

Exercise 18.1. In this exercise you will get some practice with matrix algebra involving inverses. Assume that all matrices in this exercise have size $n \times n$.

- (a) Suppose A, B, C are invertible. Show that ABC is invertible by finding an explicit expression for its inverse in terms of A^{-1} , B^{-1} , and C^{-1} (you should check your answer by multiplying it by ABC separately on the left and on the right, verifying that you get I_n each way). Hint: try to adapt the pattern in the case of a product of two such matrices in Example 18.4.4.

- (b) Let D be an $n \times n$ matrix (not necessarily invertible). Simplify $(ADA^{-1})^{12}$ as much as you can. (Hint: $(ADA^{-1})^2 = ADA^{-1}ADA^{-1} = ADI_n DA^{-1} = AD^2 A^{-1}$; can you continue the pattern?) This illustrates part of a (very useful) method for quickly computing large powers of matrices in Chapter 24.

a) Let $T_A = A\vec{x}$, $T_B = B\vec{x}$, and $T_C = C\vec{x}$. $T_A \circ T_B \circ T_C = A(B(C(\vec{x}))) = (ABC)\vec{x}$.

To invert this process, we reverse $A(B(C(\vec{x})))$, then $B(C(\vec{x}))$, then $C(\vec{x})$. Since A, B, C are invertible, this is equal to $T_C^{-1} \circ T_B^{-1} \circ T_A^{-1} = C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}\vec{x}$.

Therefore, $(ABC)^{-1} = C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad (C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1})(ABC) &= C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}ABC = C^{-1}B^{-1}I_n BC \quad \textcircled{2} \quad (ABC)(C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}) \\ &= C^{-1}B^{-1}BC = C^{-1}I_n C = C^{-1}C = I_n \checkmark &= ABC(C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}) = AB(C^{-1}B^{-1}A^{-1}) = AB(I_n B^{-1}A^{-1}) \\ &= AB B^{-1} A^{-1} = A I_n A^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I_n \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

b) *Case work:* $(ADA^{-1})^3 = (ADA^{-1})^2(ADA^{-1}) = AD^2 A^{-1} ADA^{-1} = AD^2 I_n DA^{-1} = AD^3 A^{-1}$

$$(ADA^{-1})^4 = (ADA^{-1})^3(ADA^{-1}) = AD^3 A^{-1} ADA^{-1} = AD^3 I_n DA^{-1} = AD^4 A^{-1}$$

Proof: We claim $(ADA^{-1})^n = AD^n A^{-1}$. We prove this by induction.

Base Case: $n=1 \rightarrow (ADA^{-1})^1 = ADA^{-1}$ and $AD^n A^{-1} = ADA^{-1}$

Thus completing our base case.

Induction Step: Assuming $(ADA^{-1})^n = AD^n A^{-1}$, we find $(ADA^{-1})^{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} (ADA^{-1})^{n+1} &= (ADA^{-1})^n (ADA^{-1}) = AD^n A^{-1} ADA^{-1} = AD^n I_n DA^{-1} = AD^{n+1} A^{-1} \\ &= AD^{n+1} A^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus completing our inductive case and our proof.

Using the above, we can conclude that $(ADA^{-1})^{12} = AD^{12} A^{-1}$

Exercise 18.4.

- (a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$. Verify that $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$ (so by Theorem 18.1.8, A is invertible and $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$).

- (b) Using (a), find all simultaneous solutions to the following system of equations, and verify directly that all solutions you find really do work:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + 5z = 4 \\ 2x + 3y + 8z = 3 \\ 2x + 5y + 13z = 2 \end{cases} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} a) A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+20-20 & 1-6+5 & -1-4+5 \\ 2+30-32 & 2-4+8 & -2-6+8 \\ 2+50-52 & 2-15+13 & -2-10+13 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\underline{I_3}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A^{-1} = \boxed{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}$

- b) Suppose the equation $\vec{A}\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. If A is invertible, we multiply the left of both sides by A^{-1} to get $A^{-1}\vec{A}\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} \rightarrow I_3\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} \rightarrow \vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$.

We can represent the system of equations as

$$A \vec{x} = \vec{b} : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x} \\ \vec{y} \\ \vec{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b} \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We found in (a) that A is invertible and $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Therefore, to find the solutions \vec{x} , we solve $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$.

