


Data Structures


CH7 Sorting

Prof. Ren-Shuo Liu

NTHU EE


Spring 2019






Outline

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Insertion Sort
- 7.3 Quick Sort
- 7.4 How fast we can sort
- 7.5 Merge sort
- 7.6 Heap sort
- 7.7 Radix sort
- 7.8 (List and table sorts)
- 7.9 Summary of internal sorting




Important Uses of Sorting

- Aid searching in a list
 - $O(n)$ time for an unordered list (with sequential search)
 - $O(\log(n))$ time for a sorted list (with binary search)
- Aid matching two lists
 - $O(nm)$ time for unordered lists (with sequential search)
 - $O(n+m)$ time for sorted lists



Classification of Sorting


- Complexity
 - Time complexity
 - Space complexity
- Stability
 - A sort is called **stable** *iff* it maintains the **relative order** of records with **equal keys**
- Internal vs. external
 - An **internal sort** requires its inputs to be small enough so that the entire sort can be carried out in main memory
 - Examples: Selection Sort, Insertion Sort, Quick Sort, Heap Sort
 - An **external sort** has no abovementioned requirement





Insertion Sort Concept


- array[0] is used as temporary space
- array[1] is the initial sorted sublist
- Insertion pass
 - Place the element next to the sublist to the temporary space
 - Insert the element to the sublist
- Insertion passes are continued until the sublist contains all records
- Insertion Sort is a **stable sort**






How Fast is Insertion Sort?


- Worst-case time complexity
 - When input is in a reversed order
 - Each insertion pass involves i comparisons, $i = 1..n$
 - $1+2+\dots+n = O(n^2)$
- Average time complexity
 - It has been shown that Insertion Sort is $O(n^2)$ on average





Insertion Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void InsertionSort(T *a, const int n)
{ // sort a[1..n]
    for (int j = 2; j <= n ; j++){
        a[0] = a[j];
        for (int i = j - 1; a[i] > a[0]; i--) {
            a[i + 1] = a [i];
        }
        a[i + 1] = a[0];
    }
}
```




Variations of Insertion Sort

- **Binary** Insertion Sort
 - Use binary search rather than sequential search for insertion passes
 - Complexity does not change because the number of record moves remains unchanged
- **Linked** Insertion Sort
 - The records to be sorted are stored in a linked list rather than an array
 - The number of record moves becomes zero
 - Complexity does not change because sequential search is required for insertion

Quick Sort Concept


- Divide-and-conquer
- Division passes
 - Pick the first element of a list as the pivot
 - Make elements whose key \leq the pivot be the **left sublist**
 - Make elements whose key $>$ the pivot be the **right sublist**
- Division passes are continued until all sublists are of size ≤ 1
- Basically, Quick Sort is **non-stable**






Quick Sort Concept


- Steps for generating sublists
 - Linear searching from the both the ends
 - Find candidates to swap
 - Perform swapping





How Fast is Quick Sort?

- Worst-case time complexity
 - Each division pass involves n comparisons and end up with sublists with 1 and $n-1$ records
 - $T(n) = O(n) + T(n-1) = O(n^2)$
- Average time complexity
 - It has been shown that Quick Sort is $O(n \cdot \log(n))$ on average



Quick Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void QuickSort(T *a, const int left, const int right)
{ // sort a[left..right]
    if (left < right) {
        int & pivot = a[left];
        int i = left;
        int j = right + 1;
        do {
            do j--; while (a[j] > pivot); //find a key ≤pivot
            do { i++; //find a key >pivot
            } while (i < j && a[i] <= pivot);
            if (i < j) swap (a[i], a[j]);
        } while (i < j);
        swap (pivot, a[j]); //place the pivot between 2 lists
        QuickSort(a, left, j - 1); // recursion
        QuickSort(a, j + 1, right); // recursion
    }
}
```




Variations of Quick Sort

- Median-of-three strategy
 - Ordered lists are worst-case inputs for Quick Sort
 - Pivot are always the smallest or largest key within a sublist
 - Ordered lists are not rare in real life
 - Choosing the pivot using the median of the first, middle, and last key can address this issue


How Fast Can We Sort?

- A sorting algorithm can be represented as a **binary decision tree**
 - Non-leaf node represents a **comparison** between two keys
 - Leaf nodes are the sorting **results**



How Fast Can We Sort?


- Sorting n records
 - Number of leaf nodes is at least $n!$
 - Tree height is at least $(\log_2(n!) + 1) = \Omega(n \cdot \log(n))$
 - Sorting with **worst** time complexity $< n \cdot \log(n)$ is impossible
 - Average root-to-leaf path length is $\Omega(n \cdot \log(n))$
 - Sorting with **average** time complexity $< n \cdot \log(n)$ is impossible






Merge Sort


- Interpret the unsorted list as n sorted sublists, each of size 1
- These lists are merged by pairs in each pass
- Merge passes are continued until there is only one sublist remained
- Merge Sort is *stable*





How Fast is Merge Sort ?

