

# Written Assignment 1 - Solutions

## Vancouver Summer Program 2018 – Algorithms – UBC

---

- You should work with a partner.
  - You must typeset your solutions.
  - Submit your work using Gradescope by **10:00 p.m. on Friday, July 27**.
  - **Notation.**  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\} \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ .
- 

1. (Enter Fibonacci) The Fibonacci sequence is defined as follows:  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$  for all integers  $n \geq 2$ .

(a) You are to derive an efficient algorithm to compute the  $n$ th Fibonacci number. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n &= F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \\ F_{n-1} &= F_{n-1} + 0 \cdot F_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

If we write this linear system in terms of matrices, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Using this linear relation, derive an algorithm to compute  $F_n$ . Your algorithm should run in time  $O(\log n)$ .

**Hint:** Use repeated squaring to compute matrix powers.

**Solution.** Unfolding the given linear recurrence, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-2} \\ F_{n-3} \end{bmatrix},$$

which gives us

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} F_{n-2} \\ F_{n-3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Continuing in this manner recursively, we get, for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $F_n$  is the top entry of the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , with the understanding that for any matrix  $A$ ,  $A^0 = I$ , the identity matrix. Then for a given  $n \geq 1$ , one needs to compute the  $(n-1)$ st power of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . This can be done using repeated squaring with  $\Theta(\log_2 n)$  matrix multiplications, and since our matrices are  $2 \times 2$  and are thus of *constant* size (relative to the input  $n$ ), multiplying two matrices requires a constant number (in  $n$ ) of integer additions and multiplications. Thus computing  $F_n$  requires  $\Theta(\log n)$  additions/multiplications, which is indeed polynomial in the size of the input,  $\log_2 n$ .

- (b) Now suppose that writing every bit of the output to memory counts as an operation that we wish to account for in our running-time analysis (in the previous part, we disregarded the time required to write the output to memory). Can you compute  $F_n$  in time that is bounded by a polynomial in the size of the input? Justify your answer.

**Solution.** We can show by induction that  $F_n \geq 2^{n/2}$  for all  $n \geq 6$ . Thus the number of bits required to encode the output in binary is at least  $\log_2 2^{n/2} = n/2$ . Since writing each bit to memory counts as an operation here, we will need at least  $n/2$  operations to write the entirety of  $F_n$ . Thus  $T(n) \geq n/2$ . The input is an integer  $n \geq 0$  so the size of the input is  $\text{size}(n) = \log_2 n$  bits. But  $n = 2^{\log_2(n)} = 2^{\text{size}(n)}$ , which is an exponential function in  $\text{size}(n)$ . Thus  $T(n) \geq \frac{1}{2}2^{\text{size}(n)}$ , so  $T(n)$  cannot be a polynomial in  $\text{size}(n)$ .

- (c) **(Bonus)** Find  $a$  if  $a$  and  $b$  are integers such that  $x^2 - x - 1$  is a factor of  $ax^{17} + bx^{16} + 1$ . **Hint:** The answer is  $F_n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . It is enough to show this and find  $n$  explicitly; you do not need to compute  $F_n$ .

**Solution.** Here is one possible solution. Let's work backwards! Let  $F(x) = ax^{17} + bx^{16} + 1$  and let  $P(x)$  be the polynomial such that  $P(x)(x^2 - x - 1) = F(x)$ .

Clearly, the constant term of  $P(x)$  must be  $-1$ . Now, we have  $(x^2 - x - 1)(c_1x^{15} + c_2x^{14} + \dots + c_{15}x - 1)$ , where  $c_i$  is some coefficient. However, since  $F(x)$  has no  $x$  term, it must be true that  $c_{15} = 1$ .

Let's find  $c_{14}$  now. Notice that all we care about in finding  $c_{14}$  is that  $(x^2 - x - 1)(\dots + c_{14}x^2 + x - 1) = \text{something} + 0x^2 + \text{something}$ . Therefore,  $c_{14} = -2$ . Undergoing a similar process,  $c_{13} = 3$ ,  $c_{12} = -5$ ,  $c_{11} = 8$ , and we see a nice pattern. The coefficients of  $P(x)$  are just the Fibonacci sequence with alternating signs! Therefore,  $a = c_1 = F_{16}$ , where  $F_{16}$  denotes the 16th Fibonacci number and  $a = 987$ .

## 2. (Time Complexity)

- (a) Algorithms  $A$  and  $B$  spend exactly  $T_A(n) = 0.1n^2 \log_{10}(n)$  and  $T_B(n) = 2.5n^2$  microseconds, respectively, for a problem of size  $n$ . Choose the algorithm, which is better in the Big-Oh sense, and find out a problem size  $n_0$  such that for any larger size  $n > n_0$  the chosen algorithm outperforms the other. If your problems are of the size  $n \leq 10^9$ , which algorithm will you recommend to use?

**Solution.** In the Big-Oh sense, algorithm **B** is better. It outperforms algorithm **A** when  $T_B(n) \leq T_A(n)$ , that is, when  $2.5n^2 \leq 0.1n^2 \log_{10} n$ . This inequality reduces to  $\log_{10} n \geq 25$ , or  $n \geq n_0 = 10^{25}$ . If  $n \leq 10^9$ , the algorithm of choice is **A**.

- (b) Let  $f(n) = (\log n)^{\log n}$  and  $g(n) = 2^{(\log_2 n)^2}$ . Determine whether  $f \in O(g)$ ,  $f \in \Omega(g)$ , or both (in which case  $f \in \Theta(g)$ ).

**Solution.**  $2^{(\log_2 n)^2} = 2^{(\log_2 n)(\log_2 n)} = (2^{\log_2 n})^{\log_2 n} = n^{\log_2 n}$ .

- (c) Show that for any  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $O(f + g) = O(\max\{f, g\})$ . Recall that  $O(\cdot)$  is a set (see notes #1), and therefore one has to show both  $O(f + g) \subset O(\max\{f, g\})$  and  $O(\max\{f, g\}) \subset O(f + g)$ .

**Solution.** If  $h \in O(f + g)$ , then there is  $c > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h(n) \leq c(g(n) + f(n))$  for all  $n \geq N$ . But

$$\max\{f, g\} = \frac{f + g + |f - g|}{2},$$

from which it follows that  $f + g \leq 2 \max\{f, g\} - |f - g| \leq 2 \max\{f, g\}$ . Thus we may take  $c' = 2c$  and  $N' = N$  so that  $h(n) \leq c' \max\{g(n), f(n)\}$  for all  $n \geq N'$ . For the other direction,

if  $h \in O(\max\{f, g\})$ , then there is  $c > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $h(n) \leq c \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$ . Then  $h(n) \leq cf(n)$  and  $h(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Thus  $2h(n) \leq c(f(n) + g(n))$  for all  $n \geq N$ , so we may take  $c' = c/2$  and  $N' = N$ .