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x} \\ \vec{y} \\ \vec{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 10 & -3 & -2 \\ -4 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4+3-2 \\ 40-9-4 \\ -16+3+2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 27 \\ -11 \end{bmatrix}$$

We check this with the system:

$$(1) \quad x + 2y + 5z = 4$$

$$5 + 2(27) + 5(-11) = 59 - 55 = 4 \quad \checkmark$$

$$(2) \quad 2x + 3y + 8z = 3$$

$$2(5) + 3(27) + 8(-11) = 91 - 88 = 3 \quad \checkmark$$

$$(3) \quad 2x + 5y + 13z = 2$$

$$2(5) + 5(27) + 13(-11) = 145 - 143 = 2 \quad \checkmark$$

Thus, the solution to the system is $x = 5, y = 27, z = -11$

Exercise 18.7.

(a) Check that for any $a \in \mathbf{R}$ whatsoever, $M_a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible with inverse $M_{-a} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Check that for any $b \in \mathbf{R}$ whatsoever, $N_b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible with inverse $N_{-b} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Show that for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ whatsoever, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible by giving an explicit expression for its inverse. (Hint: write it as a product of matrices from parts (a) and (b), and be careful with the order.)

a) To be invertible, $M_a M_a^{-1} = M_a M_{-a} = I_3$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a+a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$$

We see that the matrix multiplication $M_a M_{-a}$ gives us directly the identity

matrix. Therefore, regardless of what a is, we still get the identity

matrix. In other words, for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$, M_a is invertible with inverse M_{-a} .

b) To be invertible, $N_b N_b^{-1} = N_b N_{-b} = I_3$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b+b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$$

We see that the matrix multiplication $N_b N_{-b}$ gives us directly the identity matrix. Therefore, regardless of what b is, we still get the identity matrix. In other words, for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$, N_b is invertible with inverse N_{-b} .

c) The matrix is equivalent to $N_b M_a$: $N_b M_a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

To find the inverse, we find $(N_b M_a)^{-1}$. Since we know that N_b and M_a are invertible, $(N_b M_a)^{-1} = M_a^{-1} N_b^{-1}$.

$$M_a^{-1} N_b^{-1} = M_{-a} N_{-b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & ab \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We check that this is the inverse by the fact that $AA^{-1} = I_n$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & ab \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a+a & ab-ab \\ 0 & 1 & -b+b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$$

Therefore, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the given matrix is invertible with the inverse

$$M_{-a} N_{-b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -a & ab \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Exercise 18.11. Let A, B, C be $n \times n$ matrices for which $A = BC$. Assume that A is invertible.

- Show that B is also invertible by finding an expression for B^{-1} in terms of A, B, C , and A^{-1} .
(Hint: use the equation $A = BC$ to “solve” for B^{-1} assuming it exists, then show that your expression is valid. To save effort, use Theorem 18.1.8.)
- Show that C is also invertible by checking that $A^{-1}B$ works. (Theorem 18.1.8 will again save effort.)

a) Since A is invertible, we can multiply both sides of $A = BC$ on the right by A^{-1} to get $AA^{-1} = BCA^{-1}$. This simplifies to $I_n = BCA^{-1}$.

We can group this as $I_n = B(CA^{-1})$. By theorem 18.1.8, since B and (CA^{-1}) satisfy $B(CA^{-1}) = I_n$, B is invertible and (CA^{-1}) is its inverse. Therefore, $\boxed{B^{-1} = CA^{-1}}$.

b) Since A is invertible, we can multiply both sides of $A = BC$ on the left by A^{-1} to get $A^{-1}A = A^{-1}BC$. This simplifies to $I_n = A^{-1}BC$. We can group this as $I_n = (A^{-1}B)C$. By theorem 18.1.8, since $(A^{-1}B)$ and C satisfy $(A^{-1}B)C = I_n$, $(A^{-1}B)$ is invertible and C is its inverse, and automatically, $C(A^{-1}B) = I_n$ holds. Therefore, C is also invertible and $(A^{-1}B)$ is its inverse. Thus, $\boxed{C^{-1} = A^{-1}B}$.

Alternatively, we assume $A^{-1}B = C^{-1}$. Therefore, we wish to show $A^{-1}BC = I_n$.

$$(A^{-1}B)C = A^{-1}(BC) = A^{-1}A = I_n \quad \checkmark$$

By theorem 18.1.8, $C^{-1} = A^{-1}B$ and C is invertible.

