
Types of Coding

- Source Coding Code data to more efficiently represent the information
 - Reduces "size" of data
 - Analog Encode analog source data into a binary format
 - Digital Reduce the "size" of digital source data
- <u>Channel Coding</u> Code data for transmition over a noisy communication channel OI → 0000 IIII
 - Increases "size" of data
 - Digital add redundancy to identify and correct errors
 - Analog represent digital values by analog signals
- Complete "Information Theory" was developed by Claude Shannon



Digital Image Coding

- Images from a 6 MPixel digital cammera are 18 MBytes each
- Input and output images are digital
- Output image must be smaller (i.e. ≈ 500 kBytes)
- This is a digital source coding problem

Two Types of Source (Image) Coding

- Lossless coding (entropy coding)
 X→ x same
 - Data can be decoded to form exactly the same bits
 - Used in "zip" +iff
 - Can only achieve moderate compression (e.g. 2:1 3:1) for natural images
 - Can be important in certain applications such as medical imaging
- Lossly source coding
 - Decompressed image is visually similar, but has been changed
 - Used in "JPEG" and "MPEG"
 - Can achieve much greater compression (e.g. 20:1 40:1) for natural images
 - Uses entropy coding

$$E(f(x)) = \sum_{-\infty} P(x=i) f(i)$$
Entropy
$$\int_{-\infty} F(i) = \log_2 P_i$$

• Let X be a random variables taking values in the set $\{0, \cdots, M-1\}$ such that

$$p_i = P\{X = i\}$$

 \bullet Then we define the entropy of X as

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \underbrace{p_i \log_2 p_i}_{\substack{\text{new} \\ \text{PDF}}} \qquad \underbrace{\log \rho_i \uparrow}_{\substack{\text{log } \rho_i \\ \text{pi}}} \downarrow$$

$$= -E \left[\log_2 p_X\right]_{i} \qquad \underbrace{-\log \rho_i = \log \frac{1}{\rho_i}}_{\substack{\text{pi} \\ \text{pi}}} \downarrow$$

H(X) has units of bits

uniform distributions have the highest entropy



Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

• Let (X, Y) be a random variables taking values in the set $\{0, \dots, M-1\}^2$ such that

$$p(i,j) = P\{X = i, Y = j\}$$

$$p(i|j) = \frac{p(i,j)}{\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} p(k,j)}$$

• Then we define the conditional entropy of X given Y as

$$H(X|Y) = -\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} p(i,j) \log_2 p(i|j)$$
$$= -E [\log_2 p(X|Y)]$$

ullet The mutual information between X and Y is given by

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

The mutual information is the reduction in uncertainty of X given Y.

Entropy (Lossless) Coding of a Sequence

• Let X_n be an i.i.d. sequence of random variables taking values in the set $\{0, \dots, M-1\}$ such that

$$P\{X_n = m\} = p_m$$

- $-X_n$ for each n is known as a symbol
- How do we represent X_n with a minimum number of bits per symbol?

A Code

- **Definition:** A code is a mapping from the discrete set of symbols $\{0, \dots, M-1\}$ to finite binary sequences
 - For each symbol, m their is a corresponding finite binary sequence σ_m
 - $-|\sigma_m|$ is the length of the binary sequence
- Expected number of bits per symbol (bit rate)

$$\bar{n} = E[|\sigma_{X_n}|]$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\sigma_m| p_m$$

• Example for M=4

Encoded bit stream

$$(0, 2, 1, 3, 2) \rightarrow (01|0|10|100100|0)$$

Fixed versus Variable Length Codes

- Fixed Length Code $|\sigma_m|$ is constant for all m
- ullet Variable Length Code $|\sigma_m|$ varies with m
- Problem
 - Variable length codes may not be uniquely decodable
 - Example: Using code from previous page

$$(6) \to (100100)$$
$$(1, 0, 2, 2) \to (10|01|0|0)$$

- Different symbol sequences can yield the same code
- **Definition:** A code is *Uniquely Decodable* if there exists only a single unique decoding of each coded sequence.
- **Definition:** A *Prefix Code* is a specific type of uniquely decodable code in which no code is a prefix of another code.

