

APPENDIX A
METHODS

A. Eligibility Criteria

TABLE VII: Inclusion criteria structured using the PICOS framework.

Category	Details
Population	Any human. Any age group.
Interventions or exposures	Humans that have: – Non-accidental ingestion – Ingestion of a true foreign body (non-nutritive items)
Comparators / Control group	Demographic: – Gender – Age – Detained Person – Psychiatric Inpatient – Displaced Person – Under Influence of Alcohol – Psychiatric History – Severely Disabled – Previous Ingestion Motivation: – Intent to harm – Psychiatric – Psychosocial – Protest – Other Object characteristics: – Button battery – Magnet – Long (>5 cm) – Large diameter (>2.5 cm) – Multiple – Blunt objects – Sharp-pointed objects
Outcomes of interest	– Endoscopic intervention – Surgical intervention – Conservative management – Complication rates – Mortality rates
Setting	Any setting.
Study designs	Any design.

TABLE VIII: Exclusion criteria for study exclusion.

#	Exclusion Criterion
1	Full text not available in English.
2	Studies not focusing on intentional self-ingestion (into the gastrointestinal tract) of a foreign object via the oral cavity (mouth), or where it is unclear if ingestion occurred.
3	Studies focusing solely on accidental ingestion.
4	Non-human or animal studies.
5	Reviews, editorials, commentaries, and opinion pieces without original empirical data.
6	Duplicate publications or studies with overlapping datasets (only the most comprehensive or recent study was included).
7	Studies focusing on ingestion or co-ingestion of substances (e.g., poisons, medications) rather than physical foreign objects.
8	Ingestions undertaken in controlled environments as part of a voluntary study.
9	Ingestions not explicitly stated to be intentional and not suggestive of deliberate ingestion.
10	Does not meet inclusion criteria.
11	Ingestions where death resulted from other means (e.g., suicide by other method).
12	Studies published before the advent of endoscopy (1906).
13	Outcomes not reported.
14	Motivation not reported.
15	Object characteristics not reported

B. Search Strategy

TABLE IX: Concepts with associated keywords and MeSH terms used in PubMed search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	MeSH Terms
Foreign Bodies	"foreign obj*" "foreign bod*"	Foreign Bodies [MeSH]
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	"intent*" "deliberate*" "purpose*" "self-injur*" "selfharm*" "self-harm*"	Self-Injurious Behavior [MeSH]
Ingestion Behavior	"ingest*" "swallow*"	-
Interventions	"surg*" "endoscop*" "EGD" "OGD" "Esophagogastroduodenoscopy" "Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy" "manag*"	Endoscopy [MeSH] Surgical Procedures, Operative [MeSH] Conservative Treatment [MeSH] Drug Therapy [MeSH]

TABLE X: Concepts with associated keywords and EMTREE terms used in Embase search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	EMTREE Terms
Foreign Bodies	"foreign obj*" "foreign bod*"	"foreign body"/exp
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	"intent*" "deliberate*" "purpose*" "self-injur*" "selfharm*" "self-harm*"	"automutilation"/exp
Ingestion Behavior	"ingest*" "swallow*"	"swallowing"/exp
Interventions	"surg*" "endoscop*" "EGD" "OGD" "Esophagogastroduodenoscopy" "Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy" "manag*"	"endoscopy"/exp "surgery"/exp "conservative treatment"/exp "drug therapy"/exp

TABLE XI: Concepts with associated keywords and Cochrane MeSH terms used in CENTRAL search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	Cochrane MeSH Terms
Foreign Bodies	"foreign obj*" "foreign bod*" (foreign NEXT obj*) (foreign NEXT bod*) intent* deliberate*	[mh foreign bodies]
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	purpose* (self NEXT injur*) (self NEXT harm*) ingest* swallow* surg* endoscop*	[mh self-injurious behavior]
Ingestion Behavior	EGD Esophagogastroduodenoscopy Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy manag*	-
Interventions		[mh endoscopy] [mh surgical procedures, operative] [mh conservative treatment] [mh drug therapy]