Exercise 19.2. Define the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Let $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\}$ be the output of the Gram-Schmidt process applied to $V = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3)$. Check that $\mathbf{w}_3 = \mathbf{0}$ whereas $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2$ are nonzero, so V is 2-dimensional (i.e., a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 through the origin) with both $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2\}$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ as bases. Use the definitions of \mathbf{w}_2 and \mathbf{w}_3 to write \mathbf{w}_2 as a linear combination of \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 with nonzero coefficients and to write \mathbf{w}_3 as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ with nonzero coefficients.
- (b) Use the work in the Gram-Schmidt process to express each of \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 as a linear combination of \mathbf{w}_1 and \mathbf{w}_2 , and compute each such linear combination explicitly to confirm that you indeed recover \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 respectively.
- (c) Use the work in (a) to discover the relation $2\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 + 3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$ (this will come from the vanishing of \mathbf{w}_3), and find scalars a, b and a', b' so that $\mathbf{v}_3 = a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 = a'\mathbf{v}_2 + b'\mathbf{v}_3$. Verify the correctness of the scalars by computing each right side to check it recovers the left side.

a) We apply the Gram-Schmidt process to V .

$$V_1: \quad \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_2: \quad \vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1 \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{-5}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_3: \quad \vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \left(\frac{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \left(-\frac{5}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{3}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We see that \vec{w}_1 and \vec{w}_2 is nonzero, while $\vec{w}_3 = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, $\dim(V) = 2$.

As linear combinations of \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 ,

$$\vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_2 - (-1)\vec{w}_1$$

$$\boxed{\vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_1}$$

$$\vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(-\vec{w}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\vec{v}_2 \right)$$

$$\boxed{\vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3}(\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_1) = \frac{2}{3}\vec{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3}\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3}$$

$$b) \quad (1) \quad \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2) \quad \vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_2 - (-1)\vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_2 + \vec{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$(3) \quad \vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{v}_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(-\vec{w}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\vec{w}_2 \right)$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \vec{w}_3 - \vec{w}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\vec{w}_2 \quad \text{since } \vec{w} = \vec{0}, \text{ we can leave it out}$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \frac{1}{3}\vec{w}_2 - \vec{w}_1 = \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

c) From (a), we know that $\vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3}(\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_1) = \frac{2}{3}\vec{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3}\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3$. We

also know that $\vec{w}_3 = \vec{0}$. Therefore, $\frac{2}{3}\vec{v}_1 - \frac{1}{3}\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$. If we multiply both sides

by the scalar 3, we get $2\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 = 0$.

We rearrange this equation to get find a , b , a' , and b' .

$$\vec{v}_3 = a\vec{v}_1 + b\vec{v}_2$$

$$3\vec{v}_3 = -2\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = -\frac{2}{3}\vec{v}_1 + \frac{1}{3}\vec{v}_2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$a = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad b = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = a'\vec{v}_2 + b'\vec{v}_3$$

$$2\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2 - 3\vec{v}_3$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{v}_2 - \frac{3}{2}\vec{v}_3$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{3}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$a' = \frac{1}{2}, \quad b' = -\frac{3}{2}$$

Exercise 19.3. Consider the following three 4-vectors:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -6 \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to verify that the \mathbf{v}_i 's are linearly independent by finding an orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3\}$ for their span V . (The vectors \mathbf{w}_i that you compute should all have integer entries and be nonzero vectors; as a safety-check on your work you may wish to verify by direct computation that they are pairwise orthogonal.)
- (b) Use the work in (a) to express each \mathbf{w}_i as a linear combination of the \mathbf{v}_j 's, and to then express each \mathbf{v}_j as a linear combination of the \mathbf{w}_i 's. Verify the correctness of your expressions for \mathbf{w}_3 and \mathbf{v}_3 by direct computation of the corresponding linear combination.
- (c) Give an orthonormal basis of V .

a) We apply the Gram-Schmidt process to $V = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3)$

$$V_1: \quad \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_2: \quad \vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{V_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{42}{14} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_3: \quad \vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{V_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right)$$

$$= \vec{v}_3 - \left(\frac{14}{14} \vec{w}_1 + \frac{-56}{28} \vec{w}_2 \right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -6 \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since all w_i 's are nonzero, $\dim(V) = 3$ and the collection of vectors $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ are linearly independent.