Lower Bound on Bit Rate

• **Theorem:** Let C be a uniquely decodable code for the i.i.d. symbol sequence X_n . Then the mean code length is greater than $H(X_n)$.

$$\bar{n} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} E[|\sigma_{X_n}|]$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\sigma_m| p_m$$

$$\geq H(X_n)$$

- Question: Can we achieve this bound?
- Answer: Yes! Constructive proof using Huffman codes

Huffman Codes


- Variable length prefix code ⇒ Uniquely decodable
- Basic idea:
 - Low probability symbols ⇒ Long codes
 - High probability symbols \Rightarrow short codes
- Basic algorithm:
 - Low probability symbols ⇒ Long codes
 - High probability symbols \Rightarrow short codes

Huffman Coding Algorithm

- 1. Initialize list of probabilities with the probability of each symbol
- 2. Search list of probabilities for two smallest probabilities, p_{k*} and p_{l*} .
- 3. Add two smallest probabilities to form a new probability, $p_m = p_{k*} + p_{l*}$.
- 4. Remove p_{k*} and p_{l*} from the list.
- 5. Add p_m to the list.
- 6. Go to step 2 until the list only contains 1 entry


Recursive Merging for Huffman Code

 \bullet Example for M=8 code



Resulting Huffman Code

• Binary codes given by path through tree



Upper Bound on Bit Rate of Huffman Code

• Theorem: For a Huffman code, \bar{n} has the property that

$$H(X_n) \le \bar{n} < H(X_n) + 1$$

- A Huffman code is within 1 bit of optimal efficiency
- Can we do better?

Coding in Blocks

• We can code blocks of symbols to achieve a bit rate that approaches the entropy of the source symbols.

$$\underbrace{\cdots, \underbrace{X_0, \cdots, X_{m-1}}_{Y_0}, \underbrace{X_m, \cdots, X_{2m-1}}_{Y_1}, \cdots}$$

So we have that

$$Y_n = \left[X_{nm}, \cdots, X_{(n+1)m-1} \right]$$

where

$$Y_n \in \{0, \cdots, M^m - 1\}$$

Bit Rate Bounds for Coding in Blocks

- It is easily shown that $H(Y_n) = mH(X_n)$ and the number of bits per symbol X_n is given by $\bar{n}_x = \frac{\bar{n}_y}{m}$ where \bar{n}_y is the number of bits per symbol for a Huffman code of Y_n .
- Then we have that

$$H(Y_n) \le \bar{n}_y < H(Y_n) + 1$$

$$\frac{1}{m}H(Y_n) \le \frac{\bar{n}_y}{m} < \frac{1}{m}H(Y_n) + \frac{1}{m}$$

$$H(X_n) \le \frac{\bar{n}_y}{m} < H(X_n) + \frac{1}{m}$$

$$H(X_n) \le \bar{n}_x < H(X_n) + \frac{1}{m}$$

As the block size grows, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} H(X_n) \le \lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{n}_x \le H(X_n) + \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m}$$
$$H(X_n) \le \lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{n}_x \le H(X_n)$$

ullet So we see that for a Huffman code of blocks with length m

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{n}_x = H(X_n)$$

Comments on Entropy Coding

• As the block size goes to infinity the bit rate approaches the entropy of the source

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{n}_x = H(X_n)$$

- A Huffman coder can achieve this performance, but it requires a large block size.
- As m becomes large M^m becomes very large \Rightarrow large blocks are not practical.
- This assumes that X_n are i.i.d., but a similar result holds for stationary and ergodic sources.
- Arithmetic coders can be used to achieve this bitrate in practical situations.

Run Length Coding

- In some cases, long runs of symbols may occur. In this case, run length coding can be effective as a preprocessor to an entropy coder.
- Typical run length coder uses
 - \cdots , (value, # of repetitions), (value, # of repetitions+1), \cdots where 2^b is the maximum number of repetitions
- Example: Let $X_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

$$\cdots | \underbrace{0000000}_{07} | \underbrace{111}_{13} | \underbrace{222222}_{26} | \cdots$$

• If more than 2^b repetitions occur, then the repetition is broken into segments

$$\cdots |\underbrace{00000000}_{08}|\underbrace{00}_{02}|\underbrace{111}_{13}|\cdots$$

• Many other variations are possible.