TABLE XII: Concepts with associated keywords and Web of Science fields used in the search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	Search Field
Foreign Bodies	foreign obj* foreign bod* aut mutilation intent* deliberate*	ALL=
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	purpose* self-injur* selfharm* self-harm* swallowing	ALL=
Ingestion Behavior	ingest* swallow* endoscopy surgery conservative treatment drug therapy	ALL=
Interventions	surg* endoscop* EGD Esophagogastroduodenoscopy Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy manag*	ALL=

TABLE XIII: Concepts with associated keywords and Scopus syntax used in the search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	Search Field / Syntax
Foreign Bodies	foreign PRE/0 obj* foreign PRE/0 bod* intent* deliberate*	ALL()
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	purpose* self PRE/0 injur* self PRE/0 harm* ingest* swallow* endoscopy surgery 'conservative' 'treatment' 'drug' 'therapy'	ALL()
Ingestion Behavior	surg* endoscop* egd esophagogastroduodenoscopy oesophagogastroduodenoscopy manag*	ALL()
Interventions		ALL()

TABLE XIV: Concepts with associated keywords and controlled vocabulary (Descriptors) used in PsycINFO search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	PsycINFO Descriptors
Foreign Bodies	foreign obj* foreign bod* automutilation intent* deliberate*	—
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	purpose* self injur* self harm*	DE "Nonsuicidal Self-Injury"
Ingestion Behavior	ingest* swallow* endoscop* conservative treatment drug therapy	DE "Ingestion"
Interventions	surg* egd esophagogastroduodenoscopy oesophagogastroduodenoscopy manag*	DE "Surgery"

TABLE XV: Concepts with associated keywords used in Google Scholar search strategy.

Concept	Keywords	Search Field
Foreign Bodies	"foreign obj*" "foreign bod*" "intenti*" "deliberate*" "purpose*" "self-injur*" "selfharm*" "self-harm*"	—
Intentional Ingestion / Self-harm	"ingest*" "swallow*"	—
Ingestion Behavior		—

1) PubMed Query:

(“Foreign Bodies”[MeSH] OR “foreign obj*” OR “foreign bod*”)
AND
(“Self-Injurious Behavior”[MeSH] OR “intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self-injur*” OR “selfharm*” OR “self-harm*”)
AND
(“ingest*” OR “swallow*”)
AND
(“Endoscopy”[MeSH] OR “Surgical Procedures, Operative”[MeSH] OR “Conservative Treatment”[MeSH] OR “Drug Therapy”[MeSH] OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “OGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

2) Embase Query (All Fields):

(‘foreign body’/exp OR “foreign obj*” OR “foreign bod*”)
AND
(‘automutilation’/exp OR “intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self-injur*” OR “selfharm*” OR “self-harm*”)
AND
(‘swallowing’/exp OR “ingest*” OR “swallow*”)
AND
(‘endoscopy’/exp OR ‘surgery’/exp OR ‘conservative treatment’/exp OR ‘drug therapy’/exp OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “OGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

3) CENTRAL (Cochrane) Query (All Fields):

([mh “foreign bodies”] OR (“foreign” NEXT “obj*”) OR (“foreign” NEXT “bod*”))
AND
([mh “self-injurious behavior”] OR “intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR (“self” NEXT “injur*”) OR (“self” NEXT “harm*”))
AND
(“ingest*” OR “swallow*”)
AND
([mh “endoscopy”] OR [mh “surgical procedures, operative”] OR [mh “conservative treatment”] OR [mh “drug therapy”] OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

4) Web of Science Query: Link: <https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/summary/4da44d48-3e09-4a94-a3bd-ff8139e94859-01387ccd63/relevance/1>

ALL=(“foreign obj*” OR “foreign bod*”)
AND
ALL=(“automutilation” OR “intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self-injur*” OR “selfharm*” OR “self-harm*”)
AND
ALL=(“swallowing” OR “ingest*” OR “swallow*”)
AND
ALL=(“endoscopy” OR “surgery” OR “conservative treatment” OR “drug therapy” OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

5) Scopus Query:

ALL (“foreign PRE/0 obj*” OR “foreign PRE/0 bod*”)
AND
ALL (“intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self PRE/0 injur*” OR “self PRE/0 harm*”)
AND
ALL (“ingest*” OR “swallow*”)
AND
ALL (“endoscopy” OR “surgery” OR “conservative” OR “treatment” OR “drug” OR “therapy” OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

6) *PsycINFO Query:* **Link:** [https://search-ebscohost-com.ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&bquery=\(foreign+obj*+OR+foreign+bod*\)+AND+\(DE+%26quot%3bNonsuicidal+Self-Injury%26quot%3b+OR+automutilation+OR+intent*+OR+deliberate*+OR+purpose*+OR+self+injur*+OR+self+harm*\)+AND+\(DE+%26quot%3bIngestion%26quot%3b+OR+ingest*+OR+swallow*\)+AND+\(DE+%26quot%3bSurgery%26quot%3b+OR+endoscop*+OR+conservative+treatment+OR+drug+therapy+surg*+OR+endoscop*+OR+egd+OR+esophagogastroduodenoscopy+OR+oesophagogastroduodenoscopy+OR+manag*\)&type=0&searchMode=Standard&site=ehost-live](https://search-ebscohost-com.ezproxy.library.qmul.ac.uk/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&bquery=(foreign+obj*+OR+foreign+bod*)+AND+(DE+%26quot%3bNonsuicidal+Self-Injury%26quot%3b+OR+automutilation+OR+intent*+OR+deliberate*+OR+purpose*+OR+self+injur*+OR+self+harm*)+AND+(DE+%26quot%3bIngestion%26quot%3b+OR+ingest*+OR+swallow*)+AND+(DE+%26quot%3bSurgery%26quot%3b+OR+endoscop*+OR+conservative+treatment+OR+drug+therapy+surg*+OR+endoscop*+OR+egd+OR+esophagogastroduodenoscopy+OR+oesophagogastroduodenoscopy+OR+manag*)&type=0&searchMode=Standard&site=ehost-live)

(“foreign obj*” OR “foreign bod*”)

AND

(DE “Nonsuicidal Self-Injury” OR “automutilation” OR “intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self injur*” OR “self harm*”)

AND

(DE “Ingestion” OR “ingest*” OR “swallow*”)

AND

(DE “Surgery” OR “endoscop*” OR “conservative treatment” OR “drug therapy” OR “surg*” OR “endoscop*” OR “EGD” OR “Esophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy” OR “manag*”)

C. Grey Literature

Google Scholar:

(“foreign obj*” OR “foreign bod*”)

AND

(“intent*” OR “deliberate*” OR “purpose*” OR “self-injur*” OR “selfharm*” OR “self-harm*”)

AND

(“ingest*” OR “swallow*”)

D. Screening Process

A total of 808 records were identified through initial database searches: PubMed (317), Web of Science (277), Google Scholar (135), Embase (25), SCOPUS (24), PsycINFO (16), and Cochrane (14). 316 duplicates were identified and removed.

Title and abstract screening was undertaken, with JGE reviewing all 492 records. A random sample of 50 records was generated for independent screening MS. Cohen's Kappa was calculated for inter-reviewer agreement between JGE and MS, yielding a value of 0.38, indicating fair agreement. Where JGE and MS disagreed, 16 records were reviewed by GC. In total, 176 records were excluded, leaving 316 for full text review.

During full text review, JGE reviewed all 316 records. A random sample of 32 records was generated for independent review by MS. Inter-reviewer agreement was again calculated using Cohen's Kappa, yielding a value of 0.21, indicating fair agreement. Where JGE and MS disagreed, 5 records were reviewed by GC. In total, 276 records were excluded during full text review. 40 records were included and proceeded to bibliography search.

The bibliographies of the 40 included papers were searched by manually JGE. Relevant bibliography items were identified, collated, and evaluated against the eligibility criteria, yielding 194 results.

These 194 results were reviewed by JGE. 164 bibliography search records were excluded, leaving 30 for inclusion. Therefore, a total of 70 records were included in this study and proceeded to bias assessment. This process is illustrated in Figure I.

