Linear Classification

Score function: s = f(x; W) = Wx + bSoftmax classifier: $P(y_i|x_i) = \frac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_i e^{sj}}$

Cross-entropy loss: $L_i = -\log(P(y_i|x_i))$

• Min loss: 0 (when $P(y_i|x_i) = 1$) • Max loss: ∞ (when $P(y_i|x_i) \approx 0$)

• Random initialization: $\log(C)$ for C classes

SVM loss: $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + \Delta)$

 Δ is margin parameter (typically $\Delta = 1$)

Wants correct class score higher than incorrect class scores by at least Δ

• Geometric interpretation: Linear hyperplanes separating classes

Key concepts:

- Any FC network can be expressed as a CNN (with 1×1 filters) and vice versa
- Loss gradients flow from softmax loss to weight matrix proportional to input
- Linear classifiers can't solve XOR problems (need nonlinearities)

Regularization

Full loss: $L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i + \lambda R(W)$

- L2: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ (prefers diffuse weights) L1: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l |W_{k,l}|$ (promotes sparsity)
- Elastic Net: $R(W) = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$
- Dropout: Randomly zero outputs during training (scale by p at test)
- Batch Norm: Normalize activations across batch dimension

Key concepts:

- Early stopping: Regularization effect by halting training when validation error increases
- Dropout forces redundant representations, acts like en-
- semble averaging
 Regularizing bias terms is generally avoided (mainly regularize weights)
- Data augmentation: Adding transformed training ex-

Optimization Algorithms

SGD: $w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha \nabla L(w_t)$ SGD+Momentum:

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla L(w_t)$$
$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$$

RMSProp:

 $grad_squared = \beta \cdot grad_squared + (1 - \beta) \cdot g^2$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \frac{\alpha \cdot g}{\sqrt{\text{grad_squared}} + \epsilon}$$

Adam:

$$\begin{split} m_t &= \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1-\beta_1) g_t \quad \text{(momentum)} \\ v_t &= \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1-\beta_2) g_t^2 \quad \text{(RMSProp)} \\ \hat{m}_t &= \frac{m_t}{1-\beta_1^t} \quad \text{(bias correction)} \\ \hat{v}_t &= \frac{v_t}{1-\beta_2^t} \quad \text{(bias correction)} \end{split}$$

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha \frac{\hat{m}_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon}$$

- Step decay: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 \cdot \gamma^{\lfloor t/\text{epoch} \rfloor}$ Cosine decay: $\alpha_t = \alpha_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 \alpha_{\min})(1 + \cos(\frac{t}{T}\pi))$

Key concepts:

- SGD issues: poor conditioning, getting stuck in local minima/saddle points, noisy
- Momentum helps overcome oscillations and escape poor local minima
- RMSProp addresses AdaGrad's decaying learning rate issue
- Adam combines momentum (first moment) and RM-SProp (second moment)
- AdamW separates weight decay from gradient update for better regularization

Neural Networks

Multi-layer Perceptron: $f = W_2 \cdot \max(0, W_1 \cdot x +$

 $\begin{array}{llll} \textbf{Dimensions:} & x \in \mathbb{R}^D, \ W_1 \ \in \ \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, \ b_1 \ \in \ \mathbb{R}^H, \\ W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}, \ b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^C \end{array}$

Activation Functions:

- ReLU: $f(x) = \max(0, x)$ (most common) Leaky ReLU: $f(x) = \max(\alpha x, x)$, α small (e.g., 0.01)
- ELU: $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha(e^x 1) & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ GELU: $f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha(e^x 1) & \text{if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ GELU: $f(x) = x \cdot \Phi(x)$ (used in transformers)
 Sigmoid: $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$ (vanishing gradient)
 Tanh: $\tanh(x) = \frac{e^x e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$ (zero-centered)

Key concepts:

 ReLU has zero gradient when inputs are negative (can cause "dying ReLU")

- Leaky ReLU can't cause numerically zero gradients (always has non-zero slope)
- Tanh has zero-centered outputs (advantage over sig-
- Without nonlinear activations, deep networks reduce to linear models
- Deeper networks can represent more complex functions with fewer parameters

Backpropagation

Chain rule: $\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dy} \frac{dy}{dx}$ Gradient flow: Local gradients imes upstream gradients =downstream gradients

Key steps:

- 1. Forward pass: compute outputs and cache intermedi-
- 2. Backward pass: compute gradients using chain rule

Update parameters using gradients

Vector derivatives:

- Same shape as original variables
- Apply chain rule using matrix calculus

Special derivatives:

- Sigmoid: $\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \sigma(x)(1 \sigma(x))$ Tanh: $\frac{d \tanh(x)}{dx} = 1 \tanh^2(x)$
- ReLU: $\frac{d\text{ReLU}(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$