Predictive Entropy Coder for Binary Images


- Uses in transmission of Fax images (CCITT G4 standard)
- Framework
 - Let X_s be a binary image on a rectangular lattice $s = (s_1, s_2) \in S$
 - Let W be a causal window in raster order
 - Determine a model for $p(x_s|x_{s+r} r \in W)$
- Algorithm
 - 1. For each pixel in raster order
 - (a) Predict

$$\hat{X}_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p(1|X_{s+r} \ r \in W) > p(0|X_{s+r} \ r \in W) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (b) If $X_s = \hat{X}_s$ send 0; otherwise send 1
- 2. Run length code the result
- 3. Entropy code the result

Predictive Entropy Coder Flow Diagram





How to Choose $p(x_s|x_{s+r} r \in W)$?

- Non-adaptive method
 - Select typical set of training images
 - Design predictor based on training images
- Adaptive method
 - Allow predictor to adapt to images being coded
 - Design decoder so it adapts in same manner as encoder

Non-Adaptive Predictive Coder

- Method for estimating predictor
 - 1. Select typical set of training images
 - 2. For each pixel in each image, form $z_s = (x_{s+r_0}, \dots, x_{s+r_{p-1}})$ where $\{r_0, \dots, r_{p-1}\} \in W$.
 - 3. Index the values of z_s from j=0 to $j=2^p-1$
 - 4. For each pixel in each image, compute

$$h_s(i,j) = \delta(x_s = i)\delta(z_s = j)$$

and the histogram

$$h(i,j) = \sum_{s \in S} h_s(i,j)$$

5. Estimate $p(x_s|x_{s+r} r \in W) = p(x_s|z_s)$ as

$$\hat{p}(x_s = i | z_s = j) = \frac{h(i, j)}{\sum_{k=0}^{1} h(k, j)}$$

Adaptive Predictive Coder

- Adapt predictor at each pixel
- ullet Update value of h(i,j) at each pixel using equations

$$h(i,j) \leftarrow h(i,j) + \delta(x_s = i)\delta(z_s = j)$$


 $N(j) \leftarrow N(j) + 1$


ullet Use updated values of h(i,j) to compute new predictor at each pixel

$$\hat{p}(i|j) \leftarrow \frac{h(i,j)}{N(j)}$$

• Design decoder to track encoder

Adaptive Predictive Entropy Coder Flow Diagram





Lossy Source Coding

- Method for representing discrete-space signals with minimum distortion and bit-rate
- Outline
 - Rate-distortion theory
 - Karhunen-Loeve decorrelating Transform
 - Practical coder structures

Distortion

• Let X and Z be random vectors in \mathbb{R}^M . Intuitively, X is the original image/data and Z is the decoded image/data.

Assume we use the squared error distortion measure given by

$$d(X,Y) = ||X - Z||^2$$

Then the distortion is given by

$$D = E[d(X, Y)] = E[||X - Z||^2]$$

• This actually applies to any quadratic norm error distortion measure since we can define

$$\tilde{X} = AX$$
 and $\tilde{Z} = AZ$

$$\tilde{D} = E\left[\left|\left|\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}\right|\right|^2\right] = E\left[\left|\left|X - Z\right|\right|_B^2\right]$$

where $B = A^t A$.

Lossy Source Coding: Theoretical Framework

Notation for source coding

 $X_n \in \mathbb{R}^M$ for $0 \le n < N$ - a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors

 $Y \in \{0,1\}^K$ - a K bit random binary vector.

 $Z_n \in \mathbb{R}^M$ for $0 \le n < N$ - the decoded sequence of random vectors.

$$X^{(N)} = (X_0, \cdots, X_{N-1})$$
$$Z^{(N)} = (Z_0, \cdots, Z_{N-1})$$

- Encoder function: $Y = Q(X_0, \dots, X_{N-1})$
- Decoder function: $(Z_0, \dots, Z_{N-1}) = f(Y)$
- Resulting quantities

Bit-rate =
$$\frac{K}{N}$$

Distortion =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E\left[||X_n - Z_n||^2\right]$$

ullet How do we choose $Q(\cdot)$ to minimize the bit-rate and distortion?