b) ① $\vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_1$

$$\text{② } \vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{V_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1 = \vec{v}_2 - 3 \vec{w}_1$$

$$= \vec{v}_2 - 3 \vec{v}_1$$

$$\text{③ } \vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{V_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right)$$

$$= \vec{v}_3 - (\vec{v}_1 - 2(\vec{v}_2 - 3\vec{v}_1)) = \vec{v}_3 - (\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + 6\vec{v}_1) = -7\vec{v}_1 + 2\vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3$$

$$= -7 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 10 \\ 7 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -6 \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \vec{v}_1 = \vec{w}_1$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \vec{w}_2 = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{v}_2 - \frac{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_1}{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} \vec{w}_1 \\ = \vec{v}_2 - 3 \vec{w}_1$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \vec{w}_2 + 3 \vec{w}_1$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \vec{w}_3 = \vec{v}_3 - \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) = \vec{v}_3 - \left(\text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_1}(\vec{v}_3) + \text{Proj}_{\vec{w}_2}(\vec{v}_3) \right) = \vec{v}_3 - (\vec{w}_1 - 2 \vec{w}_2) \\ = \vec{v}_3 - \vec{w}_1 + 2 \vec{w}_2$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \vec{w}_3 + \vec{w}_1 - 2 \vec{w}_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -6 \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

c) We know that $\{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3\}$ is an orthogonal basis of V . To find an orthonormal basis, we divide each by its length.

$$\text{Orthonormal basis: } \left\{ \frac{\vec{w}_1}{\|\vec{w}_1\|}, \frac{\vec{w}_2}{\|\vec{w}_2\|}, \frac{\vec{w}_3}{\|\vec{w}_3\|} \right\}$$

$$\|\vec{w}_1\| = \sqrt{\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{w}_1} = \sqrt{14}$$

$$\|\vec{w}_2\| = \sqrt{\vec{w}_2 \cdot \vec{w}_2} = \sqrt{28} = 2\sqrt{7}$$

$$\|\vec{w}_3\| = \sqrt{126} = 3\sqrt{14}$$

An orthonormal basis of U is:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Exercise 19.6. Consider the 5-vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) Verify the two linear dependence relations

$$5\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 + 4\mathbf{v}_3 - 2\mathbf{v}_4 - \mathbf{v}_5 = \mathbf{0}, \quad 3\mathbf{v}_1 + 5\mathbf{v}_2 - 13\mathbf{v}_3 + 3\mathbf{v}_4 - 2\mathbf{v}_5 = \mathbf{0}.$$

(b) By forming suitable linear combinations of these relations to eliminate \mathbf{v}_5 , express \mathbf{v}_4 as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$. Likewise express \mathbf{v}_5 as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$.

(c) Check the correctness of your answer in (b) by evaluating explicitly the linear combinations that you obtained and checking that they recover \mathbf{v}_4 and \mathbf{v}_5 .

a)

$$\begin{aligned} 5\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 4\vec{v}_3 - 2\vec{v}_4 - \vec{v}_5 &= 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -10 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ 12 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ -12 \\ 4 \\ -2 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3\vec{v}_1 + 5\vec{v}_2 - 13\vec{v}_3 + 3\vec{v}_4 - 2\vec{v}_5 &= 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 5 \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - 13 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ -10 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 39 \\ -39 \\ 0 \\ -13 \\ -13 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -36 \\ 18 \\ -6 \\ 3 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -26 \\ 22 \\ -12 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

Since these linear combinations evaluate to zero with at least one non-zero coefficient, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5\}$ is linearly dependent.

b)

$$2(5\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 4\vec{v}_3 - 2\vec{v}_4 - \vec{v}_5 = \vec{0}), \quad -1(3\vec{v}_1 + 5\vec{v}_2 - 13\vec{v}_3 + 3\vec{v}_4 - 2\vec{v}_5 = \vec{0})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 10\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 + 8\vec{v}_3 - 4\vec{v}_4 - 2\vec{v}_5 &= \vec{0} \\ -3\vec{v}_1 - 5\vec{v}_2 + 13\vec{v}_3 - 3\vec{v}_4 + 2\vec{v}_5 &= \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$7\vec{v}_1 - 7\vec{v}_2 + 21\vec{v}_3 - 7\vec{v}_4 = 0$$

