

The next day is cold and cloudy, and you wake up distinctly in the mood to machine two 1/2" wide, 1" deep slots in an 8" long block of 316-series stainless steel, which has a unit horsepower of 1.9 (HP*min/in³). You have a variety of 1/2" end mills to use with a mill (4000 RPM max, 3 HP motor). Note: when needed, use the table of speeds and feeds at <http://www.niagaracutter.com/speedfeed>.

1. If you follow the 1/2 diameter rule-of-thumb for depth of cut (DOC), how many passes would it take to make **both** slots using a 1/2" end mill?
2pts

a. 8 passes total

2. What is the theoretical shortest length of cutting time it would take to make the part with the available machine? Hint: look at the mill specs.
2pts

a. m $T_{RR} = \frac{19}{30} \frac{\text{min}}{\text{in}^3} \cdot 5 \cdot 1 \cdot 8 = 9$

$$t = \frac{4(19)}{15} \text{ min} = \frac{76}{15} \text{ min} \approx 5.06 \text{ min}$$

1. What feed rate would be needed to achieve the time you found in part 4.b? 2pts

$$M_{rr} = \frac{30}{19} \frac{\text{in}^3}{\text{min}} = \text{ipm} * w_{oc} * doc$$

$$\frac{30}{19(1/2)(1)} = \boxed{\frac{60}{19} \text{ in/min}}$$

1. Recall that spindle speed and feed rate need to be set relative to each other. You have access to 2-, 4-, and 6-flute end mills. What spindle speed would you set for each end mill to use with the feed rate you calculated in 4.c? 3pts

$$r_F = \frac{60}{19} \text{ in/min}$$

$$CL = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ in/tooth}$$

$$N_F \rightarrow \frac{15}{10^4}$$

$$r_F = (w)(CL)(N_F)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r_F}{(CL)(N_F)} = w, \text{ so}$$

$$\frac{60}{19} \cdot \frac{10^4}{(1.5)(2)}$$

$$\bullet \forall N_F \in \mathbb{N} : N = 2 \Rightarrow \omega_2 = \frac{20}{19} \cdot 10^3 \text{ RPM}$$

$$\bullet \forall N_F \in \mathbb{N} : N = 4 \Rightarrow \omega_4 = \frac{10}{19} \cdot 10^3 \text{ RPM}$$

$$\bullet \forall N_F \in \mathbb{N} : N = 6 \Rightarrow \omega_6 = \frac{20}{57} \cdot 10^3 \text{ RPM}$$

$$\bullet \forall N_F \in \mathbb{N} : N = 6 \Rightarrow \omega_6 = \frac{20}{57} \cdot 10^3 \text{ RPM}$$

Assume the end mills are uncoated carbide. Based on the spindle speeds you found in part 4.d, and their resultant cutting speeds, would the 2-, 4-, or 6-flute be the best choice in this circumstance? *Hint: look at machine specs and compare cutting speeds to the recommended values.* 2pts

$$\omega_{N_F} = \frac{\text{SFM}}{\pi \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{24(\text{SFM})}{\pi} \Rightarrow \frac{\omega_{N_F} \pi}{24} = \text{SFM}$$

$$I.) \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N = 2 \Rightarrow (\dot{C}_{\text{cut}}) \approx 137.789 [\text{SFM}]$$

$$II.) \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N = 4 \Rightarrow (\dot{C}_{\text{cut}}) \approx 68.895 [\text{SFM}]$$

$$III.) \forall N \in \mathbb{N} : N = 6 \Rightarrow (\dot{C}_{\text{cut}}) \approx 45.930 [\text{SFM}]$$

$$\text{So, } C(x) = \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists (x) : 100 \leq (x) \leq 150$$

$$\text{So, } C(137.789) \Rightarrow \text{True, } \forall 316 \text{ SS}$$

Thus, use 2 Flute

What would be an advantage of using TiCN-coated carbide instead? 1pt

we can increase SFM by 40% Relative to an uncoated Carbide. This is also more strict than Train.

A representative from Arbitrary Parts Inc is a big fan of your two-slots-in-a-block design, and wants to mass produce it as "Q71." The company would make about 500/month using a 3-axis CNC mill.

Ignoring facilities cost, what are 4 sources that will contribute to the cost of manufacturing Q71? 4pts

1. Costs of tools
2. Number of passes needed per cut (machining time-ish) related to labor time
3. Machine down time related to maintenance and tool life time
4. Cost of material

what are some steps Arbitrary Parts Inc. could take to reduce these costs? 3pts

1. Redesign the holes to require less passes
2. Invest in coated tools to increase speed
3. Acquire comparable material with a better unit hp

For some baffling reason, Q71 is a resounding success and Arbitrary Parts Inc. decides to triple monthly production. Which of the aforementioned sources of manufacturing cost per part would likely decrease the most? 1pt

1. I believe that the material cost will be most significant assuming that the part cannot be redesigned.