Differential Entropy

- ullet Notice that the information contained in a Gaussian random variable is infinite, so the conventional entropy H(X) is not defined.
- Let X be a random vector taking values in \mathbb{R}^M with density function p(x). Then we define the differential entropy of X as

$$h(X) = -\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^M} p(x) \log_2 p(x) dx$$
$$= -E \left[\log_2 p(X)\right]$$

h(X) has units of bits

Conditional Entropy and Mutual Information

- Let X and Y be a random vectors taking values in \mathbb{R}^M with density function p(x,y) and conditional density p(x|y).
- ullet Then we define the differential conditional entropy of X given Y as

$$h(X|Y) = -\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^M} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^M} p(x, y) \log_2 p(x|y)$$
$$= -E \left[\log_2 p(X|Y)\right]$$

ullet The mutual information between X and Y is given by

$$I(X;Y) = h(X) - h(X|Y) = I(Y;X)$$

• **Important:** The mutual information is well defined for both continuous and discrete random variables, and it represents the reduction in uncertainty of X given Y.

The Rate-Distortion Function

- Define/Remember:
 - Let X_0 be the first element of the i.i.d. sequence.
 - Let $D \ge 0$ be the allowed distortion.
- For a specific distortion, D, the rate is given by

$$R(D) = \inf_{Z} \{ I(X_0; Z) : E[||X_0 - Z||^2] \le D \}$$

where the infimum (i.e. minimum) over Z is taken over all random variables Z.

• Later, we will show that for a given distortion we can find a code that gets arbitrarily close to this optimum bit-rate.

Properties of the Rate-Distortion Function

- \bullet Properties of R(D)
 - -R(D) is a monotone decreasing function of D.
 - If $D \ge E[||X_0||^2]$, then R(D) = 0
 - -R(D) is a convex function of D

Shannon's Source-Coding Theorem

• Shannon's Source-Coding Theorem: For any R' > R(D) and D' > D there exists a sufficiently large N such that there is an encoder

$$Y = Q(X_0, \cdots, X_{N-1})$$

which achieves

$$Rate = \frac{K}{N} \le R'$$

and

Distortion =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E\left[||X_n - Z_n||^2\right] \le D'$$

• Comments:

- One can achieve a bit rate arbitrarily close to R(D) at a distortion D.
- Proof is constructive (but not practical), and uses codes that are randomly distributed in the space \mathbb{R}^{MN} of source symbols.


Example 1: Coding of Gaussian Random Variables

• Let $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ with distortion function $E[|X - Z|^2]$, then it can be shown that the rate-distortion function has the form

$$R(\delta) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\delta} \right), 0 \right\}$$
$$D(\delta) = \min \left\{ \sigma^2, \delta \right\}$$

• Intuition:

- $-\delta$ is a parameter which represents the $\sqrt{\text{quantization step}}$
- $-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\delta}\right)$ represents the number of bits required to encode the quantized scalar value.
- Minimum number of bits must be ≥ 0 .
- Maximum distortion must be $\leq \sigma^2$.



Example 2: Coding of N Independent Gaussian Random Variables

• Let $X = [X_1, \dots, X_{N-1}]^t$ with independent components such that $X_n \sim N(0, \sigma_n^2)$, and define the distortion function to be

Distortion =
$$E[||X - Z||^2]$$

Then it can be shown that the rate-distortion function has the form

$$R(\delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma_n^2}{\delta} \right), 0 \right\}$$
$$D(\delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \min \left\{ \sigma_n^2, \delta \right\}$$

• Intuition:

- In an optimal coder, the quantization step should be approximately equal for each random variable being quantized.
- The bit rate and distortion both add for each component.
- It can be proved that this solution is optimal.

Example 3: Coding of Gaussian Random Vector

• Let $X \sim N(0, R)$ be a N dimensional Gaussian random vector, and define the distortion function to be

$$Distortion = E[||X - Z||^2]$$

• Analysis: We know that we can always represent the covariance in the form

$$R = T^t \Lambda T$$

where the columns of T are the eigenvectors of R, and $\Lambda = diag\{\sigma_0^2, \cdots, \sigma_{N-1}^2\}$ is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. We can then decorrelate the Gaussian random vector with the following transformation.

$$\tilde{X} = T^t X$$

From this we can see that \tilde{X} has the covariance matrix given by

$$E\left[\tilde{X}\tilde{X}^{t}\right] = E\left[T^{t}XX^{t}T\right]$$
$$= T^{t}E\left[XX^{t}\right]T = T^{t}RT = \Lambda$$

So therefore, \tilde{X} meets the conditions of Example 2. Also, we see that

$$E[||X - Z||^2] = E[||\tilde{X} - \tilde{Z}||^2]$$

where $\tilde{X} = T^t X$ and $\tilde{Z} = T^t Z$ because T is an orthonormal transform.