- Both worst and average cases
 - $\log(n)$ merge passes are performed
 - Each merge pass is $O(n)$
 - Time complexity is $O(n \cdot \log(n))$




Merge Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void MergeSort(T *a, const int n)
{ // sort a[1:n] into non-decreasing order
    T *tempList = new T[n+1];

    // s is the length of the currently merged sublist
    for (int s = 1; s < n; s *= 2)
    {
        MergePass(a, tempList, n, s);

        s *= 2;
        MergePass(tempList, a, n, s);
    }
    delete [] tempList;
}
```

(to be continued)




Merge Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void MergePass(T *a, T *b, const int n, const int s)
{
    for (int i = 1;
        i <= n-(2*s)+1;
        i += 2*s) {
        Merge(a, b, i, i+s-1, i+(2*s)-1);
    }

    // merge remaining lists
    if ((i + s-1) < n) // one full and one partial lists
        Merge(a, b, i, i+s-1, n);
    else // only one partial lists remained
        copy(a+i, b+n+1, b+i);
}
```


(to be continued)



Merge Sort Algorithm


```
template <class T>
void Merge(T *a, T *b, const int k, const int m, const int n)
{
    for (int i1 = k, i2 = m+1, i3 = k;
        i1 <= m && i2 <= n;
        i3++) {
        if (a[i1] <= a[i2]) {
            b[i3] = a[i1];
            i1++;
        } else {
            b[i3] = a[i2];
            i2++;
        }
        // copy remaining records, if any, of 1st sublist
        copy(a+i1, a+m+1, b+i3);

        // copy remaining records, if any, of 2nd sublist
        copy(a+i2, a+n+1, b+i3);
    }
}
```




Variations of Merge Sort

- Natural Merge Sort
 - Interpreting the initial list as multiple sorted sublists, each can contain more than one records




Original Implementation




Natural Merge Sort

Heap Sort Concept


- Interpret the input list as a tree
- Heapify the tree to form a max heap
- Popping pass
 - Pop the top (maximum) record
 - Heap size shrinks by one
 - Space next to the heap becomes unused
 - Place the popped record at the space
- Popping passes are continued until the heap becomes empty
- Heap Sort is **non-stable**




II interpreted as a tree



heapify the tree to form a max heap




convert the heap back to a list




Heap Sort Detail Steps

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	3	8	1	5	4	2	7

|| interpreted as a tree




1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	3	8	7	5	4	2	1




Heap Sort Detail Steps



1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	3	8	7	5	4	2	1





1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
6	7	8	3	5	4	2	1





Heap Sort Detail Steps




Heap Sort Detail Steps



Heap Sort Detail Steps






Heap Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void HeapSort(T *a, const int n)
{
    // sort a[1..n] into non-decreasing order
    // a[n/2] is the parent of the last node, a[n]
    for (int i = n/2; i >= 1; i--) // bottom-up heapification
        Adjust(a, i, n); // make the tree rooted at i be a max heap

    for (int i = n-1; i >= 1; i--) {
        swap(a[1], a[i+1]); // move one record from heap to list
        Adjust(a, 1, i);    // heapify
    }
}
```

(to be continued)




Heap Sort Algorithm

```
template <class T>
void Adjust(T *a, const int root, const int n)
{
    // two subtrees are max heaps already
    // same procedure as the trickling-down procedure
    T e = a[root];
    // 2*root is root's left child
    for (int j = 2*root; j <= n; j *=2) {
        if (j < n && a[j] < a[j+1]) // j and j+1 are siblings
            j++; // make j be the max child
        if (e >= a[j])
            break;
        a[j / 2] = a[j]; // move jth record up the path
    }
    a[j / 2] = e;
}
```



How Fast is Heap Sort?

- Both worst and average cases
 - Heapifying the tree
 - $n/2$ `adjust()`'s are invoked, each is at most $O(\log(n))$
 - Converting the max heap to the list
 - n `pop()`'s are invoked, each is $O(\log(n))$
 - Overall, the time complexity is $O(n \cdot \log(n))$





Summary

	Worst	Average	
Insertion Sort	n^2	n^2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Fastest method when n is small (e.g., $n < 100$)• $O(1)$ space• Stable
Quick Sort	n^2	$n \log n$	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Fastest method in practice• Require $O(n^2)$ time in the worst case• Require $O(\log(n))$ space• Non-stable
Merge Sort	$n \cdot \log(n)$	$n \cdot \log(n)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Require additional $O(n)$ space• Stable
Heap Sort	$n \cdot \log(n)$	$n \cdot \log(n)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Require additional $O(1)$ space• Non-stable




Summary






Outline

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Insertion Sort
- 7.3 Quick Sort
- 7.4 How fast we can sort
- 7.5 Merge sort
- 7.6 Heap sort
- **7.7 Radix sort**
- 7.8 List and table sorts
- 7.9 Summary of internal sorting



Sorting on Several Keys


- Sorting a deck of cards
 - Sort on two keys
 - Suits (**most-significant digit, MSD**) : ♣ < ♦ < ♥ < ♠
 - Face values (**least-significant digit, LSD**) : 2 < 3 < ... < Q < K < A
- Two popular sorting strategies
 - MSD first sort
 - LSD first sort




Radix Sort

- Decompose each key into several keys using some radix
 - e.g., 365 is decomposed into 3, 6, and 5 with a radix = 10
- Common practices
 - **LSD-first sort** is commonly chosen for computer sorting
 - MSD-first sort tends to incur much overhead because of the need to independently sort multiple groups

LSD-First Radix Sort Example





Summary

- Every sorting algorithm has its pros and cons
 - No one size fit all solution
- C++'s sort methods
 - `sort()`
 - **Quick Sort** that reverts to **Heap Sort** when the recursion depth exceeds some threshold and to **Insertion Sort** when the segment size becomes small
 - `stable_sort()`
 - **Merge Sort** that revers to **Insertion Sort** when the segment size becomes small
 - `partial_sort()`
 - **Heap Sort** that has ability to stop when only the first k elements need to be sorted