,  $A$ -submodules  $B$  such that  $[d, b] \in B$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $d \in \text{Der}_{R|A}(R)$ )?

*Proof.* It is possible in general. For a fun example, over  $A = \mathbb{F}_2$ , we can take  $\mathbb{F}_2[x^2] \frac{d}{dx}$  as a Lie ideal of  $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{F}_2[x]|\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathbb{F}_2[x])$ . Indeed, note that for any  $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ ,  $\frac{d}{dx}(f) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x^2]$ , since any even power of  $x$  picks up a coefficient of two in the derivative. Then given  $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x^2]$  and  $g \in \mathbb{F}_2[x^2]$  we have

$$[f \frac{d}{dx}, g \frac{d}{dx}] = (f \frac{d}{dx}(g) - g \frac{d}{dx}(f)) \frac{d}{dx} = g \frac{d}{dx}(f) \frac{d}{dx} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x^2] \frac{d}{dx}.$$

<sup>1</sup>For infinitely many variables, we will get the same formula with a formal sum, but this is not an  $S$ -linear combination of partial derivatives. Oops!

However, over a field of characteristic zero, this is false.  $\square$

- (4) Let  $R$  be a ring of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $\partial : R \rightarrow R$  be a derivation. Show that  $\partial^p$ , i.e., the  $p$ -fold self composition of  $\partial$ , is a derivation on  $R$ .
- (5) Let  $R = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the ring of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal consisting of functions that vanish at some point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (a) \* Show that  $\mathfrak{m}^t$  consists of the functions  $f \in R$  such that  $\frac{d^{a_1}}{dx_1^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{d^{a_n}}{dx_n^{a_n}}(f)|_{x=x_0} = 0$  for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  with  $0 \leq a_1 + \cdots + a_n < t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $J_n = \{f \in R \mid \frac{d^{a_1}}{dx_1^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{d^{a_n}}{dx_n^{a_n}}(f)|_{x=x_0} = 0 \ \forall a_1, \dots, a_n : 0 \leq a_1 + \cdots + a_n < t\}$ . We'll write  $d^a$  for an  $n$ -tuple  $a$  as shorthand for the iterated derivative above.

First we show that  $\mathfrak{m}^t \subseteq J_n$ . We proceed by induction on  $t$  with  $t = 1$  immediate from the definitions. Supposing the inclusion for a given  $t$ , take  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^{t+1}$  and write  $f = \sum g_i h_i$  with  $g_i \in \mathfrak{m}^t$  and  $h_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Then each  $g_i \in J_t$  by the induction hypothesis. Since  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} \subseteq J_t$ , we have  $d^a(f)|_{x_0} = 0$  for all  $|a| < t$ . Given some  $a$  with  $|a| = t + 1$ , we can write  $d^a = d^b \frac{d}{dx_j}$  for some  $j$  and some  $b$  with  $|b| = t$ . Then

$$d^a(f) = \sum_i d^a(g_i h_i) = \sum_i d^b \frac{d}{dx_j}(g_i h_i) = \sum_i d^b(h_i \frac{d}{dx_j}(g_i)) + \sum_i d^b(g_i \frac{d}{dx_j}(h_i)).$$

We have  $g_i \frac{d}{dx_j}(h_i) \in \mathfrak{m}^t \subseteq J_t$  so the second sum evaluates to zero at  $x_0$ . Since  $\frac{d}{dx_j}(\mathfrak{m}^t) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t-1}$ , we have  $h_i \frac{d}{dx_j}(g_i) \in \mathfrak{m}^t$ , so the first sum evaluates to 0 at  $x_0$  as well. Thus,  $f \in J_{t+1}$ , as required. For the other containment, we will apply Taylor's Theorem for multivariate functions<sup>2</sup>. Recall that this says that  $f$  agrees with a polynomial (in  $x_i - (x_0)_i$ ) whose coefficients are determined by the iterated partial derivatives of  $f$  at  $x_0$ , plus some error term. Beware that in general a smooth function is not equal to its Taylor series, so we will need to consider the polynomial plus remainder version. Applying this, if  $f \in J_t$ , we can write

