## CS 229, Winter 2023 Section #1 Solutions: Linear Algebra, Least Squares, and Logistic Regression

## 1. Least Squares Regression

Many supervised machine learning problems can be cast as optimization problems in which we either define a cost function that we attempt to minimize or a likelihood function we attempt to maximize. These functions are often called *Objective Functions*. Assuming you successfully defined an objective function that is either convex (to minimize) or concave (to maximize), you can find the optimal point with either of the following approaches:

- (a) Find a closed form solution for setting the gradient equal to 0 (i.e.  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = 0$ )
- (b) Find the gradient of the objective function w.r.t. the parameters and do gradient descent.

Most of the time, finding a closed form solution for  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = 0$  is impossible, so we attempt to use gradient descent instead.

(a) Here, let us consider the original least-squared regression problem:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (X\theta - \vec{y})^{T} (X\theta - \vec{y})$$

where X is the design matrix with each row as a example in our data,  $\theta$  are the parameters, and  $\vec{y}$  is the vector of ground truth values we want to predict. Here are some useful formulas:

$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = (A + A^T) x$$
$$\frac{\partial x^T y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial y^T x}{\partial x} = y$$

i. Derive the gradient  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ 

Answer:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}(X\theta - \vec{y})^T(X\theta - \vec{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\theta^T X^T - \vec{y}^T)(X\theta - \vec{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\theta^T X^T X \theta - \vec{y}^T X \theta - \theta^T X^T \vec{y} - \vec{y}^T \vec{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(\theta^T X^T X \theta - 2\theta^T X^T \vec{y} - \vec{y}^T \vec{y})$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}[(X^T X + X^T X)\theta - 2X^T \vec{y}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[2X^T X \theta - 2X^T \vec{y}]$$

$$= X^T X \theta - X^T \vec{y}$$

This solution may be used to perform gradient descent on the least squares objective with the formula

$$\theta^{(t+1)} := \theta^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

or to find a closed form solution (see part ii).

ii. Find a closed form solution for  $\theta^*$  (the parameters that minimize the loss function). You may assume that  $X^TX$  is invertible.

Answer:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = 0$$

$$X^{T} X \theta^{*} - X^{T} y = 0$$

$$X^{T} X \theta^{*} = X^{T} y$$

$$\theta^{*} = (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} y$$

(Optional) As mentioned in lecture,  $X^TX$  is invertible if and only if X is both full rank and  $n \geq d$  (X is "skinny"). This is not the point of our discussion of least squares so you may assume that  $X^TX$  is invertible if you are not familiar with this terminology.

## 2. MLE Estimation of Gaussian Covariance Matrices

The aim of this problem is to 1) practice taking the gradient of functions with respect to matrices and 2) consider a particular gradient that you will encounter later in the course with topics like Gaussian Discriminant Analysis and Gaussian Mixture Models. We would like to estimate the parameters of a Gaussian distribution:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu))$$

In particular, we will consider the maximum liklihood estimation of the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  given some data points  $\{x^{(1)},...,x^{(n)}\}.$ 

(a) Let's begin by practicing the process of taking the gradient of a function with respect to a matrix. Derive an expression (in vectorized form) for  $\nabla_X a^T X b$ 

**Answer:** Recall that the gradient of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\nabla_{A}f(A) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{11}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{12}} & \dots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{1n}} \\ \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{21}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{22}} & \dots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{m1}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{m2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{mn}} \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e., an  $m \times n$  matrix with

$$(\nabla_A f(A))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{ij}}.$$

To find  $\nabla_X a^T X b$ , we first find an expression for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}} a^T X b$ 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}} a^T X b = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{ij}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d a_i b_j X_{ij}$$
$$= a_i b_j$$

Thus 
$$(\nabla_X a^T X b)_{ij} = a_i b_j$$
 so  $\nabla_X a^T X b = a b^T$ 

To compute the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\Sigma$ , we will consider the log-likelihood function

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{k}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log(|\Sigma|) - \frac{1}{2} (x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T} \Sigma^{-1} (x^{(i)} - \mu)$$

In order to compute the maximum likelihood estimate, we will consider a change of variables  $S = \Sigma^{-1}$ . This function happens to be concave in  $S = \Sigma^{-1}$ , so by making this substitution we can maximize the log-likelihood of S by finding  $\nabla_S \ell$  and setting it equal to 0. We can then recover the optimal  $\Sigma$  as  $\Sigma = S^{-1}$  because our change of variables transformation  $f(A) = A^{-1}$  is bijective and thus invertible.

**Note:** analyzing the convexity of this function with respect to  $\Sigma$  is NOT expected for this

class and this step can be taken as a given. The goal is to practice taking gradients with respect to matrices and to see the MLE estimate of the covariance matrix of a Gaussian.

With the change of variables, we have that

$$\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{k}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log(|S|) - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T}S(x^{(i)} - \mu)$$

This follows from the identity  $|X^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|X|}$  for invertible X.

(b) Compute  $\nabla_S \ell$  and set it equal to 0 to find a closed form solution for the maximum likelihood estimate of S. Then invert this estimate to find the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\Sigma$ .

**Hint:** The following identities (and the identity from (a)) will prove useful:

$$\nabla_X |X| = |X|(X^{-1})^T$$
$$(X^{-1})^T = (X^T)^{-1}$$

Answer:

$$\nabla_{S}\ell = 0$$

$$\nabla_{S}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} -\frac{k}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log(|S|) - \frac{1}{2}(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T}S(x^{(i)} - \mu)) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|S|}|S|(S^{-1})^{T} - (x^{(i)} - \mu)(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S^{-1} - (x^{(i)} - \mu)(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T}) = 0$$

Simplifying this expression yields

$$S = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{(i)} - \mu)(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T}\right)^{-1}$$

and thus, since  $S = \Sigma^{-1}$ ,

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{(i)} - \mu)(x^{(i)} - \mu)^{T}$$

## 3. Basic probability review

Bayes rule is defined as follows:

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X|Y)P(Y)}{P(X)}$$

Show the following is true:

$$P(Y|X,E) = \frac{P(X,Y|E)}{P(X|E)}$$

Answer:

$$P(Y|X, E) = \frac{P(Y, X, E)}{P(X, E)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Y, X|E)P(E)}{P(X|E)P(E)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Y, X|E)}{P(X|E)}$$

$$= \frac{P(Y, X|E)}{P(X|E)}$$

$$= \frac{P(X|Y, E)P(Y|E)}{P(X|E)}$$