# Categorical Framework for Dimensional Analysis and System Classification

#### Jack Goldrick

#### Abstract

This paper develops a categorical framework to formalize dimensional analysis using display categories, functors, natural transformations, and universal properties. By introducing isometric and isomorphic invariances of dimensionless groups, we establish a method to classify physical systems into equivalence classes based on their behaviors. This framework unifies different physical domains and provides a systematic approach to analyze and predict system behaviors across various fields, including applications to machine learning models. We also explore the implications of linear dependence in dimension vector spaces on overfitting in modeling, discuss how the Buckingham Pi theorem fits into this framework as a special case involving free object generators, and investigate the role of universal properties in dimensional analysis.

# Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	2.2 Dimensional Analysis and Dimensionless Groups	2 3 3
3	Display Categories and Dimensional Systems 3.1 Display Categories	3 3 4
4	Functors and Natural Transformations in Dimensional Systems 4.1 Unit Systems as Functors	4 4 4
5		4 4 5
6	6.2 Formation of Equivalence Classes	5 5 6 6 6
7	Extension to Dynamical Systems 7.1 Differential Equations as Morphisms	

8	Overfitting and Linear Dependence in Dimension Vector Spaces	7
	8.1 Linearly Dependent Units and Dimensional Redundancy	7
	8.2 Example: Weight, Mass, and Acceleration	
	8.3 Implications for Modeling and Overfitting	8
	8.4 Avoiding Dimensional Overfitting in Models	
	8.5 Analogies with Machine Learning	
9	Applications to Machine Learning Models	9
	9.1 Categorical Representation of Machine Learning Models	9
		10
	9.3 Transfer Learning as a Functor	10
10	Buckingham Pi Theorem and Free Object Generators	10
	10.1 Buckingham Pi Theorem in the Categorical Framework	10
	10.2 Dimensionless Groups as Free Objects	
	10.3 Application to Drag Force and Reynolds Number	
	10.4 Buckingham Pi as a Simplification	
	10.5 Implications in the Categorical Framework	
11	Universal Properties in Dimensional Analysis	<b>12</b>
	11.1 Universal Objects and Universal Properties	12
	11.2 Application to Dimensionless Quantities	
	11.3 Universal Properties and Dimensional Homogeneity	
		13
		13
12	Conclusion	13

#### 1 Introduction

Dimensional analysis is a fundamental tool in physics and engineering, providing insight into the relationships between physical quantities and allowing for the formation of dimensionless groups that characterize system behaviors. In this paper, we extend the traditional approach by applying category theory to dimensional analysis, introducing display categories, functors, natural transformations, and universal properties to create a formal framework for classifying and comparing physical systems. We further explore applications of this framework in machine learning models, discuss how linear dependence in dimension vector spaces relates to overfitting in modeling, examine how the Buckingham Pi theorem operates within this categorical context, and investigate the role of universal objects and properties.

# 2 Preliminaries

#### 2.1 Basic Definitions in Category Theory

**Definition 2.1** (Category Concepts Carnival). A category C consists of:

- A class of *objects* Ob(C).
- For every pair of objects  $A, B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a set of morphisms (or arrows)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ .
- For every triple of objects  $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , a composition law  $\circ : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)$ .
- For every object  $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , an identity morphism  $\text{id}_A \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A)$ .

These must satisfy the associativity and identity axioms.

**Definition 2.2** (Fantastic Functor). A functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  between categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a mapping that:

- Assigns to each object  $A \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$  an object  $F(A) \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ .
- Assigns to each morphism  $f: A \to B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  a morphism  $F(f): F(A) \to F(B)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Such that:

- $F(\mathrm{id}_A) = \mathrm{id}_{F(A)}$  for all  $A \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$  for all composable morphisms f, g in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Natural Transformation Treasure). Given two functors  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ , a natural transformation  $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$  assigns to each object  $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  a morphism  $\eta_A : F(A) \to G(A)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , such that for every morphism  $f : A \to B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$F(A) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(B)$$

$$\eta_A \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \eta_B$$

$$G(A) \xrightarrow{G(f)} G(B)$$

## 2.2 Dimensional Analysis and Dimensionless Groups

**Definition 2.4** (Dimension Delight). A *dimension* is a fundamental measure of a physical quantity, represented symbolically (e.g., mass [M], length [L], time [T]).