$$f = \sum_{|a|=t} \frac{t}{a_1! \cdots a_n!} \widetilde{x}_1^{a_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_n^{a_n} \int_0^1 (1-s)^t d^a(f)|_{x_0+s(x-x_0)} ds,$$

where  $\widetilde{x}_i := x_i - (x_0)_i$ . What is important to observe about this expression is that each

$$j_a(x) := \frac{t}{a_1! \cdots a_n!} \int_0^1 (1-s)^t d^a(f)|_{x_0+s(x-x_0)} ds$$

is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : we omit the details, but the point is essentially that smoothness lets us differentiate under the integral sign. Thus, we have

$$f = \sum_{|a|=t} j_a \widetilde{x}_1^{a_1} \cdots \widetilde{x}_n^{a_n}$$

with  $j_a \in R$  and  $\widetilde{x}_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for each  $i$ , so  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^t$ .  $\square$

- (b) Show that  $\text{Der}_{R|\mathbb{R}}(R/\mathfrak{m}) \cong (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^* \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  as vector spaces.

As a moral, we conclude that  $\text{Der}_{R|\mathbb{R}}(R/\mathfrak{m})$  serves as a model for the tangent space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at  $x_0$  constructed from the ring of smooth functions.

<sup>2</sup>cf., Folland's *Advanced Calculus*, Theorem 2.68

- (6) \* Let  $R$  be an  $A$ -algebra and  $I$  an ideal. Show that if the identity map on  $I/I^2$  is in the image of  $\text{Der}_{R|A}(I/I^2) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, I/I^2)$ , then there is an  $A$ -algebra right inverse to the quotient map  $\pi : R/I^2 \rightarrow R/I$ . Conclude that the following are equivalent:
- $\text{Der}_{R|A}(M) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, M)$  is surjective for all  $R/I$ -modules  $M$ ;
  - $\text{Der}_{R|A}(I/I^2) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, I/I^2)$  is surjective;
  - The quotient map  $R/I^2 \rightarrow R/I$  has an  $A$ -algebra right inverse.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\partial : R \rightarrow I/I^2$  is a derivation whose restriction to  $I/I^2$  (after factoring through  $R/I^2$  as usual) is the identity map. Viewing  $\partial$  as a derivation on  $R/I^2$  by abuse of notation, note that  $K := \ker(\partial)$  is a subring of  $R/I^2$  containing  $A$ . Let  $i : K \rightarrow R/I^2$  be the inclusion map. We claim that  $K \cong R/I$  as  $A$ -algebras.

Since  $-\partial$  is a derivation, the map  $1 - \partial : R/I^2 \rightarrow R/I^2$  is a ring homomorphism, and  $(1 - \partial) \circ i$  is the identity on  $K$  (because  $K$  is the kernel of  $\partial$ ). In particular,  $1 - \partial$  is surjective. We just need to see that the kernel of  $1 - \partial$  is  $I/I^2$ . We have  $I/I^2$  is contained in the kernel, since for  $a \in I/I^2$ ,  $(1 - \partial)(a) = a - \partial(a) = 0$ ; on the other hand if  $r \in \ker(1 - \partial)$ , then  $r \in \text{im}(\partial)$ , so  $r \in I/I^2$ . This completes the proof.

For the equivalences, the first implies the second since  $I/I^2$  is an  $R/I$ -module, the second implies the third by what we just showed, and the third implies the first by a theorem from class.  $\square$

- (7) Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Recall that  $R \rtimes M$  denotes the Nagata idealization of  $M$ : the ring with additive structure  $R \oplus M$  and multiplication  $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn + sm)$ . Show that  $\alpha : R \rightarrow M$  is a derivation if and only if  $(1, \alpha) : R \rightarrow R \rtimes M$  ( $r \mapsto (r, \alpha(r))$ ) is a ring homomorphism.