ABSTRACT OF PROJECT

Jack Bandy

The Graduate School University of Kentucky 2018

INTERACTIVE MACHINE LEARNING FOR WORD RECOGNITION ON DAMAGED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS

ABSTRACT OF PROJECT

A document submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of Kentucky

> By Jack Bandy Lexington, Kentucky

Director: Dr. Brent Sealesr, Professor of Computer Science Lexington, Kentucky 2018

Copyright© Jack Bandy 2018

ABSTRACT OF PROJECT

INTERACTIVE MACHINE LEARNING FOR WORD RECOGNITION ON DAMAGED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS

	1 /	
an	abstrac	t.

KEYWORDS: keywords go here

Author's signature:	Jack Bandy
Date:	February 2, 2018

INTERACTIVE MACHINE LEARNING FOR WORD RECOGNITION ON DAMAGED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS

By Jack Bandy

Director of Project:	Brent Sealesr
Director of Graduate Studies:	DGS name here
Date:	February 2, 2018

RULES FOR THE USE OF DISSERTATIONS

Unpublished dissertations submitted for the Doctor's degree and deposited in the University of Kentucky Library are as a rule open for inspection, but are to be used only with due regard to the rights of the authors. Bibliographical references may be noted, but quotations or summaries of parts may be published only with the permission of the author, and with the usual scholarly acknowledgments.

Extensive copying or publication of the dissertation in whole or in part also requires the consent of the Dean of the Graduate School of the University of Kentucky.

A library that borrows this dissertation for use by its patrons is expected to secure the signature of each user.

<u>N</u>	<u>Vame</u>			Date

MASTER'S PROJECT

Jack Bandy

The Graduate School University of Kentucky 2018

INTERACTIVE MACHINE LEARNING FOR WORD RECOGNITION ON DAMAGED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS

MASTER'S PROJECT

A document submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of Kentucky

> By Jack Bandy Lexington, Kentucky

Director: Dr. Brent Sealesr, Professor of Computer Science Lexington, Kentucky 2018

Copyright© Jack Bandy 2018

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledge people/things here



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	111
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	V
List of Tables	vi
Chapter 1 Background	1
1.1 Motivation	1 1
1.3 Related Work	2
1.4 Literature Review	2
Chapter 2 Methodology	4
2.1 Data Input and Preprocessing	4
2.2 Labeling	4
Chapter 3 The First Chapter	5
3.1 The First Section	5
Bibliography	6
Vita	8

LIST OF FIGURES

3.1 A Simple Figure	5
---------------------	---

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	A Simple Table																										5
-----	----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---

Chapter 1 Background

1.1 Motivation

On the surface, optical character recognition, word recognition, and handwriting recognition appear to be solved problems. The explosion of machine learning research in recent years has led to drastic improvements in performance on these tasks, and many advancements have found their way to consumer products. For example, every-day software allows users to search within scans or photographs of printed typeface, and note-taking software can now interpret penmanship that would be indecipherable to many human readers.

However, the process of transcribing ancient documents presents a niche area of text recognition which is not addressed well by standard approaches. Many historical documents, including those reviewed in this project, were meticulously transcribed with legibility comparable to typeface, suggesting that automated transcription would be straightforward. But over time, these documents have incurred damage of all different kinds. The characters originally may have looked like typeface, but after hundreds of years of human handling, physical corrosion, chemical decay, and other processes, reading certain parts of these documents is an arduous task even for skilled textual analysts.

For such cases, neither fully human transcription nor fully automated transcription is ideal. Human transcription is incredibly costly, and resources such as time and skilled personnel are often constrained. An automated transcription algorithm may be able to transcribe certain portions of a historical document, but the damaged portions can distort the algorithm's output to the point of being unusable. This is especially true for OCR algorithms which assume constant width, spacing, and more within a document.

An ideal solution would leverage automated transcription for the undamaged portions, and allow a human reader to fill in any gaps. I refer to this as semi-automated transcription. This project presents a pipeline for semi-automated transcription, blending the unreplicable abilities of the human eye with the efficiency and scalability of character recognition algorithms.

1.2 Project Components

There are two main components of the project. The first is a semi-supervised machine learning approach to document transcription, and the second is a word tracing tool for textual scholarship.

An Interactive Approach to Automated Transcription

In this implementation, a user first labels words or letters in the document, generating a small training set for a neural network. A trained neural network will traverse all

pages of the document, recognizing occurrences of any word in its training set. If the network finds no words within an area, it documents the location as "unknown" within its output, so that a user studying the transcript can revisit the area and provide a label if possible.

Given a small set of labeled samples, train a neural network in a semi-supervised manner using both labeled and non-labeled data. Once the initial model is trained, use it to create a transcription of the full document. During the transcription process, the model keeps track of difficult word images, prioritizing them for manual labeling afterwards.

Word Tracing

Once the transcription of a document is generated, many scholars wish to trace the outputted text back to the original manuscript image. Building on state-of-the-art word spotting techniques, I implement a tool that traces transcript text back to the original input image so that scholars can easily navigate and visualize transcriptions.