A dimensioned quantity is a physical variable associated with a combination of dimensions, expressed as  $[M]^a[L]^b[T]^c$ , where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.5** (Dimensionless Dazzler). A *dimensionless group* is a combination of physical variables and constants that results in a quantity without units, i.e., all dimensions cancel out.

#### 2.3 Dimension Vector Spaces

**Definition 2.6** (Vector Space Voyage). The dimension vector space  $\mathcal{V}_D$  is a real vector space formed by the exponents of the fundamental dimensions. Each dimensioned quantity corresponds to a vector in  $\mathcal{V}_D$ .

# 3 Display Categories and Dimensional Systems

#### 3.1 Display Categories

**Definition 3.1** (Display Dynamo). A display category  $\mathcal{V}$  over a base category  $\mathcal{D}$  is a category where:

- Objects of  $\mathcal V$  are variables associated with dimensions in  $\mathcal D$ .
- Morphisms in  $\mathcal{V}$  represent relationships (e.g., equations, transformations) between these variables.
- There is a functor  $p: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{D}$  called the *display functor*, which maps each variable to its associated dimension.

# 3.2 Base Category of Dimensions

Let  $\mathcal D$  be the base category where:

- Ob( $\mathcal{D}$ ) consists of fundamental dimensions  $[M], [L], [T], \dots$
- Morphisms in  $\mathcal{D}$  represent dimension transformations (e.g., scaling, combination).

## 3.3 Variables and Morphisms

In the display category  $\mathcal{V}$ :

- Objects are physical variables (e.g., mass m, velocity v, force F) with associated dimensions via the functor p.
- Morphisms represent physical laws or relationships between variables (e.g., F = ma,  $v = \frac{dx}{dt}$ ).

# 4 Functors and Natural Transformations in Dimensional Systems

# 4.1 Unit Systems as Functors

Let  $U_{SI}$  and  $U_{Imperial}$  be categories representing the SI and Imperial unit systems, respectively. Define functors:

$$F_{ ext{SI}}: \mathcal{D} 
ightarrow \mathcal{U}_{ ext{SI}}, \ F_{ ext{Imperial}}: \mathcal{D} 
ightarrow \mathcal{U}_{ ext{Imperial}}.$$

These functors map dimensions to units in each system.

#### 4.2 Natural Transformations as Unit Conversions

**Definition 4.1** (Conversion Conjurer). A natural transformation  $\eta: F_{SI} \Rightarrow F_{Imperial}$  represents unit conversions between the SI and Imperial systems.

For each dimension  $[D] \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}), \, \eta_{[D]} : F_{\text{SI}}([D]) \to F_{\text{Imperial}}([D])$  is the conversion factor between units.

# 4.3 Invariance of Dimensionless Groups

**Theorem 4.1** (Invariant Invincibility Theorem). Let  $\Pi$  be a dimensionless group formed from variables in  $\mathcal{V}$  associated with dimensions in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, under the natural transformation  $\eta: F_{SI} \Rightarrow F_{Imperial}$ ,  $\Pi$  remains invariant.

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi$  is dimensionless, it is constructed such that all dimensional units cancel out. Under the natural transformation  $\eta$ , each variable transforms according to unit conversion factors, but the ratios and products in  $\Pi$  ensure that these factors cancel. Therefore,  $\Pi$  is invariant under  $\eta$ .

# 5 Isometric and Isomorphic Invariances

#### 5.1 Isometric Invariance

**Definition 5.1** (Isometric Illusion). An *isometric transformation* in this context is a mapping between two systems that preserves quantitative relationships, such as ratios or magnitudes of variables.

Formally, consider two systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with variable sets  $\{v_i\}$  and  $\{v_i'\}$ , respectively. An isometric transformation  $\phi: S_1 \to S_2$  satisfies:

$$\phi(v_i) = kv_i,$$

where k is a constant scaling factor, and the relationships between variables in  $S_1$  are preserved in  $S_2$ .