E. Data Extraction

TABLE XVI: Variables used for case report data extraction. Aggregates of which where used to create Variable_Rate and Variable_Cases.

Variable	Definition
Is_Prisoner	Documented in prison, police custody, or detained (including immigration detention) at the time of the encounter; 'N' if not detained; 'UK' if unknown.
Psych_Hx	Documented DSM-V mental disorder (including substance-related disorders) [129]; 'N' if no diagnosis; 'UK' if data unavailable.
Is_Displaced_Person	'Y' if: meets the UN General Assembly [130] definition of 'Refugee'; or meets UNHCR [131] definition of an 'internally displaced person'; or meets the UNHCR [132] definition for 'asylum seeker'; 'N' if not displaced; 'UK' if unknown.
Under_Influence_Alcohol	Evidence, suspicion, or self-report of alcohol influence at presentation; 'N' if no indication; 'UK' if unknown.
Is_Psych_Inpat	Admitted (voluntarily or involuntarily) to a psychiatric facility/ward at encounter; 'N' if not admitted; 'UK' if unknown.
Severe_Disability_Hx	History of severe learning disability or impaired consciousness; 'N' if absent; 'UK' if unknown.
Previous_Ingestions	Prior episode of foreign-body ingestion documented; 'N' if first ingestion; 'UK' if history unknown.
Motivation_Intent_To_Harm	Ingestion intended for self-harm, self-injury, or suicide; 'N' if other motive; 'UK' if unclear.
Motivation_Protest	Ingestion as protest, demonstration, or manipulation (e.g., objection to detention conditions); 'N' if not protest-related; 'UK' if unclear.
Motivation_Psychiatric	Ingestion driven primarily by an underlying psychiatric condition (psychosis, impulsivity, etc.); 'N' if not psychiatric; 'UK' if unclear.
Motivation_Psychosocial	Ingestion motivated by social or interpersonal factors (imitative acts, shock value, body-image, safekeeping, etc.); 'N' if not psychosocial; 'UK' if unclear.
Motivation_Uncertain	No clear motivation identified in documentation; 'N' if specific motive recorded; 'UK' if ambiguous.
Object_Button_Battery	Button battery ingested; 'N' if not; 'UK' if object type not recorded.
Object_Magnet	Magnet ingested; 'N' if none; 'UK' if unknown.
Object_Long	Ingested object length > 5 cm; 'N' if ≤ 5 cm; 'UK' if dimensions unknown.
Object_Sharp	Object described as sharp or pointed (e.g., blades, nails, needles); 'N' if not sharp; 'UK' if unclear.
Object_Multiple	More than one object ingested in same episode; 'N' for single object; 'UK' if number unspecified.
Object_Uncertain	Where object characteristics are unknown. 'N' if known; 'UK' if Unknown.
Outcome_Endoscopy	Endoscopic intervention performed during episode; 'N' if not; 'UK' if unavailable.
Outcome_Surgery	Surgical intervention performed (operative procedure under anaesthesia); 'N' if not; 'UK' if not documented.
Outcome_Conservative	'Y' if managed without endoscopy or surgery; 'N' if either procedure performed.
Outcome_Death	Death causally related to ingestion complications; 'N' if survived; 'UK' if outcome unknown.
Outcome_Complication	'Y' if any complication directly related to ingestion or resulting from management strategy; 'N' if no complication; 'UK' if unknown.
Outcome_Uncertain	Where no outcome identified; 'N' if outcome identified; 'UK' if Unknown.

1) Definitions: Full variable definitions are shown in XVI.

For the purposes of this study, "surgery" was defined as "any operative intervention performed in a sterile operating theatre under general or regional anaesthesia, involving incision or surgical access to body cavities (including laparotomy, laparoscopy, thoracotomy, or cervical exploration) for the purpose of removing an ingested object or managing complications of ingestion". Procedures performed "solely via flexible or rigid endoscopy through natural orifices" were categorised as "endoscopy" and not considered surgical interventions.

