

Well-being of Individuals from the United States Global Social Survey are Affected by Finances, Relationships, and Health*

Justin Abando, Jin Di Liu, Marcin Jacyński

16 March 2023

DON'T FORGET THIS

1 Introduction

2 Data

2.1 Tools Used

2.2 Survey Methodology

2.3 Survey Biases and Ethics

2.4 Data Collection

2.5 Data Cleaning

3 Results

*Code and data are available at: https://github.com/jackchinski/happiness_report

Table 1: Table Visualization of financial satisfaction of respondents with respect to relative income. There is a larger proportion of respondents that claim that they are not satisfied with their finances at lower income ranges. As the income range increases, more respondents proportionally express that they are either more or less satisfied, or are pretty well satisfied with their financial situation.

	Income Ranges			
	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999
Finances				
More or less satisfied	90 (37%)	127 (41%)	272 (48%)	592 (50%)
Not satisfied at all	121 (49%)	152 (50%)	218 (38%)	250 (21%)
Pretty well satisfied	34 (14%)	28 (9.1%)	79 (14%)	350 (29%)
Total	245 (100%)	307 (100%)	569 (100%)	1,192 (100%)




Figure 1: Visualization of financial satisfaction of respondents with respect to their relative income. There is a larger proportion of respondents that claim that they are not satisfied with their finances at lower income ranges. As the income range increases, more respondents proportionally express that they are either more or less satisfied, or are pretty well satisfied with their financial situation.

	More or less satisfied	Not satisfied at all	Pretty well satisfied	Total
Happiness				
Not too happy	299 (19%)	338 (41%)	151 (13%)	788 (23%)
Pretty happy	976 (63%)	393 (48%)	636 (57%)	2,005 (58%)
Very happy	264 (17%)	92 (11%)	336 (30%)	692 (20%)
Total	1,539 (100%)	823 (100%)	1,123 (100%)	3,485 (100%)

something

	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999	90 000 to 170 000	Total
Happiness						
Not too happy	82 (33%)	107 (35%)	173 (30%)	255 (22%)	171 (14%)	788 (23%)
Pretty happy	125 (51%)	155 (51%)	312 (55%)	703 (59%)	710 (60%)	2,005 (58%)
Very happy	38 (16%)	44 (14%)	83 (15%)	226 (19%)	301 (25%)	692 (20%)
Total	245 (100%)	306 (100%)	568 (100%)	1,184 (100%)	1,182 (100%)	3,485 (100%)

something2




Figure 2: something3




Figure 3: ???

	More or less satisfied	Not satisfied at all	Pretty well satisfied	Total
Happiness of Marriage				
NOT TOO HAPPY	32 (4.1%)	18 (6.8%)	14 (2.0%)	64 (3.7%)
PRETTY HAPPY	289 (37%)	117 (44%)	212 (30%)	618 (35%)
VERY HAPPY	455 (59%)	131 (49%)	483 (68%)	1,069 (61%)
Total	776 (100%)	266 (100%)	709 (100%)	1,751 (100%)

something2

	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999	90 000 to 170 000	Total
Happiness of Marriage						
NOT TOO HAPPY	0 (0%)	7 (13%)	10 (6.2%)	27 (4.4%)	20 (2.3%)	64 (3.7%)
PRETTY HAPPY	15 (39%)	17 (33%)	68 (42%)	227 (37%)	291 (33%)	618 (35%)
VERY HAPPY	23 (61%)	28 (54%)	82 (51%)	363 (59%)	573 (65%)	1,069 (61%)
Total	38 (100%)	52 (100%)	160 (100%)	617 (100%)	884 (100%)	1,751 (100%)

something2




Figure 4: something2




Figure 5: ???

	More or less satisfied	Not satisfied at all	Pretty well satisfied	Total
Quality of Health				
Excellent	265 (17%)	106 (13%)	366 (32%)	737 (21%)
Fair	306 (20%)	245 (30%)	118 (10%)	669 (19%)
Good	931 (60%)	407 (49%)	635 (56%)	1,973 (56%)
Poor	40 (2.6%)	68 (8.2%)	8 (0.7%)	116 (3.3%)
Total	1,542 (100%)	826 (100%)	1,127 (100%)	3,495 (100%)

something2

	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999	90 000 to 170 000	Total
Quality of Health						
Excellent	34 (14%)	35 (11%)	89 (16%)	226 (19%)	353 (30%)	737 (21%)
Fair	78 (32%)	107 (35%)	144 (25%)	213 (18%)	127 (11%)	669 (19%)
Good	106 (43%)	135 (44%)	307 (54%)	734 (62%)	691 (58%)	1,973 (56%)
Poor	27 (11%)	30 (9.8%)	29 (5.1%)	19 (1.6%)	11 (0.9%)	116 (3.3%)
Total	245 (100%)	307 (100%)	569 (100%)	1,192 (100%)	1,182 (100%)	3,495 (100%)

something2




Figure 6: something2




Figure 7: something2

	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999	90 000 to 170 000	Total
Changes in Wealth						
Better	61 (25%)	72 (24%)	125 (22%)	491 (41%)	696 (59%)	1,445 (41%)
Stayed same	88 (36%)	117 (38%)	254 (45%)	498 (42%)	396 (34%)	1,353 (39%)
Worse	94 (39%)	117 (38%)	190 (33%)	203 (17%)	90 (7.6%)	694 (20%)
Total	243 (100%)	306 (100%)	569 (100%)	1,192 (100%)	1,182 (100%)	3,492 (100%)

something2

	0 to 9 999	10 000 to 19 999	20 000 to 39 999	40 000 to 89 999	90 000 to 170 000	Total
Changes in Wealth						
Better	61 (25%)	72 (24%)	125 (22%)	491 (41%)	696 (59%)	1,445 (41%)
Stayed same	88 (36%)	117 (38%)	254 (45%)	498 (42%)	396 (34%)	1,353 (39%)
Worse	94 (39%)	117 (38%)	190 (33%)	203 (17%)	90 (7.6%)	694 (20%)
Total	243 (100%)	306 (100%)	569 (100%)	1,192 (100%)	1,182 (100%)	3,492 (100%)

something2




Figure 8: something2




Figure 9: something2

4 Discussion

4.1 Limitations

5 Appendix