Two systems are *isometrically invariant* if there exists an isometric transformation between them that preserves these quantitative relationships.

**Theorem 5.1** (Isometric Invariance Preservation). If two systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are related by an isometric transformation  $\phi$ , then any dimensionless groups formed from variables in  $S_1$  are invariant under  $\phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  be a dimensionless group formed from variables in  $S_1$ :

$$\Pi = \prod_{i=1}^{n} v_i^{\alpha_i},$$

where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the product results in a dimensionless quantity.

Applying the isometric transformation  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(\Pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi(v_i)^{\alpha_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (kv_i)^{\alpha_i} = k^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i} \Pi.$$

Since  $\Pi$  is dimensionless, the exponents of the dimensions sum to zero, so  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i[D_i] = 0$ . Therefore, the scaling factor k raised to the power of zero is one:

$$k^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i} = k^0 = 1.$$

Thus:

$$\phi(\Pi) = \Pi.$$

Therefore, dimensionless groups are invariant under isometric transformations.

#### 5.2 Isomorphic Invariance

**Definition 5.2** (Isomorphism Intrigue). An *isomorphism* between two categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  that is invertible, i.e., there exists a functor  $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$  such that  $G \circ F = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $F \circ G = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

Two systems are *isomorphically invariant* if their categories are isomorphic, preserving the structural relationships between variables and equations.

**Theorem 5.2** (Isomorphic Invariance Preservation). If two systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have categories  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  that are isomorphic via a functor  $F: C_1 \to C_2$ , then the structural relationships (morphisms) in  $S_1$  are preserved in  $S_2$ .

*Proof.* Since F is an isomorphism, it maps objects and morphisms in  $C_1$  to objects and morphisms in  $C_2$  such that the categorical structure is preserved.

For any objects A, B in  $C_1$ , and any morphism  $f: A \to B$ ,  $F(f): F(A) \to F(B)$  in  $C_2$ .

Because  $G \circ F = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$  and  $F \circ G = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ , F is invertible, ensuring that the structural relationships are fully preserved.

Therefore, the equations and relationships in  $S_1$  are mapped to equivalent equations and relationships in  $S_2$ , preserving the system's structure.

# 6 Equivalence Classes via Dimensionless Groups

## 6.1 Classification of Systems

**Definition 6.1** (Equivalence Ensemble). An *equivalence class* of systems is a set of systems that share the same dimensionless groups and exhibit similar behaviors under corresponding conditions.

We denote an equivalence class  $\mathcal{E}_{\Pi}$  for systems sharing a dimensionless group  $\Pi$ .

#### 6.2 Formation of Equivalence Classes

**Theorem 6.1** (Equivalence Enchantment Theorem). Systems that are isometrically or isomorphically invariant under transformations preserving their dimensionless groups belong to the same equivalence class  $\mathcal{E}_{\Pi}$ .

*Proof.* Let systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have categories  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , respectively, with associated dimensionless groups  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ .

Suppose there exists:

- An isometric transformation  $\phi: S_1 \to S_2$  preserving quantitative relationships.
- An isomorphism  $F: \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$  preserving structural relationships.

Since  $\phi$  and F preserve the dimensionless groups (i.e.,  $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2$ ), and the systems exhibit similar behaviors under these transformations, they belong to the same equivalence class  $\mathcal{E}_{\Pi}$ .

#### 6.3 Examples of Equivalence Classes

#### 6.3.1 Reynolds Number in Fluid Dynamics

**Definition 6.2** (Reynolds Revelation). The Reynolds number Re is a dimensionless group defined as:

$$Re = \frac{\rho vL}{\mu},$$

where:

- $\rho$  is the fluid density  $([M][L]^{-3})$ .
- v is the fluid velocity  $([L][T]^{-1})$ .
- L is a characteristic length ([L]).
- $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity ( $[M][L]^{-1}[T]^{-1}$ ).

**Theorem 6.2** (Flow Familiarity Theorem). Fluid systems with the same Reynolds number Re exhibit similar flow characteristics and belong to the same equivalence class  $\mathcal{E}_{Re}$ .

