COSC2803 2021 | Rubric | Milestone 1

	Weight	Excellent (2)		Fair (1)	Poor (0.5)	NN (0)
Investigation of the Data Set		Presents 3 key facts from the provided data sets		Presents 2 key facts from the provided data sets	Presents 1 key fact from the provided data sets	Insufficient for Poor category
		Facts highlight the importance of the social challenge and capture the intended users' attention		Facts partially highlight the importance of the social challenge and capture the intended users' attention	Facts may not highlight the importance of the social challenge	
	Weight	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (1.5)	NN (0)
UX/UI Design	5 marks	Design caters for Level 1, 2 and 3 pages. The explanation of the design is well justified.	Design <i>mostly</i> caters for Level 1, 2 and 3 pages with <i>minor issues</i> . The explanation of the design is justified with <i>minor issues</i> .	Design suitably caters for Level 1 and 2 pages. Design may not suitably cater for Level 3 pages. The explanation of the design is justified with minor issues.	Design suitably caters for Level 1 pages. Design may not suitably cater for Level 2 and 3 pages. Explanations are minimal.	Insufficient for Poor category
		Wireframes are easy to understand (appropriate sizing, labelled with clear lines, clear descriptions).	Wireframes are <i>mostly</i> easy to understand (appropriate sizing, labelled with clear lines, clear descriptions), with <i>minor</i> issues.	Wireframes can be understood, but <i>more</i> appropriate layout should be used such as (appropriate sizing, labelled with clear lines, clear descriptions).	Wireframes are difficult to understand, have poor layout, poor sizing, and/or missing labels.	
		Each feature of the UI design has a sufficient description that makes it clear what it allows the user to accomplish, or how the UI should be used.	should be used, with <i>minor inconsistencies</i> or <i>minor issues</i> .	accomplish, or how the UI should be used, but the description is <i>unclear</i>	A <i>minimal</i> description of the UI design is provided.	
		UI design satisfies Neilsen's design principles. The explanation of the quality of the design is tied to the satisfied design principles.	UI design satisfies most Neilsen's design principles with minor issues. The explanation of the quality of the design is tied to the satisfied design principles.	UI design satisfies some of the Neilsen's design principles. The explanation of the quality of the design is tied to the satisfied design principles.	UI design satisfies few of the Neilsen's design principles. A minimal explanation is provided.	
Database Design	5 marks	ER Model is well suited for storing all of the required data that supports the queries for all level 1, 2 & 3 pages	ER Model is <i>mostly</i> suited toward storing all of the required data and <i>mostly</i> supports the queries for all level 1, 2 & 3 pages.		ER Model stores the required data that supports the queries for all level 1 pages. ER Model for level 2 & 3 pages is inadequate.	Insufficient for Poor category
		ER model uses the correct notation	Minor errors in the notation	Significant errors in the notation	Use of notation has been poorly considered, however, the model is still interpretable	
		ER Model captures all of the required classes/attributes and their relationships.	Minor errors in the design of the ER model, such as spurious classes/attributes and/or relationships	Significant errors in the design of the ER model, such as missing classes/attributes and/or relationships	Major errors in the design of the ER model, such as multiple key classes/attributes and relationships are missing	
	Weight	Excellent (3)	Good (2)		Poor (1)	NN (0)
Teamwork & Peer Assessment		Completed Peer assessment	Completed Peer assessment		Peer assessment may be missing	Insufficient for Poor category
		Organisation – Team member has significant activity, regular completion of tasks, and significant contribution as evident through MS Teams and the Task Planner records	Some lack of regular activity, untimely completion of tasks, and/or some lack in contribution, as evident through MS Teams and Task planner records.		Major lack of regular activity, lack of timely completion of tasks, and/or major lack in contribution, as evident through MS Teams and Task planner records, or a lack thereof.	
		Communication – Team member has significant and regular communication with other team member as evident on MS Teams.	Some lack of regular communication with the other team member as evidence on MS Teams		Major lack of regular communication with the other team member as evidence on MS Teams	