Real Analysis HW #7

Jack Krebsbach

Nov 8th

Let (x_n) be a sequence and suppose that the sequence $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$ converges to 0 . Give an example to show that the sequence (x_n) may not converge. (See CHATBOT Challenge)

Solution: Let

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k.$$

This is the harmonic series, which converges to infinity. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Archimedes Principle there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > N, $1/n < \epsilon$. Then

$$|(x_{n+1}-x_n)-0|=\left|\frac{1}{n+1}\right|<\epsilon.$$

Thus, $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$ converges to 0, but (x_n) converges to infinity.

Question 2

Let (x_k) and (y_k) be two sequences and let (r_k) be a sequence of positive numbers that converges to 0. Suppose that $0 < |y_k - x_k| < r_k \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Give an example to show that the sequences (x_k) and (y_k) may not converge.

Solution: Let

 $y_k = k + \frac{1}{k}$

and let

$$x_k = k + \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

(b) Suppose that (x_k) converges to L. Prove that the sequence (y_k) converges to L.

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Because (r_k) converges to 0 then there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k > N_1$, $|r_k - 0| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Because (x_k) converges to L there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k > N_2$, $|x_k - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ and k > N.

Then,

$$0 < |y_k - x_k| < |r_k - 0| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

$$\implies |y_k - L + L - x_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

$$\implies |y_k - L| - |L - x_k| \le |y_k - L + L - x_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

$$\implies |y_k - L| - \underbrace{|L - x_k|}_{<\frac{\epsilon}{2}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

And we know that $|x_k - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$,

$$\implies |y_k - L| < \epsilon$$

Thus, y_k converges to L.

Assume that (x_n) is a bounded sequence with the property that every convergent subsequence of (x_n) converges to the same limit $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that (x_n) must converge to x.

Proof: To show this we will prove the contrapositive of the following: If every convergent subsequence of x_n converges to x, then x_n must converge to x as well.

We must show that if $x_n \rightarrow x$, then either (1) x_n is not bounded or (2) there exists a subsequence x_{n_k} such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ where $y \neq x$.

- 1. We know that (x_n) is bounded so we must consider case (2).
- 2. Since x_n does not converge to x there exists ϵ_0 such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $n_N > N$ where $|x_{n_N} x| > \epsilon_0$. Because this works for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a new sequence outside the radius of ϵ_0 around x. Consider the sequence consisting of all the terms $x_n \notin B(x, \epsilon_0)$, denoted x_{n^*} . By Bolzano Weierstrass, there exists a subsequence, x_{n_k} , where $x_{n_k} \to y$. We know $y \neq x$ because $x_{n^*} \notin B(x, \epsilon_0)$.

Thus, if (x_n) is a bounded sequence such that every convergent subsequence converges to the same limit x, then (x_n) must also converge to x.

Question 4

Let (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence. Show directly that (x_n) is bounded.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and (x_n) be a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m \ge N$, $|x_n - x_m| < 1$. Then,

$$|x_m - x_N| < 1.$$

$$\implies |x_m| - |x_N| < |x_m - x_N| < 1.$$

$$\implies |x_m| - |x_N| < 1.$$

$$\implies |x_m| < 1 + |x_N|.$$

Thus, we have a bound for all the terms *N* and beyond. Let

$$B = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, \dots, |x_N|, |x_N| + 1\}.$$

Then $|x_n| < B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore (x_n) must be bounded.

Let (x_n) be a sequence and let r be a real number satisfying 0 < r < 1. Suppose that $|x_{n+1} - x_n| < r |x_n - x_{n-1}|$ for all $n \ge 2$. Prove that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent.

Proof: We know that $|x_{n+1} - x_n| < r |x_n - x_{n-1}|$. Thus,

$$|x_3 - x_2| < r |x_2 - x_1|$$

$$\implies |x_4 - x_3| < r^2 |x_2 - x_1|$$

$$\implies |x_5 - x_4| < r^3 |x_2 - x_1|$$

$$\implies |x_{n+1} - x_n| < r^{n-1} |x_2 - x_1|.$$

Now let

$$B=|x_2-x_1|\,.$$

Thus, for $n \ge 2$, $|x_{n+1} - x_n| < Br^{n-1}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > N,

$$B\frac{r^n}{1-r}<\epsilon.$$

Let m > n > N. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{m} - x_{n}| &= |x_{m} - x_{m-1} + x_{m-1} - x_{m-2} + x_{m-2} - x_{m-3} + \dots + x_{n+1} - x_{n}| \\ &\leq |x_{m} - x_{m-1}| + |x_{m-1} - x_{m-2}| + |x_{m-2} - x_{m-3}| + \dots + |x_{n+1} - x_{n}| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} |x_{k} - x_{k-1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{m} Br^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $(x_n) = \sum_{k=n+1}^m Br^{n-1}$, then

$$x_n - rx_n = B \left[\sum_{k=n+1}^m r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=n+2}^{m+1} r^{k-1} \right]$$

$$\implies x_n (1-r) = B \left[r^n - r^m \right]$$

$$\implies x_n = B \left[\frac{r^n - r^m}{1-r} \right]$$

$$\leqslant B \frac{r^n}{1-r} < \epsilon.$$

Thus, (x_n) is Cauchy and hence convergent.

(

CHAT GPT CHALLENGE: Let (x_n) be a sequence such that $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$ converges to 0 . We want to show that (x_n) also converges.

By the definition of a convergent sequence, we need to show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that for all $n \ge N$, $|x_n - L| < \epsilon$ for some real number L.

Good job setting the stage for your proof and clearly stating what you need to show to substantiate your claim. This is correct.

Since $(x_{n+1}-x_n)$ converges to 0, we can choose $\epsilon>0$ and find a positive integer M such that for all $m\geqslant M, |(x_{m+1}-x_m)-0|<\epsilon$. This implies that for all $m\geqslant M, |x_{m+1}-x_m|<\epsilon$. Now, let N=M. For any $n\geqslant N$, we have:

$$|x_{n} - x_{N}| = |(x_{n} - x_{n-1}) + (x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}) + \dots + (x_{N+1} - x_{N})|$$

$$\leq |x_{n} - x_{n-1}| + |x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}| + \dots + |x_{N+1} - x_{N}|$$

$$< \epsilon + \epsilon + \dots + \epsilon \quad (\text{Since } |x_{m+1} - x_{m}| < \epsilon \text{ for all } m \geq M)$$

$$= (n - N)\epsilon.$$

Dividing both sides by (n - N), we get:

$$|x_n - x_N| < \epsilon$$

This is incorrect. When you divide both sides by (n - N) you get

$$\frac{1}{(n-N)}\left|x_n-x_N\right|<\epsilon$$

which does not show that $|x_n - x_N| < \epsilon$.

This shows that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \ge N$, we have $|x_n - x_N| < \epsilon$, where N = M. Therefore, (x_n) converges to x_N .

This does not prove what you wanted to show. You are actually trying to prove a false statement. What happens when (x_n) is the harmonic series?

Hence, we have shown that if $(x_{n+1} - x_n)$ converges to 0, then (x_n) also converges.