*Proof.* The Reynolds number Re encapsulates the ratio of inertial forces to viscous forces in a fluid flow. Systems with the same Re have proportional relationships between these forces, leading to similar flow patterns (e.g., laminar or turbulent flow).

Through an isometric transformation preserving the Reynolds number, variables in one system can be scaled to those in another while maintaining the quantitative relationships. Therefore, these systems exhibit similar behaviors and belong to the same equivalence class  $\mathcal{E}_{Re}$ .

#### 6.3.2 Electrical-Mechanical System Analogy

**Definition 6.3** (Analogous Alliance). Consider the mechanical system governed by:

$$F(t) = m\ddot{x}(t) + c\dot{x}(t) + kx(t),$$

and the electrical circuit governed by:

$$V(t) = L\ddot{Q}(t) + R\dot{Q}(t) + \frac{1}{C}Q(t).$$

**Theorem 6.3** (System Symmetry Theorem). The mechanical and electrical systems are isomorphically invariant under a functorial mapping that preserves the structural form of their governing equations.

*Proof.* Define a functor  $F: \mathcal{C}_{\text{mech}} \to \mathcal{C}_{\text{elec}}$  such that:

$$F(x(t)) = Q(t),$$

$$F(\dot{x}(t)) = \dot{Q}(t),$$

$$F(\ddot{x}(t)) = \ddot{Q}(t),$$

$$F(F(t)) = V(t),$$

$$F(m) = L,$$

$$F(c) = R,$$

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{C}.$$

The morphisms (differential relationships) are preserved under F:

$$F(m\ddot{x}(t) + c\dot{x}(t) + kx(t)) = L\ddot{Q}(t) + R\dot{Q}(t) + \frac{1}{C}Q(t).$$

Since F is invertible (with inverse mapping electrical quantities back to mechanical ones), and it preserves the structural relationships, the two systems are isomorphic. Therefore, they are isomorphically invariant and can be classified into the same equivalence class based on their dimensionless group analogs.

# 7 Extension to Dynamical Systems

## 7.1 Differential Equations as Morphisms

**Definition 7.1** (Dynamic Dance). In dynamical systems, the evolution of variables over time can be represented as morphisms in the display category.

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a display category where:

- Objects are state variables x(t), v(t), a(t).
- Morphisms are differential equations relating these variables, e.g.,  $v(t) = \frac{dx(t)}{dt}$ .

## 7.2 Transducers as Isometric Morphisms

**Theorem 7.1** (Transducer Transformation Theorem). An ideal transducer can be represented as an isometric morphism in the display category, preserving power between domains.

*Proof.* Consider an electrical-to-mechanical transducer:

Electrical power 
$$P_{\text{elec}} = V(t)I(t)$$
, Mechanical power  $P_{\text{mech}} = F(t)v(t)$ .

An ideal transducer satisfies  $P_{\text{elec}} = P_{\text{mech}}$ . The morphism  $T : \mathcal{V}_{\text{elec}} \to \mathcal{V}_{\text{mech}}$  maps electrical variables to mechanical ones while preserving power:

$$T(V(t)) = F(t),$$
  

$$T(I(t)) = v(t).$$

Since power is preserved, the quantitative relationships are maintained, making T an isometric morphism.

# 8 Overfitting and Linear Dependence in Dimension Vector Spaces

#### 8.1 Linearly Dependent Units and Dimensional Redundancy

**Definition 8.1** (Linear Dependence Delight). In the dimension vector space  $V_D$ , a set of dimension vectors  $\{\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \dots, \mathbf{d}_n\}$  is said to be *linearly dependent* if there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , not all zero, such that:

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{d}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{d}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{d}_n = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Proposition 8.1** (Dimension Dependency Proposition). If a physical quantity's dimension vector is a linear combination of other dimension vectors, then the corresponding unit is dimensionally redundant in modeling.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{d}_w$  be the dimension vector of a physical quantity w, and suppose it can be expressed as a linear combination of other dimension vectors:

$$\mathbf{d}_w = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \mathbf{d}_i,$$

where  $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{d}_i$  are the dimension vectors of other physical quantities.

