

```

\documentclass{article}

\usepackage[utf8]{inputenc}

\usepackage{geometry}

\usepackage{amsmath}

\usepackage{graphicx}

\usepackage{listings}


\title{Project 1 Analysis}

\author{jackj21 }

\date{February 12, 2021}


\begin{document}


\maketitle


\section*{Analysis}

\begin{enumerate}

\item The total run-time and the average time per time step for  $m_x = 9$ ,  $m_y = 7$ ,  $T = 5$  and  $n = 11, 26, 51, 101, 201, 301$ :

\begin{figure}[!ht]

\centering

\includegraphics[width=12cm, height=7cm]{tableofvalues.jpg}

\caption{Table of values for  $dx$ ,  $dt$ ,  $nt$ , and run times for each value of  $n$ .}

\label{fig:fig1}

\end{figure}

\begin{figure}

\centering

\includegraphics[width = 8cm, height=7cm]{TotalRuntimeVSN.jpg}

```

```
\caption{Plot for total run-time as a function of  $N=n \times n$ .}
```

```
\label{fig:fig2}
```

```
\end{figure}
```

```
\begin{figure}
```

```
\centering
```

```
\includegraphics[width=8cm, height=7cm]{Avgtime.jpg}
```

```
\caption{Plot for average time per time step as a function of  $N=n \times n$ .}
```

```
\label{fig:fig3}
```

```
\end{figure}
```

```
\newpage
```

If  $n = 100,000$  and  $T = 5$ , setting  $m_x=12$  and  $m_y=10$ , the number of time steps required for a stable solution such that  $\alpha = 1$  can be calculated based off a model created from data retrieved in the previous task. It can be observed from figure \ref{fig:fig1} that there appears to be a linear trend between  $n$  and the number of time steps/iterations,  $n_t$ . I created a model in Python using numpy's polyfit function to obtain a linear model for the 2 variables. The code is shown below:

```
\begin{verbatim}
```

```
# Task 2
```

```
fig3 = plt.figure()
```

```
x = n
```

```
y = n_t
```

```
plt.scatter(x, y)
```

```
model = np.polyfit(n, n_t, 1)
```

```
a = model[0]
```

```
b = model[1]
```

```
predict = np.poly1d(model)
```

```
x_lin_reg = range(302)
```

```
y_lin_reg = predict(x_lin_reg)
```

```
plt.plot(x_lin_reg, y_lin_reg)
```

```
predict(100000)
```

```
\end{verbatim}
```

Using my model, I was able to enter in  $n=100000$  as a value and my model gave me the number of time steps/iterations for  $n$ . The model returned a value of  $706861.568099968$ , and this seems reasonable because  $n$  and  $n_x$  follow a linear trend so when  $n=100000$ ,  $n_x$  will be a much larger number.

\\

Performing the calculations to find the percentage 100 iterations would solve out of the whole simulation, I simply divided  $\frac{100}{706861}$  and got around  $0.01\%$  which is essentially none of it.

\newpage

\item

\begin{figure}[!ht]

\centering

\includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T1.jpg}

\caption{2D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=1$ }

\label{fig:my\_label}

\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[!ht]

\centering

\includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T3.jpg}

\caption{2D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=3$ }

\label{fig:my\_label}

\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[!ht]

\centering

\includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T5.jpg}

\caption{2D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=5$ }

\label{fig:my\_label}

\end{figure}

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T1.jpg}
  \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=1$ }
  \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask33DN11T1.jpg}
  \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=1$ }
  \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T3.jpg}
  \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=3$ }
  \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask32DN11T5.jpg}
  \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=11$  at  $t=5$ }
  \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
    \centering
    \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask33DN101T1.png}
    \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=101$  at  $t=1$ }
    \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
    \centering
    \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask33DN101T3.png}
    \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=101$  at  $t=3$ }
    \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\begin{figure}[!ht]
    \centering
    \includegraphics[width=12cm,height=12cm]{AnalysisTask33DN101T5.png}
    \caption{3D Graph of Simulation for  $T=5$  and  $n=101$  at  $t=5$ }
    \label{fig:my_label}
\end{figure}

```

```

\newpage

```

These photos show the images of the solution near  $t=1,3,5$  when  $T=5$  and  $n=11,101,201$ . The  $n$ -value equal to  $201$  takes very long for my laptop to process and compute. I believe something is wrong with my algorithm here that is messing with the computations and causing it to take too much time. Therefore, figures will no longer be added to this report and only to the gitlab repository.

\item Letting values  $T=5, n=101, m_x=2,$  and  $m_y=3$ , the simulation was run for  $\alpha$  values  $1.0$  and  $1.001$ .

```

\begin{enumerate}a)

```

\item The latter solution of  $\alpha=1.001$  is expected to blow up. When running the simulation, it appeared to blow up around time  $t=7$  seconds.

\\b)

\item Videos posted on Canvas.

\end{enumerate}

\end{enumerate}

\end{document}