# Real Analysis HW #9

Jack Krebsbach

Nov 28th

Let  $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = 0$ .

(a) Show that  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = 0$  for any function g as above IS NOT TRUE.

**Proof:** Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = 0$  for any g(x). Consider the case when  $g(x) = 1/x^2$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta$  such that whenever  $0 < |x - 0| < \delta$  we have  $|f(x)g(x) - 0| < \epsilon$ . By the Archimedes principle there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N,  $0 < |1/n| < \delta$ . Thus,

$$|f(1/n)g(1/n) - 0| = |f(1/n)n^2 - 0| < \epsilon.$$

We consider three cases:

- 1. If f approaches zero at a faster rate than  $n^2$  increases then  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = 0$  is true.
- 2. If f approaches zero at the same rate that  $n^2$  increases then  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = c$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and the assumption is false.
- 3. If f approaches zero slower then  $n^2$  increases then we choose  $n_* > N$  such that  $|f(1/n_*)n_*^2| > \epsilon$ , yielding a contradiction and thus the assumption is false.

Hence,  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = 0$  for any function g is not true.

⊜

(b) Assume that *g* is a bounded function on *A*. Show that  $\lim_{x\to a} g(x)f(x) = 0$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and g be bounded by  $B \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . So |g(x)| < B for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = 0$  then there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$  we automatically have  $|f(x) - 0| < \epsilon/B$ . Now,

$$|g(x)f(x) - 0| < |g(x)| \left| \frac{\epsilon}{B} \right| \le |B| \left| \frac{\epsilon}{B} \right| = \epsilon.$$

(

Let a and b be real numbers with  $a \ne 0$ . Use the definition of continuity to prove that the function f defined by f(x) = ax + b is continuous at every real number.

**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Choose  $\delta = \epsilon/a$ . If we have  $|x - c| < \delta$  it follows

$$|f(x) - f(c)| = |ax + b - (ac + b)| = |ax + b - ac - b| = |ax - ac| = |a(x - c)| < |a\frac{\epsilon}{a}| < \epsilon.$$

☺

(2)

Thus, f(x) = ax + b is continuous at every real number.

## **Question 3**

Use the definition of limit to prove that  $\lim_{x\to c} x^2 = c^2$  for every real number c.

**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\delta = \min\{1, \epsilon/(2c+1)\}$ . If we have  $0 < |x-c| < \delta$  it follows that,

$$|f(x) - L| = |x^2 - c^2| = |x + c||x - c| < (2c + 1)\frac{\epsilon}{2c + 1} = \epsilon$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x\to c} x^2 = c^2$  for every real number c.

Find constants *a* and *b* so that the function *f* defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3ax^2 + 1 & 0 \le x \le 1\\ ax + b & 1 < x < 2\\ 2bx + a & 2 \le x \le 4 \end{cases}$$

has a limit at each point of [0, 4]. Be sure to show the limit exists.

#### Solution:

First we find constants a and b so that f(x) has a limit defined at each point [0,4]. Plugging in 1 and 2 in each of the equations defined in the piecewise function f(x) yields a system of equations:

$$2a + 1 = a + b \implies 2a + 1 = b$$

and

$$2a + b = 4b + a \implies a = 3b$$
.

Substituting a = 3b into the first equation yields

$$2(3b) = b \implies 6b + 1 = 6 \implies 5b = -1 \implies b = \frac{-1}{5}.$$

Finally, solving for a = 3b = 3(-1/5) = -3/5. Thus,

$$b = \frac{-1}{5}$$
 and  $a = \frac{-3}{5}$ 

and f becomes

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -9/5x^2 + 1 & 0 \le x \le 1\\ -3/5x - 1/5 & 1 < x < 2\\ -2/5x - 3/5 & 2 \le x \le 4 \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that the limit exists at 2 and 1 from the left and the right.