This means that the dimensions of w are determined entirely by the dimensions of the  $\{\mathbf{d}_i\}$ . In modeling, including w along with all the  $\{\mathbf{d}_i\}$  introduces redundancy because w does not provide additional independent information beyond what is already captured by the  $\{\mathbf{d}_i\}$ . Therefore, w is dimensionally redundant in the context of the model.

## 8.2 Example: Weight, Mass, and Acceleration

**Definition 8.2** (Weighty Wonders). Consider the relationship:

$$w = mq$$
,

where:

- w is the weight (force) of an object.
- m is the mass of the object.
- g is the acceleration due to gravity.

The dimension vectors are:

$$\mathbf{d}_w = [M][L][T]^{-2} = (1, 1, -2),$$
  

$$\mathbf{d}_m = [M] = (1, 0, 0),$$
  

$$\mathbf{d}_q = [L][T]^{-2} = (0, 1, -2).$$

We observe that:

$$\mathbf{d}_w = \mathbf{d}_m + \mathbf{d}_g.$$

This shows that the dimension vector of w is a linear combination (specifically, the sum) of the dimension vectors of m and g, indicating linear dependence.

# 8.3 Implications for Modeling and Overfitting

**Theorem 8.1** (Overfitting Overload Theorem). Including linearly dependent units in a model can lead to overfitting due to dimensional redundancy, analogous to multicollinearity in machine learning.

*Proof.* In modeling physical systems, the goal is to capture the essential relationships between independent variables and dependent variables. Including variables whose dimension vectors are linearly dependent introduces redundancy because these variables can be expressed in terms of other variables already present in the model.

This redundancy increases the complexity of the model without providing new independent information. In statistical modeling, such as regression analysis, including linearly dependent (or highly collinear) predictors can inflate variance, make parameter estimates unstable, and reduce the model's ability to generalize to new data—hallmarks of overfitting.

Similarly, in physical models, incorporating dimensionally redundant variables can cause the model to fit the specific dataset too closely, capturing noise rather than underlying physical laws. By analogy with multicollinearity, this overfitting reduces the model's predictive power and interpretability.

Therefore, to prevent overfitting due to dimensional redundancy, it is important to identify and remove or combine linearly dependent units, simplifying the model while retaining its essential predictive capabilities.

П

#### 8.4 Avoiding Dimensional Overfitting in Models

**Proposition 8.2** (Simplification Strategy Proposition). To prevent overfitting due to dimensional redundancy, models should be simplified by:

• Identifying and removing linearly dependent units.

- Using fundamental variables to represent the system.
- Employing dimensionless groups to reduce the number of variables.
- *Proof.* Identifying and Removing Linearly Dependent Units: By analyzing the dimension vectors of variables, we can detect linear dependencies. Removing redundant variables eliminates unnecessary complexity.
  - Using Fundamental Variables: Focusing on a minimal set of independent variables ensures that the model captures the essential dynamics without overparameterization.
  - Employing Dimensionless Groups: Dimensionless groups combine multiple variables into single entities that capture the relative effects of different physical quantities. This reduces the dimensionality of the problem and mitigates the risk of overfitting.

By applying these strategies, we simplify the model, enhance its generalizability, and maintain interpretability. This approach aligns with the principles of parsimonious modeling and dimensional analysis.  $\Box$ 

#### 8.5 Analogies with Machine Learning

**Definition 8.3** (Feature Finesse). In machine learning, *multicollinearity* refers to the presence of features (variables) that are highly correlated or linearly dependent, which can adversely affect model training and interpretation.

**Corollary 8.1.1** (Modeling Multicollinearity Corollary). The presence of linearly dependent dimension vectors in physical modeling is analogous to multicollinearity in machine learning models.

*Proof.* In both physical modeling and machine learning:

- **Redundancy:** Linearly dependent variables do not provide additional independent information, leading to redundancy.
- Overfitting Risk: Redundancy increases model complexity without enhancing predictive power, increasing the risk of overfitting.
- Parameter Instability: In statistical models, multicollinearity can cause large variances in parameter estimates. Similarly, in physical models, redundant variables can obscure the true relationships between variables.

Recognizing this analogy allows us to apply strategies from machine learning to physical modeling, such as variable selection, regularization, and dimensionality reduction, to mitigate overfitting due to redundancy.

