### Use ACL2 to Prove the Correctness of Clebsch-Gordan Coefficient

Xiaohui Chen 04/20/2015

## **Timeline**

- 04/13/2015-04/19/2015
- Finish coding and finish verify the theorem
- 04/20/2015-04/26/2015
- Finish proving the main theorem
- Finish project report (first draft) and presentation slides
- 04/26/2015-05/03/2015
- Finish presentation and modify project report
- Finish final draft of project report by 05/06/2015

# My model

```
((A . (j . m_j)) .
((B . ((I . m_l) . (s . m_s)))
(C. ((I . m_l) . (s . m_s)))
....))
```

We have to verify that after a series of operations on the above pair, A=B+C+D+...

## Progress

- Finished proving the lemmas. Beginning proving the main theorem
- Finished optimizing some of the lemmas
- Some of them still have a lot of splitting, but way better
- The proving time is endurable

```
(defthm append-valid
             (implies (and (true-coupled-state x)
1412
                            (true-coupled-state y))
1413
                  (true-coupled-state (append-and-merge-states x y)))
1414
1415 :hints (("Goal" :in-theory (disable same-denominator-add
                                      remove-strict-inequalities
1416
                                      remove-weak-inequalities
1417
1418
                                      default-less-than-1
1419
                                      default-less-than-2
1420
                                      default-plus-1
                                      default-rational-denominator
1421
                                      |(+ x (if a b c))|
1422
                                      |(- (if a b c))|
1423
                                      |(< (if a b c) x)|
1424
                                      |(< x (if a b c))|
1425
1426
                                      DEFAULT-MINUS
1427
                                      DEFAULT-PLUS-2
                              calculate-merge-coefficient
1428
                              REDUCE-MULTIPLICATIVE-CONSTANT-EQUAL))))
1429
```

This was the theorem which took more than 80,000,000 steps and 15 minutes

```
(defthm append-valid
       (implies (and (true-coupled-state x)
               (true-coupled-state y))
          (true-coupled-state (append-and-
 merge-states x y)))
 :hints (("Goal" :in-theory (disable same-
 denominator-add
                     remove-strict-inequalities
                     remove-weak-inequalities
                     merge-same-property-4))))
This theorem takes more than 84,000,000 steps,
 983 seconds
```

### **NOW**

```
Warnings: Non-rec
Time: 0.77 seconds (prove: 0.75, print: 0.01, other: 0.01)
Prover steps counted: 39227
APPEND-VALID
ACL2 !>> I-AM-HERE
(:STOP-LD 2)
ACL2 !>
```

- Inspect the if-intro and disable all the splits
- Gradually enable back the if-intros
- Use lemmas that could minimize the splits
- Sometimes proof-checker is more convenient

```
1310
1311 (DEFTHM APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-4
             (IMPLIES (AND (CONSP X)
1312
1313
                           (TRUE-COUPLED-LIST X)
1314
                            (CONSP Y)
1315
                            (TRUE-COUPLED-LIST Y))
1316
                      (TRUE-COUPLED-LIST (APPEND-AND-MERGE-STATES-HELPER X Y)))
1317
             :INSTRUCTIONS ((:INDUCT (APPEND-AND-MERGE-STATES-HELPER X Y))
1318
                            :PROMOTE :SPLIT (:DV 1)
1319
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP :TOP
1320
                            (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1321
                             (:USE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1322
                            :PROMOTE (:FORWARDCHAIN 1)
1323
                            (:DV 1)
1324
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP :EXPAND
1325
                            :S-PROP :TOP :SPLIT :EXPAND :S-PROP
1326
                            (:REWRITE DELETE-SAME-PROPERTY-1)
1327
                             (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
1328
                            (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1329
                            (:DV 1)
1330
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP :TOP
1331
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1332
                            (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
1333
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1334
                             (:DEMOTE 4)
1335
                            (:DV 1)
1336
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP :TOP :S-PROP (:DV 1)
1337
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP (:DV 2 2)
1338
                            (:REWRITE DELETE-SAME-PROPERTY-2)
1339
                             :UP :EXPAND :TOP :SPLIT
1340
                            (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1341
                             : EXPAND
1342
                             :S-PROP (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
1343
                            (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1344
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1345
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-3)
1346
                             (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
1347
                            (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1348
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP (:DV 1)
1349
                            :EXPAND :S-PROP (:DV 2)
```

```
1350
                             :EXPAND :S-PROP :TOP :SPLIT
1351
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1352
                             : EXPAND
1353
                             :S-PROP (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
1354
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)
1355
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-2)
1356
                             : EXPAND
1357
                             :S-PROP (:REWRITE MERGE-SAME-PROPERTY)
                             (:REWRITE APPEND-AND-MERGE-PROPERTY-1)))
1358
```

## Result

```
ACL2 !>(quantum-operator '((1 . (3/2 . 3/2)) . ((1 . ((1 . 1) . (1/2 .
 (3 \ 3/2 \ . \ 1/2)
 (2 (1 . 0) 1/2 . 1/2)
 (1 (1 . 1) 1/2 . -1/2))
A\overline{CL2} !>(quantum-operator (quantum-operator '((1 \cdot (3/2 \cdot 3/2)) \cdot ((1 \cdot
((1 . 1) . (1/2 . 1/2))))))
(4 \ 3/2 \ . \ -1/2)
 (4/3 (1 . -1) 1/2 . 1/2)
 (8/3 (1 . 0) 1/2 . -1/2)
ACL2 !>(quantum-operator (quantum-operator (quantum-operator '((1 \cdot (3/
2_._3/2)) . ((1 . ((1 . 1) . (1/2 . 1/2)))))))
((3 \ 3/2 \ . \ -3/2)
 (3 (1 . -1) 1/2 . -1/2)
ACL2 !>(quantum-operator (quantum-operator (quantum-operator (quantum-o
perator '((1 . (3/2 . 3/2)) . ((1 . ((1 . 1) . (1/2 . 1/2))))))))
(0.0)
ACL2 !>
```

### Prove

Given an initial state, all the outputs subsequent operations either have A=B+C+D... or equal to (0 . 0) for the operations on the lowest states

This ought to be done around Wednesday and Thursday!!!!