Example 3: Coding of Gaussian Random Vector (Result)

• Let $X \sim N(0,R)$ be a N dimensional Gaussian random vector, and define the distortion function to be

$$Distortion = E[||X - Z||^2]$$

Then it can be shown that the rate-distortion function has the form

$$R(\delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma_n^2}{\delta} \right), 0 \right\}$$
$$D(\delta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \min \left\{ \sigma_n^2, \delta \right\}$$

where $\sigma_0^2, \cdots, \sigma_{N-1}^2$ are the eigenvalues of R.

- Intuition:
 - An optimal code requires that the components of a vector be decorrelated before source coding.

Example 4: Coding of Stationary Gaussian Random Process


• Let X_n be a stationary Gaussian random process with power spectrum $S_x(\omega)$, and define the distortion function to be

Distortion =
$$E[|X_n - Z|^2]$$

Then it can be shown that the rate-distortion function has the form

$$R(\delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{S_x(\omega)}{\delta} \right), 0 \right\} d\omega$$
$$D(\delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \min \left\{ S_x(\omega), \delta \right\} d\omega$$

- Intuition:
 - The Fourier transform decorrelates a stationary Gaussian random process.
 - Frequencies with amplitude below δ are clipped to zero.



The Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT)

• DCT (There is more than one version)

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n) \ c(k) \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N}\right)$$

where

$$c(k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & k = 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & k = 1, \cdots, N - 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

• Inverse DCT (IDCT)

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} F(k) \ c(k) \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N}\right)$$

- Comments:
 - In this form, the DCT is an orthonormal transform. So if we define the matrix F such that

$$F_{n,k} = c(k) \cos \left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N}\right)$$
,

then $F^{-1} = F^H$ where

$$F^{-1} = \left[F^t \right]^* = F^H$$

- Takes and N-point real valued signal to an N-point real valued signal.

Relationship Between DCT and DFT

ullet Let us define the padded version of f(n) as

$$f_p(n) = \begin{cases} f(n) & 0 \le n \le N - 1 \\ 0 & N \le n \le 2N - 1 \end{cases}$$

and its 2N-point DFT denoted by $F_p(k)$. Then the DCT can be written as

$$F(k) = \frac{c(k)}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n) \cos \left(\frac{\pi (2n+1)k}{2N} \right)$$

$$= \frac{c(k)}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n) Re \left\{ e^{-j\frac{2\pi (2n+1)k}{2N}} e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{c(k)}{\sqrt{N}} Re \left\{ e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} f_p(n) e^{-j\frac{2\pi (2n+1)k}{2N}} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{c(k)}{\sqrt{N}} Re \left\{ e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}} F_p(k) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{c(k)}{\sqrt{N}} \left(F_p(k) e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}} + F_p(k) e^{+j\frac{\pi k}{2N}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{c(k) e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}}}{\sqrt{N}} \left(F_p(k) + \left(F_p(k) e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{2N}} \right)^* \right)$$

Interpretation of DFT Terms

Consider the inverse DCT for each of the two terms

$$F_p(k) \Leftrightarrow f_p(n)$$

- $DCT\{f_p(n)\} \Rightarrow F_p(k)$
- $DCT\{f_p(-n+N-1)\} \Rightarrow \left(F_p(k)e^{-j\frac{2\pi k}{2N}}\right)^*$
- Simple example for N=4

$$f(n) = [f(0), f(1), f(2), f(3)]$$

$$f_p(n)$$

= $[f(0), f(1), f(2), f(3), 0, 0, 0, 0]$

$$f_p(-n+N-1) = [0, 0, 0, 0, f(3), f(2), f(1), f(0)]$$

$$f(n) + f_p(-n+N-1)$$

= $[f(0), f(1), f(2), f(3), f(3), f(2), f(1), f(0)]$

Relationship Between DCT and DFT (Continued)

• So the DCT is formed by 2N-point DFT of f(n)+f(-n+N-1).

$$F(k) = \frac{c(k)e^{-j\frac{\pi k}{2N}}}{\sqrt{N}}DFT_{2N} \{f(n) + f(-n+N-1)\}$$