# 9 Applications to Machine Learning Models

# 9.1 Categorical Representation of Machine Learning Models

**Definition 9.1** (Model Morphism Magic). A machine learning model can be represented as a category  $\mathcal{M}$  where:

- Objects are layers or components of the model (e.g., neurons, layers in a neural network).
- Morphisms are the functions or mappings between layers (e.g., activation functions, weight matrices).

## 9.2 Dimensionless Groups in Machine Learning

**Definition 9.2** (Learning Landscape Luminary). A dimensionless group in machine learning could be a ratio of hyperparameters or variables that lacks units, such as the ratio of learning rate  $\eta$  to batch size B, forming a dimensionless quantity  $\Pi_{\rm ML} = \frac{\eta}{B}$ .

**Theorem 9.1** (Hyperparameter Harmony Theorem). Models sharing the same dimensionless hyperparameter groups exhibit similar training dynamics and can be classified into the same equivalence class.

*Proof.* Dimensionless hyperparameter groups capture the relative influence of different training parameters on model behavior. For example, the ratio of learning rate to batch size affects the convergence rate and stability of training.

If two models have the same  $\Pi_{\rm ML}$ , they operate under similar conditions in the hyperparameter space, leading to analogous training dynamics. By classifying models based on these dimensionless groups, we can predict their behavior and transfer insights between models within the same equivalence class.

Therefore, models with the same dimensionless hyperparameter groups can be transformed into one another via isometric transformations (scaling of hyperparameters while preserving their ratios), and they exhibit similar behaviors, justifying their classification into the same equivalence class.

#### 9.3 Transfer Learning as a Functor

**Definition 9.3** (Transfer Transformation). Transfer learning can be viewed as a functor  $T: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ , mapping a pre-trained model  $\mathcal{M}_1$  to a new model  $\mathcal{M}_2$  for a different but related task.

The functor T:

- Maps objects (layers) in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  to objects in  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .
- Maps morphisms (functions between layers) in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  to morphisms in  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .

**Theorem 9.2** (Transfer Learning Functoriality Theorem). If the transfer learning functor T preserves the structural and quantitative relationships (i.e., isomorphic and isometric invariances), then the performance on the new task can be predicted based on the original model's performance.

*Proof.* By representing transfer learning as a functor T, we formalize the mapping between the pre-trained model  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and the new model  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . If T is:

- **Isomorphic:** Preserves the architecture and structural relationships between layers, ensuring that the functional form of the model is maintained.
- **Isometric:** Preserves the quantitative relationships, such as weight magnitudes and activation patterns, possibly up to scaling factors.

Under these conditions, the essential features and learned representations in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  are retained in  $\mathcal{M}_2$ . As a result, the performance of  $\mathcal{M}_2$  on the new task can be inferred from the performance of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , adjusted for differences in task complexity and data distributions.

Therefore, understanding the functorial mapping T allows us to predict and analyze  $\mathcal{M}_2$  based on  $\mathcal{M}_1$ , leveraging the invariances preserved during transfer learning.

# 10 Buckingham Pi Theorem and Free Object Generators

#### 10.1 Buckingham Pi Theorem in the Categorical Framework

**Definition 10.1** (Buckingham Pi Phenomenon). The *Buckingham Pi theorem* states that any physically meaningful equation involving a certain number n of physical variables can be equivalently rewritten as an equation involving a set of k = n - r dimensionless parameters, where r is the rank of the dimensional matrix formed by the dimensions of the variables.

Formally, if we have variables  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  with dimensions, there exist k dimensionless groups  $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_k$  such that:

$$f(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = 0 \quad \iff \quad \phi(\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_k) = 0.$$

# 10.2 Dimensionless Groups as Free Objects

**Definition 10.2** (Free Object Generator). In category theory, a *free object* on an object X in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object F(X) together with a morphism  $\eta_X : X \to F(X)$  that satisfies a universal property.

In the context of dimensional analysis, the process of forming dimensionless groups can be viewed as generating free objects in a category of dimensionless quantities.

