Ling 102 Section September 28

Section Wednesdays 7:15–8:00 Boylston 335 Office Hours Tuesdays 3:00–4:00 2 Arrow St Room 423

English Auxiliary System

(1) a.
$$S \to {NP \brace S'}$$
 (Aux) VP
b. $Aux \to {Infinitive \brack Modal}$ (Perfective) (Progressive)

b. How many possible trees for Aux can we make based on our rule?

Tense and Aspect

Perfective and progressive marking in the auxiliary help to represent the **aspect** of a clause.

- (3) a. Utterance Time the time when a sentence is spoken
 - b. Reference Time the time with which reference to the event time is evaluated (we can think of this as the time for which the event is relevant/has lasting impact for the sentence)
 - c. Event Time the time at which an event occurs
- (4) a. Tense: information regarding the relative order of UT and RT
 - b. Aspect: information regarding the relative order of RT and ET

Tense		Aspect
RT <	UT	ET < RT
Past		Perfective
RT =	UT	ET = RT
Present		Progressive
RT >	· UT	ET > RT
Future		Prospective

Placement of Tense

We see that we represent aspect through the use of the auxiliary. Where is tense located?

- (5) a. I see the dog vs. I saw the dog.
 - b. I do see the dog vs. I did see the dog.
 - c. I can see the dog vs. I could? see the dog.

What about **will**? Can it fit with other modals? Does 'will' represent future tense, or prospective aspect?

How Many Do's?

How many categories can 'do' fit into? What distinguishes 'do' from other auxiliaries? Look at it's meaning/distribution, as well as the morphology it takes.

Hierarchical Notions of Distance

If syntax does not care about linear order, how may we distinguish between constituents that are 'further away' or 'closer together' than others? Hint: define distance using c-command.

- (6) If A asymmetrically c-commands B and B asymmetrically c-commands C, then B intervenes between A and C, and can said to be closer to A and C than A and C are to one another
- A XP

 B XP

 C XP