- Computational graphs organize calculations as directed acyclic graphs
- Backprop can handle arbitrary complex computational

Convolutional Neural Networks

Conv Layer Summary:

- Input: $C_{in} \times H \times W$ Hyperparameters:

- Number filters: C_{out} Padding: P = (K-1)/2 ("same" padding) Stride: S
- Weight matrix: $C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$

Weight matrix:
$$C_{out} \times C_{in} \times K_H \times K_W$$

Bias vector: C_{out}
Output size: $C_{out} \times H' \times W'$ where:
$$H', W' = \frac{H - K_{\{H,W\}} + 2P}{S} + \frac{1}{S}$$

Advantages:

- Parameter sharing: Same filter applied across image Sparse connectivity: Each output depends on small lo-
- Translation equivariance: Shifting input shifts output

Pooling layers:

- Max pooling: Take maximum value in window
- Average pooling: Average values in window
- Reduces spatial dimensions, increases receptive field
- Receptive field: Region of input that affects output For stacked K×K filters, RF grows by (K-1) per layer With L layers, RF is 1+L*(K-1)

Key concepts:

- Multiple 3×3 filters better than single large filter: fewer parameters, more nonlinearities
- 1×1 convolutions: used for dimension reduction across channels
- Dilated convolutions: expand receptive field without
- increasing parameters
 Depth-wise separable convs: separate spatial and cross-channel operations

Normalization Techniques

Batch Normalization:

$$\mu_{c} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n,c,h,w}$$

$$\sigma_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_{c})^{2}}$$

$$= x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_{c}$$

$$\sigma_c$$

 $y_{n,c,h,w} = \gamma_c \cdot \hat{x}_{n,c,h,w} + \beta_c$ Normalizes across batch dimension for each channel

Layer Normalization:

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^{C} x_{n,c,h,w}$$

$$\sigma_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=1}^{C} (x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_n)^2}$$

$$\hat{x}_{n,c,h,w} = \frac{x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

$$y_{n,c,h,w} = \gamma_c \cdot \hat{x}_{n,c,h,w} + \beta_c$$

Normalizes across channel dimension for each sample

Instance Normalization:

$$\mu_{n,c} = \frac{1}{H \times W} \sum_{h,w} x_{n,c,h,w}$$

$$\sigma_{n,c} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H \times W} \sum_{h,w} (x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_{n,c})^2}$$

$$x_{n,c,h,w} - \mu_{n,c}$$

$$y_{n,c,h,w} = \gamma_c \cdot \hat{x}_{n,c,h,w} + \beta_c$$

Normalizes each channel independently for each sample

- BatchNorm: Used in CNNs, must track running stats for inference
- LayerNorm: Used in transformers, no dependence on Instance Norm: Used in style transfer, normalizes each
- feature map
- Group Norm: Compromise between Layer and Instance
- Normalization adds regularization effect due to noise in batch stats

CNN Architectures

- Multiple 3×3 convs followed by max-pooling
- Multiple 3×3 filters have same receptive field as larger filter with fewer parameters
- Uniform design: doubles channels after each pooling
- Skip connections: output = F(x) + x
- Allow deeper networks by learning residual mapping Solves vanishing gradient problem in deep nets
- Typical block: Conv \rightarrow BN \rightarrow ReLU \rightarrow Conv \rightarrow BN \rightarrow Add \rightarrow ReLU

Bottleneck layer:

- 1×1 conv to reduce channels, 3×3 conv, 1×1 conv to expand
- Reduces computation by decreasing channels in 3×3

Kev concepts:

- Network design trade-offs: depth vs. width vs. resolution
- · Skip connections help gradient flow and enable deeper
- Deeper networks generally need more regularization ResNet skip connections: $O_l = I_l + F(I_l)$ not $O_l = I_l + F(I_l)$ $I_l * F(I_l)$

Weight Initialization

- **Xavier/Glorot**: $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_{in} + n_{out}}})$
- For tanh/sigmoid activations
 Maintains variance across linear layers

Kaiming initialization: $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{D_{in}}})$ for ReLU

- For ReLU activations (accounts for half being zeroed)
- For CNN: $D_{in} = \text{kernel_size}^2 \times \text{input_channels}$

Key concepts:

- · Poor initialization can cause vanishing/exploding gra-
- Initialization in deep nets is crucial for trainability Even with good normalization, bad initialization slows

• Initialization should match the activation function

Training Techniques

- Data Preprocessing: • Zero-centering: $\tilde{x} = x$
- Normalization: $\tilde{x} = \frac{x \mu}{\sigma}$
- Data Augmentation: Horizontal flips, random crops, color jitter
 Rotations, scaling, shearing (with limits)