**Theorem 10.1** (Buckingham Pi as Free Object Theorem). The Buckingham Pi theorem can be interpreted as constructing a free object in the category of dimensionless quantities, generated by the dimensioned variables subject to dimensional invariance.

*Proof.* Consider the category C whose objects are dimensioned variables and whose morphisms are dimensionally consistent functions between them. The dimensionless groups  $\Pi_i$  are constructed by taking products of powers of the original variables:

$$\Pi_i = \prod_{j=1}^n v_j^{a_{ij}},$$

where the exponents  $a_{ij}$  are determined by solving the dimensional homogeneity equations.

This process can be seen as generating free objects in a category  $\mathcal{D}$  of dimensionless quantities, where the  $\Pi_i$  are the free generators. The mapping from the original variables to the dimensionless groups satisfies a universal property: any dimensionally consistent relationship between the original variables factors uniquely through the dimensionless groups.

Therefore, the Buckingham Pi theorem constructs free objects on the set of dimensioned variables, capturing all possible dimensionless invariants derived from them.

# 10.3 Application to Drag Force and Reynolds Number

**Definition 10.3** (Drag Force Dynamics). Consider the problem of determining the drag force  $F_D$  on an object moving through a fluid. The variables involved are:

- $F_D$ : Drag force  $([M][L][T]^{-2})$ .
- $\rho$ : Fluid density ( $[M][L]^{-3}$ ).
- v: Velocity of the object relative to the fluid  $([L][T]^{-1})$ .
- L: Characteristic length (e.g., diameter) of the object ([L]).
- $\mu$ : Dynamic viscosity of the fluid ( $[M][L]^{-1}[T]^{-1}$ ).

**Theorem 10.2** (Drag Force Functionality Theorem). Using the Buckingham Pi theorem, we can express the drag force  $F_D$  as a function of the Reynolds number Re:

$$F_D = \rho v^2 L^2 \cdot \phi(\text{Re}),$$

where the Reynolds number is defined as:

$$Re = \frac{\rho vL}{\mu}.$$

Proof omitted for brevity; see earlier sections for detailed proof.

#### 10.4 Buckingham Pi as a Simplification

In this example, the Buckingham Pi theorem reduces a complex relationship involving five variables to a simpler relationship involving only one dimensionless group (since  $\Pi_1$  is expressed in terms of  $\Pi_2$ ). This can be viewed as a special case where the free object generator produces a mapping from the invariants (dimensionless groups) to the dimensioned variables, effectively simplifying the problem.

**Proposition 10.1** (Simplification via Free Object Generator). The Buckingham Pi theorem acts as a free object generator on one object (the dimensionless group), providing a unique mapping from the invariant to the original variables, thereby simplifying the functional relationship.

*Proof.* By generating the dimensionless group  $\Pi_2 = \text{Re}^{-1}$ , we reduce the dependency of the drag force on multiple variables to a single functional dependency on Re. The free object generator constructs  $\Pi_2$  freely from the dimensioned variables while satisfying dimensional homogeneity.

The function  $\phi(\text{Re})$  encapsulates all the complex interactions between the original variables within a single argument. This demonstrates how the Buckingham Pi theorem simplifies the problem by creating a free object (dimensionless group) that serves as the sole variable in the functional relationship.

#### 10.5 Implications in the Categorical Framework

Within our categorical framework, the Buckingham Pi theorem's role as a free object generator highlights how dimensionless groups serve as universal mappings that capture the essence of physical relationships. By interpreting the theorem in this way, we see that:

- The dimensionless groups are objects in a category of invariants.
- The mapping from dimensioned variables to dimensionless groups is a functorial process that preserves the structure of the relationships.
- The dimensionless groups act as free generators that define how invariants are mapped to the dimensioned variables.

This perspective reinforces the power of dimensional analysis within the categorical framework and illustrates how the Buckingham Pi theorem provides a systematic method for simplifying complex physical problems.

# 11 Universal Properties in Dimensional Analysis

#### 11.1 Universal Objects and Universal Properties

**Definition 11.1** (Universal Unveiling). In category theory, an object U in a category C is said to have a universal property if it is initial, terminal, or satisfies a certain universal mapping property that characterizes it uniquely up to unique isomorphism.