2) *Process*: Data were initially extracted by a single reviewer (JGE) into *Microsoft Excel* [45]. Variables for extraction were developed iteratively through engagement with the literature and analysis of consistent reporting patterns. A preliminary review of the first 30 case reports informed the development of additional data categories, which were subsequently applied to the remaining reports.

Following initial extraction, data were imported into *Python* [46] for further processing and analysis. The Python-based pipeline included data cleaning, validation, and transformation to ensure consistency across heterogeneous study formats. These structured data were then used to guide the extraction of aggregate data from case series. Studies were grouped for extraction based on their classification as case reports or case series. Where case series contained sufficiently granular data, cases were extracted individually and treated as case reports; otherwise, data were extracted at the aggregate level. Case grouping for analysis followed the criteria for inclusion as individual case reports or case series, as defined above. Relevant data from reviews and other literature types were recorded under the case report category.

F. Computational Risk of Bias Assessment

To reduce bias dilution of intentionality effect, a novel computation risk of bias assessment was undertaken, using a combination of human review followed by computational risk of bias assessment. First, the author (JGE) extracted data into *Microsoft Excel* [45]. Then, a computation risk of bias filter was applied to extracted case report and case series data. That process is outlined in this appendix.

1) *Case Reports*: For case reports, the JBI Checklist for Case Reports was used. This tool assesses eight domains of reporting quality, including whether patient demographics were clearly described, a timeline of clinical history was provided, the presenting condition and diagnostic assessment were outlined, and whether the intervention, post-intervention condition, and any adverse events were reported. The final domain evaluates whether the case provides meaningful takeaway lessons.

In addition to manual JBI appraisal, a logic-based validation filter was applied to all case reports using *Python Pandas* [47]. This secondary filter assessed whether key variables — specifically, outcomes, object characteristics, and motivation — were completely unreported. For each domain, a binary flag was generated:

- *Outcome_Unknown* was marked 1 if all outcome-related fields were either missing or marked as unknown.
- *Object_Unknown* was marked 1 if all object-related fields (excluding *Object_Other_Long*) were missing or unknown.
- *Motivation_Unknown* was predefined in the dataset and indicated absence of motivational information.

If any of these flags were triggered, the corresponding JBI item most affected by the missing domain was marked as not reported (e.g., *Post_Intervention_Condition_Described* or *History_Timeline* set to N). Finally, an *Overall_Appraisal* score of *Exclude* was assigned, indicating high risk of bias and exclusion from analysis. This ensured that only case reports with sufficient information to meaningfully contribute to the review question were retained.

2) *Case Series*: For case series, the JBI Checklist for Case Series was applied. The JBI Checklist for Case Series assesses 10 domains of methodological and reporting quality. These include whether the case series defined clear inclusion criteria, applied valid and consistent methods to identify the condition, and included participants consecutively and completely. The checklist also evaluates whether participant demographics and clinical information were clearly reported, whether outcomes or follow-up results were adequately described, and whether the study setting was detailed. Finally, it considers whether the statistical analysis used was appropriate for the data presented.

In addition to manual JBI appraisal, a logic-based exclusion filter was applied using *Python Pandas* [47]. This filter assessed whether key variables — specifically, motivation, object characteristics, and outcomes — were unreported for the entire study population. For each of these domains, a derived rate variable was calculated:

- *Outcome_Unknown_Rate* was marked as 1 if all outcome-related fields were missing or marked as unknown (i.e. the entire population had an had an unknown outcome).
- *Motivation_Unknown_Rate* indicated whether motivation was absent or only partially reported across cases within the study.
- *Object_Unknown_Rate* was derived if all object-related fields were missing or unknown.

If any of these indicators were flagged, the corresponding JBI checklist item (e.g., *Clear_Outcome_Followup_Reported*, *Clear_Demographic_Reported*, or *Clear_Clinical_Info_Reported*) was marked as N, and the study received an *Overall_Appraisal* of *Exclude*. This logic-based validation ensured that case series lacking essential variables could be systematically excluded from the final analysis, maintaining consistency with the review question and minimising risk of bias in the dataset.