**Proof:**  $\lim_{x\to 1^-} = -4/5$ :

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Now, we restrict our  $\delta$  to be a maximum of 1. Choose  $\delta = \min\{1, \epsilon\sqrt{5}/6\}$ . Then when  $1 - \delta < x < 1$  we have

$$|f(x) - L| = |-9/5x^2 + 1 - -4/5| = |-9/5x^2 + 9/5| = |9/5x^2 - 9/5| = |(3/\sqrt{5}x - 3/\sqrt{5})(3/\sqrt{5}x + 3/\sqrt{5})|$$

$$\leq 3/\sqrt{5}|x-1||x+1| < \frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}\epsilon \frac{\sqrt{5}}{6}2 = \epsilon$$

☺

**Proof:**  $\lim_{x\to 1^+} = -4/5$ 

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \epsilon \frac{5}{3}$ . Then when  $1 < x < 1 + \delta$  we have

$$|f(x) - L| = |-3/5x - 1/5 - -4/5| = |-3/5x + 3/5| = \frac{3}{5}|-x+1| = \frac{3}{5}|x-1| < \frac{3}{5}\epsilon\frac{5}{3} = \epsilon.$$

⊜

**Proof:**  $\lim_{x\to 2^-} = -7/5$ Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \epsilon \frac{5}{3}$ . Then when  $2 - \delta < x < 2$  we have

$$|f(x) - L| = |-3/5x - 1/5 - -7/5| = |-3/5x + 6/5| = \frac{3}{5}|-x + 2| = \frac{3}{5}|x - 2| < \frac{3}{5}\epsilon\frac{5}{3} = \epsilon.$$

⊜

**Proof:**  $\lim_{x\to 2^+} = -7/5$ Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \epsilon \frac{5}{2}$ . Then when  $2 < x < 2 + \delta$  we have

$$|f(x) - L| = |-2/5x - 3/5 - -7/5| = |-2/5x + 4/5| = \frac{2}{5}|-x + 2| = \frac{2}{5}|x - 2| < \frac{2}{5}\epsilon\frac{5}{2} = \epsilon.$$

☺

Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a, b] and suppose that f(x) = 0 for each irrational number x in [a, b]. Prove that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof:* If  $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on [a,b] then it must be continuous on every point  $c \in [a,b]$ . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists  $x_0 \in [a,b]$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ .

Then f must be continuous at  $x_0$ , and there must exist  $\delta$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies that  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < |f(x_0)|/2$ .

By the density of rationals in the real numbers there must exist an irrational number,  $x_I$ , such that  $|x_I - x_0| < \delta$ .

Since  $x_I$  is irrational then  $f(x_I) = 0$  and we have  $|f(x_I) - f(x_0)| = |f(x_0)| < |f(x_0)|/2 \implies 1 < 1/2 \longrightarrow 1$ . Thus, we have arrived at a contradiction which means that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

(2)

#### **Question 6**

CHAT GPT CHALLENGE: Let  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function on [a, b], and suppose that f(x) = 0 for each rational number x in [a, b]. We aim to show that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) \neq 0$ . Since f is continuous, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , if  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$ .

Consider the case when  $\epsilon = |f(c)|$ . By continuity, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , if  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then |f(x) - f(c)| < |f(c)|. This implies

$$-f(c) < f(x) - f(c) < f(c).$$

Adding f(c) to all parts of the inequality yields 0 < f(x) for x in the interval  $(c - \delta, c) \cap [a, b]$ . Similarly, subtracting f(c) from all parts of the inequality yields f(x) < 0 for x in the interval  $(c, c + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ . Now, consider the set of rational numbers in [a, b]. Since  $\mathbb Q$  is dense in  $\mathbb R$ , there exist rational numbers in both  $(c - \delta, c) \cap [a, b]$  and  $(c, c + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ . However, we have shown that for any rational x in these intervals, f(x) has opposite signs, which is a contradiction.

The intersection with [a,b] is meaningless and the two intervals don't actually overlap so the density of the rationals has nothing to do with it Therefore, our assumption that there exists c such that  $f(c) \neq 0$  is false, and we conclude that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \in [a,b]$ .