- An initial object I is an object such that for every object A in C, there exists a unique morphism from I to A.
- A terminal object T is an object such that for every object A in C, there exists a unique morphism from A to T.

# 11.2 Application to Dimensionless Quantities

**Theorem 11.1** (Dimensionless Terminality Theorem). The dimensionless quantity (i.e., the unitless object) can be considered a terminal object in the category of dimensioned quantities.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of dimensioned quantities, where objects are physical quantities with dimensions, and morphisms are dimension-preserving functions between them.

Consider the dimensionless quantity 1 (representing a pure number with no dimensions). For every dimensioned quantity Q in C, there exists a unique morphism (dimensionless scaling) from Q to 1 given by:

$$f_Q: Q \to \mathbf{1}, \quad f_Q(q) = \frac{q}{q_0},$$

where  $q_0$  is a reference value of the same dimension as q, making the ratio dimensionless.

Since for every object Q there is a unique morphism to  $\mathbf{1}$ , the dimensionless quantity  $\mathbf{1}$  serves as a terminal object in C.

## 11.3 Universal Properties and Dimensional Homogeneity

**Theorem 11.2** (Universal Mapping Property of Dimensionless Groups). Dimensionless groups satisfy a universal mapping property in the category of physical quantities, acting as mediators through which all dimensionally consistent relationships factor uniquely.

*Proof.* Let C be the category where objects are sets of dimensioned variables, and morphisms are dimensionally consistent functions.

Given a set of dimensioned variables  $\{v_i\}$  and any dimensionally consistent function  $f:\{v_i\}\to\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a unique factorization through the dimensionless groups  $\{\Pi_j\}$  constructed from  $\{v_i\}$  via the Buckingham Pi theorem:

$$f = \phi \circ \psi$$
.

where  $\psi: \{v_i\} \to \{\Pi_j\}$  maps variables to dimensionless groups, and  $\phi: \{\Pi_j\} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function of dimensionless groups.

This factorization is unique due to the universal property of dimensionless groups in capturing all dimensionally invariant information from the original variables. Therefore, dimensionless groups serve as universal objects mediating all dimensionally consistent functions.

#### 11.4 Implications for Physical Laws

**Proposition 11.1** (Universality of Physical Laws). Physical laws that are expressed in terms of dimensionless groups have a universal character, as they are independent of the specific units used and capture fundamental relationships.

*Proof.* Since dimensionless groups are invariant under changes of units and capture the essence of the relationships between physical quantities, expressing physical laws in terms of dimensionless groups makes them universally applicable.

This universality reflects the fact that the laws depend only on the structure of the relationships, not on arbitrary choices of measurement units. Therefore, when a physical law is formulated using dimensionless groups, it attains a universal status within the framework of dimensional analysis.  $\Box$ 

#### 11.5 Universal Constructions in Dimensional Analysis

The use of universal properties in dimensional analysis emphasizes the fundamental role of dimensionless groups and invariants. By viewing dimensionless groups as terminal objects or as objects satisfying universal mapping properties, we can:

- Understand the uniqueness and universality of dimensionless relationships in physical systems.
- Recognize that any dimensionally consistent function factors through the dimensionless groups, highlighting their central role.
- Appreciate how dimensional analysis identifies universal aspects of physical laws, independent of specific units or scales.

#### 12 Conclusion

We have developed a categorical framework that formalizes dimensional analysis using display categories, functors, natural transformations, and universal properties. By introducing isometric and isomorphic invariances of dimensionless groups, we can classify physical systems into equivalence classes based on their behaviors. We have explored how linear dependence in dimension vector spaces can lead to overfitting due to dimensional redundancy, drawing parallels with multicollinearity in machine learning. Additionally, we have shown how the Buckingham Pi theorem operates within this framework as a special case involving free object generators, simplifying complex relationships by mapping invariants to dimensioned variables. By incorporating universal objects and properties, we have further highlighted the foundational role of dimensionless groups in capturing

the essence of physical relationships. This approach unifies different physical domains and enhances our ability to analyze and predict system behaviors across various fields, including machine learning models. The framework provides a foundation for further exploration of categorical structures in complex systems.