$$\boxed{\vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3}$$

$$3 \left(5\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 4\vec{v}_3 - 2\vec{v}_4 - \vec{v}_5 = \vec{0} \right), \quad 2 \left(3\vec{v}_1 + 5\vec{v}_2 - 13\vec{v}_3 + 3\vec{v}_4 - 2\vec{v}_5 = \vec{0} \right)$$

$$15\vec{v}_1 - 3\vec{v}_2 + 12\vec{v}_3 - b\vec{v}_4 - 3\vec{v}_5 = \vec{0}$$

$$\underline{6\vec{v}_1 + 10\vec{v}_2 - 26\vec{v}_3 + b\vec{v}_4 - 4\vec{v}_5 = \vec{0}} \quad +$$

$$21\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 14\vec{v}_3 - 7\vec{v}_5 = \vec{0}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 - 2\vec{v}_3}$$

c) $\vec{v}_4 = \vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ 6 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 - 2\vec{v}_3$$

$$= 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

Exercise 19.8. This exercise explores how linear dependence and linear independence impact the behavior of linear combinations. Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are n -vectors that satisfy the linear dependence relation

$$-3\mathbf{v}_1 + 7\mathbf{v}_2 - 5\mathbf{v}_3 + 2\mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{0}.$$

- (a) Verify that for any scalars a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and any scalar t ,

$$(a_1 - 3t)\mathbf{v}_1 + (a_2 + 7t)\mathbf{v}_2 + (a_3 - 5t)\mathbf{v}_3 + (a_4 + 2t)\mathbf{v}_4 = a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3\mathbf{v}_3 + a_4\mathbf{v}_4.$$

The lesson (using $t \neq 0$) is that in the presence of linear dependence, two *different* choices of coefficients for the \mathbf{v}_i 's can yield linear combinations that are *equal* as vectors.

- (b) Using (a), give two different 4-tuples (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) and (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) that are both different from $(-2, 4, 3, -5)$ and yet satisfy

$$b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + b_2\mathbf{v}_2 + b_3\mathbf{v}_3 + b_4\mathbf{v}_4 = -2\mathbf{v}_1 + 4\mathbf{v}_2 + 3\mathbf{v}_3 - 5\mathbf{v}_4 = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + c_4\mathbf{v}_4.$$

(There are many possible answers; you don't have to know *anything* about the \mathbf{v}_i 's beyond their linear dependence relation, which is all that is used in (a).)

- (c) Now consider four vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3, \mathbf{w}_4 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that are linearly *independent*. The problem of "non-unique coefficients" for a linear combination as in (b) never happens for such \mathbf{w}_i 's! Namely, verify that the only way there can be an equality of vectors

$$a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + a_2\mathbf{w}_2 + a_3\mathbf{w}_3 + a_4\mathbf{w}_4 = b_1\mathbf{w}_1 + b_2\mathbf{w}_2 + b_3\mathbf{w}_3 + b_4\mathbf{w}_4$$

for scalars a_i and b_j is when they match term-by-term: $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_4 = b_4$. This is an important general feature of linear independence, done here for four vectors only for specificity; the method here is completely general, working for any number of linearly independent n -vectors. (Hint: subtract the right side from the left side and combine terms.)

a) We first expand all of the terms via distribution

$$(a_1 - 3t)\vec{v}_1 + (a_2 + 7t)\vec{v}_2 + (a_3 - 5t)\vec{v}_3 + (a_4 + 2t)\vec{v}_4$$

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 - 3t\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + 7t\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 - 5t\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4 + 2t\vec{v}_4$$

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4 - 3t\vec{v}_1 + 7t\vec{v}_2 - 5t\vec{v}_3 + 2t\vec{v}_4$$

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4 + t(-3\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 5\vec{v}_3 + 2\vec{v}_4)$$

We know that the vectors satisfy the linear dependence relation

$-3\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 5\vec{v}_3 + 2\vec{v}_4 = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, the above equation is equal to

