# Real Analysis HW #8

Jack Krebsbach

Nov 15th

# Definition 0.0.1: Fibonacci Sequence

The Fibonacci sequence, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ... is given by the recursive formula

$$F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$$

where  $F_1 = 1$  and  $F_2 = 2$ . Let  $a_n = \frac{F_n}{F_{n-1}}$ .

# **Question 1**

Suppose that  $\{a_n\}$  converges to a limit. What must that limit be? Hint: Divide the above equation by  $F_n$  to find an equation relating  $a_{n+1}$  to  $a_n$ .

**Solution:** From the recursive formula dividing by  $F_n$  yields

$$\frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}=1+\frac{F_{n-1}}{F_n}.$$

Then,

$$a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{F_{n-1}}{F_n}$$

$$\implies a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{a_n}.$$

Let  $L = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ , then

$$L = 1 + \frac{1}{L}$$

$$\implies L^2 = L + 1$$

$$\implies L^2-L-1=0.$$

By the quadratic formula,

$$L = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Since  $a_n = 2$ , a positive number, and the terms are defined recursively by  $a_{n+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{a_n}$ , every term is positive. Thus, we want the positive solution and therefore

$$L=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Show that  $\frac{3}{2} \le a_n \le 2 \ \forall n \ge 2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have that  $a_2 = 2$ ,  $a_3 = 3/2$ . Thus, our base case holds. We want to show that if this is true for  $a_n$  this is also true for  $a_{n+1}$ .

We assume that

$$\frac{3}{2} \le a_n \le 2$$

is true. Then

$$\frac{2}{3} \geqslant \frac{1}{a_n} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\implies 1 + \frac{2}{3} \geqslant 1 + \frac{1}{a_n} \geqslant 1 + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\implies 1 + \frac{1}{2} \leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{a_n} \leqslant 1 + \frac{2}{3}$$

 $\implies \frac{3}{2} \le a_{n+1} \le \frac{5}{3} < 2.$ 

Thus,  $\frac{3}{2} \le a_n \le 2$  for all  $n \ge 2$ .

(

# **Question 3**

For each n > 2, prove that  $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \le (\frac{2}{3})^2 |a_n - a_{n-1}|$ .

**Proof:** Let n > 2. Then

$$|a_{n+1} - a_n| = \left| 1 + \frac{1}{a_n} - 1 - \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} \right| = \left| \frac{a_{n-1} - a_n}{a_{n-1} a_n} \right|.$$

Since for all  $n \ge 2$  we have that  $a_n \ge \frac{3}{2}$ ,

$$|a_{n+1} - a_n| = \left| \frac{a_{n-1} - a_n}{a_{n-1} a_n} \right| \le \left| \frac{a_{n-1} - a_n}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)} \right|$$

$$\implies |a_{n+1}-a_n| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 |a_{n-1}-a_n|.$$

(2)

Prove that for each m > 2,  $|a_{m+1} - a_m| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(m-2)} |a_3 - a_2|$ .

# Solution:

**Proof:** We see  $a_2 = 2$ ,  $a_3 = 3/2$ , and  $a_4 = 5/3$ . Thus, when n = 3

$$\left| \frac{5}{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right| \le \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 \left| \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4}{2} \right|$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{1}{6} \right| \le \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 \left| -\frac{1}{2} \right|$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{1}{6} \right| \le \left| \frac{2}{9} \right|$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{9}{54} \right| \le \left| \frac{12}{54} \right|$$

$$\implies |a_4 - a_3| \le \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^2 |a_3 - a_2|$$

Since  $|a_{n+1} - a_n| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 |a_n - a_{n-1}|$  for n > 2 it follows that

$$\implies |a_4 - a_3| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 |a_3 - a_2|$$

$$\implies |a_5 - a_4| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 |a_3 - a_2|$$

$$\implies |a_6 - a_5| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^6 |a_3 - a_2|$$

and generally when m > 2,

$$|a_{m+1} - a_m| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(m-2)} |a_3 - a_2|.$$

(2)

Use the inequality in (4) to show that  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges to a limit.

**Proof:** Let m > 2 and

$$B = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^4 |a_3 - a_2| \,.$$

We know that

$$|a_{m+1} - a_m| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(m-2)} |a_3 - a_2|$$

$$\implies |a_{m+1} - a_m| \le \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2m} B.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all n > N,

$$B\frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2n} < \epsilon.$$

Let m > n > N. We have,

$$|a_m - a_n| = |a_m - a_{m-1} + a_{m-1} - a_{m-2} + a_{m-2} - a_{m-3} + \dots + a_{n+1} - a_n|$$

$$\leq |a_m - a_{m-1}| + |a_{m-1} - a_{m-2}| + |a_{m-2} - a_{m-3}| + \dots + |a_{n+1} - a_n|$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |a_k - a_{k-1}|$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2k} B.$$

Now, if  $(x_n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} (\frac{2}{3})^{2k} B$ , then

$$x_n - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 x_n = B\left[\sum_{k=n+1}^m \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2k} - \sum_{k=n+2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2k}\right]$$

$$\implies x_n \left( 1 - \frac{4}{9} \right) = B \left[ \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{2(n+1)} - \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^{2(m+1)} \right]$$

$$\implies x_n = B \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(n+1)} - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(m+1)}}{5/9} \right]$$

$$\leq B \frac{9}{5} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2(n+1)}$$

$$\leq B \frac{9}{5} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2n}$$

$$\leq B \frac{4}{5} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2n} < \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy and hence convergent.

CHAT GPT CHALLENGE: To prove that a bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  with the property that every convergent subsequence of  $(x_n)$  converges to the same limit  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  must converge to x, you can use the following proof:

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $(x_n)$  is bounded, it has a limit point, say y, which means that there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  that converges to y.

How do you know that  $(x_n)$  converges at all? The Monotone Convergence Theorem only works if you know that the sequence is bounded and monotone. You can not assume that  $(x_n)$  converges. You are correct in saying that there exists a subsequence that converges to a number however this is by the Bolzano Weierstrass. What about the sequence  $x_n = (-1)^n$ ? What can you say about its convergence and the convergence of its subsequences. Do they all converge to the same limit?

Since every convergent subsequence of  $(x_n)$  converges to x, we have y = x.

Now, consider  $\epsilon > 0$  and y = x. Since  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence, it has a limit point, and there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  that converges to x. Again, this only works if we know that the sequence is monotone and bounded. We just know that the sequence is bounded.

By the definition of the limit, there exists an  $N_1$  such that for all  $k \ge N_1$ ,  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon/2$ . Additionally, because every convergent subsequence of  $(x_n)$  converges to x, we can choose another  $N_2$  such that for all  $k \ge N_2$ ,  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon/2$ .

Now, let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . For all  $n \ge N$ , there exists  $k_1 \ge N$  and  $k_2 \ge N$  such that:

$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{n_{k_1}} - x \end{vmatrix} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} x_{n_{k_2}} - x \end{vmatrix} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

By the triangle inequality:

$$|x_n - x| \le \left| x_n - x_{n_{k_1}} \right| + \left| x_{n_{k_1}} - x \right|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$= \epsilon$$

The expansion of the Triangle Inequality is correct but your interpretation is not. Check your subscripts. You have not shown that  $\left|x_n-x_{n_{k_1}}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

So, for all  $n \ge N$ ,  $|x_n - x| < \epsilon$ , which means that  $(x_n)$  converges to x. Therefore, we've shown that if  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(x_n)$  itself must converge to x.