- CutMix, Mixup: interpolate between images Transfer Learning:
- Small dataset: Freeze pretrained model, retrain final lavers Medium dataset: Freeze early layers, fine-tune later
- layers Large dataset: Initialize with pretrained weights, finetune all layers

- Diagnostics:
- Underfitting: Low train/val accuracy, small gap Overfitting: High train accuracy, low val accuracy,
- large gap Not training enough: Low train/val accuracy with gap
- Hyperparameter selection: Random search usually better than grid search
- Check initial loss, overfit small sample first Find LR that makes loss decrease within 100 iterations

Loss Functions

- Cross-entropy: $L = -\sum_i y_i \log(\hat{y}_i)$
- For classification problems Measures dissimilarity between two probability distri-
- KL Divergence: $D_{KL}(p||q) = \sum_{i} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} =$ $\sum_{i} p_i (\log p_i - \log q_i)$

- Rewrite as subtraction for numerical stability
- Not symmetric: $D_{KL}(p||q) \neq D_{KL}(q||p)$

Smooth L1/Huber Loss:

$$L_{\delta}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(x-y)^2 & \text{if } |x-y| < \delta \\ \delta(|x-y| - \frac{1}{2}\delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Combines MSE (near zero) and L1 (for outliers)
- Differentiable everywhere, robust to outliers

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Triplet} & \textbf{margin} & \textbf{loss:} & L(a,p,n) & = & \max\{d(a,p) - d(a,n) + \mathrm{margin}, 0\} \end{array}$

- Used in contrastive learning
- Pushes anchor (a) closer to positive (p) than negative
- Margin controls separation between positive and neg-

Recurrent Neural Networks

Vanilla RNN:

$$\begin{split} h_t &= \tanh(W_{hh} h_{t-1} + W_{xh} x_t + b_h) \\ y_t &= W_{hy} h_t + b_y \end{split}$$

LSTM:

$$\begin{split} f_t &= \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f) \\ i_t &= \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \\ \tilde{C}_t &= \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C) \\ C_t &= f_t \odot C_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tilde{C}_t \\ o_t &= \sigma(W_o \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o) \\ h_t &= o_t \odot \tanh(C_t) \end{split}$$

RNN Applications:

- Language modeling: Predict next token in sequence • Image captioning: CNN feature extractor + RNN de-
- Sequence-to-sequence: Translation, summarization

Training RNNs:

- Backpropagation through time (BPTT) Truncated BPTT for long sequences
- Gradient clipping to prevent explosion

Key concepts:

- RNNs can process variable-length sequences
- Vanishing/exploding gradients limit learning longterm dependencies
- LSTM addresses vanishing gradients via cell state
- pathway

 RNNs sequential processing limits parallelization

Attention Mechanism

Inputs:

- Input sequence: $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_{in}}$
- Query matrix: $W_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{in} \times D_{out}}$
- Key matrix: $W_K \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{in} \times D_{out}}$
- Value matrix: $W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{in} \times D_{out}}$

Computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Queries:} \ & Q = XW_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times Dout} \\ \text{Keys:} \ & K = XW_K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times Dout} \\ \text{Values:} \ & V = XW_V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times Dout} \\ \text{Similarities:} \ & E = \frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{D_{out}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \end{aligned}$$

Weights: $A = \operatorname{softmax}(E, \dim = 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$

Output:
$$Y = AV \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_{out}}$$

Multi-Head Attention:

Inputs:

- Input vectors: $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$
- For each head $h \in \{1, ..., H\}$:
 - Key matrix: $W_K^h \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D} H$
- Value matrix: $W_V^h \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D} H$ Query matrix: $W_Q^h \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D} H$
- Output matrix: $W_O \in \mathbb{R}^{HD_H \times D}$

Computation for each head h:

Queries:
$$Q^h = XW_Q^h \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times DH}$$

Keys:
$$\boldsymbol{K}^h = \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{W}_K^h \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_H}$$

Values:
$$V^h = XW_V^h \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_H}$$

Similarities:
$$E^h = \frac{Q^h(K^h)^T}{\sqrt{D_H}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$$

Attention weights: $A^h = \operatorname{softmax}(E^h, \operatorname{dim}=1) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$

Head output: $\boldsymbol{Y}^h = \boldsymbol{A}^h \boldsymbol{V}^h \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D_H}$

Combining heads:

Concatenated output: $Y = [Y^1; Y^2; ...; Y^H] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times HD} H$

Final output: $O = YW_O \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

Types of Attention:

- Self-attention: Q, K, V from same sequence Cross-attention: Q from one sequence, K, V from an-
- Masked attention: Future positions masked (decoder) Key concepts:
- Time complexity: $O(n^2d)$ for sequence length n and dimension d
- Memory complexity: $O(n^2)$ for attention weights
- Attention weights computed from Q and K (not V)
- Scaling factor $\sqrt{d_k}$ prevents vanishing gradients with large dimensions
- Self-attention is permutation equivariant without positional encoding

Transformers

Transformer block:

- Laver normalization
- Multi-head self-attention
- 3. Residual connection
- 4. Laver normalization
- Feed-forward network (MLP)
- Residual connection

Parameters in transformer block:

- Self-attention: $4d^2$ (Q, K, V projections + output) Feed-forward: 2df (where f is FF dimension, typically

Vision Transformer (ViT):

- Split image into patches (16×16)
- Linear projection + position embeddings
- Standard transformer encoder architecture

CLS token or pooling for classification

Key concepts:

- Transformers use LayerNorm, NOT BatchNorm
- Pre-norm vs. post-norm: affects training stability
 Transformers parallelize better than RNNs for se-
- Positional encodings enable model to learn position in-

Semantic Segmentation

Task: Classify each pixel in an image

Architectures:

- Fully Convolutional Networks (FCN)
- U-Net: Encoder-decoder with skip connections
- DeepLab: Atrous convolutions for dense predictions

Upsampling techniques:

- Unpooling: Reverse pooling operation
- Transposed convolution: Learnable upsampling Bilinear interpolation $+ 1 \times 1$ convs: Smoother results

Key concepts:

- Semantic segmentation: One label per pixel, no instance separation
- Downsampling followed by upsampling preserves context while maintaining resolution
- Skip connections help preserve spatial detail
- Dilated/atrous convolutions expand receptive field without losing resolution

Object Detection

- R-CNN family: Region proposals + classification
- YOLO: Single-pass detection with grid cells
 DETR: Transformers with object queries

Region Proposal Network:

- Generate candidate boxes
- Binary classification (object vs. background)
- Bounding box regression

Evaluation metrics:

- IoU (Intersection over Union): $\frac{\text{area of intersection}}{\text{area of union}}$ Precision: $\frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}}$, Recall: $\frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$

- AP: Area under PR curve for each class
 - mAP: Mean AP across all classes

Key concepts:

- Two-stage detectors (R-CNN family): region proposal + classification
- One-stage detectors (YOLO, SSD): directly predict boxes from grid cells
- NMS (Non-Maximum Suppression): Remove duplicate
- Anchor boxes: Pre-defined box shapes to match during

Instance Segmentation

Mask R-CNN:

- Extends Faster R-CNN with mask branch
- RoIAlign for accurate feature extraction
- Parallel heads for classification, box regression, mask prediction

Key concepts:

- RoIAlign: Keeps spatial information intact (avoids quantization)
- Instance segmentation separates individual instances
- Panoptic segmentation: Combines semantic and instance segmentation

Video Understanding

Architectures:

- Single-frame CNN + temporal pooling
- Early fusion: Treat time as channels
- 3D CNN: 3D convolutions (C3D, I3D)
- CNN + RNN: CNN features fed to RNN
- Transformer: Space-time attention

3D convolution: Output : $F \times T' \times H' \times W'$

Filter size :
$$C \times k_t \times k \times k$$

Two-stream networks:

- Spatial stream: RGB frames
- Temporal stream: Optical flow
- Late fusion of predictions

Key concepts:

- · 3D CNN receptive fields span space and time dimensions
- Early fusion builds temporal receptive field all at once
- Slow fusion gradually builds temporal receptive field 3D CNNs have temporal-shift invariance (early fusion

Neural Network Visualization

- Saliency maps:
- Compute gradient of class score w.r.t input pixels Highlights regions important for classification

Class Activation Mapping (CAM):

$$M_c(x,y) = \sum_{k} w_k^c \cdot f_k(x,y)$$

Grad-CAM:

- Generalizes CAM to any CNN architecture
- Global-average-pools gradients for importance weights Weighted combination of feature maps

Key concepts:

- Visualizations help debug network decisions CNN filters often detect edges, textures, patterns, and
- semantic concepts Attention maps in transformers provide built-in visu-

Evaluation Metrics

Segmentation:

- Classification:

 Accuracy: correct predictions total predictions

 Precision: TP + FP

 TP
- Recall: $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
- F1 Score: 2×Precision×Recall Precision+Recall
- Pixel accuracy: correctly classified pixels total pixels
 Mean IoU: Average IoU across all classes

- Dice coefficient:

 2×intersection sum of areas

 Point Cloud Processing: Translation equivariance: Output shifts when input
- Rotation equivariance: Output rotates when input ro-
- tates
- Convs on grid structure not rotation-equivariant Continuous point convs use weight functions of relative positions