1.3 Related Work

Handwriting Recognition

Computer Vision Techniques for Text Recognition

Hybrid Approaches

1.4 Literature Review

2009

• Finding words in alphabet soup: Inference on freeform character recognition for historical scripts [1].

2012

- A novel word spotting method based on recurrent neural networks [2].
- End-to-end text recognition with convolutional neural networks [3].

2013

- Handwritten word recognition using mlp based classifier: A holistic approach [4].
- Feature extraction with convolutional neural networks for handwritten word recognition [5].

2014

• A combined system for text line extraction and handwriting recognition in historical documents [6]

2015

- Efficient segmentation-free keyword spotting in historical document collections [7].
- Adapting off-the-shelf cnns for word spotting & recognition [8].
- Segmentation-free handwritten Chinese text recognition with LSTM-RNN [9].

2016

- On the Benefits of Convolutional Neural Network Combinations in Offline Handwriting Recognition [10].
- Reading text in the wild with convolutional neural networks [11].
- PHOCNet: A deep convolutional neural network for word spotting in hand-written documents [12].
- SpottingNet: Learning the Similarity of Word Images with Convolutional Neural Network for Word Spotting in Handwritten Historical Documents [13].

Surveys

- A survey of document image word spotting techniques [14].
- A survey on handwritten documents word spotting [15].

Copyright© Jack Bandy, 2018.

Chapter 2 Methodology

2.1 Data Input and Preprocessing

Alignment

Segmentation

2.2 Labeling

Chapter 3 The First Chapter

3.1 The First Section

Math goes here.

Here's a figure

Figure 3.1: A Simple Figure

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \text{Here} & \text{is} \\ \hline a & \text{table} \end{array}$$

Table 3.1: A Simple Table

Copyright© Jack Bandy, 2018.

Bibliography

- [1] Nicholas R Howe, Shaolei Feng, and R Manmatha. Finding words in alphabet soup: Inference on freeform character recognition for historical scripts. *Pattern Recognition*, 42(12):3338–3347, 2009.
- [2] Volkmar Frinken, Andreas Fischer, R Manmatha, and Horst Bunke. A novel word spotting method based on recurrent neural networks. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 34(2):211–224, 2012.
- [3] Tao Wang, David J Wu, Adam Coates, and Andrew Y Ng. End-to-end text recognition with convolutional neural networks. In *Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, 2012 21st International Conference on, pages 3304–3308. IEEE, 2012.
- [4] Ankush Acharyya, Sandip Rakshit, Ram Sarkar, Subhadip Basu, and Mita Nasipuri. Handwritten word recognition using mlp based classifier: A holistic approach. *International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, 10(2):422–427, 2013.
- [5] Théodore Bluche, Hermann Ney, and Christopher Kermorvant. Feature extraction with convolutional neural networks for handwritten word recognition. In Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), 2013 12th International Conference on, pages 285–289. IEEE, 2013.
- [6] Andreas Fischer, Micheal Baechler, Angelika Garz, Marcus Liwicki, and Rolf Ingold. A combined system for text line extraction and handwriting recognition in historical documents. In *Document Analysis Systems (DAS)*, 2014 11th IAPR International Workshop on, pages 71–75. IEEE, 2014.
- [7] Marçal Rusiñol, David Aldavert, Ricardo Toledo, and Josep Lladós. Efficient segmentation-free keyword spotting in historical document collections. *Pattern Recognition*, 48(2):545–555, 2015.
- [8] Arjun Sharma et al. Adapting off-the-shelf cnns for word spotting & recognition. In *Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*, 2015 13th International Conference on, pages 986–990. IEEE, 2015.
- [9] Ronaldo Messina and Jérôme Louradour. Segmentation-free handwritten chinese text recognition with lstm-rnn. In *Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR)*, 2015 13th International Conference on, pages 171–175. IEEE, 2015.
- [10] Dewi Suryani, Patrick Doetsch, and Hermann Ney. On the benefits of convolutional neural network combinations in offline handwriting recognition. In Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR), 2016 15th International Conference on, pages 193–198. IEEE, 2016.

- [11] Max Jaderberg, Karen Simonyan, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Reading text in the wild with convolutional neural networks. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 116(1):1–20, 2016.
- [12] Sebastian Sudholt and Gernot A Fink. Phocnet: A deep convolutional neural network for word spotting in handwritten documents. In *Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR)*, 2016 15th International Conference on, pages 277–282. IEEE, 2016.
- [13] Zhuoyao Zhong, Weishen Pan, Lianwen Jin, Harold Mouchère, and Christian Viard-Gaudin. Spottingnet: Learning the similarity of word images with convolutional neural network for word spotting in handwritten historical documents. In Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition (ICFHR), 2016 15th International Conference on, pages 295–300. IEEE, 2016.
- [14] Angelos P Giotis, Giorgos Sfikas, Basilis Gatos, and Christophoros Nikou. A survey of document image word spotting techniques. *Pattern Recognition*, 68:310–332, 2017.
- [15] Rashad Ahmed, Wasfi G Al-Khatib, and Sabri Mahmoud. A survey on handwritten documents word spotting. *International Journal of Multimedia Information Retrieval*, 6(1):31–47, 2017.

Vita

A brief vita goes here.