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4 + t(0)$$

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4$$

Thus, for any scalars a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 , and t ,

$$(a_1 - 3t)\vec{v}_1 + (a_2 + 7t)\vec{v}_2 + (a_3 - 5t)\vec{v}_3 + (a_4 + 2t)\vec{v}_4$$

$$= a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4$$

because the vectors are linearly dependent with $-3\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 5\vec{v}_3 + 2\vec{v}_4 = \mathbf{0}$

b) From (a), we know the following

$$(a_1 - 3t)\vec{v}_1 + (a_2 + 7t)\vec{v}_2 + (a_3 - 5t)\vec{v}_3 + (a_4 + 2t)\vec{v}_4 = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + a_3\vec{v}_3 + a_4\vec{v}_4$$

In this case of $-2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4$: $a_1 = -2$, $a_2 = 4$, $a_3 = 3$, $a_4 = -5$

To find our set of tuples b and c , we simply subtract different values of t , $t \neq 0$ for the following equation to find our new coefficients.

$$(-2 - 3t)\vec{v}_1 + (4 + 7t)\vec{v}_2 + (3 - 5t)\vec{v}_3 + (-5 + 2t)\vec{v}_4 = -2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4$$

(i) Let $t = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2 - 3)\vec{v}_1 + (4 + 7)\vec{v}_2 + (3 - 5)\vec{v}_3 + (-5 + 2)\vec{v}_4 \\ &= -2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4 + (-3\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 5\vec{v}_3 + 2\vec{v}_4) \\ &= -2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4 \quad \checkmark \\ & (-2 - 3)\vec{v}_1 + (4 + 7)\vec{v}_2 + (3 - 5)\vec{v}_3 + (-5 + 2)\vec{v}_4 \\ &= -5\vec{v}_1 + 11\vec{v}_2 - 2\vec{v}_3 - 3\vec{v}_4 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $b = (-5, 11, -2, -3)$

(ii) Let $t = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2 - 3(2))\vec{v}_1 + (4 + 7(2))\vec{v}_2 + (3 - 5(2))\vec{v}_3 + (-5 + 2(2))\vec{v}_4 \\ &= -2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4 + 2(-3\vec{v}_1 + 7\vec{v}_2 - 5\vec{v}_3 + 2\vec{v}_4) \\ &= -2\vec{v}_1 + 4\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 - 5\vec{v}_4 \quad \checkmark \\ & (-2 - 3(2))\vec{v}_1 + (4 + 7(2))\vec{v}_2 + (3 - 5(2))\vec{v}_3 + (-5 + 2(2))\vec{v}_4 \\ &= -8\vec{v}_1 + 18\vec{v}_2 - 7\vec{v}_3 - \vec{v}_4 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $c = (-8, 18, -7, -1)$

c) We are given the following equation, and the fact that

$\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3, \vec{w}_4$ are linearly independent.

$$a_1\vec{w}_1 + a_2\vec{w}_2 + a_3\vec{w}_3 + a_4\vec{w}_4 = b_1\vec{w}_1 + b_2\vec{w}_2 + b_3\vec{w}_3 + b_4\vec{w}_4$$

$$a_1\vec{w}_1 - b_1\vec{w}_1 + a_2\vec{w}_2 - b_2\vec{w}_2 + a_3\vec{w}_3 - b_3\vec{w}_3 + a_4\vec{w}_4 - b_4\vec{w}_4 = 0$$

$$(a_1 - b_1)\vec{w}_1 + (a_2 - b_2)\vec{w}_2 + (a_3 - b_3)\vec{w}_3 + (a_4 - b_4)\vec{w}_4 = 0$$

By the definition of linear independence, the only collection of

scalars where $c_1\vec{w}_1 + c_2\vec{w}_2 + c_3\vec{w}_3 + c_4\vec{w}_4 = 0$ is $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$.

Thus, we use the equation and this fact to find the following:

$$c_1 = a_1 - b_1 = 0 \rightarrow a_1 = b_1$$

$$c_2 = a_2 - b_2 = 0 \rightarrow a_2 = b_2$$

$$c_3 = a_3 - b_3 = 0 \rightarrow a_3 = b_3$$

$$c_4 = a_4 - b_4 = 0 \rightarrow a_4 = b_4$$

Therefore, given that $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_3, \vec{w}_4$ is linearly independent, the only time

when $a_1\vec{w}_1 + a_2\vec{w}_2 + a_3\vec{w}_3 + a_4\vec{w}_4$ equals $b_1\vec{w}_1 + b_2\vec{w}_2 + b_3\vec{w}_3 + b_4\vec{w}_4$ is

when $a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, a_3 = b_3, \text{ and } a_4 = b_4$.